Research Article

Bifurcation of Sign-Changing Solutions for *m***-Point Boundary Value Problems**

Yulian An^{1, 2} and Maoan Han¹

¹ Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Normal University, Shanghai 200235, China
 ² Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Institute of Technology, Shanghai 201418, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Maoan Han, mahan@shnu.edu.cn

Received 13 February 2012; Accepted 21 March 2012

Academic Editors: R. Avery and A. I. Hai

Copyright © 2012 Y. An and M. Han. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

With the help of bifurcation techniques, some multiplicity results and global structure for signchanging solutions of some *m*-point boundary value problems are obtained when the nonlinear term is sublinear at 0.

1. Introduction and Main Results

In this paper, we consider the *m*-point boundary value problems:

$$u'' + \lambda f(u) = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \tag{1.1}$$

$$u(0) = 0, \qquad u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i u(\eta_i),$$
 (1.2)

where integer $m \ge 3$, $\eta_i \in (0,1)$, λ is a positive parameter, and $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$. We study the multiplicity and global structure of sign-changing solutions of (1.1) and (1.2) under the assumptions:

(A1) $\alpha_i > 0$ for i = 1, ..., m - 2 with $0 < \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i < 1$; (A2) $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies sf(s) > 0 for $s \neq 0$; (A3) $f_0 := \lim_{|s| \to 0} f(s) / s = 0$; (A4) $f_{\infty} := \lim_{|s| \to \infty} f(s) / s = 0$. Multipoint boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations arise in different areas of applied mathematics and physics. The existence of solutions of second-order multipoint boundary value problems has been extensively studied in the literature, see [1–4] and the references therein. Particularly, many authors have studied the existence of sign-changing solutions for various nonlinear boundary value problems, see for example [5–10].

Recently, the global structure of solutions of nonlinear multipoint boundary value problems has also been investigated by several authors using bifurcation methods, see [7– 10]. These papers dealt with the case $f_0 \in (0, \infty)$, and relatively little is known about the global structure of solutions when f satisfying $f_0 = 0$. The main reason is that the global bifurcation techniques cannot be used directly in this case. Very recently, [11] investigated the global structure of positive solutions for a class of boundary value problems with $f_0 = 0$. However, to our knowledge there is no paper studying the global structure of sign-changing solutions for nonlinear multipoint boundary value problems under the assumption $f_0 = 0$. The purpose of present paper is to fill this gap.

In this paper, we consider the global structure of nodal solutions of (1.1) and (1.2), a kind of sign-changing having a given number of zeros, when $f_0 = f_{\infty} = 0$. We find that the discussion is more complicated, when sign-changing solutions are concerned. Eigenvalue theory and Sturm's comparison theorem play important roles in our discussion.

Now, we introduce some notations as follows.

Let Y = C[0,1] with the norm $||u||_{\infty} = \max_{t \in [0,1]} |u(t)|$. Let $X = \{u \in C^1[0,1] \mid u(0) = 0, u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i u(\eta_i)\}$, and $E = \{u \in C^2[0,1] \mid u(0) = 0, u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i u(\eta_i)\}$ equipped with the norm:

$$\|u\|_{X} = \max\{\|u\|_{\infty}, \|u'\|_{\infty}\}, \qquad \|u\| = \max\{\|u\|_{\infty}, \|u'\|_{\infty}, \|u''\|_{\infty}\}.$$
(1.3)

For any C^1 function u, if $u(x_0) = 0$ and $u'(x_0) \neq 0$, then x_0 is called a simple zero of u. For any integer $k \ge 1$ and any $v \in \{+, -\}$, let S_k^v , $T_k^v \in C^2[0, 1]$ be sets consisting of functions $u \in C^2[0, 1]$ satisfying the following conditions:

 S_{ν}^{ν} : (i) u(0) = 0, $\nu u'(0) > 0$;

(ii) *u* has only simple zeros in [0, 1] and has exactly k - 1 zeros in (0, 1).

- T_{k}^{ν} : (i) u(0) = 0, $\nu u'(0) > 0$ and $u'(1) \neq 0$;
 - (ii) u' has only simple zeros in (0, 1) and has exactly k zeros in (0, 1);
 - (iii) u has a zero strictly between each two consecutive zeros of u'.

