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Genetic and Morphological Evidence Implies Existence of Two Sympatric Species in *Cyathopharynx furcifer* (Teleostei: Cichlidae) from Lake Tanganyika
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Although the cichlid fishes from Lake Tanganyika are treated as a textbook example of adaptive radiation, many taxonomic problems remain unresolved. *Cyathopharynx furcifer*, which belongs to the currently monospecific genus *Cyathopharynx*, contains two colour morphs at the southern end of the lake: one has a yellow anal fin, and the other has a black anal fin. Some books for hobbyists of ornamental fish treat these morphs as different species, but taxonomic studies have neither mentioned the existence nor addressed the status of these colour morphs. In the present paper, we analysed these two colour morphs using mitochondrial, microsatellite, morphometric, and meristic data sets. Both molecular and morphological data allowed clear discrimination between these morphs, suggesting the existence of two distinct sympatric species. Three taxonomic species have been described in this genus, and only *C. furcifer* is currently considered valid. Observations of type specimens of these three nominal species will be needed to determine the scientific names of these colour morphs.

1. Introduction

Lake Tanganyika is one of the ancient lakes of the East African Rift Valley. This lake harbours about 250 cichlid species, and 98% of these species are endemic to the lake [1]. These fish exhibit high morphological, behavioural, ecological, and genetical diversification, and are treated as a textbook example of adaptive radiation (e.g., [2–7]).

_Cyathopharynx_ Regan is one of the genera belonging to the endemic tribe Ectodini from Lake Tanganyika [8, 9]. This genus is morphologically well defined, namely, fish of this genus have small scales on the sides of the body (48–64 scales in longitudinal line), a lower pharyngeal bone with a rounded posterior margin, and in males, long pelvic fins. These morphological features are also found in some other genera of Ectodini [8], but only _Cyathopharynx_ has all of these features combined. A phylogenetic study based on mitochondrial DNA does not contradict the monophyly of _Cyathopharynx_ and shows that this genus nest within a monophyletic group including _Ophthalmitilapia_ Pellegrin and _Cardiopharynx_ Poll [10]. Three species have been described in _Cyathopharynx:_ _C. furcifer_ (Boulenger) (originally described as _Paratilapia furcifer_ in 1898 [11]), _C. foae_ (Vaillant) (originally described as _Ectodus foae_ in 1899 [12]), and _C. grandoculis_ (Boulenger) (originally described as _Tilapia grandoculis_ in 1899 [13]). The latter two nominal names are currently considered as junior synonyms of _C. furcifer_, and only _C. furcifer_ is considered valid in this genus [8, 14].

_Cyathopharynx furcifer_ is a common species in rocky shorelines of the lake and exhibits sexual dimorphism: males have a colourful, iridescent body, and elongated pelvic fins, whereas females are not colourful and their pelvic fins are moderate in length. This fish is a maternal mouth-brooder. Mature males build mating craters on the sandy lake bottom or on the flat surface of a large stone, to which they attract females. Females deposit eggs in the crater, and pick them up into their mouths before leaving the crater [15–17]. The function of the craters is not well known, but the size and neatness of craters may provide conspecifics with
information about the owner’s size, capability, and condition [17].

At Kasenga at the southern end of the lake, two colour morphs exist in males of *Cyathopharynx furcifer* (Figure 1). One morph has a bluish body, orange forehead, and a yellow anal fin (hereafter YA, which means yellow-anal-fin morph), while the other morph has a blackish body, orange cheeks, and a black anal fin (hereafter BA, which means black-anal-fin morph). No males with intermediate or mixed colour patterns between the morphs have been found. Some books for hobbyists of ornamental fish treat YA as *C. furcifer* because the body colouration of this morph accords with that of the type specimens of *C. furcifer*, and BA as *C. foae* (or *C. foai*) without any distinct reason [18]. However, taxonomic studies have neither mentioned the existence nor addressed the status of these sympatric colour morphs. In the present study, molecular and morphological analyses were conducted to test whether these sympatric morphs are different species.

