

## Research Article

# A Generalization for $n$ -Cocycles

**Beishang Ren and Shixun Lin**

*College of Mathematical Sciences, Guangxi Teachers Education University, Nanning, Guangxi 530023, China*

Correspondence should be addressed to Shixun Lin, [lynszixan@126.com](mailto:lynszixan@126.com)

Received 28 September 2012; Accepted 18 October 2012

Academic Editors: A. Kilicman and A. Zimmermann

Copyright © 2012 B. Ren and S. Lin. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We will give generalized definitions called type II  $n$ -cocycles and weak quasi-bialgebra and also show properties of type II  $n$ -cocycles and some results about weak quasi-bialgebras, for instance, construct a new structure of tensor product algebra over a module algebra on weak quasi-bialgebras.

## 1. Introduction

We will introduce a new generalized definition called type II  $n$ -cocycle; the namely, relax the invertible condition of associator in  $n$ -cocycle up to adding an associator satisfies all forms in definition of  $n$ -cocycle together with the original associator, and both need not to be invertible for each other; then we give examples to illustrate it clearly. Majid have shown many results about  $n$ -cocycle in [1], and we obtain some results including cohomologous concept through this new definition, main properties of type II  $n$ -cocycles, and its simple classification.

It is well known that quasi-bialgebras and quasi-Hopf algebras play important roles in quantum group theory, and these concepts were introduced by Drinfel'd in [2] who relaxed the coassociative law of  $\Delta$  up to conjugation. In this paper, we will show a new definition called weak quasi-bialgebra, a generalization of quasi-bialgebras, and there are simple examples to illustrate. Authors show results for weak quasi-bialgebras in place of quasi-bialgebras (cf. [1, 3]), including that there exists an algebra structure on  $A \otimes H$ , a generalization of the algebra product in [3], where  $H$  is a weak quasi-bialgebra and  $A$  is a  $H$ -module algebra.

We follow all the notation and conventions in [1], throughout the paper. In the following,  $k$  will be a fixed field throughout, and all algebras, coalgebras, vector spaces, and so forth are over  $k$  automatically unless specified. We recall definitions as follows.

*Definition 1.1.* For any bialgebra, if there is an invertible element  $\theta \in H^{\otimes n}$  such that

$$\partial\theta = (\Delta_0\theta\Delta_2\theta\cdots\Delta_s\theta)(\Delta_1\theta\Delta_3\theta\cdots\Delta_t\theta) = 1, \quad (1.1)$$

where integers  $s$  and  $t$  are max even number and max odd number, respectively, in  $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ , we call  $\theta$  an  $n$ -cocycle. If  $\epsilon_i(\theta) = 1$  ( $0 \leq i \leq n$ ), then the cocycle is counital. We define  $\Delta_i = id \otimes \cdots \otimes \Delta \otimes \cdots \otimes id$ ,  $\epsilon_i = id \otimes \cdots \otimes \epsilon \otimes \cdots \otimes id$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ),  $\Delta_0 = 1 \otimes \theta$ , and  $\Delta_{n+1} = \theta \otimes 1$ .

*Definition 1.2.* Let  $H$  be a  $k$ -algebra with unit and homomorphisms  $\Delta : H \rightarrow H \otimes H$ ,  $\epsilon : H \rightarrow k$ . If there exists a counital 3-cocycle  $\Phi \in H^{\otimes 3}$  rendering that, for all  $h \in H$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (id \otimes \Delta)\Delta h &= \Phi(\Delta \otimes id)(\Delta h)\Phi^{-1}, \\ (\epsilon \otimes id)\Delta h &= 1_k \otimes h, \quad (id \otimes \epsilon)\Delta h = h \otimes 1_k. \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

Then  $H$  is called a quasi-bialgebra together with coproduct  $\Delta$  and counit  $\epsilon$ , and call  $\Phi$  an associator.

We will denote the tensor components of  $\Phi, \phi$  with big and small letters, respectively, for instance,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &= \sum X^1 \otimes X^2 \otimes X^3 = \sum Y^1 \otimes Y^2 \otimes Y^3 = \sum Z^1 \otimes Z^2 \otimes Z^3 \text{ etc.}, \\ \phi &= \sum x^1 \otimes x^2 \otimes x^3 = \sum y^1 \otimes y^2 \otimes y^3 = \sum z^1 \otimes z^2 \otimes z^3 \text{ etc.}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

where  $x^i$  is the  $i$ th factor.

*Definition 1.3.* Let  $H$  be a quasi-bialgebra and  $A$  a vector space. If  $A$  has a multiplication and the unit  $1_A$  obeying that

$$\begin{aligned} a(bc) &= \sum (X^1 \cdot a) \left[ (X^2 \cdot b) (X^3 \cdot c) \right], \\ h \cdot (ab) &= \sum (h_1 \cdot a) (h_2 \cdot b), \quad h \cdot 1_A = \epsilon(h)1_A, \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

for any  $a, b, c \in A$  and  $h \in H$ , where  $\cdot : H \otimes A \rightarrow A$  is the  $H$ -module structure map of  $A$ , then say  $A$  is a left  $H$ -module algebra.

In bialgebras, the composition of any two algebra homomorphisms  $\Delta_i, \Delta_j$  satisfies the equality  $\Delta_i \Delta_j = \Delta_{j+1} \Delta_i$  for all  $i \leq j$ . We will use this equation frequently.

