

Research Article

On Quasi-Pseudometric Type Spaces

Eniola Funmilayo Kazeem,¹ Collins Amburo Agyingi,² and Yaé Ulrich Gaba²

¹ University of the Western Cape, Robert Sobukwe Road, Bellville, Cape Town 7535, Western Cape, South Africa

² Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of Cape Town, Rondebosch 7701, South Africa

Correspondence should be addressed to Yaé Ulrich Gaba; gabayae2@gmail.com

Received 2 December 2013; Accepted 6 March 2014; Published 15 April 2014

Academic Editors: R. Mallier and W. Sun

Copyright © 2014 Eniola Funmilayo Kazeem et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We introduce the concept of a quasi-pseudometric type space and prove some fixed point theorems. Moreover, we connect this concept to the existing notion of quasi-cone metric space.

1. Introduction

Cone metric spaces were introduced in [1] and many fixed point results concerning mappings in such spaces have been established. In [2], Khamsi connected this concept with a generalised form of metric that he named *metric type*. Recently in [3], Shadda and Md Noorani discussed the newly introduced notion of quasi-cone metric spaces and proved some fixed point results of mappings on such spaces. Basically, cone metric spaces are defined by substituting, in the definition of a metric, the real line by a real Banach space that we endowed with a partial order. The fact that the introduced order is not linear does not allow us to always compare any two elements and then gives rise to a kind of duality in the definition of the induced topology, hence the convergence in such space. We introduce a quasi-pseudometric type structure and show that some proofs follow closely the classical proofs in the quasi-pseudometric case but generalize them.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some elementary definitions from the asymmetric topology which are necessary for a good understanding of the work below.

Definition 1. Let X be a nonempty set. A function $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a *quasi-pseudometric* on X if

$$(i) \quad d(x, x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in X,$$

$$(ii) \quad d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z) \quad \forall x, y, z \in X.$$

Moreover, if $d(x, y) = 0 = d(y, x) \Rightarrow x = y$, then d is said to be a T_0 -quasi-pseudometric. The latter condition is referred to as the T_0 -condition.

Remark 2. (i) Let d be a quasi-pseudometric on X ; then the map d^{-1} defined by $d^{-1}(x, y) = d(y, x)$ whenever $x, y \in X$ is also a quasi-pseudometric on X , called the *conjugate* of d . In the literature, d^{-1} is also denoted by d^t or \bar{d} .

(ii) It is easy to verify that the function d^s defined by $d^s := d \vee d^{-1}$, that is, $d^s(x, y) = \max\{d(x, y), d(y, x)\}$, defines a *metric* on X whenever d is a T_0 -quasi-pseudometric.

Let (X, d) be a quasi-pseudometric space. Then for each $x \in X$ and $\epsilon > 0$, the set

$$B_d(x, \epsilon) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) < \epsilon\} \quad (1)$$

denotes the open ϵ -ball at x with respect to d . It should be noted that the collection

$$\{B_d(x, \epsilon) : x \in X, \epsilon > 0\} \quad (2)$$

yields a base for the topology $\tau(d)$ induced by d on X . In a similar manner, for each $x \in X$ and $\epsilon \geq 0$, we define

$$C_d(x, \epsilon) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) \leq \epsilon\}, \quad (3)$$

known as the closed ϵ -ball at x with respect to d .

Also the collection

$$\{B_{d^{-1}}(x, \epsilon) : x \in X, \epsilon > 0\} \quad (4)$$

yields a base for the topology $\tau(d^{-1})$ induced by d^{-1} on X . The set $C_d(x, \epsilon)$ is $\tau(d^{-1})$ -closed but not $\tau(d)$ -closed in general.

The balls with respect to d are often called *forward balls* and the topology $\tau(d)$ is called *forward topology*, while the balls with respect to d^{-1} are often called *backward balls* and the topology $\tau(d^{-1})$ is called *backward topology*.

Definition 3. Let (X, d) be a quasi-pseudometric space. The convergence of a sequence (x_n) to x with respect to $\tau(d)$, called *d-convergence* or *left-convergence* and denoted by $x_n \xrightarrow{d} x$, is defined in the following way:

$$x_n \xrightarrow{d} x \iff d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0. \quad (5)$$

Similarly, the convergence of a sequence (x_n) to x with respect to $\tau(d^{-1})$, called *d⁻¹-convergence* or *right-convergence* and denoted by $x_n \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} x$, is defined in the following way:

$$x_n \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} x \iff d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0. \quad (6)$$

