

Research Article

Class of Multivalent Analytic Functions Defined by a Linear Operator

B. A. Frasin

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Al al-Bayt University, P.O. Box 130095, Mafrqa, Jordan

Correspondence should be addressed to B. A. Frasin; bafrasin@yahoo.com

Received 14 August 2012; Accepted 27 September 2012

Academic Editor: Bao Qin Li

Copyright © 2013 B. A. Frasin. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Making use of the linear operator $J_p^m(\lambda, l)$ defined in (Prajapat, 2012), we introduce the class $\mathbb{B}_p^m(\lambda, l, \mu, \alpha)$ of analytic and p -valent functions in the open unit disk \mathcal{U} . Furthermore, we obtain some sufficient conditions for starlikeness and close-to-convexity and some angular properties for functions belonging to this class. Several corollaries and consequences of the main results are also considered.

1. Introduction and Definitions

Let $\mathcal{A}_p(n)$ denote the class of functions $f(z)$ of the form

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+n}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (p, n \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}), \quad (1)$$

which are analytic and p -valent in the open unit disk $\mathcal{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$. In particular, we set $\mathcal{A}_1(1) =: \mathcal{A}$. A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p(n)$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{S}_p^*(n, \alpha)$ of p -valently starlike of order α in \mathcal{U} if and only if it satisfies the inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \alpha, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}; 0 \leq \alpha < p). \quad (2)$$

Furthermore, a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p(n)$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{C}_p(n, \alpha)$ of p -valently close-to-convex of order α in \mathcal{U} if and only if it satisfies the inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{f'(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right) > \alpha, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}; \leq \alpha < p). \quad (3)$$

In particular, we write $\mathcal{S}_1^*(1, 0) =: \mathcal{S}^*$ and $\mathcal{C}_1(1, 0) =: \mathcal{C}$, where \mathcal{S}^* and \mathcal{C} are the usual subclasses of \mathcal{A} consisting of functions which are starlike and close-to-convex in \mathcal{U} , respectively.

In [1], Prajapat define a generalized multiplier transformation operator $J_p^m(\lambda, l)$ as follows:

$$J_p^{-m}(\lambda, l) f(z) = \frac{p+l}{\lambda} z^{p-(p+l)/\lambda} \times \int_0^z t^{(p+l)/\lambda-p-1} J_p^{-(m-1)}(\lambda, l) f(t) dt, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

⋮

$$J_p^{-2}(\lambda, l) f(z) = \frac{p+l}{\lambda} z^{p-(p+l)/\lambda} \times \int_0^z t^{(p+l)/\lambda-p-1} J_p^{-1}(\lambda, l) f(t) dt, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

$$J_p^{-1}(\lambda, l) f(z) = \frac{p+l}{\lambda} z^{p-(p+l)/\lambda} \int_0^z t^{(p+l)/\lambda-p-1} f(t) dt, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

$$J_p^0(\lambda, l) f(z) = f(z),$$

$$J_p^1(\lambda, l) f(z) = \frac{\lambda}{p+l} z^{1+p-(p+l)/\lambda} \left(z^{(p+l)/\lambda-p} f(z) \right)', \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_p^2(\lambda, l) f(z) &= \frac{\lambda}{p+l} z^{1+p-(p+l)/\lambda} \\
&\quad \times \left(z^{(p+l)/\lambda-p} J_p^1(\lambda, l) f(z) \right)', \\
&\quad (z \in \mathcal{U}), \\
&\quad \vdots \\
J_p^m(\lambda, l) f(z) &= \frac{\lambda}{p+l} z^{1+p-(p+l)/\lambda} \\
&\quad \times \left(z^{(p+l)/\lambda-p} J_p^{m-1}(\lambda, l) f(z) \right)', \\
&\quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