## 2. Type II Cocycles and Weak Quasi-Bialgebras

*Definition 2.1.* An associative algebra  $H$  with unit over a commutative ring  $R$  called a fake bialgebra, if there are two algebra homomorphisms  $\Delta : H \rightarrow H \otimes H$  and  $\epsilon : H \rightarrow R$ .

*Definition 2.2.* Let  $H$  be a fake bialgebra, and elements  $\Phi, \phi \in H^{\otimes n}$ . Denote

$$\begin{aligned}\partial(\Phi, \phi) &= (\Delta_0 \Phi \Delta_2 \Phi \cdots \Delta_s \Phi)(\Delta_1 \phi \Delta_3 \phi \cdots \Delta_t \phi), \\ \chi(\Phi, \phi) &= (\Delta_t \Phi \Delta_{t-2} \Phi \cdots \Delta_1 \Phi)(\Delta_s \phi \Delta_{s-2} \phi \cdots \Delta_0 \phi),\end{aligned}\tag{2.1}$$

where integers  $s$  and  $t$  in  $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  are max even number and max odd number, respectively. If there exists an element  $\psi(\Phi, \phi) \in H^{\otimes n+1}$  with  $\psi(\Phi, \phi)\partial(\Phi, \phi) = \chi(\Phi, \phi)$ , which makes  $\partial(\Phi, \phi)$  and  $\Phi, \phi$  satisfy all equalities that one side of equalities is not a single item at least, similar to (1.1). Then call the pair  $(\Phi, \phi)$  a type II  $n$ -cocycle for  $H$  and denote it by  $(\Phi, \phi)_n^{\text{II}}$ . The cocycle  $(\Phi, \phi)_n^{\text{II}}$  is called counital, if both  $\Phi$  and  $\phi$  are counital.

There is a nature way to define type I  $n$ -cocycle  $(\Phi, \phi)_n^{\text{I}}$  similarly. If we require the type II  $n$ -cocycle  $(\Phi, \phi)_n^{\text{II}}$  for  $H$  to satisfy all transformations of (1.1), but each side of formulas must have one item at least, then the type II  $n$ -cocycle  $(\Phi, \phi)_n^{\text{II}}$  is called type I  $n$ -cocycle and denoted by  $(\Phi, \phi)_n^{\text{I}}$ .

We write  $\partial$ ,  $\psi$ , and  $\chi$  briefly for  $\partial(\Phi, \phi)$ ,  $\psi(\Phi, \phi)$ , and  $\chi(\Phi, \phi)$  without confusions, respectively. To clarify a new definition above, we give simple examples on a fake bialgebra  $H$ . In the following, we discuss type II  $n$ -cocycles  $(\Phi, \phi)_n^{\text{II}}$  only.

*Example 2.3.* Cocycle  $(\Phi, \phi)_1^{\text{II}}$  means both  $\Phi$  and  $\phi$  are in  $H$ , obeying that

$$\partial \Delta_1 \Phi = \Delta_0 \Phi \Delta_2 \Phi, \quad \Delta_0 \phi \partial = \Delta_2 \Phi \Delta_1 \phi.\tag{2.2}$$

And there is  $\psi \in H^{\otimes 2}$  such that

$$\Delta_2 \phi \Delta_0 \phi = \Delta_1 \phi \psi, \quad \Delta_1 \Phi \Delta_2 \phi = \psi \Delta_0 \Phi,\tag{2.3}$$

where  $\partial = \Delta_0 \Phi \Delta_2 \Phi \Delta_1 \phi$ .

*Example 2.4.* Cocycle  $(\Phi, \phi)_3^{\text{II}}$  and  $\partial = \Delta_0 \Phi \Delta_2 \Phi \Delta_4 \Phi \Delta_1 \phi \Delta_3 \phi$ ,  $\psi \in H^{\otimes 4}$ , where  $\Phi, \phi \in H^{\otimes 3}$ , satisfy that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial \Delta_3 \Phi \Delta_1 \Phi &= \Delta_0 \Phi \Delta_2 \Phi \Delta_4 \Phi, & \partial \Delta_3 \Phi \Delta_1 \Phi \Delta_4 \phi &= \Delta_0 \Phi \Delta_2 \Phi, \\ \partial \Delta_3 \Phi &= \Delta_0 \Phi \Delta_2 \Phi \Delta_4 \Phi \Delta_1 \phi, & (\Delta_0 \phi) \partial \Delta_3 \Phi \Delta_1 \Phi &= \Delta_2 \Phi \Delta_4 \Phi, \text{ etc.}, \\ \Delta_3 \Phi \Delta_1 \Phi &= \psi \Delta_0 \Phi \Delta_2 \Phi \Delta_4 \Phi, & \Delta_3 \Phi \Delta_1 \Phi \Delta_4 \phi &= \psi \Delta_0 \Phi \Delta_2 \Phi, \\ \Delta_3 \Phi \Delta_1 \Phi \Delta_4 \phi \Delta_2 \phi &= \psi \Delta_0 \Phi, & \Delta_1 \Phi \Delta_4 \phi \Delta_2 \phi &= (\Delta_3 \phi) \psi \Delta_0 \Phi, \text{ etc.}\end{aligned}\tag{2.4}$$

Observing examples, we can see that  $\partial$  and  $\Phi$  are replaced by  $\psi$  and  $\phi$ , respectively, after moving to a corresponding place in the other side of equations, and vice versa. Obviously,  $n$ -cocycle  $\theta$  must be a type II 2-cocycle  $(\theta, \theta^{-1})_n^{\text{II}}$  and  $\partial(\theta, \theta^{-1}) = \psi(\theta, \theta^{-1}) = 1$ .