Remark 1.1. If $u \in T_k^{\nu}$, then $u \in S_k^{\nu}$ or $u \in S_{k+1}^{\nu}$. The sets $T_k^{\nu}(k = 1, 2, ...)$ are open in *E* and disjoint [8].

Lemma 1.2 (See [8]). Let (A1) and (A2) hold. If (μ, u) is a nontrivial solution of (1.1) and (1.2). Then, $u \in T_k^{\nu}$ for some k, ν .

Let $X = \mathbb{R} \times X$ with the product topology. As in [12], we add the point $\{(\lambda, \infty) \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$ to the space X. Denote $\theta \in X$, $\theta(t) \equiv 0$, $t \in [0, 1]$.

The main results of this paper are as follows.



Theorem 1.3. Let (A1)–(A4) hold. Then, there exists a component $C_k^{\nu} \in (0, \infty) \times T_k^{\nu}$ of solutions of (1.1) and (1.2), which joins (∞, θ) to (∞, ∞) (see Figure 1(a)) such that $\operatorname{Proj}_{\mathbb{R}} C_k^{\nu} = [\rho_k^{\nu}, \infty)$ for some $\rho_k^{\nu} > 0$. Here, C_k^{ν} joins (∞, θ) to (∞, ∞) meaning that:

$$\lim_{(\lambda,u)\in \mathcal{C}_{k}^{\nu},\|u\|\leq 1,\lambda\to+\infty}\|u\|=0,\qquad \lim_{(\lambda,u)\in \mathcal{C}_{k}^{\nu},\|u\|>1,\lambda\to+\infty}\|u\|=+\infty.$$
(1.4)

Corollary 1.4. Let (A1)–(A4) hold. Then, there exists $\lambda_k^{\nu} \ge \rho_k^{\nu} > 0$ such that (1.1) and (1.2) have at least two solutions in T_k^{ν} for $\lambda \in (\lambda_k^{\nu}, \infty)$.

Remark 1.5. Theorem 1.3 extends the result stated in [11]. Meanwhile, Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.4 do not only obtain the multiplicity of nodal solutions of (1.1) and (1.2), but also describe the global structure of these solutions.

2. Preliminary Lemmas

The following definition and lemmas about superior limit and component are important to prove Theorem 1.3.

Definition 2.1 (See [13]). Let *W* be a Banach space, and $\{C_n \mid n = 1, 2, ...\}$ be a family of subsets of *W*. Then, the superior limit \mathfrak{P} of $\{C_n\}$ is defined by:

$$\mathfrak{D} := \limsup_{n \to \infty} C_n = \{ x \in W \mid \exists \{n_i\} \subset \mathbb{N}, \ x_{n_i} \in C_{n_i}, \text{ such that } x_{n_i} \longrightarrow x \}.$$
(2.1)

Lemma 2.2 (See [13]). Each connected subset of metric space W is contained in a component, and each component of W is closed.

Lemma 2.3 (See [11]). Let W be a Banach space and C_n a family of closed connected subsets of W. *Assume that:*

(*i*) there exist $z_n \in C_n$, $n = 1, 2, ..., and <math>z^* \in W$ such that $z_n \to z^*$; (*ii*) $r_n = \infty$, where $r_n = \sup\{||x|| \mid x \in C_n\}$; (*iii*) for all R > 0, $(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n) \cap B_R$ is a relative compact set of W, where

$$B_R = \{ x \in W \mid ||x|| \le R \}.$$
(2.2)

Then, there exists an unbounded connected component C *in* \mathfrak{D} *such that* $z^* \in C$ *.*

Define a linear operator $L: E \rightarrow Y$ by:

$$Lu := -u'', \quad u \in E. \tag{2.3}$$

We consider the linear eigenvalues problem:

$$Lu = \lambda u, \quad u \in E. \tag{2.4}$$

Let λ_k be the *k*th eigenvalue of (2.4), and φ_k an eigenfunction corresponding to λ_k . The following lemma or similar result can be found in [7–9].

Lemma 2.4. Let (A1) hold. Then,

$$0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_k < \lambda_{k+1} < \dots, \quad \lim_{k \to \infty} \lambda_k = \infty.$$
(2.5)

For each $k \in N$, algebraic multiplicity of λ_k is equal to 1, and the corresponding eigenfunction $\varphi_k \in T_k^+$ and is strictly positive on (0,1).