Finally, in a quasi-pseudometric space (X, d) , we will say that a sequence (x_n) *d^s-converges* to x if it is both left and right convergent to x , and we denote it as $x_n \xrightarrow{d^s} x$ or $x_n \rightarrow x$ when there is no confusion. Hence

$$x_n \xrightarrow{d^s} x \iff x_n \xrightarrow{d} x, \quad x_n \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} x. \quad (7)$$

Definition 4. A sequence (x_n) in a quasi-pseudometric (X, d) is called

(a) *left K-Cauchy* with respect to d if, for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall n, k : n_0 \leq k \leq n \quad d(x_k, x_n) < \epsilon; \quad (8)$$

(b) *right K-Cauchy* with respect to d if, for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall n, k : n_0 \leq k \leq n \quad d(x_n, x_k) < \epsilon; \quad (9)$$

(c) *d^s-Cauchy* if, for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall n, k \geq n_0 \quad d(x_n, x_k) < \epsilon. \quad (10)$$

Remark 5. (i) A sequence is left *K-Cauchy* with respect to d if and only if it is right *K-Cauchy* with respect to d^{-1} .

(ii) A sequence is *d^s-Cauchy* if and only if it is both left and right *K-Cauchy*.

Definition 6. A quasi-pseudometric space (X, d) is called *left-complete* provided that any left *K-Cauchy* sequence is *d*-convergent.

Definition 7. A quasi-pseudometric space (X, d) is called *right-complete* provided that any right *K-Cauchy* sequence is *d*-convergent.

Definition 8. A T_0 -quasi-pseudometric space (X, d) is called *bicomplete* provided that the metric d^s on X is complete.

We now recall some known definitions, notations, and results concerning cones in Banach spaces.

Definition 9. Let E be a real Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and let P be a subset of E . Then P is called a cone if and only if

- (1) P is closed and nonempty and $P \neq \{\theta\}$, where θ is the zero vector in E ;
- (2) for any $a, b \geq 0$, and $x, y \in P$, one has $ax + by \in P$;
- (3) for $x \in P$, if $-x \in P$, then $x = \theta$.

Given a cone P in a Banach space E , one defines on E a partial order \leq with respect to P by

$$x \leq y \iff y - x \in P. \quad (11)$$

We also write $x < y$ whenever $x \leq y$ and $x \neq y$, while $x \ll y$ will stand for $y - x \in \text{Int}(P)$ (where $\text{Int}(P)$ designates the interior of P).

The cone P is called *normal* if there is a number $C > 0$, such that for all $x, y \in E$, one has

$$\theta \leq x \leq y \implies \|x\| \leq C \|y\|. \quad (12)$$

The least positive number satisfying this inequality is called the *normal constant* of P . Therefore, one will then say that P is a *K-normal cone* to indicate the fact that the normal constant is K .

Definition 10 (compare [3]). Let X be a nonempty set. Suppose the mapping $q : X \times X \rightarrow E$ satisfies

- (q1) $\theta \leq q(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (q2) $q(x, y) = \theta = q(y, x)$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (q3) $q(x, z) \leq q(x, y) + q(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Then, q is called a *quasi-cone metric* on X and (X, q) is called a *quasi-cone metric space*.

Definition 11 (compare [3]). A sequence in a quasi-cone metric space (X, q) is called

(a) *Q-Cauchy* or *bi-Cauchy* if, for every $c \in X$ with $c \gg \theta$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall n, m \geq n_0 \quad q(x_n, x_m) \ll c; \quad (13)$$

(b) *left (right) Cauchy* if, for every $c \in X$ with $c \gg \theta$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall n, m : n_0 \leq m \leq n \quad q(x_m, x_n) \ll c \quad (q(x_n, x_m) \ll c \text{ resp.}). \quad (14)$$

Remark 12. A sequence is *Q-Cauchy* if and only if it is both left and right *Cauchy*.

We also recall the following lemma, which we take from [4] and we give the proof as it is.

Lemma 13 (compare [4, Lemma 2]). Let (X, q) be a cone metric space. Then for each $c \in E$, $c \gg \theta$, there exists $\sigma > 0$ such that $x \ll c$ whenever $\|x\| < \sigma$, $x \in E$.

Proof. Since $c \gg \theta$, then $c \in \text{Int}(P)$. Hence, find $\sigma > 0$ such that $\{x \in E : \|x - c\| < \sigma\} \subset \text{Int}(P)$. Now if $\|x\| < \sigma$ then $\|(c - x) - c\| = \|-x\| = \|x\| < \sigma$ and hence $(c - x) \in \text{Int}(P)$. \square

Remark 14. Although the lemma is stated for a cone metric space, it remains valid for a quasi-cone metric space.