We see that for $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p(n)$, we have

$$J_p^m(\lambda, l) f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+n}^{\infty} \left(\frac{p+l+\lambda(k-p)}{p+l} \right)^m a_k z^k, \tag{5}$$

where $\lambda \geq 0, l > -p, p \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{Z} = \{0, \pm 1, \dots\}$ and $z \in \mathcal{U}$. It is readily verified from (5) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda z \left(J_p^m(\lambda, l) f(z) \right)' &= (l+p) J_p^{m+1}(\lambda, l) f(z) \\
&\quad - (l+p(1-\lambda)) J_p^m(\lambda, l) f(z), \\
&\quad (\lambda > 0),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda z \left(J_p^m(\lambda, l) f(z) \right)'' &= (l+p) J_p^{m+1}(\lambda, l) f'(z) \\
&\quad - (l+\lambda+p(1-\lambda)) J_p^m(\lambda, l) f'(z), \\
&\quad (\lambda > 0).
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

We observe that the operator $J_p^m(\lambda, l)$ generalize several previously studied familiar operators, and we will show some of the interesting particular cases as follows:

- (i) $J_p^m(\lambda, l) f(z) = I_p^m(\lambda, l) f(z)$ ($l \geq 0, m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$) (see [2]);
- (ii) $J_p^m(1, l) f(z) = I_p^m(m, l) f(z)$ ($l \geq 0, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$) (see [3, 4]);
- (iii) $J_p^m(1, 0) f(z) = D_p^m f(z)$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}_0$) (see [5–7]);
- (iv) $J_1^m(1, l) f(z) = I_l^m f(z)$ ($l \geq 0, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$) (see [8, 9]);
- (v) $J_1^m(1, 0) f(z) = D^m f(z)$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}_0$) (see [10]);
- (vi) $J_1^m(\lambda, 0) f(z) = D_\lambda^m f(z)$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}_0$) (see [11]);
- (vii) $J_1^m(1, 1) f(z) = \mathcal{D}^m f(z)$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}_0$) (see [12]);
- (viii) $J_p^n(\lambda, l) f(z) = J_p^n(\lambda, l) f(z)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}_0$) (see [13]).

(For other generalizations of the operator $J_p^m(\lambda, l)$, see [1]).

Making use of the above operator $J_p^m(\lambda, l)$, we introduce the class $\mathbb{B}_p^m(\lambda, l, \mu, \alpha)$ of analytic and p -valent functions defined as follows.

Definition 1. A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p(n)$ is said to be a member of the class $\mathbb{B}_p^m(n, \lambda, l, \mu, \alpha)$ if and only if

$$\left| \left(\frac{z^p}{J_p^m(\lambda, l) f(z)} \right)^{\mu-1} z^{1-p} \left(J_p^m(\lambda, l) f(z) \right)' - p \right| < p - \alpha, \tag{7}$$

($p \in \mathbb{N}$),

for some α ($0 \leq \alpha < p$), $\mu \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0, l > -p, p \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for all $z \in \mathcal{U}$.

Note that condition (7) implies that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\left(\frac{z^p}{J_p^m(\lambda, l) f(z)} \right)^{\mu-1} z^{1-p} \left(J_p^m(\lambda, l) f(z) \right)' \right) > \alpha. \tag{8}$$

We note that $\mathbb{B}_p^0(n, \lambda, l, \mu, \alpha) \equiv \mathbb{B}_p^1(n, 0, l, \mu, \alpha) \equiv \mathcal{B}(p, n, \mu, \alpha)$, the class which has been introduced and studied by the author in [14]. Also, we have $\mathbb{B}_p^0(n, \lambda, l, 2, \alpha) \equiv \mathcal{S}_p^*(n, \alpha)$, $\mathbb{B}_p^0(\lambda, l, 1, \alpha) \equiv \mathcal{C}_p(n, \alpha)$. The class $\mathbb{B}_p^0(1, \lambda, l, 3, \alpha) \equiv \mathcal{B}(\alpha)$ is the class which has been introduced and studied by Frasin and Darus [15] (see also [16, 17]).

In this paper, we obtain some sufficient conditions and some angular properties for functions belonging to the class $\mathbb{B}_p^m(n, \lambda, l, \mu, \alpha)$. Several corollaries and consequences of the main results are also considered.

In order to derive our main results, we have to recall the following lemmas.