Define a map $T_{\lambda} : Y \longrightarrow E$ by:

$$T_{\lambda}u(t) = \lambda \int_0^1 H(t,s)f(u(s))ds, \qquad (2.6)$$

where

$$H(t,s) = G(t,s) + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i G(\eta_i, s)}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i} t,$$

$$G(t,s) = \begin{cases} (1-t)s, & 0 \le s \le t \le 1, \\ t(1-s), & 0 \le t \le s \le 1. \end{cases}$$
(2.7)

It is clear that $T_{\lambda} : Y \to X$ is completely continuous provided that (A1) and (A2) hold.

Lemma 2.5. Let (A1) and (A2) hold, and $\{(\mu_l, y_l)\} \in (0, \infty) \times T_k^{\nu}$ be a sequence of solutions of (1.1) and (1.2). Assume that $\mu_l \leq C_0$ for some constant $C_0 > 0$, and $\lim_{l \to \infty} ||y_l|| = \infty$. Then,

$$\lim_{l \to \infty} \|y_l\|_{\infty} = \infty.$$
(2.8)

Proof. From the relation $y_l(t) = \mu_l \int_0^1 H(t,s) f(y_l(s)) ds$, we conclude that $y'_l(t) = \mu_l \int_0^1 H_t(t,s) f(y_l(s)) ds$. Then,

$$\|y_{l}'\|_{\infty} \leq C_{0} \left(1 + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i}}\right) \int_{0}^{1} |f(y_{l}(s))| ds.$$
(2.9)

Equations (2.9) and (1.1) imply that $\{\|y_l'\|_{\infty}\}, \{\|y_l''\|_{\infty}\}$ are bounded, whenever $\{\|y_l\|_{\infty}\}$ is bounded.

3. Proof of the Main Results

We will construct a sequence of functions $\{f^{[n]}\}$ which is asymptotic linear at 0 and satisfies

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \left| f^{[n]}(s) - f(s) \right| = 0, \ \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(f^{[n]} \right)_0 \coloneqq \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{\lim_{|s| \to 0} f^{[n]}(s)}{s} \right) = 0.$$
(3.1)

By means of some corresponding auxiliary equations, we can obtain a sequence of unbounded components $\{C_k^{\nu[n]}\}$ via Rabinowitz's global bifurcation theorem [14]. Based on the sequence, we can find an unbounded component C_k^{ν} satisfying:

$$C_k^{\nu} \subset \limsup_{n \to \infty} C_k^{\nu[n]}, \tag{3.2}$$

and joining (∞, θ) with (∞, ∞) . We do it as follows.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $f^{[n]}(s) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ by:

$$f^{[n]}(s) = \begin{cases} f(s), & s \in \left(\frac{1}{n}, \infty\right) \cup \left(-\infty, -\frac{1}{n}\right), \\ nf\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)s, & s \in \left[-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}\right]. \end{cases}$$
(3.3)

Then, $f^{[n]} \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{\pm 1/n\}, \mathbb{R})$ with

$$sf^{[n]}(s) > 0, \quad \forall s \neq 0, \quad \left(f^{[n]}\right)_0 = nf\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

$$(3.4)$$

By (A3), it follows that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(f^{[n]} \right)_0 = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Now let us consider the auxiliary family of problems:

$$u'' + \lambda f^{[n]}(u) = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1),$$

$$u(0) = 0, \qquad u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i u(\eta_i).$$
 (3.6)

From Proposition 4.1 in [8], we obtain the following.

Lemma 3.1. Let (A1) and (A2) hold. If (μ, u) is a nontrivial solution of (3.6). Then, $u \in T_k^{\nu}$ for some k, ν .

Let $g^{[n]} \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ such that:

$$f^{[n]}(u) = (f^{[n]})_0 u + g^{[n]}(u) = nf\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) u + g^{[n]}(u).$$
(3.7)

Note that

$$\lim_{|s| \to 0} \frac{g^{[n]}(s)}{s} = 0.$$
(3.8)

Let us consider

$$Lu - \lambda \left(f^{[n]} \right)_0 u = \lambda g^{[n]}(u), \tag{3.9}$$

as a bifurcation problem from the trivial solution $u \equiv \theta$.

