

Research Article

Asymptotic Smoothing and Global Attractors for a Class of Nonlinear Evolution Equations

Yongqin Xie,¹ Zhufang He,² Chen Xi,² and Zheng Jun²

¹ School of Mathematics and Computing Science, Changsha University of Science and Technology, Changsha 410004, China ² Hunan Provincial Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Changsha, Hunan 410005, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Yongqin Xie; yqxie_11@163.com

Received 31 March 2013; Accepted 24 April 2013

Academic Editors: S. Liu and W. Shen

Copyright © 2013 Yongqin Xie et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We prove the asymptotic regularity of global solutions for a class of semilinear evolution equations in $H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$. Moreover, we study the long-time behavior of the solutions. It is proved that, under the natural assumptions, these equations possess the compact attractor \mathscr{A} which is bounded in $H^2(\Omega) \times H^2(\Omega)$, where the nonlinear term f satisfies a critical exponential growth condition.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we study the asymptotic regularity and the longtime behaviors of the solutions for the following semilinear evolution equations:

$$(|u_t|^{r-2}u_t)_t - \Delta u - \mu \Delta u_t - \Delta u_{tt} + f(u) = g, \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$u|_{t=0} = u_0, \quad u_t|_{t=0} = u_1 \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

$$(1)$$

where Ω is an open-bounded set of \mathbb{R}^3 with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$, $\mu > 0$, and *r* satisfies

$$3 \le r \le 6. \tag{2}$$

Equation (1), which appears as a class of nonlinear evolution equations as r = 2, is used to represent the propagation problems of lengthways wave in nonlinear elastic rods and ion-sonic of space transformation by weak nonlinear effect (see, for instance, [1-4]):

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u - \mu \Delta u_t - \Delta u_{tt} + f(u) = g, \qquad (3)$$

and as r = 3 (named the Karman equation) is used to represent the flow of condensability airs in the across velocity of sound district (see, for instance, [5])

$$u_t u_{tt} - u_{xx} = 0. (4)$$

In [6], the authors have discussed the existence of global solutions in $H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$ under the assumptions that the initial values are sufficiently small. In [7, 8], the authors have discussed the nonexistence of global week solutions for the following system:

$$P(u_t)_t + Au + Q(t, u_t) = f(u),$$
(5)

where *P*, *A*, and *Q* are nonlinear operators. As r = 2, in [9], the authors have discussed the long-time behaviors of solutions of (1) in $H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$; specifically in [10], the authors have discussed the long-time behaviors of solutions of (1) in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. However, an open question remains whether the global attractor regularizes in the critical case and as $3 \le r \le 6$, the long-time behaviors of solutions of (1) have not been considered completely up to now. In this paper, we try to discuss the problem.

In the study of the global attractor regularization, the critical nonlinearity exponent brings a difficulty. About the regularity of attractor for the strongly damped wave equations, for the subcritical case, the authors in [11] have proved that the global attractor is bounded in $H^2 \times H^1$. For the critical case, Pata and Zelik [12] have proved that the global attractor is bounded in $H^2 \times H^2$ when the nonlinearity $f(\cdot)$ satisfies $\liminf_{|s| \to \infty} (f'(s)/s) > -\lambda_1$, for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, and the authors also pointed out further that one can prove the regularity of the attractor when $f(\cdot)$ only satisfies the natural assumptions

(which have been realized recently in [13, 14]). A general way is to obtain higher regularity of the solutions than their initial values (see, for instance, [11, 12]). Then we can get the global attractor regularization. However, since (1) contains terms $(|u_t|^{r-2}u_t)_t$ (r > 2) and Δu_{tt} , they are essentially different from usual strongly damped wave equations and it is very difficult for us to obtain better regularity of the solutions of (1). Therefore, we must propose a new and more general way to study the smoothing of global attractors for (1).

In this paper, we will apply the techniques introduced in Zelik [15], Sun and Yang [13], and Yang and Sun [14] to overcome the difficulty due to the critical nonlinearity and establish the asymptotic regularity of solutions. Based on this regularity result, we obtain asymptotically compactness of the semigroup $\{S(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ in $H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$, and the existence of the compact attractor \mathscr{A} has been proved. Moreover the compact attractor \mathscr{A} is bounded in $H^2(\Omega) \times H^2(\Omega)$.

2. Functional Setting

In what follows, we give some notations which will be used throughout this paper. Let Ω be a bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^3 with a sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, $V = H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $H = L^2(\Omega)$ corresponding norms, $||u||_0 = (\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2)^{1/2}$, and $|u|_2 = (\int_{\Omega} |u|^2)^{1/2}$, respectively; the norms in $L^p(\Omega)$ ($3 \le p < \infty$) are denoted by $|u|_p = (\int_{\Omega} |u|^p)^{1/p}$. Let *A* be the (strictly) positive operator on $L^2(\Omega)$ defined by

$$A = -\Delta \quad \text{with domain } D(A) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega). \quad (6)$$

For the family of Hilbert spaces $D(A^{s/2}), s \in \mathbb{R}$, their inner products and norms are, respectively,

$$\left\langle \cdot, \cdot \right\rangle_{s} = \left\langle A^{s/2}, A^{s/2} \right\rangle, \qquad \left\| \cdot \right\|_{D(A^{s/2})} = \left| A^{s/2} \right|_{2}. \tag{7}$$

Then we have the continuous embedding

$$D(A^{s/2}) \hookrightarrow D(A^{r/2}) \quad \text{for any } s > r,$$

$$D(A^{s/2}) \hookrightarrow L^{6/(3-2s)}(\Omega) \quad \text{for any } s \in \left[0, \frac{3}{2}\right).$$
(8)

The product Hilbert spaces

$$E_s = D(A^{(1+s)/2}) \times D(A^{(1+s)/2}),$$
 (9)

endowed with the usual inner products and norms

$$\|(u,v)\|_{E_s}^2 = \left|A^{(1+s)/2}u\right|_2^2 + \left|A^{(1+s)/2}v\right|_2^2, \quad \forall (u,v) \in E_s.$$
(10)

Denote by *C* any positive constant, which may be different from line to line and even in the same line.

Throughout the paper we assume that the function $f \in \mathbb{C}^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$\left|f'\left(s\right)\right| \leq C\left(1+\left|s\right|^{4}\right), \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (11)

Also, let f admit the decomposition $f = f_0 + f_1$, where $f_0, f_1 \in \mathbb{C}^1(\mathbb{R})$, satisfying

$$\left|f_{0}\left(s\right)\right| \leq C\left(1+\left|s\right|^{5}\right), \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R},$$
(12)

$$f_0(s) s \ge 0, \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{13}$$

$$\left|f_{1}\left(s\right)\right| \leq C\left(1+\left|s\right|^{p}\right), \quad p < 5, \ \forall s \in \mathbb{R},$$
(14)

$$\limsup_{|s|\to\infty} \frac{f_1(s)}{s} > -\lambda_1, \tag{15}$$

where λ_1 is the first eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ with the Dirichlet boundary condition. Without loss of generality, we can think *p* is large enough, say, $p \ge 3$. Notice that by (13) and (15), there exists $\lambda < \lambda_1$, such that

$$f(s) s \ge f_1(s) s \ge -\lambda s^2 - C.$$
(16)

We denote by F the function

$$F(u) = \int_{\Omega} \int_0^u f(s) \, ds, \tag{17}$$

which is easily seen to satisfy the inequalities

$$F(u) \ge -\frac{1}{2}\lambda |u|_{2}^{2} - C,$$
 (18)

$$\int_{\Omega} f(u) \, u \ge F(u) - \frac{1}{2} \lambda |u|_{2}^{2} - C.$$
(19)

We will complete our task exploiting the transitivity property of exponential attraction [16, Theorem 5.1], which we recall below for the readers' convenience.