Lemma 2 (see [18]). *Let $w(z)$ be analytic in \mathcal{U} and such that $w(0) = 0$. Then if $|w(z)|$ attains its maximum value on circle $|z| = r < 1$ at a point $z_0 \in \mathcal{U}$, one has*

$$z_0 w'(z_0) = k w(z_0), \tag{9}$$

where $k \geq 1$ is a real number.

Lemma 3 (see [19]). *Let Ω be a set in the complex plane \mathbb{C} and suppose that $\Phi(z)$ is a mapping from $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathcal{U}$ to \mathbb{C} which satisfies $\Phi(ix, y; z) \notin \Omega$ for $z \in \mathcal{U}$, and for all real x, y such that $y \leq -n(1+x^2)/2$. If the function $q(z) = 1 + q_n z^n + q_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots$ is analytic in \mathcal{U} such that $\Phi(q(z), z q'(z); z) \in \Omega$ for all $z \in \mathcal{U}$, then $\operatorname{Re} q(z) > 0$.*

Lemma 4 (see [20]). *Let $q(z)$ be analytic in \mathcal{U} with $q(0) = 1$ and $q(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in \mathcal{U}$. If there exist two points $z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{U}$ such that*

$$-\frac{\pi \alpha_1}{2} = \arg q(z_1) < \arg q(z) < \arg q(z_2) = \frac{\pi \alpha_2}{2} \tag{10}$$

for $\alpha_1 > 0, \alpha_2 > 0$, and for $|z| < |z_1| = |z_2|$, then we have

$$\frac{z_1 q'(z_1)}{q(z_1)} = -i \left(\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} \right) \beta, \quad \frac{z_2 q'(z_2)}{q(z_2)} = i \left(\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} \right) \beta, \tag{11}$$

where

$$\beta \geq \frac{1-|a|}{1+|a|}, \quad a = i \tan \frac{\pi}{4} \left(\frac{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \right). \tag{12}$$

2. Sufficient Conditions for Starlikeness and Close-to-Convexity

Unless otherwise mentioned, we shall assume in the remainder of this paper that

$$\mu, \gamma \geq 0; \quad \lambda > 0; \quad l > -p; \quad p, n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (13)$$

Making use of Lemma 2, we first prove the following.

Theorem 5. *If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p(n)$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{l+p}{\lambda} \left(\frac{J_p^{m+1}(\lambda, l) f'(z)}{J_p^m(\lambda, l) f'(z)} - (\mu-1) \frac{J_p^{m+1}(\lambda, l) f(z)}{J_p^m(\lambda, l) f(z)} + \mu-2 \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \gamma \left(\left(\frac{z^p}{J_p^m(\lambda, l) f(z)} \right)^{\mu-1} z^{1-p} (J_p^m(\lambda, l) f(z))' - p \right) \right| \\ & < \frac{(p-\alpha)(\gamma(2p-\alpha)+1)}{2p-\alpha}, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

for some α ($0 \leq \alpha < p$), then $f(z) \in \mathbb{B}_p^m(n, \lambda, l, \mu, \alpha)$.

Proof. Define the function $w(z)$ by

$$\left(\frac{z^p}{J_p^m(\lambda, l) f(z)} \right)^{\mu-1} z^{1-p} (J_p^m(\lambda, l) f(z))' = p + (p-\alpha)w(z). \quad (15)$$

Then $w(z)$ is analytic in \mathcal{U} and $w(0) = 0$. It follows from (15) and the identities (6) and (1.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{l+p}{\lambda} \left(\frac{J_p^{m+1}(\lambda, l) f'(z)}{J_p^m(\lambda, l) f'(z)} - (\mu-1) \frac{J_p^{m+1}(\lambda, l) f(z)}{J_p^m(\lambda, l) f(z)} + \mu-2 \right) \\ & \quad + \gamma \left(\left(\frac{z^p}{J_p^m(\lambda, l) f(z)} \right)^{\mu-1} z^{1-p} (J_p^m(\lambda, l) f(z))' - p \right) \\ & = \gamma(p-\alpha)w(z) + \frac{(p-\alpha)zw'(z)}{p+(p-\alpha)w(z)}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Suppose that there exists $z_0 \in \mathcal{U}$ such that