Equation (3.9) can be converted to the equivalent form:

$$u(t) = \int_{0}^{1} H(t,s) \left[\lambda \left(f^{[n]} \right)_{0} u(s) + \lambda g^{[n]}(u(s)) \right] ds$$

$$:= \lambda L^{-1} \left[\left(f^{[n]} \right)_{0} u(\cdot) \right](t) + \lambda L^{-1} \left[g^{[n]}(u(\cdot)) \right](t).$$
(3.10)

Note that $||L^{-1}[g^{[n]}(u)]|| = o(||u||)$ for u near θ in X. Applying Lemma 2.4, the global bifurcation result of Rabinowitz [14] for (3.9) can be stated as follows: for each integer $k \ge 1$, $\nu \in \{+, -\}$, there exists a continuum $C_k^{\nu[n]}$ of solutions of (3.9) joining $(\lambda_k/(f^{[n]})_0, \theta)$ to infinity in X. Moreover, $C_k^{\nu[n]} \setminus (\lambda_k/(f^{[n]})_0, \theta) \subset (0, \infty) \times T_k^{\nu}$. For properties of $C_k^{\nu[n]}$, we give the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.2. Let (A1)–(A4) hold. Then for each fixed n, $C_k^{\nu[n]}$ joins $(\lambda_k/(f^{[n]})_0, \theta)$ to (∞, ∞) in \mathbb{X} (see Figure 1(b)).

Proof. We divide the proof into two steps.

Step 1. We show that $\sup \{\lambda \mid (\lambda, u) \in C_k^{\nu[n]}\} = \infty$. Assume on the contrary that $\sup \{\lambda \mid (\lambda, u) \in C_k^{\nu[n]}\} =: C_0 < \infty$. Let $\{(\mu_l, y_l)\} \subset C_k^{\nu[n]}$ be such that:

$$\|\mu_l\| + \|y_l\| \to \infty. \tag{3.11}$$

Similar to the argument of Lemma 2.5, we conclude that $||y_l||_{\infty} \to \infty$.

Since $(\mu_l, y_l) \in C_k^{\nu[n]}$, we have

$$y_l''(t) + \mu_l f^{[n]}(y_l(t)) = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1),$$

$$y_l(0) = 0, \qquad y_l(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i y_l(\eta_i).$$
(3.12)

ISRN Mathematical Analysis

Set $v_l(t) = y_l(t) / ||y_l||_{\infty}$. Then, $||v_l||_{\infty} = 1$, and

$$v_l''(t) + \mu_l \frac{f^{[n]}(y_l(t))}{\|y_l\|_{\infty}} = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1).$$
(3.13)

Using $\lim_{|u|\to 0} f(u)/u = 0$, we can show that

$$\lim_{l \to \infty} \frac{|f^{[n]}(y_l(t))|}{\|y_l\|_{\infty}} = 0.$$
(3.14)

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 1 in [12], and therefore we omit it. Equations (3.13) and (3.14) imply that $\|v_l''\|_{\infty} \leq M$ for some constant M > 0, independent of *l*. Hence, $\{v_l\}$ has a convergent subsequence in *X*. Without loss of generality, we assume that there exists $(\mu_*, v_*) \in [0, C_0] \times X$ with:

$$\|v_*\|_{\infty} = 1, \tag{3.15}$$

such that

$$\lim_{l \to \infty} (\mu_l, v_l) = (\mu_*, v_*), \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times Y.$$
(3.16)

Note that (3.12) is equivalent to

$$v_l(t) = \mu_l \int_0^1 H(t,s) \frac{f^{[n]}(y_l(s))}{\|y_l\|_{\infty}} ds, \quad t \in (0,1).$$
(3.17)

Combining this with (3.16) and using (3.14) and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$v_*(t) = \mu_* \int_0^1 H(t,s) \ 0 \, ds = 0, \quad t \in (0,1).$$
(3.18)

This contradicts (3.15). Therefore,

$$\sup\left\{\lambda \mid (\lambda, y) \in \mathcal{C}_{k}^{\nu[n]}\right\} = \infty.$$
(3.19)

