

Research Article

An Upper Bound for the Tensor Rank

E. Ballico

Department of Mathematics, University of Trento, 38123 Povo, Italy

Correspondence should be addressed to E. Ballico; ballico@science.unitn.it

Received 18 April 2013; Accepted 12 May 2013

Academic Editors: J. L. Cieřliński, J. Montaldi, and J. Porti

Copyright © 2013 E. Ballico. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Let T be a tensor of format $(m_1 + 1) \times \cdots \times (m_s + 1)$, $m_1 \geq \cdots \geq m_s > 0$, over \mathbb{C} . We prove that T has tensor rank at most $\prod_{i \neq 2} (m_i + 1)$.

1. Introduction

Fix integers $s \geq 2$ and $m_i > 0$, $1 \leq i \leq s$, and an algebraically closed base field \mathbb{K} . Let $T \in \otimes_{1 \leq i \leq s} \mathbb{K}^{m_i+1}$ be a tensor of format $(m_1 + 1) \times \cdots \times (m_s + 1)$ over \mathbb{K} . The tensor rank $r(T)$ of T is the minimal integer $x \geq 0$ such that $T = \sum_{i=1}^x v_{1,i} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{s,i}$ with $v_{j,i} \in \mathbb{K}^{m_j+1}$ (see [1–6]). Classical papers (e.g., [7]) continue to suggest new results (see [8]). Let $t(m_1, \dots, m_s)$ be the maximum of all integers $r(T)$, $T \in \otimes_{1 \leq i \leq s} \mathbb{K}^{m_i+1}$. In this paper we prove the following result.

Theorem 1. *For all integers $s \geq 2$ and $m_1 \geq \cdots \geq m_s > 0$ one has $t(m_1, \dots, m_s) \leq \prod_{i \neq 2} (m_i + 1)$.*

This result is not optimal. It is not sharp when $s = 2$, since $t(m_1, m_2) = m_2 + 1$ by elementary linear algebra. For large s the bound should be even worse. In our opinion to get stronger results one should split the set of all $(s; m_1, \dots, m_s)$ into subregions. For instance, we think that for large s the cases with $m_1 \gg m_2 \gg \cdots \gg m_s > 0$ and the cases with $m_1 = \cdots = m_s$ are quite different.

We make the definitions in the general setting of the Segre-Veronese embeddings of projective spaces (i.e., of partially symmetric tensors), but we only use the case of the usual Segre embedding, that is, the usual tensor rank. The tensor $T = 0$ has zero as its tensor rank. If $\lambda \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$, then the tensors T and λT have the same rank. Hence it is sufficient to study the function “tensor rank” on the projectivisation of the vector space $\otimes_{1 \leq i \leq s} \mathbb{K}^{m_i+1}$. We may translate the tensor rank and the integer $t(m_1, \dots, m_s)$ in the following language.

For each subset A of a projective space, let $\langle A \rangle$ denote the linear span of A . For each integral variety $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ and any $P \in \langle Y \rangle$ the Y -rank $r_Y(P)$ of P is the minimal cardinality of a finite set $A \subset Y$ such that $P \in \langle A \rangle$. Now assume $\langle Y \rangle = \mathbb{P}^n$. The maximal Y -rank ρ_Y is the maximum of all integers $r_Y(P)$, $P \in \mathbb{P}^n$. Fix integers $s > 0$, $m_i \geq 0$, $1 \leq i \leq s$, and $d_i > 0$, $1 \leq i \leq s$. Set $T(m_1, \dots, m_s) := \mathbb{P}^{m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{m_s}$. Let $\nu_{d_1, \dots, d_s} : T(m_1, \dots, m_s) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^r$, $r := -1 + \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} \binom{m_i + d_i}{m_i}$ be the Segre-Veronese embedding of multidegree (d_1, \dots, d_s) , that is, the embedding of $T(m_1, \dots, m_s)$ induced by the \mathbb{K} -vector space of all polynomials $f \in \mathbb{K}[x_{i,j}]$, $1 \leq i \leq s$, $0 \leq j \leq m_i$, whose nonzero monomials have degree d_i with respect to the variables $x_{i,j}$, $0 \leq j \leq m_i$. Set $T(m_1, \dots, m_s; d_1, \dots, d_s) := \nu_{d_1, \dots, d_s}(T(m_1, \dots, m_s))$. The variety $T(m_1, \dots, m_s; 1, \dots, 1)$ is the Segre embedding of $T(m_1, \dots, m_s)$. Fix $P \in \mathbb{P}^r$, $r := -1 + \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} (m_i + 1)$. Let $\{\lambda T\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}}$ be the set of all nonzero tensors of format $(m_1 + 1) \times \cdots \times (m_s + 1)$ associated with P . We have $r(T) = r_{T(m_1, \dots, m_s; 1, \dots, 1)}(P)$. Hence $t(m_1, \dots, m_s) = \rho_{T(m_1, \dots, m_s; 1, \dots, 1)}$. To prove Theorem 1 we refine the notion of Y -rank in the following way.