$$\max_{|z| < z_0} |w(z)| = |w(z_0)| = 1. \quad (17)$$

Then from Lemma 2, we have (9). Therefore, letting $w(z_0) = e^{i\theta}$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{l+p}{\lambda} \left(\frac{J_p^{m+1}(\lambda, l) f'(z_0)}{J_p^m(\lambda, l) f'(z_0)} - (\mu-1) \frac{J_p^{m+1}(\lambda, l) f(z_0)}{J_p^m(\lambda, l) f(z_0)} + \mu-2 \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \gamma \left(\left(\frac{z_0^p}{J_p^m(\lambda, l) f(z_0)} \right)^{\mu-1} z_0^{1-p} (J_p^m(\lambda, l) f(z_0))' - p \right) \right| \\ & = \left| \gamma(p-\alpha)w(z_0) + \frac{(p-\alpha)zw'(z_0)}{p+(p-\alpha)w(z_0)} \right| \\ & \geq \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \gamma(p-\alpha) + \frac{(p-\alpha)k}{p+(p-\alpha)w(z_0)} \right\} \\ & > \gamma(p-\alpha) + \frac{p-\alpha}{2p-\alpha} \\ & = \frac{(p-\alpha)(\gamma(2p-\alpha)+1)}{2p-\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Which contradicts our assumption (14). Therefore we have $|w(z)| < 1$ in \mathcal{U} . Finally, we have

$$\left| \left(\frac{z^p}{f(z)} \right)^{\mu-1} z^{1-p} f'(z) - p \right| = (p-\alpha)|w(z)| < p-\alpha \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}), \quad (19)$$

that is, $f(z) \in \mathbb{B}_p^m(n, \lambda, l, \mu, \alpha)$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Putting $m = 1 = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$ in Theorem 5, we obtain the following.

Corollary 6. *If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p(n)$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - p + (\mu-1) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \left(p - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) + \gamma \left(\left(\frac{z^p}{f(z)} \right)^{\mu-1} z^{1-p} f'(z) - p \right) \right| \\ & < \frac{(p-\alpha)(\gamma(2p-\alpha)+1)}{2p-\alpha}, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}), \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

for some α ($0 \leq \alpha < p$), then $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}(p, n, \mu, \alpha)$.

Putting $\mu = \lambda = 1$ and $m = 1 = 0$ in Theorem 5, one obtains the following.

Corollary 7. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p(n)$ satisfies

$$\left| 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - p + \gamma \left(z^{1-p} f'(z) - p \right) \right| < \frac{(p-\alpha)(\gamma(2p-\alpha)+1)}{2p-\alpha} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}), \quad (21)$$

for some α ($0 \leq \alpha < p$), then $f(z) \in \mathcal{C}_p(n, \alpha)$.

Letting $\gamma = p = n = 1$ in Corollary 7, one has

Corollary 8. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} + f'(z) - 1 \right| < \frac{(1-\alpha)(3-\alpha)}{2-\alpha} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}), \quad (22)$$

for some α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$), then $f(z) \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha)$. In particular, if $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} + f'(z) - 1 \right| < \frac{3}{2} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}), \quad (23)$$

then $f(z)$ is close-to-convex in \mathcal{U} .

Putting $\mu = 2$, $\lambda = 1$, and $l = 0$ in Theorem 5, one obtains the following.

Corollary 9. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p(n)$ satisfies

$$\left| 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \gamma \left(\left(\frac{z^p}{f(z)} \right) z^{1-p} (f'(z) - p) \right) \right| < \frac{(p-\alpha)(\gamma(2p-\alpha)+1)}{2p-\alpha}, \quad (24)$$

for some α ($0 \leq \alpha < p$), then $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(n, \alpha)$.

Putting $p = \gamma = n = 1$ in Corollary 9, one easily obtains the following result due to Owa [21].