2. Methods

2.1. Fish Samples. Fish were collected at Kasenga near Mpuungu, Zambia, at the southern end of Lake Tanganyika, with a screen net in November and December 2006. The right pectoral fins of the fish were fixed in 100% ethanol for DNA extraction. The bodies of the fish were fixed in 10% formalin and preserved in 50% isopropyl alcohol for morphological examination. The sex of the fish was determined from the shape of the genital papilla. Only large males with fully expressed body colour were used for molecular and morphological analyses in order to avoid misidentification of morphs (*N* = 32, 100.7–137.3 mm standard length (SL) in YA, *N* = 32, 121.5–138.8 mm SL in BA).

2.2. DNA Extraction and Amplification. Total DNA was extracted using an AquaPure Genomic DNA Kit (Bio-Rad). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was conducted using a PC 818 Program Temp Control System (Astec) for the amplification of the mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) and the microsatellite loci using the following programme: one cycle of 94°C for 2 min; 30 cycles of 94°C for 15 s, annealing temperature specific to each primer set for 15 s, 72°C for 30 s; one cycle of 72°C for 7 min.

A partial mtDNA sequence, including a portion of cyt b (1125 bp), was amplified with the primers H15915 [19] and L14724 [20] (annealing temperature 53°C). The PCR fragments of the mtDNA were purified using the ExoSAPIT enzyme mix (USB), directly sequenced with BigDye sequencing chemistry (Applied Biosystems), and analysed on an ABI 3130xl sequencer (Applied Biosystems). Sequences are available in the DNA Data Bank of Japan (DDBJ Accession no. AB691241–AB691304).

Five microsatellite loci were used for genotyping: GM264 [21], Pzeb4 [22], Ttem8 and Ttem9′ [23], and UNH2050 [24] (annealing temperature 55°C). Forward primers were labelled with fluorescent dye NED (GM264), HEX (Pzeb4, UNH2050), or 6-FAM (Ttem8, Ttem9′). The microsatellite loci were analysed on an ABI 3130xl Sequencer using internal size marker Genescan 400 HD (Applied Biosystems).

2.3. Analyses of Molecular Data. For the mtDNA sequences, a haplotype network was constructed from the maximum-likelihood (ML) and maximum parsimonious (MP) trees, which were translated into maximum parsimony branch lengths in PAUP* version 4.0b10 [25]. The ML tree was generated based on the HKY model selected by hierarchical likelihood ratio tests implemented in ModelTest 3.5 [26].

Departure from Hardy-Weinberg (HW) equilibrium for every microsatellite locus and linkage disequilibrium (LD) for all pairs of loci were tested within each of the two morphs using Arlequin version 3.11 [27] (100 000 steps in the Markov chain, 1000 dememorization steps in the HW test; 10 000 permutations in the LD test). Critical significance levels were corrected following the sequential Bonferroni procedure [28]. A Bayesian model-based clustering algorithm was implemented in Structure 2.3.3 [29] to test the assignment of *K* ancestors with admixture and independent allele frequency models (100 000 iterations were run after an initial burn-in period of 50 000 iterations). *K* was set from 1 to 5, and 10 independent runs were performed for each *K*. The value of *K* = 2 was chosen, which showed the highest Δ*K* [30].

Genetic differentiation between the morphs was assessed by analyses of molecular variance (AMOVA) for

![Figure 1: Two colour morphs of *Cyathopharynx furcifer*. (a) YA, male, 127.8 mm SL. (b) BA, male, 114.4 mm SL.](image)
both mtDNA and microsatellite data as implemented in GENALEX version 6.41 [31]. Genetic significance tests between morphs were conducted using 9999 permutations.