Definition 2. Fix positive integers s , m_i , $1 \leq i \leq s$, and d_i , $1 \leq i \leq s$. A small box of $T(m_1, \dots, m_s)$ is a closed set $L_1 \times \cdots \times L_s \subset T(m_1, \dots, m_s)$ with L_i being a hyperplane of \mathbb{P}^{m_i} for all i . A large box of $T(m_1, \dots, m_s)$ is a product $L_1 \times \cdots \times L_s \subset T(m_1, \dots, m_s)$ such that there is $j \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ with $L_j \subset \mathbb{P}^{m_j}$ being a hyperplane, while $L_i = \mathbb{P}^{m_i}$ for all $i \neq j$. A small polybox (resp., large polybox) of $T(m_1, \dots, m_s)$ is a finite union of small (resp., large) boxes of $T(m_1, \dots, m_s)$. A small box (resp., small polybox, resp., large box, resp., large

polybox) $B \subset T(m_1, \dots, m_s; d_1, \dots, d_s)$ is the image by ν_{d_1, \dots, d_s} of a small box (resp., small polybox, resp., large box, resp., large polybox) of $T(m_1, \dots, m_s)$.

Definition 3. Fix positive integers $s, m_i, 1 \leq i \leq s$, and $d_i, 1 \leq i \leq s$, and set $r := -1 + \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} \binom{m_i + d_i}{m_i}$. Fix $P \in \mathbb{P}^r$. The rank $r_{m_1, \dots, m_s; d_1, \dots, d_s}(P)$ of P is the minimal cardinality of a finite set $A \subset T(m_1, \dots, m_s; d_1, \dots, d_s)$ such that $P \in \langle A \rangle$. The unboxed rank (resp., small unboxed rank) $r'_{m_1, \dots, m_s; d_1, \dots, d_s}(P)$ (resp., $r''_{m_1, \dots, m_s; d_1, \dots, d_s}(P)$) of P is the minimal integer $t > 0$ such that for each large polybox (resp., small polybox) $B \subset T(m_1, \dots, m_s; d_1, \dots, d_s)$ there is a finite set $A \subset T(m_1, \dots, m_s; d_1, \dots, d_s) \setminus B$ with $P \in \langle A \rangle$ and $\#(A) = t$. Let $t(m_1, \dots, m_s; d_1, \dots, d_s)$ (resp., $t'(m_1, \dots, m_s; d_1, \dots, d_s)$, resp., $t''(m_1, \dots, m_s; d_1, \dots, d_s)$) be the maximum of all integers $r_{m_1, \dots, m_s; d_1, \dots, d_s}(P)$ (resp., $r'_{m_1, \dots, m_s; d_1, \dots, d_s}(P)$, resp., $r''_{m_1, \dots, m_s; d_1, \dots, d_s}(P)$), $P \in \mathbb{P}^r$.

Notice that $t(m_1, \dots, m_s) = t(m_1, \dots, m_s; 1, \dots, 1)$.

Since $r_{m_1, \dots, m_s; d_1, \dots, d_s}(P) \leq r''_{m_1, \dots, m_s; d_1, \dots, d_s}(P) \leq r'_{m_1, \dots, m_s; d_1, \dots, d_s}(P)$ for all P , we have $t(m_1, \dots, m_s; d_1, \dots, d_s) \leq t''(m_1, \dots, m_s; d_1, \dots, d_s)$. Hence Theorem 1 is an immediate corollary of the following result.

Theorem 4. For all integers $s \geq 2$ and $m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_s > 0$ one has $t''(m_1, \dots, m_s) \leq \prod_{i \neq 2} (m_i + 1)$.