Lemma 1 (see [16]). Let $\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2$, and \mathcal{K}_3 be subsets of E_0 such that

$$dist_{E_{0}} \left(S\left(t\right) \mathscr{K}_{1}, \mathscr{K}_{2} \right) \leq L_{1} e^{-\nu_{1} t},$$

$$dist_{E_{0}} \left(S\left(t\right) \mathscr{K}_{2}, \mathscr{K}_{3} \right) \leq L_{2} e^{-\nu_{2} t},$$

$$(20)$$

for some $v_1, v_2 > 0$ and $L_1, L_2 \ge 0$. Assume also that for all $z_1, z_2 \in \bigcup_{t \ge 0} S(t) \mathscr{K}_i$ (j = 1, 2, 3) there holds

$$\left\|S(t) z_{1} - S(t) z_{2}\right\|_{E_{0}} \leq L_{0} e^{v_{0} t} \left\|z_{1} - z_{2}\right\|_{E_{0}},$$
(21)

for some $v_0 \ge 0$ and some $L_0 \ge 0$. Then it follows that

$$\operatorname{dist}_{E_{0}}\left(S\left(t\right)\mathscr{K}_{1},\mathscr{K}_{3}\right) \leq Le^{-\nu t},$$
(22)

where $v = v_1 v_2 / (v_0 + v_1 + v_2)$ and $L = L_0 L_1 + L_2$.

3. Global Solution in $H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$

Exploiting the dissipative conditions (16) and (18) and the standard energy estimates technique, it is easy to obtain the following result.

Lemma 2. Assume that

$$\|(u_0, u_1)\|_0 < R$$
 (23)

for some R > 0. Then one has the following estimates:

(i)
$$|u_t(t)|_r^r + ||u_t(t)||_0^2 + ||u(t)||_0^2 + \int_0^t ||u_t(s)||_0^2 ds \le \Lambda_1(R);$$

(ii) $||u_{tt}(t)||_0^2 + \int_0^t ||u_{tt}(s)||_0^2 ds \le \Lambda_2(R),$

for any t > 0; here $\Lambda_1(R)$ and $\Lambda_2(R)$ are constants, which are dependent of R.

Proof. Multiplying (1) by u_t , integrating in dx over Ω , and then integrating in dt on [0, t], we have

$$\left|u_{t}(t)\right|_{r}^{r}+\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}+\left\|u(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{t}(s)\right\|_{0}^{2}ds\leq\Lambda_{1}(R).$$
(24)

Multiplying (1) by u_{tt} and integrating by parts, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} (r-1) |u_t|^{r-2} |u_{tt}|^2 + ||u_{tt}||_0^2$$

$$\leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u| |\nabla u_{tt}| + \mu \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_t| |\nabla u_{tt}| + \int_{\Omega} |f(u)| |u_{tt}| + \int_{\Omega} |g(x)| |u_{tt}|.$$
(25)

By Holder's and Young's inequality associated with the Sobolev embedding theorem, we find that (25) implies that

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\| u_{tt} \right\|_{0}^{2} \le C \left\| u \right\|_{0}^{10} + 2\mu^{2} \left\| u_{t} \right\|_{0}^{2} + C \left| g\left(x \right) \right|_{2}^{2} + C \operatorname{mes}\left(\Omega \right),$$
(26)

where $mes(\Omega)$ denotes the measure of Ω .

Multiplying (1) by u_{tt} and integrating by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| u_{tt} \right\|_{0}^{2} + \frac{d}{dt} \left[\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla u_{t} + \mu \int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla u_{t} \right|^{2} \\ + \int_{\Omega} f\left(u \right) u_{t} + \int_{\Omega} g\left(x \right) u_{t} \right] & (27) \\ \leq \int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla u_{t} \right|^{2} + \int_{\Omega} f'\left(u \right) \left| u_{t} \right|^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining with (11), we are led to the following estimation:

$$\int_{\Omega} f'(u) |u_t|^2 \le C ||u||_0^4 ||u_t||_0^2.$$
(28)

Applying (i), estimation (ii) follows by denoting $\Lambda_2 = C(\Lambda_1 + |g(x)|_2^2 + \operatorname{mes}(\Omega)).$

Based on the estimations above, the existence and uniqueness of the global weak solutions for (1) with the initial conditions can be obtained by standard Faedo-Galerkin method, which we omit here (see examples in Evans [17]). \Box

Theorem 3. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded domain. The assumptions (11)–(19) hold. $u_0(x), u_1(x) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. $g(x) \in L^2(\Omega)$. Then (1) have such weak solutions u(x,t): for all T > 0

$$u, u_t, u_{tt}, \in L^{\infty}(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega));$$
 (29)

for all $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, $\left\langle \left(\left| u_t \right|^{r-2} u_t \right)_t - \Delta u - \mu \Delta u_t - \Delta u_{tt} + f(u) - g(x), \varphi \right\rangle$ $= 0, \quad a.e. \ t \in [0, T].$

Furthermore,

$$u|_{t=0} = u_0(x), \quad u_t|_{t=0} = u_1(x), \quad in \ H_0^1(\Omega).$$
 (31)

The weak solutions of (1) are unique and continuously dependent on initial conditions.

Lemma 4. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded domain. The assumptions (11)–(19) hold. Let $z_0^i(x) \in E_0$, i = 1, 2, be two initial values, $g(x) \in L^2(\Omega)$, and denote by z^i the two corresponding solutions to problem (1) in time interval I = [0, T]. Then the following estimate holds, for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\left\|z^{1}(t)-z^{2}(t)\right\|_{E_{0}}^{2} \leq Q\left(\left\|z_{0}^{1}\right\|_{E_{0}},\left\|z_{0}^{2}\right\|_{E_{0}}\right)e^{\alpha t}\left(\left\|z_{0}^{1}-z_{0}^{2}\right\|_{E_{0}}^{2}\right),\tag{32}$$

the constant α is only dependent on μ but independent of *T*, *t*, and $z_0^i(x)$ (*i* = 1, 2).

From Theorem 3 and Lemma 4, the initial boundary value problem (1) is equivalent to a continuous semigroup $\{S(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ defined by

$$S(t): E_0 \longrightarrow E_0, \qquad S(t): (u_0, u_1) \longmapsto (u, u_t).$$
 (33)

4. Bounded Absorbing Set

We now deal with the dissipative feature of the semigroup $\{S(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$. Namely, we show that the trajectories originating from any given bounded set eventually fall, uniformly in time, into a bounded absorbing set $B_0 \subset E_0$.