2.4. Morphological Data. Methods for measuring 13 morphometric characters (SL, body depth, length and width of head, snout length, eye length, interorbital width, lower jaw length, length and depth of caudal peduncle, dorsal fin base length, anal fin base length, and pelvic fin length) and counting 9 meristic characters (numbers of spines and soft rays in dorsal fin, number of anal fin soft rays, number of pectoral fin soft rays, number of scales in longitudinal line, numbers of scales on upper and lower lateral lines, number of gill rakers on lower limb of the most rostral gill-arch, and number of outer teeth on premaxillae) correspond with those of Snoeks [32], except for pelvic fin length, which was measured from the base to the tip of the longest ray. Measurements were taken to the nearest 0.1 mm using dividers or digital callipers under a binocular microscope. The last two soft rays of dorsal and anal fins were counted as two soft rays, although those are sometimes counted as one soft ray in noncichlid fishes (i.e., [33]).

2.5. Analyses of Morphological Data. The 13 morphometric characters were log_{10} transformed. Twelve morphometric characters except for SL were analysed by the multivariate analysis of covariance (MANCOVA) with SL as covariate. The nine meristic characters were analysed by the multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA, note that body size was not considered in this analysis because the meristic characters were not significantly correlated with SL: \( F_{9,55} = 0.601, P = 0.791 \)). When the significant differences were found in these analyses, the analyses of covariance (ANCOVAs) with log_{10} transformed SL as covariate for the 12 log_{10} transformed morphometric characters and the analyses of variance (ANOVAs) for the 9 meristic characters were carried out in order to suggest which character was different between morphs. Critical significance levels were corrected following the sequential Bonferroni procedure [28].

The linear discriminant analyses (LDAs) were carried out in order to visualize the degrees of morphological differences between morphs. In the LDA based on the morphometric characters, each measured value was standardized with SL using the following formula:

\[
Y_{ij}' = \log(Y_{ij}) - a_j \log(L_i),
\]

(1)

where \(Y_{ij}' \) and \(Y_{ij} \) are the standardized and raw values of character \( j \) of individual \( i \), respectively, \( a_j \) is the pooled regression coefficient of character \( j \) for the two morphs, and \( L_i \) is the SL of individual \( i \). The LDA for the meristic characters was conducted based on the raw data.

3. Results

3.1. Analyses of mtDNA Sequences. A total of 27 mtDNA haplotypes was obtained in the 64 individuals. Proportion of the variance of genetic diversity between the two morphs was significantly larger than zero (AMOVA: degree of freedom = 1, proportion of variance between the morphs = 0.089, \( P < 0.001 \)). The ML tree separated the 64 individuals into two clusters (Figure 2). One cluster consists of 31 out of the 32 individuals of YA (clade I), and the remaining 1 individual of YA and the 32 individuals of BA formed the other cluster (clade II). The separation of these two clusters was supported by a 94% bootstrap probability. One MP tree was obtained (CI = 0.976, RC = 0.966), which accorded with the ML tree in topology.

3.2. Analyses of Microsatellite Allele Frequencies. Based on the microsatellite data, no LD was found in any of the possible pairs among the five markers in the two morphs (likelihood ratio tests: \( P > 0.05 \) in 20 tests after sequential Bonferroni correction). Allele frequencies showed no significant departures from HW equilibrium (Table 1). Proportion of the variance of genetic diversity between the two morphs was significantly larger than zero (AMOVA: degree of freedom = 1, proportion of variance between the morphs = 0.190, \( P < 0.001 \)). A Bayesian population assignment test to the two groups indicated that the 32 individuals of YA and 1 individual of BA were clustered together, and the remaining 31 individuals of BA formed the other cluster (Figure 3). The BA individual that was clustered in YA group

![Figure 2: Unrooted haplotype network based on mtDNA sequences.](image-url)

![Figure 3: Bayesian population assignment test](image-url)
in the microsatellite data was included in the clade II of the mitochondrial tree (haplotype no. 19, Figure 2).