We hope that the definitions of unboxed rank and small unboxed rank are interesting in themselves, not just as a tool. As far as we know the best upper bound for the symmetric tensor rank is due to Białynicki-Birula and Schinzel ([9, 10]). In [9] Białynicki-Birula and Schinzel used the corresponding notion in the case $s = 1$.

2. Proof of Theorem 4

Remark 5. Fix integers $s \geq 2$ and $m_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq s$. Fix $j \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ and let $\pi_j : T(m_1, \dots, m_s) \rightarrow T(m_1, \dots, m_{j-1}, m_{j+1}, \dots, m_s)$ be the projection. For any small polybox $B \subset T(m_1, \dots, m_s)$ the set $\pi_j(B)$ is a small polybox of the Segre variety $T(m_1, m_{j-1}, m_{j+1}, \dots, m_s)$.

In the case $s = 2$ we also need the following notation. Fix integers $m_1 \geq m_2 > 0$. For each $P \in \mathbb{P}^r, r = (m_1 + 1)(m_2 + 1) - 1$, let $\tilde{t}_{m_1, m_2}(P)$ be the minimal integer $t > 0$ with the following property: for each finite union $E \subset \mathbb{P}^{m_1}$ of hyperplanes there is a set $A \subset T(m_1, m_2) \setminus E \times \mathbb{P}^{m_2}$ such that $\#(A) = t$ and $P \in \langle \nu_{1,1}(A) \rangle$. Let $\tilde{t}(m_1, m_2)$ be the maximum of all integers $\tilde{t}_{m_1, m_2}(P), P \in \mathbb{P}^r$. Obviously $t(m_1, m_2) \leq t''(m_1, m_2) \leq \tilde{t}(m_1, m_2) \leq t'(m_1, m_2)$. Linear algebra gives $t(m_1, m_2) = 1 + \min\{m_1, m_2\} = m_2 + 1$.

Lemma 6. For all integers $m_1 \geq m_2 > 0$ one has $t''(m_1, m_2) \leq \tilde{t}(m_1, m_2) \leq m_1 + 1$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the inequality $\tilde{t}(m_1, m_2) \leq m_1 + 1$. Without losing generality we may assume $m_1 = m_2$. Set $m := m_1$ and $V := \mathbb{K}^{m+1}$. Fix $P \in \mathbb{P}^r, r = m^2 + 2m$, and a

union $E \subset \mathbb{P}^m$ of finitely many hyperplanes. Fix $v \in V \otimes V$ inducing P and $E' \subsetneq V$ inducing E . Fix a basis e_0, \dots, e_m of V such that $e_i \notin E'$ for all i . We may write $v = \sum_{i=0}^m e_i \otimes w_i$ for some $w_i \in V$. Hence $\tilde{t}_{m,m}(P) \leq m + 1$. \square

Proof of Theorem 4. Lemma 6 gives the case $s = 2$. Hence we may assume $s \geq 3$ and use induction on s . Fix $P \in \mathbb{P}^r$ and a small polybox $B \subset T(m_1, \dots, m_s; 1, \dots, 1)$. For a fixed integer s we also use induction on m_s , starting from the case $m_s = 0$ (in which we use $s - 1$ instead of s).