3. Some First Results

Definition 15. (1) In a quasi-cone metric space (X, q) , one says that the sequence (x_n) *left-converges* to $x \in X$ if for every $c \in E$ with $\theta \ll c$ there exists N such that, for all $n > N$, $q(x_n, x) \ll c$.

(2) Similarly, in a quasi-cone metric space (X, q) , one says that a sequence (x_n) *right-converges* to $x \in X$ if for every $c \in E$ with $\theta \ll c$ there exists N such that, for all $n > N$, $q(x, x_n) \ll c$.

(3) Finally, in a quasi-cone metric space (X, q) , one says that the sequence (x_n) *converges* to $x \in X$ if for every $c \in E$ with $\theta \ll c$ there exists N such that, for all $n > N$, $q(x_n, x) \ll c$ and $q(x, x_n) \ll c$.

Definition 16. A quasi-cone metric space (X, q) is called

- (1) *left-complete* (resp., *right-complete*) if every left Cauchy (resp., right Cauchy) sequence in X left (resp., right) converges,
- (2) *bicomplete* if every Q -Cauchy sequence converges.

Remark 17. A quasi-cone metric space (X, q) is bicomplete if and only if it is left-complete and right-complete.

Definition 18. Let (X, q) be a quasi-cone metric space. A function $f : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be *lipschitzian* if there exists some $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$q(f(x), f(y)) \leq \kappa q(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X. \quad (15)$$

The smallest constant which satisfies the above inequality is called the *lipschitzian constant* of f and is denoted by $\text{Lip}(f)$. In particular f is said to be *contractive* if $\text{Lip}(f) \in [0, 1)$ and *expansive* if $\text{Lip}(f) = 1$.

Lemma 19. Let (X, d) be a quasi-pseudometric space. If a sequence (x_n) d^s -converges to x , then it is d^s -Cauchy.

Proof. Since (x_n) d^s -converges to x , for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exist N_1 such that $d(x, x_k) < \epsilon/2$ for any $k \geq N_1$ and N_2 such that $d(x_m, x) < \epsilon/2$ for any $m \geq N_2$. Hence for any $n, p \geq \max\{N_1, N_2\}$, $d(x_n, x_p) \leq d(x_n, x) + d(x, x_p) < \epsilon$. \square

Lemma 20. Let (X, q) be a quasi-cone metric space and P a K -normal cone. Let (x_n) be a sequence in X . Then (x_n) converges to x if and only if $q(x_n, x) \rightarrow \theta$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) and $q(x, x_n) \rightarrow \theta$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$).

Proof. Suppose (x_n) converges to x . For every real $\epsilon > 0$, choose $c \in E$ with $\theta \ll c$ and $K\|c\| < \epsilon$. Then there exists $N > 0$ such that for all $n > N$ $q(x_n, x) \ll c$ and $q(x, x_n) \ll c$. This implies that when $n > N$, $\|q(x_n, x)\| \leq K\|c\| < \epsilon$ and $\|q(x, x_n)\| \leq K\|c\| < \epsilon$. This means that $q(x_n, x) \rightarrow \theta$ and $q(x, x_n) \rightarrow \theta$.

Conversely, suppose that $q(x_n, x) \rightarrow \theta$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) and $q(x, x_n) \rightarrow \theta$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). For any $c \in E$ with $\theta \ll c$, there is $\sigma > 0$ such that $\|x\| < \sigma$ implies that $x \ll c$. For this σ , there exist N_1 and N_2 such that $\|q(x_n, x)\| < \sigma$ for any $n > N_1$ and $\|q(x, x_n)\| < \sigma$ for any $n > N_2$. Hence, for $n > \max\{N_1, N_2\}$, $c - q(x_n, x) \in \text{Int}(P)$ and $c - q(x, x_n) \in \text{Int}(P)$. Therefore (x_n) converges to x . \square

Remark 21. In fact, a sequence (x_n) left-converges (resp., right-converges) to x if and only if $q(x_n, x) \rightarrow \theta$ (resp., $q(x, x_n) \rightarrow \theta$) ($n \rightarrow \infty$).

Lemma 22. Let (X, q) be a quasi-cone metric space and let (x_n) be a sequence in X . If (x_n) converges to x , then (x_n) is a bi-Cauchy sequence.