Theorem 5. $\{S(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ has a bounded absorbing set B_0 in E_0 ; that is, for any bounded subset $B \subset E_0$, there exists $T_0 = T_0(B)$ such that

$$S(t) B \subset B_0 \quad \forall t \ge T_0. \tag{34}$$

Proof. We set $v = u_t + \delta u$ and rewrite (1) as follows:

$$(|u_t|^{r-2}u_t)_t + (\mu - \delta) Av + Av_t + (1 - \mu\delta + \delta^2) Au = -f(u) + q(x),$$
(35)

and we take the L^2 inner product of (35) with v and set δ small enough and

$$E_{1}(t) = \frac{r-1}{r} |u_{t}|_{r}^{r} + \delta \int_{\Omega} |u_{t}|^{r-2} u_{t}u + \frac{1}{2} ||v||_{0}^{2}$$

+ $\frac{1}{2} (1 - \mu\delta + \delta^{2}) ||u||_{0}^{2} + F(u),$
$$E_{2}(t) = \delta (1 - \mu\delta + \delta^{2}) ||u||_{0}^{2} + (\mu - \delta) ||v||_{0}^{2}$$

+ $\delta \int_{\Omega} f(u) u + \delta |u_{t}|_{r}^{r} - \int_{\Omega} g(x) v,$ (36)

(30)

then we obtain

$$E_{1}(t) = -\int_{0}^{t} E_{2}(s) \, ds + 2\delta \int_{0}^{t} \left| u_{t}(s) \right|_{r}^{r} ds + E_{1}(0) \, . \tag{37}$$

Young's inequality gives that there exist positive constants C_1 , C_2 , and k_1 only dependent on Ω , δ such that

$$E_{1}(t) \geq C_{1}\left(\frac{r-1}{r}\left|u_{t}\right|_{r}^{r} + \|v\|_{0}^{2} + \tilde{\omega}\|u\|_{0}^{2}\right) - \frac{C_{1}}{\tilde{\omega}}\left|u_{t}\right|_{r}^{2(r-1)} - k_{1};$$

$$E_{2}(t) \geq C_{2}\left(\frac{r-1}{r}\left|u_{t}\right|_{r}^{r} + \|v\|_{0}^{2} + \tilde{\omega}\|u\|_{0}^{2}\right) - k_{2},$$
(38)

here $\varpi = 1 - \mu \delta + \delta^2 - (\lambda/\lambda_1) - (\delta \epsilon/\lambda_1) > 0$ as δ small enough and $k_2 = (|g|_2)$.

By Lemma 2, this shows that

$$\begin{split} C_{1}\left(\frac{r-1}{r}\left|u_{t}\right|_{r}^{r}+\left\|v\right\|_{0}^{2}+\widetilde{\omega}\left\|u\right\|_{0}^{2}\right)-k_{1}\\ &\leq -\int_{0}^{t}C_{2}\left(\frac{r-1}{r}\left|u_{t}\right|_{r}^{r}+\left\|v\right\|_{0}^{2}+\widetilde{\omega}\left\|u\right\|_{0}^{2}-\frac{k_{2}}{C_{2}}\right)\\ &+2\delta\int_{0}^{t}\left|u_{t}\left(s\right)\right|_{r}^{r}ds+C_{1}\frac{1}{\widetilde{\omega}}\left|u_{t}\right|_{r}^{2(r-1)}+E_{1}\left(0\right)\\ &\leq -\int_{0}^{t}C_{2}\left(\frac{r-1}{r}\left|u_{t}\right|_{r}^{r}+\left\|v\right\|_{0}^{2}+\widetilde{\omega}\left\|u\right\|_{0}^{2}-\frac{k_{2}}{C_{2}}\right)\\ &+\Lambda(R)^{(r-2)/r}\left(2\delta\int_{0}^{t}\left|u_{t}\left(s\right)\right|_{r}^{2}ds+C_{1}\frac{1}{\widetilde{\omega}}\left|u_{t}\right|_{r}^{r}\right)+E_{1}\left(0\right). \end{split}$$

$$(39)$$

Then we get

$$C_{1}\left(\frac{r-1}{r}\left|u_{t}\right|_{r}^{r}+\|v\|_{0}^{2}+\varpi\|u\|_{0}^{2}\right)-k_{1}$$

$$\leq-\int_{0}^{t}C_{2}\left(\frac{r-1}{r}\left|u_{t}\right|_{r}^{r}+\|v\|_{0}^{2}+\varpi\|u\|_{0}^{2}-\frac{k_{2}}{C_{2}}\right) \qquad (40)$$

$$+\theta\Lambda\left(R\right)+E_{1}\left(0\right),$$

for any $M > k_2/C_2$ and $\theta = \max\{2\delta, C_1/\omega\}$.

Hence, there exists $T_0 = T_0(B)$ such that

$$\frac{r-1}{r} |u_t(T_0)|_r^r + ||v(T_0)||_0^2 + \mathcal{O}||u(T_0)||_0^2 \le M.$$
(41)

According to Lemma 2, it follows that if $t \ge T_0(B)$, then there exists M > 0, such that

$$\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{r}^{r}+\left\|v\right\|_{0}^{2}+\left\|u\right\|_{0}^{2}\leq M,$$
(42)

which completes the proof of the desired results. \Box

Corollary 6. For any $B \in E_0$, there exists T = T(B), such that

$$\int_{s}^{t} \left(\left\| u_{t}\left(\tau\right) \right\|_{0}^{2} + \left\| u_{tt}\left(\tau\right) \right\|_{0}^{2} \right) d\tau + \left\| u_{t}\left(t\right) \right\|_{0}^{2} + \left\| u_{tt}\left(t\right) \right\|_{0}^{2} \le M_{1},$$
(43)

provided that $t \ge s \ge T$, where M_1 is a positive constant only dependent on C (given by (16) and (18)) and M (given by (42)).

Proof. Multiplying (1) by $u_t(t)$, u_{tt} , respectively, integrating in dx over Ω , and then integrating in dt on [s, t] and associated with Theorem 5 and Sobolev embedding $H_0^1 \hookrightarrow L^r$, we can get the conclusions above.

Hereafter, we always assume that for some $\delta > 0$ and $v = u_t + \delta u$,

$$B_0 = \{(u, v) \in E_0; \|u\| + \|v\| \le M\}$$
(44)

is the bounded absorbing set of $\{S(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ in E_0 obtained in Theorem 5.

5. Asymptotic Regularity of the Solutions

In this section, we will establish some a priori estimates about the solutions of (1), which are the basis of our analysis. Let u(x, t) be a unique weak solution of (1) corresponding to the initial data $z_0 = (u_0, u_1) \in H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$. We decompose uinto the sum

$$u(t) = v(t) + w(t),$$
 (45)

where v(t) and w(t) are the solutions to the problems

$$\left(\left| v_t \right|^{r-2} v_t \right)_t - \Delta v - \mu \Delta v_t - \Delta v_{tt} + f_0 (v) = 0,$$

$$v|_{\partial \Omega} = 0, \qquad (46)$$

$$v (0) = u_0, \qquad v_t (0) = u_1,$$

$$\left(\left| u_t \right|^{r-2} u_t - \left| v_t \right|^{r-2} v_t \right)_t - \Delta w - \mu \Delta w_t$$

$$- \Delta w_{tt} + f (u) - f_0 (v) = g,$$

$$w|_{\partial \Omega} = 0,$$

$$(47)$$

It is convenient to denote

$$z(t) = (u(t), u_t(t)), \qquad z_d(t) = (v(t), v_t(t)), z_c(t) = (w(t), w_t(t)).$$
(48)

 $w(0) = 0, \qquad w_t(0) = 0.$

Lemma 7. For any $R \ge 0$, there exist $M_2 = M_2(R) \ge 0$ and $k_0 > 0$, such that whenever $||z_0||_0 \le R$, it follows that

$$\left\| z_{d}\left(t\right) \right\|_{0}\leq M_{2}e^{-k_{0}t},\quad\forall t\in\mathbb{R}^{+},$$
(49)

the constant k_0 is independent of R and t.