Take a general hyperplane $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{m_s}$. Set $T(m_1, \dots, m_s; s, L) := T(m_1, \dots, m_{s-1}) \times L \subset T(m_1, \dots, m_s)$, $E := \nu_{1, \dots, 1}(T(m_1, \dots, m_s; s, L))$, $F := \langle E \rangle$, and $R := -1 + \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s-1} (m_i + 1)$. We have $\dim(F) = -1 + m_s \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s-1} (m_i + 1)$. Let $\ell : \mathbb{P}^r \setminus F \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^R$ denote the linear projection from F . Notice that $F \cap T(m_1, \dots, m_s; 1, \dots, 1) = E$. If $m_s = 1$, then we have $E = T(m_1, \dots, m_{s-1}; 1, \dots, 1)$ and hence we use induction on s to apply Theorem 4 to E . If $m_s \geq 2$, then we use induction on m_s to apply Theorem 4 to E . Set $\ell' := \ell|_{T(m_1, \dots, m_s; 1, \dots, 1) \setminus E}$. Notice that ℓ' induces a surjection $\ell' : T(m_1, \dots, m_s; 1, \dots, 1) \setminus E \rightarrow T(m_1, \dots, m_{s-1}; 1, \dots, 1)$ (projection onto the first $s - 1$ factors). Let B_1 denote the closure of $\ell'(B \setminus B \cap E)$ in $T(m_1, \dots, m_{s-1}; 1, \dots, 1)$. Since B is a small polybox, B_1 is a small polybox (Remark 5). For general L we may also assume that $B \cap E$ is a small polybox of E . First assume $P \in E$. Since $B \cap E$ is a small polybox of E , the inductive assumption gives the existence of a set $A \subset E \setminus A \cap B$ such that $P \in \langle A \rangle$ and $\#(A) \leq m_s \times \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s-1, i \neq 2} (m_i + 1)$. Hence $r''_{T(m_1, \dots, m_s; 1, \dots, 1)}(P) < \prod_{i \neq 2} (m_i + 1)$. Now assume $P \notin F$. Hence $\ell(P)$ is defined. Since B_1 is a small polybox, there is $B \subset T(m_1, \dots, m_{s-1}; 1, \dots, 1) \setminus B_1$ such that $\ell(P) \in \langle B \rangle$ and $\#(B) \leq t''(m_1, \dots, m_{s-1}) \leq (m_1 + 1) \times \prod_{3 \leq i \leq s-1} (m_i + 1)$. Since ℓ' is surjective, there is $B_2 \subset E$ such that $\ell'(B_2) = B$. Since $B_2 \cap E = \emptyset$ and $F \cap T(m_1, \dots, m_s; 1, \dots, 1) = E$, we have $B_2 \cap F = \emptyset$. Hence ℓ is defined at each point of B_2 . Since $P \in \langle B \rangle$ and $\ell(B_2) = B$, there is $O \in F$ such that $P \in \langle \{O\} \cup B_2 \rangle$. Since $B \cap E$ is a small polybox, there is $B_3 \subset E \setminus B \cap E$ such that $O \in \langle B_3 \rangle$ and $\#(B_3) \leq t''(m_1, \dots, m_s - 1) \leq m_s \times \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s, i \neq 2} (m_i + 1)$. We have $P \in \langle B_2 \cup B_3 \rangle$ and $\#(B_2 \cup B_3) \leq \prod_{i \neq 2} (m_i + 1)$. \square

Acknowledgments

The author was partially supported by MIUR and GNSAGA of INdAM (Italy).

References

- [1] L. H. Lim and V. de Silva, “Tensor rank and the ill-posedness of the best low-rank approximation problem,” *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 1084–1127, 2008.
- [2] P. Comon, “Tensor decompositions: state of the art and applications,” in *Mathematics in Signal Processing, V (Coventry, 2000)*, vol. 71 of *Institute of Mathematics and its Applications Conference Series, New Series*, pp. 1–24, Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 2002.
- [3] T. G. Kolda and B. W. Bader, “Tensor decompositions and applications,” *SIAM Review*, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 455–500, 2009.

- [4] J. M. Landsberg, *Tensors: Geometry and Applications*, vol. 128 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 2012.
- [5] L. H. Lim and P. Comon, “Multiarray signal processing: tensor decomposition meets compressed sensing,” *Comptes Rendus Mecanique*, vol. 338, pp. 311–320, 2010.
- [6] E. Ballico, “An upper bound for the symmetric tensor rank of a polynomial in a large number of variables,” *Geometry*, vol. 2013, Article ID 715907, p. 2, 2013.
- [7] V. Strassen, “Rank and optimal computation of generic tensors,” *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, vol. 52-53, pp. 645–685, 1983.
- [8] C. Bocci, L. Chiantini, and G. Ottaviani, “Refined methods for the identifiability of tensors,” *Annali di Matematica Pura e Applicata*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1303.6915>.
- [9] A. Białynicki-Birula and A. Schinzel, “Representations of multivariate polynomials by sums of univariate polynomials in linear forms,” *Colloquium Mathematicum*, vol. 112, no. 2, pp. 201–233, 2008.
- [10] A. Białynicki-Birula and A. Schinzel, “Corrigendum to “representations of multivariate polynomials by sums of univariate polynomials in linear forms” (Colloq. Math. 112 (2008), 201–233) [MR2383331],” *Colloquium Mathematicum*, vol. 125, no. 1, p. 139, 2011.