Corollary 10. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| < \frac{(1-\alpha)(3-\alpha)}{2-\alpha}, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}), \quad (25)$$

for some α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$), then $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$. In particular, if $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| < \frac{3}{2} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}), \quad (26)$$

then $f(z)$ is starlike in \mathcal{U} .

Remark 11. We note that the results obtained by the author [14, Theorem 2.1, Corollaries 2.2–2.5] are not corrects. The correct results are given by Corollaries 6, 7, and 9.

Next we prove the following.

Theorem 12. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p(n)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \left[\left(\frac{z^p}{J_p^m(\lambda, l)f(z)} \right)^{\mu-1} z^{1-p} (J_p^m(\lambda, l)f(z))' \right] \right. \\ \left. \left(\left(\frac{z^p}{J_p^m(\lambda, l)f(z)} \right)^{\mu-1} z^{1-p} (J_p^m(\lambda, l)f(z))' + \frac{l+p}{\lambda} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \times \left(\frac{J_p^{m+1}(\lambda, l)f'(z)}{J_p^m(\lambda, l)f'(z)} - (\mu-1) \frac{J_p^{m+1}(\lambda, l)f(z)}{J_p^m(\lambda, l)f(z)} \right) \right) \right\} \\ > \delta \left(\frac{l(2-\mu)}{\lambda} + \delta + \frac{n}{2} \right) + p \left(\frac{\delta(2-\mu)}{\lambda} - \frac{n}{2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

then $f(z) \in \mathbb{B}_p^m(n, \lambda, l, \mu, \alpha)$, where $0 \leq \delta < p$.

Proof. Define the function $q(z)$ by

$$\left(\frac{z^p}{J_p^m(\lambda, l)f(z)} \right)^{\mu-1} z^{1-p} (J_p^m(\lambda, l)f(z))' = \delta + (p-\delta)q(z). \quad (28)$$

Then, we see that $q(z) = 1 + q_n z^n + q_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots$ is analytic in \mathcal{U} . A computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\left(\frac{z^p}{J_p^m(\lambda, l)f(z)} \right)^{\mu-1} z^{1-p} (J_p^m(\lambda, l)f(z))' \right] \\ & \left\{ \left(\frac{z^p}{J_p^m(\lambda, l)f(z)} \right)^{\mu-1} z^{1-p} (J_p^m(\lambda, l)f(z))' \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{l+p}{\lambda} \left(\frac{J_p^{m+1}(\lambda, l)f'(z)}{J_p^m(\lambda, l)f'(z)} - (\mu-1) \frac{J_p^{m+1}(\lambda, l)f(z)}{J_p^m(\lambda, l)f(z)} \right) \right\} \\ & = (p-\delta)zq'(z) + (p-\delta)^2 q^2(z) + (p-\delta)q(z) \\ & \quad \times \left(\frac{(l+p)(2-\mu)}{\lambda} + 2\delta \right) + \frac{\delta(l+p)(2-\mu)}{\lambda} + \delta^2 \\ & = \Phi(q(z), zq'(z); z), \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(r, s; t) \\ = (p-\delta)s + (p-\delta)^2 r^2 + (p-\delta)r \left(\frac{(l+p)(2-\mu)}{\lambda} + 2\delta \right) \\ + \frac{\delta(l+p)(2-\mu)}{\lambda} + \delta^2. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

For all real x, y satisfying $y \leq -n(1+x^2)/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \Phi(ix, y; z) &= (p-\delta)y - (p-\delta)^2 x^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\delta(l+p)(2-\mu)}{\lambda} + \delta^2 \\ &\leq -\frac{n}{2}(p-\delta) - (p-\delta) \left[\frac{n}{2} + p - \delta \right] x^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\delta(l+p)(2-\mu)}{\lambda} + \delta^2 \\ &\leq \frac{\delta(l+p)(2-\mu)}{\lambda} + \delta^2 - \frac{n}{2}(p-\delta) \\ &= \delta \left(\frac{l(2-\mu)}{\lambda} + \delta + \frac{n}{2} \right) + p \left(\frac{\delta(2-\mu)}{\lambda} - \frac{n}{2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Let $\Omega = \{w : \operatorname{Re} w > \delta(l(2-\mu)/\lambda + \delta + n/2) + p(\delta(2-\mu)/\lambda - n/2)\}$. Then $\Phi(q(z), zq'(z); z) \in \Omega$ and $\Phi(ix, y; z) \notin \Omega$ for all real x and $y \leq -n(1+x^2)/2$, $z \in \mathcal{U}$. By using Lemma 3, we have $\operatorname{Re} q(z) > 0$, that is, $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}_p^m(n, \lambda, l, \mu, \alpha)$. \square