Proof. Denoting $\xi = v_t + \delta v$ and repeating word by word Theorem 5, that applies to the present case with $z_d(t)$ in place of z(t) (with the further simplification that C = 0 for now $f_1 = 0, g = 0$). Set

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left\| \xi \right\|_{0}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \mu \delta + \delta^{2} \right) \left\| v \right\|_{0}^{2} + F_{0}(v) .$$
 (50)

Let $\delta \leq \min(\mu/2, 1/\mu)$ be small enough and $C_{\delta} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \max(1, 1 - \mu\delta + \delta^2) > 0$; then

$$E(t) \ge C_{\delta} \left(\|\nu\|_{0}^{2} + \|\xi\|_{0}^{2} \right).$$
(51)

Combining with (13) we get the differential inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(t) + \delta\left(1 - \mu\delta + \delta^{2}\right) \|v\|_{0}^{2} + (\mu - \delta) \|\xi\|_{0}^{2}
\leq (r - 1)\kappa_{r}^{2} |v_{t}|_{r}^{(r-2)} \|v_{tt}\|_{0} \|\xi\|_{0} - \delta \int_{\Omega} f_{0}(v)v
\leq \frac{(r - 1)^{2}\kappa_{r}^{4}}{2(\mu - \delta)} |v_{t}|_{r}^{2(r-2)} \|v_{tt}\|_{0}^{2} + \frac{\mu - \delta}{2} \|\xi\|_{0}^{2}
\leq C_{\mu} |v_{t}|_{r}^{2(r-3)} \|v_{tt}\|_{0}^{2} \left[\|\xi\|_{0}^{2} + \|v\|_{0}^{2}\right] + \frac{\mu - \delta}{2} \|\xi\|_{0}^{2},$$
(52)

where $C_{\mu} = (r-1)^2 \kappa_r^5 / 2(\mu - \delta)$ and κ_r is the Sobolev embedded constant $H_0^1 \hookrightarrow L^r$.

Multiplying (46) by $v_t(t)$, integrating in dx over Ω , and then integrating in $d\tau$ on [0, t],

$$\sup_{\|z_0\|_0 \le R} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} \|z_d(t)\|_0 < +\infty.$$
(53)

So we get

$$\int_{\Omega} F_0(v) \le C\left(|v|^2 + |v|_6^6\right) \le k \|v\|_0^2, \quad \forall t \ge 0,$$
 (54)

where $k = k(R) \ge 1$. By (50),

$$E(t) \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\xi\|_{0}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + k - \mu\delta + \delta^{2}\right) \|\nu\|_{0}^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + k - \mu\delta + \delta^{2}\right) \left(\|\xi\|_{0}^{2} + \|\nu\|_{0}^{2}\right),$$
(55)

and by the above

$$\delta\left(1 - \mu\delta + \delta^{2}\right) \|\nu\|_{0}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\mu - \delta\right) \|\xi\|_{0}^{2} \ge \alpha\left(\|\xi\|_{0}^{2} + \|\nu\|_{0}^{2}\right),$$
(56)

here $\alpha = \min\{\delta(1 - \mu\delta + \delta^2), (1/2)(\mu - \delta)\}$. Then there exists a constant $k_0 (= 2\alpha/(1 + k - \mu\delta + \delta^2))$ such that

$$k_{0}E(t) \leq \delta\left(1 - \mu\delta + \delta^{2}\right) \|v\|_{0}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\mu - \delta\right) \|\xi\|_{0}^{2}.$$
 (57)

Combining (51), (57), and Young's inequality, we are led to the differential inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(t) \le \left(-k_0 + \frac{C_{\mu}}{C_{\delta}}|v_t|_r^{2(r-3)} \|v_{tt}\|_0^2\right)E(t).$$
(58)

By Gronwall Lemma, we get

$$E(t) \le E(0) e^{-k_0 t} e^{\int_0^t (C_{\mu}/C_{\delta}) |v_t(s)|_r^{2(r-3)} \|v_{tt}(s)\|_0^2 ds} \quad \forall t \ge 0.$$
 (59)

Due to Lemma 2 and (46), then $||z_d(0)||_0 = ||z_0|| < R$; for any t > 0, there holds

$$E(t) \le E(0) e^{(C_{\mu}/C_{\delta})\Lambda_{1}^{2(r-3)/r}\Lambda_{2}} e^{-k_{0}t} \quad \forall t \ge 0.$$
 (60)

From (57) we get

$$E(0) \le \frac{\hat{\omega}}{\omega} \left\| z_0 \right\|_0^2, \tag{61}$$

where $\omega = \min\{\delta(1 - \mu\delta + \delta^2), (1/2)(\mu - \delta)\}$. Putting together (51), the proof is finished.

Lemma 8. For any $R \ge 0$, there exist $M_3 = M_3(R) \ge 0$ and $k_1 = k_1(R) > 0$, such that whenever $||z_0||_0 \le R$ and for any time $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and every μ , the solutions of (47) satisfy the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| z_{c}\left(t\right) \right\|_{\sigma}^{2} &= \left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} w\left(t\right) \right|_{2}^{2} + \left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} w_{t}\left(t\right) \right|_{2}^{2} \\ &\leq M_{3} \left(1 + \left|g\right|_{2}^{2} \right) e^{k_{1}t}. \end{aligned}$$
(62)

Proof. Due to Lemma 2, Theorem 5, and Corollary 6, there exists an increasing function $Q_1(\cdot)$ in $[0, +\infty)$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_t\|_r^r + \|v_t\|_r^r + \|u_{tt}\|_0^2 + \|v_{tt}\|_0^2 + \|u\|_0^2 + \|v\|_0^2 \\ &\leq Q_1(R), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+. \end{aligned}$$
(63)

Choosing

$$\sigma = \min\left\{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{5-p}{2}\right\} \tag{64}$$

and multiplying (47) by $A^{\sigma}w_t$, we are led to the identity

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left\|z_{c}\right\|_{\sigma}^{2}+\mu\left\|A^{(1+\sigma)/2}w_{t}\right\|^{2}$$

$$=-\left\langle\left(\left|u_{t}\right|^{r-2}u_{t}-\left|v_{t}\right|^{r-2}v_{t}\right)_{t},A^{\sigma}w_{t}\right\rangle$$

$$-\left\langle f\left(u\right)-f\left(v\right),A^{\sigma}w_{t}\right\rangle-\left\langle f_{1}\left(v\right),A^{\sigma}w_{t}\right\rangle+\left\langle g,A^{\sigma}w_{t}\right\rangle,$$
(65)

where

$$\left\|z_{c}\right\|_{\sigma}^{2} = \left|A^{(1+\sigma)/2}w\right|_{2}^{2} + \left|A^{(1+\sigma)/2}w_{t}\right|_{2}^{2}.$$
 (66)