*Example 2.5.* Let  $A$  be an associative algebra with an idempotent  $q \in A$  over a field  $k$ . Define  $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$  by  $\Delta(a) = a \otimes a$  and  $\epsilon : A \rightarrow k$  by  $\epsilon(a) = 0_k$ , for all  $a \in A$ . It is clear that  $A$  is a fake bialgebra. We set  $\Phi = \phi = q$  and  $\psi = q \otimes q$ , then  $\partial = \chi = q \otimes q$ . It is easy to check that  $(\Phi, \phi)$  is a type II 1-cocycle.

**Proposition 2.6.** Let  $(\Phi, \phi)_n^{\text{II}}$  be a cocycle for a fake bialgebra  $H$ , and denote

$$\begin{aligned}\partial &= (\Delta_0\Phi\Delta_2\Phi\cdots\Delta_s\Phi)(\Delta_1\phi\Delta_3\phi\cdots\Delta_t\phi), \\ \chi &= (\Delta_t\Phi\Delta_{t-2}\Phi\cdots\Delta_1\Phi)(\Delta_s\phi\Delta_{s-2}\phi\cdots\Delta_0\phi),\end{aligned}\tag{2.5}$$

where integers  $s$  and  $t$  in  $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  are max even number and max odd number, respectively. Then one has the following.

- (1)  $\chi = \psi\Delta_0(\Phi\phi) = \Delta_t(\Phi\phi)\psi = (\Delta_t\Phi\Delta_{t-2}\Phi\cdots\Delta_1\Phi)(\Delta_1\phi\Delta_3\phi\cdots\Delta_t\phi) = \chi\partial = \chi^2$ , and  $\partial = \Delta_0(\Phi\phi)\partial = \partial\Delta_t(\Phi\phi) = (\Delta_0\Phi\Delta_2\Phi\cdots\Delta_s\Phi)(\Delta_s\phi\Delta_{s-2}\phi\cdots\Delta_0\phi) = \partial\chi = \partial^2$ .
- (2) If  $\partial$  is commutative with  $\chi$ , then  $\chi = \partial$ . Especially, if either  $\partial$  or  $\chi$  is zero, then the other one is zero too. On the other hand, if either of elements  $\partial$  and  $\chi$  is not zero, then the rest elements in set  $\{\partial, \chi, \psi\}$  are not zero.
- (3) If  $\Delta_0(\Phi\phi) - 1$  is a left unit and  $\Phi$  is not a right zero divisor, then  $\partial = \chi = \psi = 0$ .
- (4) If  $\Phi$  has a right inverse  $\Phi_R^{-1}$ , so do  $\partial$ ,  $\psi$ , and  $\chi$ . Similarly, if  $\phi$  has a left inverse  $\phi_L^{-1}$ , so do  $\partial$ ,  $\psi$ , and  $\chi$ .

*Proof.* (1) We obtain that  $\chi = \Delta_t(\Phi\phi)\psi$  by

$$(\Delta_t\phi)\psi = \Delta_{t-2}\Phi\cdots\Delta_3\Phi\Delta_1\Phi\Delta_s\phi\cdots\Delta_2\phi\Delta_0\phi\tag{2.6}$$

and  $\chi = \psi\Delta_0(\Phi\phi)$  by

$$\psi\Delta_0\Phi = \Delta_t\Phi\cdots\Delta_3\Phi\Delta_1\Phi\Delta_s\phi\cdots\Delta_4\phi\Delta_2\phi,\tag{2.7}$$

since  $\Delta_i$  is a homomorphism. And

$$\chi = \psi\partial = (\psi\Delta_0\Phi\cdots\Delta_s\Phi)\Delta_1\phi\cdots\Delta_t\phi = (\Delta_t\Phi\cdots\Delta_1\Phi)(\Delta_1\phi\cdots\Delta_t\phi).\tag{2.8}$$

Analogously, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_0(\Phi\phi)\partial &= \Delta_0\Phi((\Delta_0\phi)\partial) = \Delta_0\Phi(\Delta_2\Phi\cdots\Delta_s\Phi\Delta_1\phi\cdots\Delta_t\phi) = \partial, \\ \partial\Delta_t(\Phi\phi) &= (\partial\Delta_t\Phi)\Delta_t\phi = (\Delta_0\Phi\cdots\Delta_s\Phi\Delta_1\phi\cdots\Delta_{t-2}\phi)\Delta_t\phi = \partial.\end{aligned}\tag{2.9}$$

Then, we get easily that  $\chi = \psi\partial = \psi\Delta_0(\Phi\phi)\partial = \chi\partial$  and

$$\begin{aligned}\partial\chi &= (\partial\Delta_t\Phi\Delta_{t-2}\Phi\cdots\Delta_1\Phi)\Delta_1\phi\cdots\Delta_{t-2}\phi\Delta_t\phi \\ &= \Delta_0\Phi\Delta_2\Phi\cdots\Delta_s\Phi\Delta_1\phi\cdots\Delta_{t-2}\phi\Delta_t\phi = \partial.\end{aligned}\tag{2.10}$$

Finally, there is the equality that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial &= \partial\chi = (\partial\Delta_t\Phi\Delta_{t-2}\Phi\cdots\Delta_1\Phi)(\Delta_s\phi\Delta_{s-2}\phi\cdots\Delta_0\phi) \\ &= (\Delta_0\Phi\Delta_2\Phi\cdots\Delta_s\Phi)(\Delta_s\phi\Delta_{s-2}\phi\cdots\Delta_0\phi).\end{aligned}\tag{2.11}$$

We, last, compute that

$$\partial^2 = (\partial\chi)\partial = \partial(\chi\partial) = \partial\chi = \partial, \quad \chi^2 = (\chi\partial)\chi = \chi(\partial\chi) = \chi\partial = \chi. \quad (2.12)$$

Therefore  $\partial$  and  $\chi$  are idempotent.