Putting $\mu = \lambda = 1$ and $m = l = 0$ in Theorem 12, we have the following.

Corollary 13 (see [14]). *If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p(n)$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \left(z^{1-p} f'(z) \right)^2 + z^{1-p} f'(z) + z^{2-p} f''(z) \right\} \\ > \delta \left(\delta + \frac{n}{2} \right) + p \left(\delta - \frac{n}{2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

then $f(z) \in \mathcal{C}_p(n, \delta)$, where $0 \leq \delta < p$. In particular, if $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \left(f'(z) \right)^2 + f'(z) + z f''(z) \right\} > -\frac{1}{2} \quad (33)$$

then $f(z)$ is close-to-convex in \mathcal{U} .

Putting $m = l = 0$, $\lambda = 1$, and $\mu = 2$ in Theorem 12, one has the following.

Corollary 14 (see [14]). *If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p(n)$ satisfies*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{z^2 f''(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \delta \left(\delta + \frac{n}{2} \right) - \frac{n}{2} p, \quad (34)$$

then $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(n, \delta)$, where $0 \leq \delta < p$. In particular, if $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{z^2 f''(z)}{f(z)} \right) > -\frac{1}{2}. \quad (35)$$

then $f(z)$ is starlike in \mathcal{U} .

3. Argument Properties

Finally, we prove the following.

Theorem 15. *Suppose that*

$$\left(\frac{z^p}{J_p^m(\lambda, l) f(z)} \right)^{\mu-1} z^{1-p} (J_p^m(\lambda, l) f(z))' \neq \delta \quad (36)$$

for $z \in \mathcal{U}$ and $0 \leq \delta < p$. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p(n)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_1 - \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{1-|a|(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(p-\delta)}{1+|a|2\gamma} \right) \\ &< \arg \left\{ \left(\left(\frac{z^p}{J_p^m(\lambda, l) f(z)} \right)^{\mu-1} z^{1-p} (J_p^m(\lambda, l) f(z))' \right) \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\left[\frac{l+p}{\lambda} \left(\frac{J_p^{m+1}(\lambda, l) f'(z)}{J_p^m(\lambda, l) f'(z)} \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. - (\mu-1) \frac{J_p^{m+1}(\lambda, l) f(z)}{J_p^m(\lambda, l) f(z)} + \mu-2 \right) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{\gamma}{p-\delta} \right) - \frac{\gamma\delta}{p-\delta} \right\} \\ &< \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_2 + \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{1-|a|(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(p-\delta)}{1+|a|2\gamma} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

for $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \gamma > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_1 < \arg \left(\left(\frac{z^p}{J_p^m(\lambda, l) f(z)} \right)^{\mu-1} z^{1-p} (J_p^m(\lambda, l) f(z))' - \delta \right) \\ &< \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_2. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Proof. Define the function $q(z)$ by

$$q(z) = \frac{1}{p-\delta} \left(\left(\frac{z^p}{J_p^m(\lambda, l) f(z)} \right)^{\mu-1} z^{1-p} (J_p^m(\lambda, l) f(z))' - \delta \right). \quad (39)$$