Combining with $(r - 2)/(4 - 2\sigma) \le 1$ and (2), we have

$$\begin{split} \left\langle \left(\left| u_{t} \right|^{r-2} u_{t} - \left| v_{t} \right|^{r-2} v_{t} \right)_{t}, A^{\sigma} w_{t} \right\rangle \\ &\leq (r-1) \int_{\Omega} \left(\left| u_{t} \right|^{r-2} \left| u_{tt} \right| + \left| v_{t} \right|^{r-2} \left| v_{tt} \right| \right) \left| A^{\sigma} w_{t} \right| \\ &\leq C \left(\left| u_{t} \right|^{r-2}_{6(r-2)/(4-2\sigma)} \left| u_{tt} \right|_{6} + \left| v_{t} \right|^{r-2}_{6(r-2)/(4-2\sigma)} \left| v_{tt} \right|_{6} \right) \left| A^{\sigma} w_{t} \right|_{6/(1+2\sigma)} \\ &\leq C \left(\left\| u_{t} \right\|^{(r-2)}_{0} \left\| u_{tt} \right\|_{0} + \left\| v_{t} \right\|^{(r-2)}_{0} \left\| v_{tt} \right\|_{0} \right) \left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} w_{t} \right|_{2} \\ &\leq C Q_{1}(R)^{2(r-1)} + \frac{\mu}{4} \left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} w_{t} \right|_{2}^{2}. \end{split}$$

$$(67)$$

By virtue of (11), we get

$$- \left\langle f(u) - f(v), A^{\sigma} w_{t} \right\rangle$$

$$\leq C \int_{\Omega} \left(1 + |u|^{4} + |v|^{4} \right) |w| \left| A^{\sigma} w_{t} \right|$$

$$\leq C \left(1 + |u|_{6}^{4} + |v|_{6}^{4} \right) |w|_{6/(1-2\sigma)} \left| A^{\sigma} w_{t} \right|_{6/(1+2\sigma)} \qquad (68)$$

$$\leq C \left(1 + ||u||_{0}^{4} + ||v||_{0}^{4} \right) \left\| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} w_{t} \right\| \left\| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} w_{t} \right\|$$

$$\leq C \left(1 + Q_{1}(R)^{4} \right) \left\| z_{c} \right\|_{\sigma}^{2} + \frac{\mu}{4} \left\| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} w_{t} \right\|^{2}.$$

Since $p/(5 - 2\sigma) \le 1$, by (14) we deduce that

$$\begin{split} \left\langle f_{1}\left(\nu\right), A^{\sigma}w_{t}\right\rangle &\leq C \int_{\Omega}\left(1+|\nu|^{p}\right)\left|A^{\sigma}w_{t}\right| \\ &\leq C\left(1+|\nu|^{p}_{6p/(5-2\sigma)}\right)\left|A^{\sigma}w_{t}\right|_{6/(1+2\sigma)} \\ &\leq C\left(1+\|\nu\|^{p}_{0}\right)\left\|A^{(1+\sigma)/2}w_{t}\right\| \\ &\leq C\left(1+Q_{1}(R)^{p}\right)+\frac{\mu}{4}\left\|A^{(1+\sigma)/2}w_{t}\right\|^{2}. \end{split}$$
(69)

Finally,

$$\langle g, A^{\sigma} w_{t} \rangle \leq C \left\| A^{-1/2} g \right\| \left\| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} w_{t} \right\|$$

$$\leq C \left\| g \right\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\mu}{4} \left\| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} w_{t} \right\|^{2}.$$
 (70)

Plugging (67)–(70) into (65), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\| z_c \right\|_{\sigma}^2 \le C \left(1 + Q_1(R)^4 \right) \left\| z_c \right\|_{\sigma}^2 + C \left| g \right|_2^2 + Q_2(R), \quad (71)$$

where $Q_2(\cdot)$ is an increasing function in $[0, +\infty)$ and the Gronwall lemma entails, there exists a constant $M_3 = M_3(R)$ such that

$$\|z_{c}\|_{\sigma}^{2} \leq M_{3}(R)\left(1+|g|_{2}^{2}\right)e^{k_{1}t} \quad \forall t \in [0,T].$$
 (72)

The proof is finished.

Lemma 9. Let $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded domain. The assumptions (11)–(15) hold, and let $(u(t), u_t(t))$ be the solution of (1) corresponding to the initial data $z_0 = (u_0, u_1)$. Then, for any $\gamma > 0$, one can decompose u(t) as

$$u(t) = v_1(t) + w_1(t) \quad \forall t \ge 0,$$
 (73)

where $v_1(t)$ and $w_1(t)$ satisfy the following estimates: for all $t \ge 0$,

$$\int_{s}^{t} \|v_{1}(\tau)\|_{0}^{2} d\tau \leq \gamma (t-s) + C_{\gamma},$$
(74)

$$\left|A^{(1+\sigma)/2}w_{1}(t)\right|_{2}^{2} \leq K_{\gamma},$$
(75)

with the constants C_{ε} and K_{γ} depending on γ , $||z_0||_0$, and $|g|_2^2$ but both being independent of *t*.

Proof. From Theorem 5, we know that there exists a constant $M_{\|z_0\|_0}$ which depends only on the E_0 -bound of z_0 , such that

$$\sup_{t \ge 0} \left\| S(t) \, z_0 \right\|_0^2 \le M_{\| z_0 \|_0}. \tag{76}$$

Now, taking $T \ge (1/k_0) \ln(\beta E(0)/\varepsilon)$ and in every interval [mT, (m+1)T), m = 1, 2, ..., we set

$$v_1(t) = v(t), \qquad w_1(t) = w(t), \qquad (77)$$

where v(t) and w(t) are the solutions of (46) and (47), respectively, in the interval [(m - 1)T, (m + 1)T) with the initial data $(v((m - 1)T), v_t((m - 1)T)) = S(t)((m - 1)T)z_0$ and $(w((m - 1)T), w_t((m - 1)T)) = (0, 0, 0)$. And in interval [0, T), we set $v_1(t) = v(t)$ and $w_1(t) = w(t)$, where v(t)and w(t) are the solutions of (46) and (47), respectively, in the interval [0, T) with the initial data $(v(0), v_t(0)) = z_0$ and $(w(0), w_t(0)) = (0, 0)$.

Then from Lemma 7, we have

$$\int_{s}^{t} \|v_{1}(\tau)\|_{0}^{2} d\tau \leq \gamma (t-s) + \chi_{[0,T)} M_{2} \quad \forall 0 \leq s \leq t, \quad (78)$$

and from Lemma 8, we have

$$\left|A^{(1+\sigma)/2}w_{1}(t)\right|_{2}^{2} \le M_{3}(R)\left(1+\left|g\right|_{2}^{2}\right)e^{2k_{1}T} \quad \forall t \ge 0, \quad (79)$$

where $\chi_{[0,T)}$ is the characteristic function of set [0, T).

Remark 10. From the proof of Lemma 9, we observe that the decomposition $v_1(t)$ and $w_1(t)$ also satisfy further that

$$\|v_1(t)\|_0^2 \le M_0(R) \quad \forall t \ge 0.$$
 (80)

In what follows we begin to establish the asymptotic regularity of the solutions. Now we can claim the following result.

Lemma 11. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary, and assume that f satisfies (11)–(15); there exists constant \mathcal{F}_0 which depends only on the E_0 -bounds of $B(\subset E_0)$, such that for any $t \geq 0$ and $z_0 \in B$

$$\left\| z_{c}\left(t\right) \right\|_{\sigma}^{2} \leq \mathcal{J}_{0} \tag{81}$$

holds.