Step 2. We show that $\sup\{\|u\|_{\infty} \mid (\lambda, u) \in C_k^{\nu[n]}\} = \infty$. On the contrary, assume that $\sup\{\|u\|_{\infty} \mid (\lambda, u) \in C_k^{\nu[n]}\} = M_0 < \infty$. Then, there exists a sequence $\{(\mu_l, y_l)\} \subset C_k^{\nu[n]}$ such that

$$\mu_l \longrightarrow \infty, \quad \|y_l\|_{\infty} \le M_0. \tag{3.20}$$

From Remark 1.1, we can take a subsequences of $\{(\mu_l, y_l)\}$, still denoted by $\{(\mu_l, y_l)\}$, such that $\{y_l\} \subset T_k^{\nu} \cap S_k^{\nu}$ or $\{y_l\} \subset T_k^{\nu} \cap S_{k+1}^{\nu}$. Without loss of generality, we suppose that $\{y_l\} \subset T_k^{\nu} \cap S_k^{\nu}$. When $\{y_l\} \subset T_k^{\nu} \cap S_{k+1}^{\nu}$ is considered, the proof is similar. We omit it.

Note that (μ_l, y_l) satisfies the autonomous equation:

$$y_1'' + \mu_l f^{[n]}(y_l) = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1).$$
(3.21)

Therefore, the graph of y_l consists of a sequence of positive and negative bumps, together with a truncated bump at the right end of the interval [0,1], with the following properties (ignoring the truncated bump) (see [8]): all the positive (respectively, negative) bumps (i) have the same shape (the shapes of the positive and negative bumps may be different); (ii) attain the same maximum (minimum) value.

Let

$$0 = \tau_l^0 < \tau_l^1 < \dots < \tau_l^{k-1}$$
(3.22)

denote the zeros of y_l in [0, 1]. Then, after taking a subsequence if necessary, $\lim_{l\to\infty} \tau_l^j := \tau_{\infty'}^j, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$. Clearly, $\tau_{\infty}^0 = 0$. Set $\tau_{\infty}^k = 1$. We can choose at least one subinterval $(\tau_{\infty}^j, \tau_{\infty}^{j+1}) \triangleq I_{\infty}^j$ which is of length at least 1/k for some $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$. Then, for this j, $\tau_l^{j+1} - \tau_l^j > 3/4k$ if l is large enough. Put $(\tau_l^j, \tau_l^{j+1}) \triangleq I_l^j$.

Obviously, for the above given k, v, and $j, y_l(t)$ have the same sign on I_l^j for all l. Without loss of generality, we assume

$$y_l(t) > 0, \quad t \in I_l^j.$$
 (3.23)

Armed with the information on the shape of y_l , it is easy to show that for the above given I_l^j , $\|y_l\|_{I_{l,\infty}^j} := \max_{I_l^j} y_l(t) \le M_0, \ l = 1, 2, ...$

Let σ be a constant with $0 < \sigma < 3/8k$. Since y_l is concave on I_l^j , we have

$$y_l(t) \ge \sigma \|y_l\|_{I_l^{j,\infty'}} \quad \forall \ t \in \Big[\tau_l^j + \sigma, \tau_l^{j+1} - \sigma\Big].$$
(3.24)

Then, there must exist constants α , β with $[\alpha, \beta] \subset I_{\infty}^{\prime}$ and l_0 such that

$$y_l(t) \ge \sigma \|y_l\|_{L^1_{l,\infty}} > 0$$
, uniformly for $t \in [\alpha, \beta]$ and $l > l_0$. (3.25)

ISRN Mathematical Analysis

On the other hand, note that

$$\frac{f^{[n]}(y_l(t))}{y_l(t)} \ge \inf\left\{\frac{f^{[n]}(s)}{s} \mid 0 < s \le M_0\right\} > 0, \quad t \in \left(\tau_l^j, \tau_l^{j+1}\right).$$
(3.26)

Using the relation:

$$y_l''(t) + \mu_l \frac{f^{[n]}(y_l(t))}{y_l(t)} y_l(t) = 0, \quad t \in \left(\tau_l^j, \tau_l^{j+1}\right), \tag{3.27}$$

and Sturm's comparison theorem, we deduce that y_l must change its sign on (α, β) if *l* is sufficiently large, contradicting (3.25). Therefore,

$$\lim_{l \to \infty} \| y_l \|_{\infty} = \infty.$$
(3.28)

Hence, $C_k^{\nu[n]}$ joins $(\lambda_k/(f^{[n]})_0, \theta)$ to (∞, ∞) in X.

