

## Research Article

# Ulam Stability of a Quartic Functional Equation

**Abasalt Bodaghi,<sup>1,2</sup> Idham Arif Alias,<sup>2</sup>  
and Mohammad Hosein Ghahramani<sup>1</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Garmsar Branch, Islamic Azad University, Garmsar, Iran

<sup>2</sup> Institute for Mathematical Research, University Putra Malaysia, 43400 UPM, Serdang, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia

Correspondence should be addressed to Abasalt Bodaghi, abasalt.bodaghi@gmail.com

Received 11 January 2012; Revised 9 February 2012; Accepted 13 February 2012

Academic Editor: Nicole Brillouet-Belluot

Copyright © 2012 Abasalt Bodaghi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The oldest quartic functional equation was introduced by J. M. Rassias in (1999), and then was employed by other authors. The functional equation  $f(2x+y) + f(2x-y) = 4f(x+y) + 4f(x-y) + 24f(x) - 6f(y)$  is called a *quartic functional equation*, all of its solution is said to be a *quartic function*. In the current paper, the Hyers-Ulam stability and the superstability for quartic functional equations are established by using the fixed-point alternative theorem.

## 1. Introduction

We say a functional equation  $\mathcal{F}$  is *stable* if any function  $f$  satisfying the equation  $\mathcal{F}$  approximately is near to true solution of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Moreover, a functional equation  $\mathcal{F}$  is *superstable* if any function  $f$  satisfying the equation  $\mathcal{F}$  approximately is a true solution of  $\mathcal{F}$  (see [1] for another notion of the superstability which may be called *superstability modulo the bounded functions*).

The stability problem for functional equations originated from a question by Ulam [2] in 1940, concerning the stability of group homomorphisms: let  $(G_1, \cdot)$  be a group, and let  $(G_2, *)$  be a metric group with the metric  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , does there exist  $\delta > 0$  such that, if a mapping  $h : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  satisfies the inequality  $d(h(s \cdot t), h(s) * h(t)) < \delta$  for all  $s, t \in G_1$ , then there exists a homomorphism  $H : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  with  $d(h(s), H(s)) < \epsilon$  for all  $s \in G_1$ ? In other words, under what condition a functional equation is stable? In the following year, Hyers [3] gave a partial affirmative answer to the question of Ulam for Banach spaces. In 1978, the generalized Hyers' theorem was independently rediscovered by Th. M. Rassias [4] by obtaining a unique linear mapping under certain continuity assumption.

The functional equations

$$\begin{aligned} f(x+y) + f(x-y) &= 2f(x) + 2f(y), \\ f(2x+y) + f(2x-y) &= 2f(x+y) + 2f(x-y) + 12f(x) \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

are called *quadratic* and *cubic* functional equations, respectively. During the last decades, several stability problems for functional equations especially the quadratic and cubic and their generalized have been extensively investigated by many mathematicians (for instances, [5–9]).

In [10], Lee et al. considered the following quartic functional equation:

$$f(2x+y) + f(2x-y) = 4f(x+y) + 4f(x-y) + 24f(x) - 6f(y). \quad (1.2)$$

It is easy to check that for every  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , the function  $f(x) = ax^4$  is a solution of the above functional equation. They solved (1.2) and in fact showed that a function  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  whenever  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  are real vector spaces is quadratic if and only if there exists a symmetric biquadratic function  $F : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  such that  $f(x) = F(x, x)$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . They also proved the stability of (1.2). Zhou Xu et al. in [11] used the fixed-point alternative (Theorem 2.1 of the current paper) to establish Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the general mixed additive-cubic functional equation, where functions map a linear space into a complete quasifuzzy  $p$ -normed space. The generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of a general mixed AQCQ-functional in multi-Banach spaces is also proved by using the mentioned theorem in [12].

Recently, Bodaghi et al. in [13, 14] investigated the stability and the superstability of quadratic and cubic functional equations by a fixed-point method and applied this method to prove the stability of (quadratic, cubic) multipliers on Banach algebras.

In this paper we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability and the superstability for quartic functional equation (1.2) by using the alternative fixed point (Theorem 2.1) under certain conditions.

## 2. Main Results

Throughout this paper, assume that  $\mathcal{X}$  is a normed vector space and  $\mathcal{Y}$  is a Banach space. For a given mapping  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ , we consider

$$Df(x, y) := f(2x+y) + f(2x-y) - 4f(x+y) - 4f(x-y) - 24f(x) + 6f(y), \quad (2.1)$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ .

To achieve our aim, we need the following known fixed-point theorem which has been proved in [15].