Proof. Let $\epsilon = \min(1/4, \mu/2)$ and multiplying (47) by $A^{\sigma}(w_t(t) + \epsilon w(t))$, we get that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\left(1 + \mu \epsilon \right) \left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} w \right|_{2}^{2} + \left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} w_{t} \right|_{2}^{2} + 2 \left\langle -\Delta w_{t}, \epsilon A^{\sigma} w \right\rangle \right]$$

$$+ \left(\mu - \epsilon \right) \left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} w_{t} \right|_{2}^{2} + \epsilon \left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} w \right|_{2}^{2}$$

$$= - \left\langle \left(\left| u_{t} \right|^{r-2} u_{t} - \left| v_{t} \right|^{r-2} v_{t} \right)_{t}, A^{\sigma} \left(w_{t} + \epsilon w \right) \right\rangle$$

$$- \left\langle f \left(u \right) - f \left(v \right), A^{\sigma} \left(w_{t} + \epsilon w \right) \right\rangle - \left\langle f_{1} \left(v \right), A^{\sigma} \left(w_{t} + \epsilon w \right) \right\rangle$$

$$+ \left\langle g, A^{\sigma} \left(w_{t} + \epsilon w \right) \right\rangle.$$

$$(82)$$

Let

$$H(t) = (1 + \mu\epsilon) \left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} w \right|_{2}^{2}$$

$$+ \left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} w \right|_{2}^{2} + 2 \left\langle -\Delta w_{t}, \epsilon A^{\sigma} w \right\rangle,$$
(83)

then

$$H(t) \le \omega_1 \left(\left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} w \right|_2^2 + \left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} w \right|_2^2 \right),$$
(84)

here $\mathcal{Q}_1 = \max(1 + (1 + \mu)\epsilon, 1 + \epsilon) > 1$ and

$$H(t) \ge \mathcal{O}_{2}\left(\left|A^{(1+\sigma)/2}w\right|_{2}^{2} + \left|A^{(1+\sigma)/2}w\right|_{2}^{2}\right), \qquad (85)$$

where $\hat{\omega}_2 = \min(1 + (\mu - 1)\epsilon, 1 - \epsilon)$.

Combining with (2), (63), and $(r-2)/(4-2\sigma) \le 1$, we have

$$\begin{split} \left\langle \left(\left| u_{t} \right|^{r-2} u_{t} - \left| v_{t} \right|^{r-2} v_{t} \right)_{t}, A^{\sigma} \left(w_{t} + \epsilon w \right) \right\rangle \\ &\leq (r-1) \int_{\Omega} \left(\left| u_{t} \right|^{r-2} \left| u_{tt} \right| + \left| v_{t} \right|^{r-2} \left| v_{tt} \right| \right) \left(\left| A^{\sigma} w_{t} \right| + \epsilon \left| A^{\sigma} w \right| \right) \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\left| u_{t} \right|^{r-2}_{6(r-2)/(4-2\sigma)} \left| u_{tt} \right|_{6} + \left| v_{t} \right|^{r-2}_{6(r-2)/(4-2\sigma)} \left| v_{tt} \right|_{6} \right) \\ &\times \left(\left| A^{\sigma} w_{t} \right|_{6/(1+2\sigma)} + \epsilon \left| A^{\sigma} w \right|_{6/(1+2\sigma)} \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\left\| u_{t} \right\|_{0}^{(r-2)} \left\| u_{tt} \right\|_{0} + \left\| v_{t} \right\|_{0}^{(r-2)} \left\| v_{tt} \right\|_{0} \right) \\ &\times \left(\left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} w_{t} \right|_{2} + \epsilon \left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} w \right|_{2} \right) \\ &\leq C Q_{1}(R)^{2(r-1)} + \frac{\mu - \epsilon}{8} \left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} w_{t} \right|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{8} \left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} w \right|_{2}^{2}. \end{split}$$

$$\tag{86}$$

Using Lemma 8 to deal with the nonlinear term, we get

$$-\left\langle f(u) - f(v), A^{\sigma}w_{t}\right\rangle \leq C \int_{\Omega} \left(1 + |u|^{4} + |v|^{4}\right) |w| \left|A^{\sigma}w_{t}\right|,$$
$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^{4} |w| \left|A^{\sigma}w_{t}\right| \leq \int_{\Omega} \left(\left|v_{1}\right|^{4} + \left|w_{1}\right|^{4}\right) |w| \left|A^{\sigma}w_{t}\right|.$$
(87)

Using Remark 10, we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} |v_{1}|^{4} |w| |A^{\sigma} w_{t}| &\leq C \left(|v_{1}|_{6}^{4} \right) |w|_{6/(1-2\sigma)} |A^{\sigma} w_{t}|_{6/(1+2\sigma)} \\ &\leq C \|v_{1}\|_{0}^{4} |A^{(1+\sigma)/2} w|_{2} |A^{(1+\sigma)/2} w_{t}|_{2} \\ &\leq C M_{0}(R)^{2} \|v_{1}\|_{0}^{2} \\ &\qquad \times \left(\left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} w \right|_{2}^{2} + \left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} w_{t} \right|_{2}^{2} \right). \end{split}$$
(88)

Moreover, from Lemma 2, Remark 10, and Lemma 8, there exists a constant $M_4 = M_4(R)$ such that, for all $t \ge 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| z_{c}\left(t\right) \right\|_{0}^{2} &= \left\| w\left(t\right) \right\|_{0}^{2} + \left\| w_{t}\left(t\right) \right\|_{0}^{2} \leq M_{4}, \\ \int_{\Omega} \left| w_{1} \right|^{4} \left| w \right| \left| A^{\sigma} w_{t} \right| \\ &\leq C \left| w_{1} \right|_{6/(1-2\sigma)}^{4} \left| w \right|_{6/(1+6\sigma)} \left| A^{\sigma} w_{t} \right|_{6/(1+2\sigma)} \\ &\leq C \left\| w \right\|_{0}^{2} \left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} w_{1} \right|_{2}^{4} \left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} w_{t} \right|_{2} \\ &\leq C M_{4} K_{\gamma}^{2} \left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} w_{t} \right|_{2} \\ &\leq C M_{4}^{2} K_{\gamma}^{4} + \frac{\mu - \epsilon}{8} \left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} w_{t} \right|_{2}^{2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\tag{89}$$

where K_{γ} is given in (75).

At the same time, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} |v|^{4} |w| \left| A^{\sigma} w_{t} \right| \leq C_{M} \|v\|_{0}^{4} \left(\left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} w \right|_{2}^{2} + \left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} w_{t} \right|_{2}^{2} \right).$$
(90)

Similarly

$$- \left\langle f(u) - f(v), A^{\sigma} w \right\rangle$$

$$\leq CM_{0}(R)^{2} \left\| v_{1} \right\|_{0}^{2} \left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} w \right|_{2}^{2} + C \left(1 + M_{4}^{2} K_{\gamma}^{4} \right) \qquad (91)$$

$$+ \frac{\epsilon}{8} \left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} w \right|_{2}^{2} + C_{M} \| v \|_{0}^{4} \left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} w \right|_{2}^{2}.$$

Since $p/(5 - 2\sigma) \le 1$, by (14) and Lemma 7, we deduce that

$$\begin{split} \left\langle f_{1}(v), A^{\sigma}\left(w_{t}+\epsilon w\right)\right\rangle \\ &\leq C \int_{\Omega}\left(1+|v|^{p}\right)\left(\left|A^{\sigma}w_{t}\right|+\epsilon|w|\right) \\ &\leq C\left(1+|v|^{p}_{6p/(5-2\sigma)}\right)\left(\left|A^{\sigma}w_{t}\right|_{6/(1+2\sigma)}+\epsilon\left|A^{\sigma}w_{t}\right|_{6/(1+2\sigma)}\right) \\ &\leq C\left(1+\|v\|^{p}_{0}\right)\left(\left|A^{(1+\sigma)/2}w_{t}\right|_{2}+\epsilon\left|A^{(1+\sigma)/2}w\right|_{2}\right) \\ &\leq C\left(1+M^{p}_{2}\right)+\frac{\mu-\epsilon}{8}\left|A^{(1+\sigma)/2}w_{t}\right|^{2}_{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{8}\left|A^{(1+\sigma)/2}w\right|^{2}_{2}. \end{split}$$

$$(92)$$

Finally,

Moreover, following Lemma 7, we can take T_1 large enough such that for all $t \geq T_1$

$$\|\nu(t)\|_{0}^{4} \leq \min\left(\frac{\epsilon}{16C_{M}}, \frac{\mu - \epsilon}{16C_{M}}\right).$$
(94)

Plugging (86)–(93) into (65), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}H(t) + \vartheta H(t) \le C_R \|v_1\|^2 H(t) + C |g|_2^2 + Q_2(R), \quad (95)$$

where

$$\vartheta = \min(\mu - \epsilon, \epsilon), \qquad C_R = \frac{2CM_0}{\omega_2}, \qquad (96)$$

and $Q_2(\cdot) = C(1 + Q_1^p + M_4^2 K_\gamma^4)$ is an increasing function in $[0, +\infty)$.