Proof. For any $c \in E$ with $\theta \ll c$, there exists $N > 0$ such that, for all $m, n > N$, $q(x_n, x) \ll c/2$ and $q(x, x_m) \ll c/2$. Hence

$$q(x_n, x_m) \leq q(x_n, x) + q(x, x_m) \ll c. \quad (16)$$

Therefore, (x_n) is a bi-Cauchy sequence. \square

Lemma 23. Let (X, q) be a quasi-cone metric space, P a K -normal cone, and (x_n) a sequence in X . Then (x_n) is a bi-Cauchy sequence if and only if $q(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow \theta$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Suppose that (x_n) is a bi-Cauchy sequence. For every real $\epsilon > 0$, choose $c \in E$ with $\theta \ll c$ and $K\|c\| < \epsilon$. Then there exists N such that, for all $n, m > N$, $q(x_n, x_m) \ll c$. Therefore, whenever $n, m > N$, $\|q(x_n, x_m)\| \leq K\|c\| < \epsilon$. This means that $q(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow \theta$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.

Conversely, suppose that $q(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow \theta$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. For any $c \in E$ with $\theta \ll c$, there is $\sigma > 0$ such that $\|x\| < \sigma$ implies that $x \ll c$. For this σ , there exist N such that $\|q(x_n, x_m)\| < \sigma$ for any $n, m > N_1$. Hence $c - q(x_n, x_m) \in \text{Int}(P)$. Therefore (x_n) is a bi-Cauchy sequence. \square

4. First Fixed Points Results

Theorem 24. Let (X, q) be a bicomplete quasi-cone metric space and P a K -normal cone. Suppose that a mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the contractive condition

$$q(Tx, Ty) \leq kq(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in X, \quad (17)$$

where $k \in [0, 1)$. Then T has a unique fixed point. Moreover for any $x \in X$, the orbit $\{T^n x, n \geq 0\}$ converges to the fixed point.

Proof. Take an arbitrary $x_0 \in X$ and denote $x_n = T^n x_0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} q(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= q(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \leq kq(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\leq k^2 q(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) \leq \cdots \leq k^n q(x_0, x_1). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Similarly,

$$q(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq k^n q(x_1, x_0). \quad (19)$$

So for $n < m$,

$$\begin{aligned} q(x_n, x_m) &\leq q(x_n, x_{n+1}) + q(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \cdots + q(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\ &\leq (k^n + k^{n+1} + \cdots + k^{m-1}) q(x_0, x_1) \\ &\leq \frac{k^n}{1-k} q(x_0, x_1). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

It entails that $\|q(x_n, x_m)\| \leq K(k^n/(1-k))\|q(x_0, x_1)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.

Similarly for $n > m$

$$q(x_n, x_m) \leq \frac{k^m}{1-k} q(x_1, x_0). \quad (21)$$

It entails that $\|q(x_n, x_m)\| \leq K(k^m/(1-k))\|q(x_1, x_0)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. Hence (x_n) is a bi-Cauchy sequence. Since (X, q) is bicomplete, there exists $x^* \in X$ such that (x_n) converges to x^* .

Moreover since

$$\begin{aligned} q(Tx^*, x^*) &\leq q(Tx^*, Tx_n) + q(Tx_n, x^*) \\ &\leq kq(x^*, x_n) + q(x_{n+1}, x^*), \\ q(x^*, Tx^*) &\leq q(x^*, Tx_n) + q(Tx_n, Tx^*) \\ &\leq q(x^*, x_{n+1}) + kq(x_n, x^*), \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|q(Tx^*, x^*)\| &\leq K(k\|q(x^*, x_n)\| + \|q(x_{n+1}, x^*)\|) \rightarrow 0, \\ \|q(x^*, Tx^*)\| &\leq K(k\|q(x_n, x^*)\| + \|q(x^*, x_{n+1})\|) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Hence $\|q(Tx^*, x^*)\| = 0 = \|q(x^*, Tx^*)\|$. This implies, using property (q2), that $Tx^* = x^*$. So x^* is a fixed point.

If z^* is another fixed point of T , then

$$\begin{aligned} q(x^*, z^*) &= q(Tx^*, Tz^*) \leq kq(x^*, z^*), \\ q(z^*, x^*) &= q(Tz^*, Tx^*) \leq kq(z^*, x^*). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Hence, $\|q(x^*, z^*)\| = 0 = \|q(z^*, x^*)\|$ and $x^* = z^*$. Therefore the fixed point is unique. \square

Corollary 25. Let (X, q) be a bicomplete quasi-cone metric space and P a K -normal cone. For $c \in E$ with $0 \ll c$ and $x_0 \in X$, set $B(x_0, c) = \{x \in X : q(x_0, x) \leq c\}$. Suppose the mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the contractive condition

$$q(Tx, Ty) \leq kq(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in B(x_0, c), \quad (25)$$

where $k \in [0, 1)$ is a constant and $q(x_0, Tx_0) \leq (1-k)c$. Then T has a unique fixed point in $B(x_0, c)$.