(2) Obviously, we get this by statement (1). Let  $\partial \neq 0$  and assume  $\chi = 0$ , from the previous part, that yields to  $\partial = 0$  contradicting  $\partial \neq 0$ . Therefore  $\chi$  must be zero, and  $\psi = 0$  for the same reason.

(3) The equality  $(\Delta_0(\Phi\phi) - 1)\partial = 0$  suggests that  $\partial = 0$ , and then  $\chi = 0$ . It is clear that  $\Delta_0\Phi \cdots \Delta_s\Phi = 0$  because  $\partial\Delta_t\Phi \cdots \Delta_1\Phi = \Delta_0\Phi \cdots \Delta_s\Phi$ . In addition,  $\Delta_t\Phi \cdots \Delta_3\Phi\Delta_1\Phi = \psi\Delta_0\Phi\Delta_2\Phi \cdots \Delta_s\Phi = 0$  implies that

$$\psi\Delta_0\Phi = \Delta_t\Phi \cdots \Delta_3\Phi\Delta_1\Phi\Delta_s\phi \cdots \Delta_4\phi\Delta_2\phi = 0. \quad (2.13)$$

As a result, we have  $\psi = 0$  if  $\Phi$  is not a right zero divisor.

(4) It is easy to obtain that  $\partial\Delta_t\Phi \cdots \Delta_3\Phi\Delta_1\Phi\Delta_s\Phi_R^{-1} \cdots \Delta_2\Phi_R^{-1}\Delta_0\Phi_R^{-1} = 1$  and  $\psi\Delta_0\Phi \cdots \Delta_s\Phi\Delta_1\Phi_R^{-1} \cdots \Delta_t\Phi_R^{-1} = 1$  as  $\partial\Delta_t\Phi \cdots \Delta_1\Phi = \Delta_0\Phi \cdots \Delta_s\Phi$  and  $\psi\Delta_0\Phi \cdots \Delta_s\Phi = \Delta_t\Phi \cdots \Delta_1\Phi$ , respectively. But then  $\chi = \psi\partial$  and  $\chi$  has a right inverse. Likewise, we can prove the rest part.  $\square$

Furthermore, if  $\partial$  or  $\chi$  has a one-side inverse, it makes sense that  $\partial = \chi = \psi = 1$  since both  $\partial$  and  $\chi$  are idempotent. We also have that  $\Phi\phi = 1$  which indicates  $\Phi$  is a left unit and  $\phi$  a right unit, by  $\Delta_0(\Phi\phi)\partial = \partial$  if  $\partial = 1$ . Hence  $\partial$  and  $\chi$  cannot be anything but the identity element if one of them is a one-side unit.

**Corollary 2.7.** *The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1)  $\Phi$  has a right inverse.
- (2)  $\partial = \chi = \psi = 1$ .
- (3)  $\phi$  has a left inverse.
- (4)  $\partial$  is a one-side unit.
- (5)  $\chi$  is a one-side unit.
- (6)  $\psi$  has a left inverse.

*Proof.* (Sketch of Proof).

Check by (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5)  $\Rightarrow$  (6)  $\Rightarrow$  (1).  $\square$

Equality  $(\Delta_0(\Phi\phi) - 1)\partial = 0$  suggests that classification of  $\partial$  is divided into three types. The first type  $\partial = 0$ , and the second  $\partial = 1$  if  $\Delta_0(\Phi\phi) = 1$ , that is,  $\Phi\phi = 1$ . The last one is that  $\partial$  is a right zero divisor.

**Example 2.8.** In algebra  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  over the integer ring  $\mathbb{Z}$ , we define  $\Delta : \mathbb{Z}_6 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_6 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_6$  given by  $\Delta\bar{3} = \bar{3} \otimes \bar{3}$  and  $\Delta\bar{x} = \bar{x} \otimes \bar{3}$  for any  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_6 - \{\bar{3}\}$ , and  $\epsilon : \mathbb{Z}_6 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  by  $\epsilon(\bar{y}) = y$  for all  $\bar{y} \in \mathbb{Z}_6$  such that  $(\mathbb{Z}_6, \Delta, \epsilon)$  is a fake bialgebra. Set  $\Phi = \phi = \bar{3} \in \mathbb{Z}_6$  such that  $\partial = \bar{3} \otimes \bar{3}$ . The product of any two elements in the set  $\{\Delta_i\Phi, \partial\}$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2$ ) equals  $\bar{3} \otimes \bar{3}$ , obviously. We also set  $\psi = \partial$ ; then, it is easy to prove that  $(\Phi, \phi)_1^{\text{II}}$  is a cocycle and a right zero-divisor  $\partial$ .