Then using the Gronwall inequality and integrating over $[T_1, t]$, we entail

$$H(t) \leq e^{-\int_{T_{1}}^{t} (\vartheta - C_{R} \| v_{1}(s) \|_{0}^{2}) ds} H(T_{1}) + (C |g|_{2}^{2} + Q_{2}(R)) \int_{T_{1}}^{t} e^{\int_{t}^{s} (\vartheta - C_{R} \| v_{1}(\tau) \|_{0}^{2}) d\tau} ds.$$
(97)

Letting $\gamma < \vartheta/2C_R$, then we get

$$H(t) \le e^{-(\vartheta/2)(t-T_{1})}H(T_{1}) + \frac{2}{\vartheta}\left(C|g|_{2}^{2} + Q_{2}(R)\right).$$
(98)

Hence, by Lemma 8, (85) and noting that T_1 is fixed, the proof is finished.

Lemma 12. Assume that B_{σ} is bounded in E_{σ} . Then there exists a constant $M_{\sigma}(> 0)$ which depends only on the E_{σ} -bounds of B_{σ} such that, for any $t \ge 0$ and $z_0 \in H_{\sigma}$

$$\|z(t)\|_{\sigma} \le M_{\sigma}.\tag{99}$$

Proof. We set $v = u_t + \delta u$, take the L^2 inner product of (35) with $A^{\sigma}v$, and set $\delta \le \min(\mu/2, 1/\mu)$; we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} v \right|_{2}^{2} + \left(1 - \mu \delta + \delta^{2} \right) \left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} u \right|_{2}^{2} \right] \\ + \left(\mu - \delta \right) \left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} v \right|_{2}^{2} + \delta \left(1 - \mu \delta + \delta^{2} \right) \left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} u \right|_{2}^{2} \\ = - \left(r - 1 \right) \left\langle \left| u_{t} \right|^{r-2} u_{tt}, A^{\sigma} v \right\rangle - \left\langle f \left(u \right), A^{\sigma} v \right\rangle + \left\langle g, A^{\sigma} v \right\rangle.$$
(100)

We only need to deal with the nonlinear term separately, and the remainders are same as in Lemma 11:

$$-(r-1)\left\langle \left| u_{t} \right|^{r-2} u_{tt}, A^{\sigma} v \right\rangle$$

$$\leq (r-1)\left| u_{t} \right|_{6(r-2)/(4-2\sigma)}^{r-2} \left| u_{tt} \right|_{6} \left| A^{\sigma} v \right|_{6/(1+2\sigma)}$$

$$\leq C \left\| u_{t} \right\|_{0}^{2(r-2)} \left\| u_{tt} \right\|_{0}^{2} + \frac{\mu - \delta}{8} \left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} v \right|_{2};$$

$$\langle g, A^{\sigma} v \rangle \leq C \left| g(x) \right|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\mu - \delta}{8} \left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} v \right|_{2};$$

$$-\left\langle f(u), A^{\sigma} v \right\rangle \leq C \int_{\Omega} \left(1 + |u|^{5} \right) \left| A^{\sigma} v \right|$$

$$\leq C \left(1 + \|v\|_{0}^{2} \right) + C \int_{\Omega} |u|^{4} |u| \left| A^{\sigma} v \right|,$$
(101)

Using Lemma 9 and Remark 10, we have

$$C \int_{\Omega} |u|^{4} |u| |A^{\sigma}v| \leq C \int_{\Omega} \left(|v_{1}|^{4} + |w_{1}|^{4} \right) |u| |A^{\sigma}v|,$$

$$C \int_{\Omega} |v_{1}|^{4} |u| |A^{\sigma}v|$$

$$\leq C |v_{1}|_{6}^{6} |u|_{6/(1-2\sigma)} |A^{\sigma}v|_{6/(1+2\sigma)}$$

$$\leq C ||v_{1}||_{0}^{4} |A^{(1+\sigma)/2}u|_{2} |A^{(1+\sigma)/2}v|_{2}$$

$$\leq C ||v_{1}||_{0}^{2} \left[|A^{(1+\sigma)/2}u|_{2}^{2} + (1 - \mu\delta + \delta^{2}) |A^{(1+\sigma)/2}v|_{2}^{2} \right],$$

$$C \int_{\Omega} |w_{1}|^{4} |u| |A^{\sigma}v|$$

$$\leq C ||u|_{6} |w_{1}|_{6/(1-2\sigma)}^{4} |A^{\sigma}v|_{6/(1+2\sigma)}$$

$$\leq C ||u||_{0} |A^{(1+\sigma)/2}w_{1}|_{2}^{4} |A^{(1+\sigma)/2}v|_{2}$$

$$\leq C ||u||_{0} |A^{(1+\sigma)/2}v|_{2}$$

$$\leq C |A^{(1+\sigma)/2}v|_{2}.$$
(102)

Substituting (101)–(102) into (100), we get that, for all $t \ge 0$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[\left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} v \right|_{2}^{2} + \left(1 - \mu \delta + \delta^{2} \right) \left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} u \right|_{2}^{2} \right]
+ \omega \left[\left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} v \right|_{2}^{2} + \left(1 - \mu \delta + \delta^{2} \right) \left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} u \right|_{2}^{2} \right]
\leq C \| v_{1}\left(t \right) \|_{0}^{2} \left[\left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} u \right|_{2}^{2} + \left(1 - \mu \delta + \delta^{2} \right) \left| A^{(1+\sigma)/2} v \right|_{2}^{2} \right]
+ C \left(1 + \| u_{t}\left(t \right) \|_{0}^{2(r-2)} \| u_{tt}\left(t \right) \|_{0}^{2} + C |g\left(x \right)|_{2}^{2} \right),$$
(103)

where $\omega = \min((\mu - \delta)/2, 2\delta)$. Using the Gronwall inequality and integrating over [0, t], we get that there exists a constant $M_{\sigma}(> 0)$ which depends only on the E_{σ} -bounds of B_{σ} such that, for any $t \ge 0$ and $z_0 \in B_{\sigma}$,

$$\|z(t)\|_{\sigma} \le M_{\sigma}.\tag{104}$$

In the following, based on Lemmas 11 and 12, we perform a bootstrap argument, whose proof is similar to that of Lemmas 11 and 12 (e.g., see [11, 13]). Here we only point out the results and omit the proof.

Lemma 13. For each $\sigma \le \theta \le 1$, assume that B_{θ} is bounded in H_{θ} . Then there exists a constant M_{θ} which depends only on the H_{θ} -bounds of B_{θ} , such that

$$\left\| S\left(t\right) z_{0} \right\|_{\theta} \le M_{\theta} \tag{105}$$

holds for all $t \ge 0$ *and* $z_0 \in B_{\theta}$ *.*

Lemma 14. For each $\sigma \leq \theta \leq 1 - \sigma$, if the initial data set B_{θ} is bounded in H_{θ} , then the decomposed ingredient $z_c = (w(t), w_t(t) \text{ (the solutions of (47)) satisfies})$

$$\left\|z_{c}\left(t\right)\right\|_{\theta+\sigma}^{2} \leq \mathcal{J}_{\theta} \tag{106}$$

which holds for all $t \ge 0$ and $z_0 \in B_{\theta}$, where the constant J_{θ} depends only on the H_{θ} -bounds of B_{θ} .