Proof. We only need to prove that $B(x_0, c)$ is bicomplete and $Tx \in B(x_0, c)$ for all $x \in B(x_0, c)$.

Suppose (x_n) is a bi-Cauchy sequence in $B(x_0, c)$. Then (x_n) is also a bi-Cauchy sequence in X . By the bicompleteness of X , there is $x \in X$ such that (x_n) converges to x . We have

$$q(x_0, x) \leq q(x_0, x_n) + q(x_n, x) \leq q(x_n, x) + c. \quad (26)$$

Since (x_n) converges to x , $q(x_n, x) \rightarrow \theta$. Hence $q(x_0, x) \leq c$ and $x \in B(x_0, c)$. Therefore, $B(x_0, c)$ is bicomplete.

For every $x \in B(x_0, c)$,

$$\begin{aligned} q(x_0, Tx) &\leq q(x_0, Tx_0) + q(Tx_0, Tx) \\ &\leq (1-k)c + kq(x_0, x) \leq (1-k)c + kc = c. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Hence $Tx \in B(x_0, c)$. \square

Remark 26. A weaker version of this corollary is actually sufficient. Indeed, it is enough to consider (X, q) as a left-complete quasi-cone metric space with the same assumption. In this case, we would just have to prove that $B(x_0, c)$ is left-complete and $Tx \in B(x_0, c)$ for all $x \in B(x_0, c)$.

Corollary 27. Let (X, q) be a bicomplete quasi-cone metric space and P a K -normal cone. Suppose a mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies for some positive integer n ,

$$q(T^n x, T^n y) \leq kq(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X, \quad (28)$$

where $k \in [0, 1)$ is a constant. Then T has a unique fixed point in X .

Proof. From Theorem 24, T^n has a unique fixed point x^* . But $T^n(Tx^*) = T(T^n x^*) = Tx^*$, so Tx^* is also a fixed point of T^n . Hence $Tx^* = x^*$, x^* is a fixed point of T . Since the fixed point of T is also a fixed point of T^n , the fixed point of T is unique. \square

Theorem 28. Let (X, q) be a quasi-cone metric space over the Banach space E with the K -normal cone P . The mapping $Q : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined by $Q(x, y) = \|q(x, y)\|$ satisfies the following properties:

(Q1) $Q(x, x) = 0$ for any $x \in X$;

(Q2) $Q(x, y) \leq K(Q(x, z_1) + Q(z_1, z_2) + \cdots + Q(z_n, y))$, for any points $x, y, z_i \in X$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. The proof of (Q1) is immediate by property (q2) of the quasi-cone metric. In order to prove (Q2), consider x, y, z_1, \dots, z_n as points in X . Using property (q3), we get

$$q(x, y) \leq (q(x, z_1) + q(z_1, z_2) + \cdots + q(z_n, y)). \quad (29)$$

Since P is K -normal

$$\|q(x, y)\| \leq K(\|q(x, z_1)\| + \|q(z_1, z_2)\| + \cdots + \|q(z_n, y)\|), \quad (30)$$

which implies that

$$\|q(x, y)\| \leq K(\|q(x, z_1)\| + \|q(z_1, z_2)\| + \cdots + \|q(z_n, y)\|). \quad (31)$$

This completes the proof. \square

We are therefore led to the following definition.

Definition 29. Let X be a nonempty set, and let the function $D : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfy the following properties:

- (D1) $D(x, x) = 0$ for any $x \in X$;
 (D2) $D(x, y) \leq \alpha(D(x, z_1) + D(z_1, z_2) + \cdots + D(z_n, y))$
 for any points $x, y, z_i \in X$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and some constant $\alpha > 0$.

Then (X, D, α) is called a quasi-pseudometric type space. Moreover, if $D(x, y) = 0 = D(y, x) \Rightarrow x = y$, then D is said to be a T_0 -quasi-pseudometric type space. The latter condition is referred to as the T_0 -condition.

Remark 30. (i) Let D be a quasi-pseudometric type on X ; then the map D^{-1} defined by $D^{-1}(x, y) = D(y, x)$ whenever $x, y \in X$ is also a quasi-pseudometric type on X , called the conjugate of D . We will also denote D^{-1} by D^t or \bar{D} .

- (ii) It is easy to verify that the function D^s defined by $D^s := D \vee D^{-1}$, that is, $D^s(x, y) = \max\{D(x, y), D(y, x)\}$, defines a metric-type (see [2]) on X whenever D is a T_0 -quasi-pseudometric type.

- (iii) If we substitute the property (D1) by the following property,

$$(D3) \quad D(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y,$$

we obtain a T_0 -quasi-pseudometric type space directly. For instance, this could be done if the map D is obtained from quasi-cone metric.