**Proposition 2.9.** *Let  $H$  be a fake bialgebra with counital law of  $\epsilon$ . If  $\Phi, \phi \in H$  and  $\epsilon(\Phi) = 1$  ( $\epsilon(\phi) = 1$ , resp.), then  $\partial(\Phi, \phi)$  ( $\chi(\Phi, \phi)$ , resp.) is counital if and only if  $\Phi\phi = 1$  ( $\phi\Phi = 1$ , resp.).*

*Proof.* Since that  $\partial(\Phi, \phi) = \Delta_0\Phi\Delta_2\Phi\Delta_1\phi = (\Phi \otimes \Phi)\Delta\phi$ , we have  $\epsilon_i\partial(\Phi, \phi) = \epsilon_i(\Phi \otimes \Phi)\epsilon_i\Delta\phi = \epsilon(\Phi)\Phi\phi = \Phi\phi$  rendering that  $\epsilon_i\partial(\Phi, \phi) = 1$  if and only if  $\Phi\phi = 1$ , where  $i = 1, 2$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.10.** *Let  $H$  be a fake bialgebra with coassociative law of  $\Delta$  and there are elements  $\Phi, \phi$  in  $H$ . If  $\partial(\Phi, \phi)$  obeys  $\partial\Delta_1\Phi = \Delta_0\Phi\Delta_2\Phi$ , then  $\Delta_3\partial\Delta_1\partial = \Delta_0\partial\Delta_2\partial$ . Especially,  $\partial$  is a 2-cocycle if  $\partial$  is invertible if  $H$  is a bialgebra.*

*Proof.* To obtain the result, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_3\partial\Delta_1\partial &= (\partial \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes id)\partial = (\partial \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes id)((\Phi \otimes \Phi)\Delta_1\phi) \\
 &= (\partial \otimes 1)(\Delta\Phi \otimes \Phi)(\Delta_1\Delta_1\phi) = (\partial \otimes 1)(\Delta\Phi \otimes \Phi)(\Delta_2\Delta_1\phi) \\
 &= (\partial\Delta\Phi \otimes \Phi)(\Delta_2\Delta_1\phi) = (\Phi \otimes \Phi \otimes \Phi)\Delta_2\Delta_1\phi \\
 &= (1 \otimes \partial)(\Phi \otimes \Delta\Phi)\Delta_2\Delta_1\phi = (1 \otimes \partial)\Delta_2(\Phi \otimes \Phi)\Delta_2\Delta_1\phi \\
 &= \Delta_0\partial\Delta_2\partial.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

$\square$

We have known that  $\theta^\gamma = (\gamma \otimes \gamma)\theta\Delta\gamma^{-1}$  is cohomologous to  $\theta$  for a bialgebra  $H$  if  $\theta$  is a counital 2-cocycle, which was mentioned by Majid in [1]. Let  $H$  be a bialgebra,  $\Phi, \phi \in H$  and cocycle  $(\sigma, \delta)_2^\Pi$  for  $H$ . Denote that  $\sigma^{(\Phi, \phi)} = \Delta_0\Phi\Delta_2\Phi\sigma\delta\sigma\Delta_1\phi$  and  $\delta^{(\Phi, \phi)} = \Delta_1\Phi\delta\sigma\delta\Delta_2\phi\Delta_0\phi$ . Then we have the following.

**Proposition 2.11.** *If equality  $\partial(\sigma, \delta)\Delta_2\delta\Delta_0\delta\Delta_3\sigma = \Delta_1\delta$  holds and  $\partial(\sigma, \delta)$  is a commutative element in set  $\{\Delta_1\sigma, \Delta_3\delta, \Delta_3\sigma\}$ , and  $1 \otimes \Delta(\phi\Phi) (= \Delta(\phi\Phi) \otimes 1)$  commutes with any element in set  $\{\Delta_1\sigma, \Delta_1\delta, \Delta_2\sigma, \Delta_2\delta\}$  as well, then*

$$\Delta_0\sigma^{(\Phi, \phi)}\Delta_2\sigma^{(\Phi, \phi)} = \Delta_3\sigma^{(\Phi, \phi)}\Delta_1\sigma^{(\Phi, \phi)}. \tag{2.15}$$