Theorem 15 (asymptotic regularity of solutions). Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^3 with smooth boundary and let fsatisfy (11)–(15). Assume further that $g(x) \in L^2(\Omega)$. Then there exist a bounded (in E_1) set $B_1 \subset E_1$, a positive constant ν , and a monotonically increasing function $Q(\cdot)$ such that, for any bounded (in E_0) set $B \subset E_0$ and $t \ge 0$, the following estimate holds:

$$dist_{E_0}(S(t) B, B_1) \le Q(\|B\|_0) e^{-\nu t},$$
(107)

where dist_{*E*₀} denotes the usual Hausdorff semidistance in E_0 .

Proof. Let B_0 be a bounded (in E_0) absorbing set obtained in Theorem 5.

From Lemmas 11 and 7, we know that the set $A_{\sigma} = \{z \in E_{\sigma} : ||z||_{\sigma} \leq \mathcal{F}_0\}$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{dist}_{E_{0}}(S(t)B_{0}, A_{\sigma}) \leq Q_{1}(\|B_{0}\|_{E_{0}})e^{-k_{0}t}, \quad (108)$$

where the constant \mathcal{J}_0 is given in Lemma 11 corresponding to B_0 . Applying Lemmas 14 and 7 to A_{σ} , we see that there is a set $A_{2\sigma}$ which is bounded in $E_{2\sigma}$, such that

$$\operatorname{dist}_{E_{0}}(S(t)A_{\sigma}, A_{2\sigma}) \leq Q_{1}\left(\left\|A_{\sigma}\right\|_{E_{0}}\right)e^{-k_{0}'t},$$
(109)

where k'_0 depends on the E_0 -bounds of A_{σ} (determined in Lemma 7).

Combining with Lemma 4, we know that the conditions in Lemma 1 are all satisfied. Hence, we have

$$\operatorname{dist}_{E_{0}}(S(t) B_{0}, A_{2\sigma}) \leq Q_{1}(\|B_{0}\|_{E_{0}}) e^{-k_{0}''t}, \qquad (110)$$

for two appropriate constants *C* and k_0'' . Since $\sigma = \min\{1/4, (5 - p)/2, (6 - r)/2\}$ is fixed, by finite steps (e.g., at most by $[1/\sigma] + 1$ steps), we can infer that there is a bounded (not only in E_0 , but also bounded in E_1) set $B_1 \subset E_1$ such that

$$\operatorname{dist}_{E_{0}}(S(t) B_{0}, B_{1}) \leq Q(\|B_{0}\|_{E_{0}}) e^{-\nu t}.$$
(111)

Note further that all the constants in (111) depend only on $||B_0||_0$ and $|g|_2$. Now, for any bounded (in E_0) *B*, from Theorem 5 we see that there is a T_B such that

$$S(t) B \in B_0, \quad \forall t \ge T_B.$$
(112)

Hence,

$$dist_{E_0}(S(t) B, B_0) \le M e^{-\nu(t-T_B)},$$
 (113)

where $M = \sup\{||S(t)B||_0 : 0 \le t \le T_B\} < \infty$. Finally, we apply the attraction transitivity lemma, that is, Lemma 1, again to (111) and (113), and this completes the proof.

6. Global Attractor

Collecting now Theorem 5, Lemmas 7 and 8, and Theorem 15, we establish that $\{S(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is asymptotically compact. Therefore, by means of well-known results of the theory of dynamical systems we get the following.

Theorem 16. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary and assume that f satisfies (11)–(15); the semigroup $\{S(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ possesses a global attractor \mathscr{A} on $H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$. Moreover, this attractor \mathscr{A} is bounded in $H^2(\Omega) \times H^2(\Omega)$.

Acknowledgment

This work was partly supported by National Science and Technology Major Projects of China (no. 2012ZX10001001-006) and the Scientific Research Fund of Hunan Provincial Education Department (Grant no. 10C042, 11A008).

References

- I. L. Bogolubsky, "Some examples of inelastic soliton interaction," *Computer Physics Communications*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 149– 155, 1977.
- [2] P. A. Clarkson, R. J. LeVeque, and R. Saxton, "Solitary-wave interactions in elastic rods," *Studies in Applied Mathematics*, vol. 75, no. 2, pp. 95–121, 1986.
- [3] C. E. Seyler and D. L. Fanstermacher, "A symmetric regularized long wave equation," *Physics of Fluids*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 58–66, 1984.
- [4] W. G. Zhu, "Nonlinear waves in elastic rods," Acta Solid Mechanica Sinica, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 247–253, 1980.
- [5] J. Ferreira and N. A. Larkin, "Global solvability of a mixed problem for a nonlinear hyperbolic-parabolic equation in noncylindrical domains," *Portugaliae Mathematica*, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 381–395, 1996.
- [6] H. W. Zhang and Q. Y. Hu, "Existence and stability of the global weak solution of a nonlinear evolution equation," *Acta Mathematica Scientia A*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 329–336, 2004.
- [7] H. A. Levine and J. Serrin, "Global nonexistence theorems for quasilinear evolution equations with dissipation," *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, vol. 137, no. 4, pp. 341–361, 1997.
- [8] H. A. Levine, P. Pucci, and J. Serrin, "Some remarks on global nonexistence for nonautonomous abstract evolution equations," in *Harmonic Analysis and Nonlinear Differential Equations*, vol. 208 of *Contemporary Mathematics*, pp. 253–263, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 1997.
- [9] Y. Xie and C. Zhong, "The existence of global attractors for a class nonlinear evolution equation," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 336, no. 1, pp. 54–69, 2007.
- [10] A. N. Carvalho and J. W. Cholewa, "Local well posedness, asymptotic behavior and asymptotic bootstrapping for a class of semilinear evolution equations of the second order in time," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 361, no. 5, pp. 2567–2586, 2009.

- [11] V. Pata and M. Squassina, "On the strongly damped wave equation," *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, vol. 253, no. 3, pp. 511–533, 2005.
- [12] V. Pata and S. Zelik, "Smooth attractors for strongly damped wave equations," *Nonlinearity*, vol. 19, no. 7, pp. 1495–1506, 2006.
- [13] C. Sun and M. Yang, "Dynamics of the nonclassical diffusion equations," Asymptotic Analysis, vol. 59, no. 1-2, pp. 51–81, 2008.
- [14] M. Yang and C. Sun, "Dynamics of strongly damped wave equations in locally uniform spaces: attractors and asymptotic regularity," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 361, no. 2, pp. 1069–1101, 2009.
- [15] S. Zelik, "Asymptotic regularity of solutions of a nonautonomous damped wave equation with a critical growth exponent," *Communications on Pure and Applied Analysis*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 921–934, 2004.
- [16] P. Fabrie, C. Galusinski, A. Miranville, and S. Zelik, "Uniform exponential attractors for a singularly perturbed damped wave equation," *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems A*, vol. 10, no. 1-2, pp. 211–238, 2004.
- [17] L. C. Evans, "Partial differential equation," GSM 19, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 1998.











Journal of Probability and Statistics

(0,1),

International Journal of









Advances in Mathematical Physics





Journal of Optimization