Lemma 3.3. Let (A1)–(A4) hold. Then, there exists $\rho_k^{\nu} > 0$ such that

$$\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_k^{\nu[n]}\right) \bigcap \left(\left(0, \rho_k^{\nu}\right) \times X \right) = \emptyset.$$
(3.29)

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 4.3 in [11]. We omit it.

Lemma 3.4. Let (A1)–(A4) hold, and let ρ_k^{ν} be as in Lemma 3.3. Then, there exist $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\widehat{\lambda_k^{\nu}} \ge \rho_k^{\nu} > 0$ such that for any $\lambda > \widehat{\lambda_k^{\nu}}$ and $u \in C_k^{\nu[n]}$:

$$C_k^{\nu[n]} \cap \left\{ (\lambda, u) \mid \lambda \ge \widehat{\lambda_k^{\nu}}; \ \|u\|_{\infty} = 1 \right\} = \emptyset, \quad \forall \ n > n_0.$$
(3.30)

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that there exists $\{(\mu_l, y_l)\} \subset (\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_k^{\nu[n]}) \cap ((0, \infty) \times X)$ such that

$$\lim_{l \to \infty} \mu_l = \infty, \qquad \|y_l\|_{\infty} = 1.$$
(3.31)

Now, the method used in the proof of Lemma 3.2, Step 2, is still valid. Let σ be a constant with $0 < \sigma < 3/8k$. Taking subsequences again if necessary, still denoted by $\{(\mu_l, y_l)\}$, such that $\{y_l\} \subset T_k^{\nu} \cap S_k^{\nu}$. Without loss of generality, we can also derive an interval $[\alpha, \beta] \subset I_{\infty}^j$ and l_0 such that

$$1 \ge y_l(t) \ge \sigma \|y_l\|_{I_{l,\infty}^j} = \sigma, \quad \text{uniformly for } t \in [\alpha, \beta] \text{ and } l > l_0.$$
(3.32)

 \square

It is easy to find an integer $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1/n_0 < \sigma$. This implies that

$$\inf\left\{\frac{f^{[n]}(s)}{s} \mid \sigma < s \le 1\right\} = \inf\left\{\frac{f(s)}{s} \mid \sigma < s \le 1\right\}, \quad \forall \ n > n_0.$$

$$(3.33)$$

Note that for $n > n_0$,

$$\frac{f^{[n]}(y_l(t))}{y_l(t)} \ge \inf\left\{\frac{f(s)}{s} \mid \sigma < s \le 1\right\} > 0, \quad \text{uniformly for } t \in (\alpha, \beta) \text{ and } l > l_0.$$
(3.34)

Combining these facts and the relation:

$$y_l''(t) + \mu_l \frac{f^{[n]}(y_l(t))}{y_l(t)} y_l(t) = 0, \quad t \in (\alpha, \beta),$$
(3.35)

and Sturm's comparison theorem, we conclude that y_l must change its sign on (α, β) if l is large enough. This contradicts (3.32), and the proof is done.

Lemma 3.5. Let (A1)–(A4) hold, and let n_0 be as in Lemma 3.4. Then, there exist $\lambda_k^{\nu} \ge \rho_k^{\nu} > 0$ and $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ such that for any $\lambda > \lambda_k^{\nu}$ and $u \in C_k^{\nu[n]}$:

$$C_{k}^{\nu[n]} \cap \left\{ (\lambda, u) \mid \lambda \ge \lambda_{k}^{\nu}; \ 1 - 2\epsilon \le \|u\|_{\infty} \le 1 + 2\epsilon \right\} = \emptyset, \quad \forall \ n > n_{0}.$$
(3.36)

Proof. Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.4, we can find a constant $\widetilde{\lambda_k^{\nu}} > 0$ such that $||u||_{\infty} \neq 1$ provided that $(\lambda, u) \in (\widetilde{\lambda_k^{\nu}}, \infty) \times T_k^{\nu}$ being a solution of (1.1) and (1.2).