The concepts of *left K-Cauchy*, *right K-Cauchy*, D^s -*Cauchy*, and *convergence* for a quasi-pseudometric type space are defined in a similar way as defined for a quasi-pseudometric space. Moreover, for $\alpha = 1$, we recover the classical quasi-pseudometric; hence quasi-pseudometric type generalizes quasi-pseudometric.

Definition 31. A quasi-pseudometric type space (X, D, α) is called *left-complete* provided that any left K -Cauchy sequence is D -convergent.

Definition 32. A T_0 -quasi-pseudometric type space (X, D, α) is called *bicomplete* provided that the metric type space (X, D^s) is complete.

Definition 33. Let (X, D, α) be a quasi-pseudometric type space. A function $f : X \rightarrow X$ is called *lipschitzian* if there exists some $\lambda \geq 0$ such that

$$D(fx, fy) \leq \lambda D(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in X. \quad (32)$$

The smallest constant λ will be denoted by $\text{Lip}(f)$.

Definition 34. Let (X, D, α) be a quasi-pseudometric type space. A function $f : X \rightarrow X$ is called *D-sequentially continuous* if, for any D -convergent sequence (x_n) with $x_n \xrightarrow{D} x$, the sequence (fx_n) D -converges to fx ; that is, $(fx_n) \xrightarrow{D} fx$.

5. Some Fixed Point Results

In [2], Khamsi proved the following.

Theorem 35. Let (X, d) be a complete metric type space. Let $T : (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$ be a map such that T^n is lipschitzian for all $n \geq 0$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{Lip}(T^n) < \infty$. Then T has a unique fixed point $\omega \in X$. Moreover for any $x \in X$, the orbit $\{T^n x, n \geq 0\}$ converges to ω .

We state here an analogue of Khamsi's theorem.

Theorem 36. Let (X, D, α) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric type. Let $T : (X, D, \alpha) \rightarrow (X, D, \alpha)$ be a map such that T^n is lipschitzian for all $n \geq 0$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{Lip}(T^n) < \infty$. Then T has a unique fixed point $\omega \in X$. Moreover for any $x \in X$, the orbit $\{T^n x, n \geq 0\}$ converges to ω .

Proof. We just have to prove that $T : (X, D^s) \rightarrow (X, D^s)$ is a map such that T^n is lipschitzian for all $n \geq 0$.

Indeed, since $T : (X, D, \alpha) \rightarrow (X, D, \alpha)$ is a map such that T^n is lipschitzian for all $n \geq 0$, then

$$D(T^n x, T^n y) \leq \text{Lip}(T^n) D(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in X. \quad (33)$$

Since for any $x, y \in X$, we have

$$D^{-1}(T^n x, T^n y) = D(T^n y, T^n x) \leq \text{Lip}(T^n) D(y, x) \quad (34)$$

$$\forall n \geq 0,$$

that is,

$$D^{-1}(T^n x, T^n y) \leq \text{Lip}(T^n) D^{-1}(x, y), \quad (35)$$

we see that $T : (X, D^{-1}, \alpha) \rightarrow (X, D^{-1}, \alpha)$ is a map such that T^n is lipschitzian for all $n \geq 0$.

Therefore

$$D(T^n x, T^n y) \leq \text{Lip}(T^n) D(x, y) \leq \text{Lip}(T^n) D^s(x, y),$$

$$D^{-1}(T^n x, T^n y) \leq \text{Lip}(T^n) D^{-1}(x, y) \leq \text{Lip}(T^n) D^s(x, y), \quad (36)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and for all $n \geq 0$. Hence

$$D^s(T^n x, T^n y) \leq \text{Lip}(T^n) D^s(x, y), \quad (37)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and for all $n \geq 0$, so, $T : (X, D^s) \rightarrow (X, D^s)$ is a map such that T^n is lipschitzian for all $n \geq 0$.

By assumption, (X, D, α) is bicomplete; hence (X, D^s) is complete. Therefore, by Theorem 35, T has a unique fixed point $\omega \in X$ and for any $x \in X$, the orbit $\{T^n x, n \geq 0\}$ converges to ω . \square

The connection between a quasi-cone metric space and a quasi-pseudometric type space is given by the following corollary.