Then we see that $q(z)$ analytic in \mathcal{U} , $q(0) = 1$, and $q(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in \mathcal{U}$. It follows from (39) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\left(\frac{z^p}{J_p^m(\lambda, l) f(z)} \right)^{\mu-1} z^{1-p} (J_p^m(\lambda, l) f(z))' \right) \\ &\cdot \left(\left[\frac{l+p}{\lambda} \left(\frac{J_p^{m+1}(\lambda, l) f'(z)}{J_p^m(\lambda, l) f'(z)} - (\mu-1) \frac{J_p^{m+1}(\lambda, l) f(z)}{J_p^m(\lambda, l) f(z)} \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. + \mu-2 \right) \right] + \frac{\gamma}{p-\delta} \right) - \frac{\gamma\delta}{p-\delta} \\ &= (p-\delta) z q'(z) + \gamma q(z). \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Suppose that there exists two points $z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{U}$ such that the condition (10) is satisfied, then by Lemma 4, we obtain (11) under the constraint (12). Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \arg(\gamma q(z_1) + (p - \delta) z q'(z_1)) \\
 &= \arg q(z_1) + \arg\left(\gamma + (p - \delta) \frac{z_1 q'(z_1)}{q(z_1)}\right) \\
 &= -\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_1 + \arg\left(\gamma - i \frac{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(p - \delta)}{2} \beta\right) \\
 &= -\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_1 - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(p - \delta)}{2\gamma} \beta\right) \quad (41) \\
 &\leq \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_1 - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{1 - |a|}{1 + |a|} \frac{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(p - \delta)}{2\gamma}\right), \\
 &\arg(\gamma q(z_2) + (p - \delta) z q'(z_2)) \geq \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_2 \\
 &+ \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{1 - |a|}{1 + |a|} \frac{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(p - \delta)}{2\gamma}\right),
 \end{aligned}$$

which contradict the assumption of the theorem. This completes the proof. \square

Putting $\mu = \lambda = 1$ and $m = l = 0$ in Theorem 15, one has the following.

Corollary 16 (see [14]). Suppose that $z^{1-p} f'(z) \neq \delta$ for $z \in \mathcal{U}$ and $0 \leq \delta < p$. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p(n)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_1 - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{1 - |a|}{1 + |a|} \frac{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(p - \delta)}{2\gamma}\right) \\
 & < \arg\left\{z^{1-p} f'(z) \left(1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} - p + \frac{\gamma}{p - \delta}\right) - \frac{\gamma \delta}{p - \delta}\right\} \\
 & < \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_2 + \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{1 - |a|}{1 + |a|} \frac{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(p - \delta)}{2\gamma}\right), \quad (42)
 \end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \gamma > 0$, then

$$-\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_1 < \arg(z^{1-p} f'(z) - \delta) < \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_2. \quad (43)$$

In particular, if $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \arg\left(z f''(z) + f'(z)(\gamma + 1) - \frac{z(f'(z))^2}{f(z)}\right) \right| \\
 & < \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha + \tan^{-1} \frac{\alpha}{\gamma}, \quad (44)
 \end{aligned}$$

for $\gamma > 0$, then

$$|\arg f'(z)| < \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha, \quad (0 < \alpha \leq 1). \quad (45)$$

Putting $\mu = 2$, $\lambda = 1$, and $l = 0$ in Theorem 15, one has the following.

Corollary 17 (see [14]). Suppose that $z f'(z)/f(z) \neq \delta$ for $z \in \mathcal{U}$ and $0 \leq \delta < p$. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p(n)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_1 - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{1 - |a|}{1 + |a|} \frac{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(p - \delta)}{2\gamma}\right) \\
 & < \arg\left\{\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \left(1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{\gamma}{p - \delta}\right) - \frac{\gamma \delta}{p - \delta}\right\} \\
 & < \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_2 + \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{1 - |a|}{1 + |a|} \frac{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(p - \delta)}{2\gamma}\right), \quad (46)
 \end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \gamma > 0$, then

$$-\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_1 < \arg\left(\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} - \delta\right) < \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_2. \quad (47)$$

In particular, if $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \arg\left(\frac{z^2 f''(z)}{f(z)} - \left(\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)}\right)^2 + \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)}(\gamma + 1)\right) \right| \\
 & < \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha + \tan^{-1} \frac{\alpha}{\gamma}, \quad (48)
 \end{aligned}$$

for $\gamma > 0$, then

$$\left| \arg \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha, \quad (0 < \alpha \leq 1). \quad (49)$$

Remark 18. Taking different choices of m, p, λ , and l in the above theorems, we obtain some sufficient conditions for starlikeness and close-to-convexity and some angular properties for functions belonging to new classes defined by the previously operators mentioned in Section 1.