Let $\lambda_k^{\nu} = \max{\{\widehat{\lambda_k^{\nu}}, \widehat{\lambda_k^{\nu}}\}} + 1$. We claim that there exists $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ such that (3.36) holds. Suppose on the contrary that there exists

$$\{(\mu_l, y_l)\} \in \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_k^{\nu[n]}\right) \bigcap ((\lambda_k^{\nu}, \infty) \times X),$$
(3.37)

satisfying

$$\lim_{l \to \infty} \mu_l = \mu^* \ge \lambda_k^{\nu} \qquad \lim_{l \to \infty} \|y_l\|_{\infty} = 1.$$
(3.38)

We can discuss two cases.

Case 1. If $\mu^* < \infty$. { y_l } is compact in X implies that there exists a subsequence, still denoted by { y_l }, such that

$$\lim_{l \to \infty} y_l = y^* \in T_{k'}^{\nu}, \qquad \left\| y^* \right\|_{\infty} = 1.$$
(3.39)

Obviously, (μ^*, y^*) is a solution of (1.1) and (1.2). It is impossible.



Case 2. If $\mu^* = \infty$. Taking subsequences again if necessary, still denoted by $\{(\mu_l, y_l)\}$, such that $1/2 \le ||y_l||_{\infty} \le 3/2$. Using the same argument as Lemma 3.4, we can find a contradiction.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We will prove that the superior limit of $C_k^{\nu[n]}$ contains an unbounded component $C_k^{\nu} \subset (0, \infty) \times T_k^{\nu}$ of solutions of (1.1) and (1.2), which joins (∞, θ) to (∞, ∞) . For r > 0, introduce

$$\Omega_r = \{ u \in Y \mid ||u||_{\infty} < r \}.$$
(3.40)

Set

$$\begin{split} &\Gamma_k^{\nu} := \left([0,\infty) \times T_k^{\nu} \right) \setminus \left\{ (\eta, u) \mid \eta \geq \lambda_k^{\nu}; \ u \in T_k^{\nu}, \ \|u\|_{\infty} \leq 1 + \epsilon \right\}, \\ &\Sigma_k^{\nu} := \left\{ (\eta, u) \mid \eta \geq \lambda_k^{\nu}; \ u \in T_k^{\nu}, \ \|u\|_{\infty} \leq 1 - \epsilon \right\}. \end{split}$$

$$(3.41)$$

Let n_0 and e be as in Lemma 3.5. Firstly, for each given nonnegative integer p = 0, 1, 2, ..., and $n \ge n_0$ with $(\lambda_k / (f^{[n]})_0) \ge \lambda_k^{\nu} + p$, we define the connected subset, $(\zeta_k^{\nu[n]})_p$, in $C_k^{\nu[n]}$ satisfying (see Figure 2(a)):

(i)
$$(\zeta_k^{\nu[n]})_p \subset (C_k^{\nu[n]} \setminus (\lambda_k^{\nu} + p, \infty) \times \Omega_{1-\epsilon});$$

(ii) $(\zeta_k^{\nu[n]})_p$ joins $\{\lambda_k^{\nu} + p\} \times \Omega_{1-\epsilon}$ with infinity in Γ_k^{ν} .

By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, $\limsup_{n\to\infty} (\zeta_k^{\nu[n]})_p$ contains a component $(\zeta_k^{\nu})_p$ joining $\{\lambda_k^{\nu}+p\} \times \Omega_{1-e}$ with infinity in Γ_k^{ν} (see Figure 2(b)).

It is easy to verify that if $(\lambda, u) \in (\zeta_k^{\nu})_p (p = 0, 1, 2, ...)$, then (λ, u) is a solution of (1.1) and (1.2), and $u \in T_k^{\nu}$.

Next, by using Lemma 2.3 and the method in [11] (see (4.22)-(4.30) in [11]), we can find a component C_k^{ν} in $\limsup_{p \to \infty} (\zeta_k^{\nu})_p$, which is unbounded both in Γ_k^{ν} and Σ_k^{ν} . Finally, we show that C_k^{ν} joins (∞, θ) with (∞, ∞) . This will be done by the following

three steps.

Step 1. We show that $\lim_{\lambda \to +\infty} ||u||_{\infty} = 0$ for $(\lambda, u) \in (\mathcal{C}_k^{\nu} \cap \Sigma_k^{\nu})$. Suppose on the contrary that there exists $\{(\mu_l, y_l)\} \subset C_k^{\nu}$ with $||y_l||_{\infty} \leq 1 - \epsilon$, and

$$\mu_l \to +\infty, \quad \|y_l\|_{\infty} \ge a, \tag{3.42}$$

for some constant a > 0. Applying the method of proving Lemma 3.2, we can deduce a contradiction.