*Proof.* A long equality showed that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_0\sigma^{(\Phi, \phi)}\Delta_2\sigma^{(\Phi, \phi)} &= (1 \otimes (\Phi \otimes \Phi)\sigma\delta\sigma\Delta\phi)(id \otimes \Delta)(\sigma\delta\sigma\Delta\phi) \\
 &= (\Phi \otimes \Phi \otimes \Phi)\Delta_0(\sigma\delta\sigma)\Delta_0\Delta_1\phi\Delta_2\Delta_0\Phi\Delta_2(\sigma\delta\sigma)\Delta_2\Delta_1\phi \\
 &= (\Phi \otimes \Phi \otimes \Phi)\Delta_0(\sigma\delta\sigma)\Delta_2\Delta_0(\phi\Phi)\Delta_2(\sigma\delta\sigma)\Delta_1\Delta_1\phi \\
 &= (\Phi \otimes \Phi \otimes \Phi)\Delta_0(\sigma\delta\sigma)\Delta_2(\sigma\delta\sigma)\Delta_1\Delta_2(\phi\Phi)\Delta_1\Delta_1\phi \\
 &= (\Phi \otimes \Phi \otimes \Phi)\Delta_0(\sigma\delta)\Delta_0\sigma\Delta_2\sigma\Delta_2\delta\Delta_2\sigma\Delta_1\Delta_2(\phi\Phi)\Delta_1\Delta_1\phi \\
 &= (\Phi \otimes \Phi \otimes \Phi)\Delta_0(\sigma\delta)\partial(\sigma, \delta)\Delta_3\sigma\Delta_1\sigma\Delta_2\delta\Delta_2\sigma\Delta_1\Delta_2(\phi\Phi)\Delta_1\Delta_1\phi \\
 &= (\Phi \otimes \Phi \otimes \Phi)\partial(\sigma, \delta)\Delta_3\sigma\Delta_3\delta\psi(\sigma, \delta)\Delta_0\sigma\Delta_2\sigma\Delta_1\Delta_2(\phi\Phi)\Delta_1\Delta_1\phi
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (\Phi \otimes \Phi \otimes \Phi) \Delta_3(\sigma\delta) \partial(\sigma, \delta) \psi(\sigma, \delta) \partial(\sigma, \delta) \Delta_3 \sigma \Delta_1 \sigma \Delta_1 \Delta_2(\phi\Phi) \Delta_1 \Delta_1 \phi \\
&= (\Phi \otimes \Phi \otimes \Phi) \Delta_3(\sigma\delta) \partial(\sigma, \delta) \Delta_3 \sigma \Delta_1 \sigma \Delta_2 \delta \Delta_0 \delta \Delta_3 \sigma \Delta_1 \sigma \Delta_1 \Delta_2(\phi\Phi) \Delta_1 \Delta_1 \phi \\
&= (\Phi \otimes \Phi \otimes \Phi) \Delta_3(\sigma\delta\sigma) \Delta_1 \sigma \partial(\sigma, \delta) \Delta_2 \delta \Delta_0 \delta \Delta_3 \sigma \Delta_1 \sigma \Delta_1 \Delta_2(\phi\Phi) \Delta_1 \Delta_1 \phi \\
&= (\Phi \otimes \Phi \otimes \Phi) \Delta_3(\sigma\delta\sigma) \Delta_1(\sigma\delta\sigma) \Delta_1 \Delta_2(\phi\Phi) \Delta_1 \Delta_1 \phi \\
&= (\Phi \otimes \Phi \otimes \Phi)(\sigma\delta\sigma \otimes 1)(\Delta(\phi\Phi) \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes id)(\sigma\delta\sigma \Delta\phi) \\
&= ((\Phi \otimes \Phi)\sigma\delta\sigma \Delta\phi \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes id)((\Phi \otimes \Phi)\sigma\delta\sigma \Delta\phi) \\
&= \Delta_3 \sigma^{(\Phi, \phi)} \Delta_1 \sigma^{(\Phi, \phi)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

□

There exists a similar version for  $\delta(\Phi, \phi)$ , namely, the following preposition.

**Proposition 2.12.** *If there is the equation  $\Delta_1 \delta \Delta_3 \delta \psi(\sigma, \delta) \Delta_0 \sigma = \Delta_2 \delta$  and  $\partial(\sigma, \delta)$  commutes with any element in set  $\{\Delta_0 \sigma, \Delta_0 \delta\}$ , and  $1 \otimes \Delta(\phi\Phi) (= \Delta(\phi\Phi) \otimes 1)$  is a commutative element in set  $\{\Delta_0 \sigma, \Delta_0 \delta, \Delta_3 \sigma, \Delta_3 \delta\}$  as well, then*

$$\Delta_1 \delta^{(\Phi, \phi)} \Delta_3 \delta^{(\Phi, \phi)} = \Delta_0 \delta^{(\Phi, \phi)} \Delta_2 \delta^{(\Phi, \phi)}. \tag{2.17}$$

**Proposition 2.13.** *Let  $H$  be a bialgebra and  $(\Phi, \phi)_2^H$  a counital cocycle for  $H$ , and define  $\Delta_{(\Phi, \phi)}(h) = \Phi\phi\Phi\Delta(h)\phi\Phi\phi$  for all  $h \in H$ , then the algebra  $H$  with original  $\epsilon$  and  $\Delta_{(\Phi, \phi)}$  consists a new coalgebra if  $\chi\Delta_3\Phi\Delta_1\Phi = \Delta_0\Phi\Delta_2\Phi$ . Moreover,  $\Delta_{(\Phi, \phi)}$  is an algebra map if  $(\phi\Phi)^3 = 1$ , then algebra  $H$  is a bialgebra with comultiplication  $\Delta_{(\Phi, \phi)}$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $(\epsilon \otimes id)\Delta_{(\Phi, \phi)}(h) = (id \otimes \epsilon)\Delta_{(\Phi, \phi)}(h) = h$ . So we only need to show the coassociative law of  $\Delta_{(\Phi, \phi)}$ . For all  $h \in H$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Delta_{(\Phi, \phi)} \otimes id)\Delta_{(\Phi, \phi)}(h) &= (\Delta_{(\Phi, \phi)} \otimes id)(\Phi\phi\Phi\Delta(h)\phi\Phi\phi) \\
&= \Delta_3(\Phi\phi\Phi) \Delta_1(\Phi\phi\Phi) \Delta_1 \Delta_1(h) \Delta_1(\phi\Phi\phi) \Delta_3(\phi\Phi\phi) \\
&= \Delta_3(\Phi\phi) \psi \Delta_0 \Phi \Delta_2 \Phi \Delta_1 \phi \Delta_1 \Phi \Delta_2 \Delta_1(h) \Delta_1 \phi \Delta_1 \Phi \Delta_2 \phi \Delta_0 \phi \partial \Delta_3(\Phi\phi) \\
&= \chi \partial \Delta_3 \Phi \Delta_1 \Phi \Delta_2 \Delta_1(h) \Delta_1 \phi \Delta_3 \phi \psi \partial \\
&= \chi \Delta_3 \Phi \Delta_1 \Phi \Delta_2 \Delta_1(h) \Delta_1 \phi \Delta_3 \phi \chi \\
&= \partial \Delta_3 \Phi \Delta_1 \Phi \Delta_2 \Delta_1(h) \Delta_2 \phi \Delta_0 \phi \partial \chi \\
&= \partial \psi \Delta_0 \Phi \Delta_2 \Phi \Delta_2 \Delta_1(h) \Delta_2 \phi \Delta_2 \Phi \Delta_1 \phi \Delta_3 \phi \chi \\
&= \Delta_0(\Phi\phi) \partial \Delta_3 \Phi \Delta_1 \Phi \Delta_2 \Phi \Delta_2 \Delta_1(h) \Delta_2 \phi \Delta_2 \Phi \Delta_1 \phi \Delta_3 \phi \psi \Delta_0(\Phi\phi)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \Delta_0(\Phi\phi)\Delta_0\Phi\Delta_2\Phi\Delta_2(\phi\Phi)\Delta_2\Delta_1(h)\Delta_2(\phi\Phi)\Delta_2\phi\Delta_0\phi\Delta_0(\Phi\phi) \\
&= \Delta_0(\Phi\phi\Phi)\Delta_2(\Phi\phi\Phi)\Delta_2\Delta_1(h)\Delta_2(\phi\Phi\phi)\Delta_0(\phi\Phi\phi) \\
&= \Delta_0(\Phi\phi\Phi)(id \otimes \Delta)(\Phi\phi\Phi\Delta(h)\phi\Phi\phi)\Delta_0(\phi\Phi\phi) \\
&= (id \otimes \Delta_{(\Phi,\phi)})\Delta_{(\Phi,\phi)}(h).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Finally, for any  $g \in H$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{(\Phi,\phi)}(hg) &= \Phi\phi\Phi\Delta(hg)\phi\Phi\phi \\
&= \Phi\phi\Phi\Delta(h)\phi\Phi\phi\Phi\phi\Delta(g)\phi\Phi\phi \\
&= \Delta_{(\Phi,\phi)}(h)\Delta_{(\Phi,\phi)}(g).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