3.3. Analyses of Morphological Characters. The MANCOVA for morphometric characters and the MANOVA for meristic characters revealed significant morphological differences between colour morphs (Tables 2 and 3). The ANCOVAs for morphometric characters and the ANOVAs for meristic characters revealed that YA had significantly smaller head, smaller eyes, shorter pelvic fins, and smaller number of gill rakers than BA did, although the ranges of these characters largely overlapped between morphs (e.g., 14–16 gill rakers in YA, whereas 15–18 gill rakers in BA). In the LDAs (Figure 4), the morphometric characters more clearly discriminated the morphs (error rate was 0.0%) than the meristic characters did (error rate was 10.9%).

4. Discussion

The present genetic analyses based on mtDNA sequences and microsatellites revealed that the gene flow is restricted between two colour morphs of *C. furcifer*. At Kasenga, males of these morphs build nests side by side on the lake bottom, and spatial and temporal barriers that would cause reproductive isolation between morphs are not found. Assortative mating by mate choice seems most likely to cause reproductive isolation between the morphs. These morphs were also different in morphological characters, supporting the idea that these morphs are distinct sympatric species. Some females have a yellowish anal fin and some other females have a blackish anal fin. These females may correspond to YA and BA, respectively. However, the colours of the anal fins of females are paler than those of large males, and it is difficult to determine the colours of the anal fins in some females. Molecular and morphological analyses will be useful to determine the morphs of females and small males, as the present data showed clear discrimination between the morphs in large males. In this study, one large male of YA and one large male of BA exhibited discrepancies in clustering between their mitochondrial and microsatellite data. This may have been caused by insufficient molecular data, by incomplete lineage sorting, or by hybridization.

---

**Table 1**: Details of microsatellite loci of the 72 large adults that are genotyped in the present study. ($H_o$: observed heterozygosity, $H_e$: expected heterozygosity, NS: $P > 0.05$ in a test of departure from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium after a sequential Bonferroni correction).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No. of alleles</th>
<th>$H_o$</th>
<th>$H_e$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM264</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.750NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pzeb4</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.750NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tem8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.656NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tem9’</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.875NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNH2050</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.469NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM264</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1.000NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pzeb4</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.750NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tem8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.750NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tem9’</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.906NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNH2050</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.781NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
between the morphs. In cichlid fish from Lake Tanganyika, incomplete lineage sorting is reported among tribes [34], and hybridization is reported between populations, between species, and between genera as a means by which rapid diversification can be achieved [35–42].

Boulenger published a description of _Cyathopharynx furcifer_ on December 1898 [43]. This is the first full description of this species, but not the original description. Boulenger published a synopsis of this full description on June 1898 [11]. This short synopsis is the original description of this species because it was published earlier than the full description [14], although only a few morphological features are described. According to the full description, two syntypes of this species from Kinyamkolo, close to the present sampling locality, Kasenga, have elongated pelvic fins, bluish dorsal part and white ventral part of the body, some yellow marbling on the postocular part of the head, and some yellow streaks on the dorsal and anal fins [43]. These features accord with those of large males of YA (Figure 1), as some books for hobbyists of ornamental fish pointed out [18].

Although taxonomic studies currently treat _Cyathopharynx foae_ and _C. grandoculis_ as junior synonyms of _C. furcifer_ [8, 14], some books for hobbyists of ornamental fish treat _C. foae_ and _C. grandoculis_ as a valid species that corresponds to BA, and _C. grandoculis_ as a junior synonym of _C. foae_ [18]. The taxonomic status of these two nominal species (_C. foae_ and _C. grandoculis_) has not been tested with taking sexual and developmental variations into account (e.g., [44]). The holotypes of these two nominal species appear to be small males or females, as indicated by the small body size in _C. foae_ (64 mm SL [12]) and short pelvic fins in _C. grandoculis_ [13]. Morphological analyses, and if possible, molecular analyses, of type specimens of the three nominal species, and comparisons of these type specimens with nontype specimens of various body sizes, localities, and sexes will be needed to determine which nominal species corresponds to YA or BA, or possibly even to a yet undescribed species.
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