Corollary 37. Let (X, q) be a bicomplete quasi-cone metric space over the Banach space E with the K -normal cone P . Consider $Q : X \times X \rightarrow [0; \infty)$ defined by $Q(x, y) = \|q(x, y)\|$. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a contraction with constant $0 < \kappa < 1$. Then

$$Q(T^n x, T^n y) \leq K \kappa^n Q(x, y), \quad (38)$$

for any $x, y \in X$ and $n \geq 0$. Hence $\text{Lip}(T^n) \leq K\kappa^n$, for any $n \geq 0$. Therefore $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{Lip}(T^n)$ is convergent, which implies that T has a fixed point ω and any orbit converges to ω .

Proof. It is enough to prove that the metric type space (X, Q^s) is complete. Let (x_n) be a Q^s -Cauchy sequence. Therefore $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} Q^s(x_n, x_m) = 0$, which implies that the sequence (x_n) is bi-Cauchy in (X, q) . Since (X, q) is bicomplete, there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $q(x_n, x^*) \rightarrow \theta$ and $q(x^*, x_n) \rightarrow \theta$. Hence $x_n \xrightarrow{Q^s} x^*$.

Moreover, since T is a contraction with constant κ , we have that

$$q(T^n x, T^n y) \leq \kappa q(T^{n-1} x, T^{n-1} y) \leq \cdots \leq \kappa^n q(x, y) \quad (39)$$

for any $x, y \in X, \quad n \geq 0$.

Hence $\text{Lip}(T^n) \leq K\kappa^n$, for any $n \geq 0$. \square

6. More Fixed Point Results

We begin with the following lemmas.

Lemma 38. Let (y_n) be a sequence in a quasi-pseudometric type space (X, D, α) such that

$$D(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \lambda D(y_{n-1}, y_n), \quad (40)$$

for some $\lambda > 0$ with $\lambda < 1/\alpha$. Then (y_n) is left K -Cauchy.

Proof. Let $m < n \in \mathbb{N}$. From the condition (Qb) in the definition of a quasi-pseudometric type, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} D(y_m, y_n) &\leq \alpha(D(y_m, y_{m+1}) + D(y_{m+1}, y_n)) \\ &\leq \alpha D(y_m, y_{m+1}) + \alpha^2 D(y_{m+1}, y_{m+2}) \\ &\quad + D(y_{m+2}, y_n) \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \alpha D(y_m, y_{m+1}) + \alpha^2 D(y_{m+1}, y_{m+2}) + \cdots \\ &\quad + \alpha^{n-m-1} D(y_{n-2}, y_{n-1}) + \alpha^{n-m} D(y_{n-1}, y_n). \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

From (40) and $\lambda < 1/\alpha$, the above becomes

$$\begin{aligned} D(y_m, y_n) &\leq (\alpha \lambda^m + \alpha^2 \lambda^{m+1} + \cdots + \alpha^{n-m+1} \lambda^{n-1}) D(y_0, y_1) \\ &\leq \alpha \lambda^m (1 + \alpha \lambda + \cdots + (\alpha \lambda)^{n-1}) D(y_0, y_1) \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha \lambda^m}{1 - \alpha \lambda} D(y_0, y_1) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \longrightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

It follows that (y_n) is left K -Cauchy. \square

Similarly, we have the following.

Lemma 39. Let (y_n) be a sequence in a quasi-pseudometric type space (X, D, α) such that

$$D^{-1}(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \lambda D^{-1}(y_{n-1}, y_n), \quad (43)$$

for some $\lambda > 0$ with $\lambda < 1/\alpha$. Then (y_n) is right K -Cauchy.

Theorem 40. Let (X, D, α) be a Hausdorff left-complete T_0 -quasi-pseudometric type space, and let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a D -sequentially continuous function such that for some $\lambda > 0$ with $\lambda/(1 - \lambda) < 1/\alpha$,

$$D(fx, fy) \leq \lambda (D(x, fx) + D(y, fy)) \quad \forall x, y, z \in X. \quad (44)$$

Then f has a unique fixed point z and for every $x_0 \in X$, the sequence $(f^n(x_0))$ D -converges to z .

Proof. Take an arbitrary $x_0 \in X$ and denote $y_n = f^n(x_0)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} D(y_n, y_{n+1}) &= D(fy_{n-1}, fy_n) \\ &\leq \lambda (D(y_{n-1}, fy_{n-1}) + D(y_n, fy_n)) \\ &\leq \lambda (D(y_{n-1}, y_n) + D(y_n, y_{n+1})), \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

which implies that

$$D(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda} D(y_{n-1}, y_n). \quad (46)$$

Hence, since $\lambda/(1 - \lambda) < 1/\alpha$, by Lemma 38 we have that (y_n) is left K -Cauchy and since (X, D, α) is left-complete and f D -sequentially continuous, there exists y^* such that $y_n \xrightarrow{D} y^*$ and $y_{n+1} \xrightarrow{D} fy^*$. Since X is Hausdorff, the limit is unique, hence $y^* = fy^*$.