□

*Definition 2.14.* Let  $(H, \Delta, \epsilon)$  be a fake bialgebra. If there exists a cocycle  $(\Phi, \phi)_3^{\text{II}}$  for  $H$  obeying that

$$\begin{aligned}
(id \otimes \Delta)\Delta(h)\Phi &= \Phi(\Delta \otimes id)\Delta(h), & \phi(id \otimes \Delta)\Delta(h) &= (\Delta \otimes id)\Delta(h)\phi, \\
(id \otimes \epsilon)\Delta(h) &= (\epsilon \otimes id)\Delta(h) = h,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

for all  $h \in H$ , then  $H$  is called a weak quasi-bialgebra.

*Example 2.15.* Let  $H$  be an associate algebra over field  $k$ , where the characteristic of  $k$  is not 2. And  $H$  is a 4-dimensional vector space with basis  $\{1, i, j, ij\}$  obeying that  $i^2 = i$ ,  $j^2 = j$ , and  $ij = ji$ . We define homomorphisms  $\Delta : H \rightarrow H \otimes H$  given by  $\Delta(i) = i \otimes j$ ,  $\Delta(j) = j \otimes 1$  and  $\epsilon : H \rightarrow k$  given by  $\epsilon(i) = \epsilon(j) = 0$ . Obviously,  $H$  is a fake bialgebra. Set  $\Phi = j \otimes j \otimes j$  and  $\psi = j \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes j$ , then  $(\Phi, \Phi)_3^{\text{II}}$  is a cocycle with holding  $\partial = \chi = j \otimes j \otimes j \otimes j$ . It is routine to check  $(H, \Delta, \epsilon, (\Phi, \Phi)_3^{\text{II}})$  is a weak quasi-bialgebra.

We relax Definition 1.3 by setting that  $H$  is a weak quasi-bialgebra so that we can define an algebra structure on  $A \otimes H$ , if  $A$  is a left  $H$ -module algebra and  $H$  a weak quasi-bialgebra, given by

$$(a\#h)(b\#g) = \sum (y^1 X^1 x^1 \cdot a) (y^2 X^2 x^2 h_1 \cdot b) \# y^3 X^3 x^3 h_2 g \tag{2.21}$$

for all  $a, b \in A$ ,  $h, g \in H$ , while  $a\#h$  is equal to  $a \otimes h$  here.

**Theorem 2.16.** Let  $H$  be a weak quasi-bialgebra and  $A$  a left  $H$ -module algebra. Then  $A\#H$  is an associative algebra under the multiplication mentioned above and  $1_A\#1_H$  is the unit.



*Proof.* For all  $a, b$ , and  $c \in A$  and  $h, g$ , and  $l \in H$ , we easily get that, by properties of  $\epsilon$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1\#1)(a\#h) &= (y^1 X^1 x^1 \cdot 1) (y^2 X^2 x^2 \cdot a) \# y^3 X^3 x^3 h \\
 &= \epsilon(y^1 X^1 x^1) (y^2 X^2 x^2 \cdot a) \# y^3 X^3 x^3 h = a\#h, \\
 (a\#h)(1\#1) &= (y^1 X^1 x^1 \cdot a) (y^2 X^2 x^2 h_1 \cdot 1) \# y^3 X^3 x^3 h_2 \\
 &= (y^1 X^1 x^1 \cdot a) \epsilon(y^2 X^2 x^2 h_1) \# y^3 X^3 x^3 h_2 = a\#h.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