Step 2. We show that $\sup \{\lambda \mid (\lambda, u) \in (C_k^{\nu} \cap \Gamma_k^{\nu})\} = \infty$. By a similar argument as Lemma 3.2, we can get the conclusion.

Step 3. We show that $\lim_{\lambda \to +\infty} ||u||_{\infty} = +\infty$ for $(\lambda, u) \in (\mathcal{C}_{k}^{\nu} \cap \Gamma_{k}^{\nu})$. On the contrary, suppose that there exists $\{(\mu_{l}, y_{l})\} \subset (\mathcal{C}_{k}^{\nu} \cap \Gamma_{k}^{\nu})$ with

$$\mu_l \to +\infty, \quad 1 < \|\boldsymbol{y}_l\|_{\infty} \le M, \tag{3.43}$$

for some constant M > 0. The proof can be done by the same argument as Lemma 3.2.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3. \Box

Proof of Corollary 1.4. The result can be directly obtained by Theorem 1.3.

Acknowledgments

This paper is supported by NSFC (no. 10971139); China Postdoctoral Fund (no. 2011M500615); Scientific Innovation Projection of Shanghai Education Department (no. 11YZ225); SIT-YJ2009-16.

References

- J. R. Graef and L. Kong, "Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of symmetric positive solutions of multi-point boundary value problems," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 68, no. 6, pp. 1529–1552, 2008.
- [2] M. K. Kwong and J. S. W. Wong, "The shooting method and nonhomogeneous multipoint BVPs of second-order ODE," *Boundary Value Problems*, vol. 2007, Article ID 64012, 16 pages, 2007.
- [3] S. Liang and J. Zhang, "Existence of countably many positive solutions of *n*th-order *m*-point boundary value problems," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 224, no. 2, pp. 527– 537, 2009.
- [4] Z. Bai, "Solvability for a class of fractional *m*-point boundary value problem at resonance," *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 62, no. 3, pp. 1292–1302, 2011.
- [5] X. Xian and J. Sun, "On sign-changing solution for some three-point boundary value problems," Nonlinear Analysis, vol. 59, no. 4, pp. 491–505, 2004.
- [6] X. Xian and D. O'Regan, "Multiplicity of sign-changing solutions for some four-point boundary value problem," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 69, no. 2, pp. 434–447, 2008.
- [7] B. P. Rynne, "Second-order, three-point boundary value problems with jumping non-linearities," Nonlinear Analysis, vol. 68, no. 11, pp. 3294–3306, 2008.
- [8] B. P. Rynne, "Spectral properties and nodal solutions for second-order, *m*-point, boundary value problems," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 67, no. 12, pp. 3318–3327, 2007.
- [9] R. Ma and D. O'Regan, "Nodal solutions for second-order *m*-point boundary value problems with nonlinearities across several eigenvalues," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 64, no. 7, pp. 1562–1577, 2006.
- [10] S. Jingxian, X. Xian, and D. O'Regan, "Nodal solutions for *m*-point boundary value problems using bifurcation methods," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 68, no. 10, pp. 3034–3046, 2008.
- [11] R. Ma and Y. An, "Global structure of positive solutions for nonlocal boundary value problems involving integral conditions," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 71, no. 10, pp. 4364–4376, 2009.
- [12] R. Ma and B. Thompson, "Nodal solutions for nonlinear eigenvalue problems," Nonlinear Analysis, vol. 59, no. 5, pp. 707–718, 2004.

ISRN Mathematical Analysis

- [13] G. T. Whyburn, Topological Analysis, vol. 23 of Princeton Mathematical Series, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N J, USA, 1958. [14] P. H. Rabinowitz, "Some global results for nonlinear eigenvalue problems," vol. 7, pp. 487–513, 1971.



Advances in **Operations Research**



The Scientific World Journal







Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com



Algebra



Journal of Probability and Statistics



International Journal of Differential Equations





Complex Analysis





Mathematical Problems in Engineering



Abstract and Applied Analysis



Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society



International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences





Journal of **Function Spaces**



International Journal of Stochastic Analysis