References

- [1] J. K. Prajapat, "Subordination and superordination preserving properties for generalized multiplier transformation operator," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 55, no. 3-4, pp. 1456-1465, 2012.
- [2] A. Catas, "On certain classes of p -valent functions defined by multiplier transformations," in *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Geometric Function Theory and Applications (GFTA '07)*, S. Owa and Y. Polatoglu, Eds., vol. 91, pp. 241-250, TC Istanbul University Publications, TC Istanbul Kultur University, Istanbul, Turkey, August 2007.
- [3] S. S. Kumar, H. C. Taneja, and V. Ravichandran, "Classes multivalent functions defined by dziok-srivastava linear operator and multiplier transformations," *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, vol. 46, pp. 97-109, 2006.

- [4] H. M. Srivastava, K. Suchithra, B. A. Stephen, and S. Sivasubramanian, "Inclusion and neighborhood properties of certain subclasses of analytic and multivalent functions of complex order," *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 7, no. 5, article 191, 2006.
- [5] M. K. Aouf and A. O. Mostafa, "On a subclass of n - p -valent prestarlike functions," *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 55, no. 4, pp. 851–861, 2008.
- [6] M. Kamali and H. Orhan, "On a subclass of certain starlike functions with negative coefficients," *Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society*, vol. 41, pp. 53–71, 2004.
- [7] H. Orhan and H. Kiziltunç, "A generalization on subfamily of p -valent functions with negative coefficients," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 155, no. 2, pp. 521–530, 2004.
- [8] N. E. Cho and T. H. Kim, "Multiplier transformations and strongly close-to-convex functions," *Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society*, vol. 40, pp. 399–410, 2003.
- [9] N. E. Cho and H. M. Srivastava, "Argument estimates of certain analytic functions defined by a class of multiplier transformations," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 37, no. 1-2, pp. 39–49, 2003.
- [10] G. S. Sălăgean, "Subclasses of univalent functions," in *Complex Analysis—Fifth Romanian-Finnish Seminar*, vol. 1013 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pp. 362–372, Springer, Bucharest, Romania, 1981.
- [11] F. M. Al-Oboudi, "On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2004, no. 27, pp. 1429–1436, 2004.
- [12] B. A. Uralegaddi and C. Somanatha, "Certain classes of univalent functions," in *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*, H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa, Eds., pp. 371–374, World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, 1992.
- [13] M. K. Aouf, A. O. Mostafa, and R. El-Ashwah, "Sandwich theorems for p -valent functions defined by a certain integral operator," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 53, no. 9-10, pp. 1647–1653, 2011.
- [14] B. A. Frasin, "On certain classes of multivalent analytic functions," *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 6, no. 4, article 104, 2005.
- [15] B. A. Frasin and M. Darus, "On Certain analytic univalent function," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 305–310, 2001.
- [16] B. A. Frasin, "A note on certain analytic and univalent functions," *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 829–836, 2004.
- [17] B. A. Frasin and J. M. Jahangiri, "A new and comprehensive class of analytic functions," *Analele Universității din Oradea—Fascicola Matematică*, vol. 15, pp. 59–62, 2008.
- [18] I. S. Jack, "Functions starlike and convex of order α ," *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 469–474, 1971.
- [19] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, "Differential subordinations and inequalities in the complex plane," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 67, no. 2, pp. 199–211, 1987.
- [20] N. Takahashi and M. Nunokawa, "A certain connection between starlike and convex functions," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 563–565, 2003.
- [21] S. Owa, "Certain sufficient conditions for starlikeness and convexity of order α ," *Chinese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 19, pp. 55–60, 1991.