Now we show the associative law:

$$\begin{aligned}
 [(a\#h)(b\#g)](c\#l) &= [(y^1 X^1 x^1 \cdot a) (y^2 X^2 x^2 h_1 \cdot b) \# y^3 X^3 x^3 h_2 g] (c\#l) \\
 &= (w^1 Y^1 z^1 \cdot (y^1 X^1 x^1 \cdot a) (y^2 X^2 x^2 h_1 \cdot b))
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\times (w^2 Y^2 z^2 (y^3 X^3 x^3 h_2 g)_1 \cdot c) \# w^3 Y^3 z^3 (y^3 X^3 x^3 h_2 g)_2 l \\
 &= ((w^1_1 Y^1_1 z^1_1 y^1 X^1 x^1 \cdot a) (w^1_2 Y^1_2 z^1_2 y^2 X^2 x^2 h_1 \cdot b)) \\
 &\times (w^2 Y^2 z^2 y^3_1 X^3_1 x^3_1 h_{21} g_1 \cdot c) \# w^3 Y^3 z^3 y^3_2 X^3_2 x^3_2 h_{22} g_2 l.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_1 \phi \Delta_1 \Phi \Delta_1 \phi \Delta_3 \phi \Delta_3 \Phi \Delta_3 \phi &= \Delta_1 \phi \Delta_1 \Phi \Delta_4 \phi \Delta_2 \phi (\Delta_0 \phi) \partial \Delta_3 \Phi \Delta_3 \phi \\
 &= \Delta_1 \phi \Delta_1 \Phi \Delta_4 \phi \Delta_2 \phi (\Delta_0 \phi) \partial \\
 &= \Delta_1 \phi (\Delta_3 \phi) \psi \partial,
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

and then we obtain that (2.23) is

$$\begin{aligned}
 &((z^1_1 x^1 \psi^1 \partial^1 \cdot a) (z^1_2 x^2 \psi^2 \partial^2 h_1 \cdot b)) (z^2 x^3_1 \psi^3 \partial^3 h_{21} g_1 \cdot c) \# z^3 x^3_2 \psi^4 \partial^4 h_{22} g_2 l \\
 &= (Y^1 z^1_1 x^1 \psi^1 \partial^1 \cdot a) ((Y^2 z^1_2 x^2 \psi^2 \partial^2 h_1 \cdot b) (Y^3 z^2 x^3_1 \psi^3 \partial^3 h_{21} g_1 \cdot c)) \# z^3 x^3_2 \psi^4 \partial^4 h_{22} g_2 l.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

On the other hand, the equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_4 \Phi \Delta_1 \phi (\Delta_3 \phi) \psi \partial &= \Delta_2 \phi (\Delta_0 \phi) \partial \psi \partial = \Delta_2 \phi (\Delta_0 \phi) \partial \chi \\
 &= \Delta_2 \phi (\Delta_0 \phi) \partial \psi \Delta_0 \Phi \Delta_0 \phi \\
 &= \Delta_2 \phi \Delta_2 \Phi \Delta_4 \Phi \Delta_1 \phi (\Delta_3 \phi) \psi \Delta_0 \Phi \Delta_0 \phi \\
 &= \Delta_2 \phi \Delta_2 \Phi \Delta_2 \phi \Delta_0 \phi \Delta_0 \Phi \Delta_0 \phi
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

makes (2.26) equal

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( w^1 Y^1 z^1 \cdot a \right) \left( \left( w^2_1 Y^2_1 z^2_1 y^1 X^1 x^1 h_1 \cdot b \right) \left( w^2_2 Y^2_2 z^2_2 y^2 X^2 x^2 h_{21} g_1 \cdot c \right) \right) \# w^3 Y^3 z^3 y^3 X^3 x^3 h_{22} g_2 l \\
&= \left( w^1 Y^1 z^1 \cdot a \right) \left( \left( w^2_1 Y^2_1 z^2_1 h_{11} y^1 X^1 x^1 \cdot b \right) \left( w^2_2 Y^2_2 z^2_2 h_{12} y^2 X^2 x^2 g_1 \cdot c \right) \right) \# w^3 Y^3 z^3 h_2 y^3 X^3 x^3 g_2 l \\
&= \left( w^1 Y^1 z^1 \cdot a \right) \left( w^2 Y^2 z^2 h_1 \cdot \left( \left( y^1 X^1 x^1 \cdot b \right) \left( y^2 X^2 x^2 g_1 \cdot c \right) \right) \right) \# w^3 Y^3 z^3 h_2 y^3 X^3 x^3 g_2 l \\
&= (a \# h) [(b \# g)(c \# l)].
\end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

Hence,  $[(a \# h)(b \# g)](c \# l) = (a \# h)[(b \# g)(c \# l)]$ .  $\square$

If  $\phi$  is an inverse of  $\Phi$ , then the multiplication becomes that

$$(a \# h)(b \# g) = \sum \left( x^1 \cdot a \right) \left( x^2 h_1 \cdot b \right) \# x^3 h_2 g, \tag{2.29}$$

which is as exact as the one in [3].

## Acknowledgments

The authors were supported by Guangxi Science Foundation (2011GXNSFA01844), the Scientific Research Foundation of Guangxi Educational Committee (200911MS145), and 2012 Guangxi New Century Higher Education Teaching Reform Project (2012JGA162). The authors would like to thank the referee for precious suggestions.

## References

- [1] S. Majid, *Foundations of Quantum Group Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1995.
- [2] V. G. Drinfel'd, "Quasi-Hopf algebras," *Leningrad Mathematical Journal*, vol. 1, no. 6, pp. 1419–1457, 1989.
- [3] D. Bulacu, F. Panaite, and F. Van Oystaeyen, "Quasi-Hopf algebra actions and smash products," *Communications in Algebra*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 631–651, 2000.