For uniqueness, assume by contradiction that there exists another fixed point z^* . Then

$$\begin{aligned} D(y^*, z^*) &= D(fy^*, fz^*) \leq \lambda (D(y^*, fy^*) + D(z^*, fz^*)) \\ &= 0, \\ D(z^*, y^*) &= D(fz^*, fy^*) \leq \lambda (D(z^*, fz^*) + D(y^*, fy^*)) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Hence $D(y^*, z^*) = 0 = D(z^*, y^*)$ and using the T_0 -condition, we conclude that $y^* = z^*$. \square

Theorem 41. Let (X, D, α) be a Hausdorff left-complete T_0 -quasi-pseudometric type space, and let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a D -sequentially continuous function such that for some $\lambda > 0$ with $\lambda \alpha^2/(1 - \lambda \alpha) < 1$,

$$D(fx, fy) \leq \lambda (D(fx, y) + D(x, fy)) \quad \forall x, y \in X. \quad (48)$$

Then f has a unique fixed point z and for every $x_0 \in X$, the sequence $(f^n(x_0))$ D -converges to z .

Proof. Take an arbitrary $x_0 \in X$ and denote $y_n = f^n(x_0)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} D(y_n, y_{n+1}) &= D(fy_{n-1}, fy_n) \\ &\leq \lambda(D(fy_{n-1}, y_n) + D(y_{n-1}, fy_n)) \\ &\leq \lambda D(y_{n-1}, y_{n+1}) \\ &\leq \lambda \alpha (D(y_{n-1}, y_n) + D(y_n, y_{n+1})), \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

which implies that

$$D(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \frac{\lambda \alpha}{1 - \lambda \alpha} D(y_{n-1}, y_n). \quad (50)$$

Hence, since $\lambda \alpha^2 / (1 - \lambda \alpha) < 1/\alpha$, by Lemma 38, we have that (y_n) is left K -Cauchy and since (X, D, α) is left-complete and f D -sequentially continuous, there exists y^* such that $y_n \xrightarrow{D} y^*$ and $y_{n+1} \xrightarrow{D} fy^*$. Since X is Hausdorff, the limit is unique, hence $y^* = fy^*$.

For uniqueness, assume by contradiction that there exists another fixed point z^* . Then

$$\begin{aligned} D(y^*, z^*) &= D(fy^*, fz^*) \leq \lambda(D(fy^*, z^*) + D(y^*, fz^*)), \\ D(z^*, y^*) &= D(fz^*, fy^*) \leq \lambda(D(fz^*, y^*) + D(z^*, fz^*)). \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

Hence $D(y^*, z^*) = 0 = D(z^*, y^*)$ and using the T_0 -condition, we conclude that $y^* = z^*$. \square

Theorem 42. Let (X, D, α) be a Hausdorff left-complete T_0 -quasi-pseudometric type space and let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a D -sequentially continuous function such that for some $\lambda > 0$ with $\lambda < 1/\alpha < 1/\alpha$ and any $\gamma > 0$,

$$D(fx, fy) \leq \lambda D(x, y) + \gamma D(fx, y) \quad \forall x, y \in X. \quad (52)$$

Then f has a unique fixed point z and for every $x_0 \in X$, the sequence $(f^n(x_0))$ D -converges to z .

Corollary 43. Let (X, D, α) be a Hausdorff left-complete T_0 -quasi-pseudometric type space and let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a D -sequentially continuous function such that for some $\lambda_1, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_5 > 0$ with $(\lambda_1 + \lambda_3 + \alpha \lambda_5) / (1 - \lambda_4 - \alpha \lambda_5) < 1/\alpha$ and any $\lambda_2 > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} D(fx, fy) &\leq \lambda_1 D(x, y) + \lambda_2 D(fx, y) + \lambda_3 D(x, fx) \\ &\quad + \lambda_4 D(y, fy) + \lambda_5 D(x, fy), \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then f has a unique fixed point z and for every $x_0 \in X$, the sequence $(f^n(x_0))$ D -converges to z .

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- [1] L.-G. Huang and X. Zhang, "Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 332, no. 2, pp. 1468–1476, 2007.
- [2] M. A. Khamsi, "Remarks on cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2010, Article ID 315398, 7 pages, 2010.
- [3] F. Shadda and M. S. Md Noorani, "Fixed point results in quasi-cone metric spaces," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2013, Article ID 303626, 7 pages, 2013.
- [4] D. Turkoglu and M. Abuloha, "Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems in diametrically contractive mappings," *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 489–496, 2010.