**Theorem 2.1.** *Suppose that  $(\Delta, d)$  is a complete generalized metric space, and let  $\mathcal{J} : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$  be a strictly contractive mapping with Lipschitz constant  $L < 1$ , Then for each element  $g \in \Delta$ , either  $d(\mathcal{J}^n g, \mathcal{J}^{n+1} g) = \infty$  for all  $n \geq 0$ , or there exists a natural number  $n_0$  such that*

- (i)  $d(\mathcal{J}^n g, \mathcal{J}^{n+1} g) < \infty$ , for all  $n \geq n_0$ ,
- (ii) the sequence  $\{\mathcal{J}^n g\}$  is convergent to a fixed-point  $g^*$  of  $\mathcal{J}$ ,

(iii)  $g^*$  is the unique fixed point of  $\mathcal{J}$  in the set

$$\Omega = \{g \in \Delta : d(\mathcal{J}^{n_0} g, g) < \infty\}; \quad (2.2)$$

(iv)  $d(g, g^*) \leq (1/(1-L))d(g, \mathcal{J}g)$ , for all  $g \in \Omega$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Assume that  $\phi : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a function satisfying

$$\|Df(x, y)\| \leq \phi(x, y), \quad (2.3)$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ . Let a mapping  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  satisfy  $f(0) = 0$ . If there exists  $K \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\phi(x, y) \leq 2^4 K \phi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right), \quad (2.4)$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ , then there exists a unique quartic mapping  $Q : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{32(1-K)} \phi(x, 0), \quad (2.5)$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ .

*Proof.* By recurrence method, we can conclude from (2.4) that  $\phi(2^n x, 2^n y)/2^{4n} \leq K^n \phi(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ . Passing to the limit, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi(2^n x, 2^n y)}{2^{4n}} = 0, \quad (2.6)$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ . Here, we intend to build the conditions of Theorem 2.1 and so consider the set  $\Delta := \{h : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \mid h(0) = 0\}$  and the mapping  $d$  defined on  $\Delta \times \Delta$  by

$$d(g, h) := \inf\{C \in (0, \infty) : \|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq C\phi(x, 0) \ \forall x \in \mathcal{X}\} \quad (2.7)$$

if there exists such constant  $C$ , and  $d(g, h) = \infty$  otherwise. It is easy to see that  $d(h, h) = 0$  and  $d(g, h) = d(h, g)$ , for all  $g, h \in \Delta$ . For each  $g, h, p \in \Delta$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf\{C \in (0, \infty) : \|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq C\phi(x, 0) \ \forall x \in \mathcal{X}\} \\ & \leq \inf\{C \in (0, \infty) : \|g(x) - p(x)\| \leq C\phi(x, 0) \ \forall x \in \mathcal{X}\} \\ & \quad + \inf\{C \in (0, \infty) : \|p(x) - h(x)\| \leq C\phi(x, 0) \ \forall x \in \mathcal{X}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Hence,  $d(g, h) \leq d(g, p) + d(p, h)$ . Now if  $d(g, h) = 0$ , then for every fixed  $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ , we have  $\|g(x_0) - h(x_0)\| \leq C\phi(x_0, 0)$ , for all  $C > 0$ . This implies  $g = h$ . Let  $\{h_n\}$  be a  $d$ -Cauchy sequence in  $\Delta$ , then  $d(h_m, h_n) \rightarrow 0$ , and thus  $\|h_m(x) - h_n(x)\| \rightarrow 0$ , for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . Since  $\mathcal{Y}$  is

complete, then there exists  $h \in \Delta$  such that  $h_n \xrightarrow{d} h$  in  $\Delta$ . Therefore,  $d$  is a generalized metric on  $\Delta$ , and the metric space  $(\Delta, d)$  is complete. Now, we define the mapping  $\mathcal{J} : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$  by

$$\mathcal{J}g(x) = \frac{1}{2^4}g(2x), \quad (x \in \mathcal{X}). \quad (2.9)$$

Fix a  $C \in (0, \infty)$  and take  $g, h \in \Delta$  such that  $d(g, h) < C$ . The definitions of  $d$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  show that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{2^4}g(2x) - \frac{1}{2^4}h(2x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2^4}C\phi(2x, 0), \quad (2.10)$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . By using (2.4), we have

$$\left\| \frac{1}{2^4}g(2x) - \frac{1}{2^4}h(2x) \right\| \leq CK\phi(x, 0), \quad (2.11)$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . It follows from the above inequality that  $d(\mathcal{J}g, \mathcal{J}h) \leq Kd(g, h)$ , for all  $g, h \in \Delta$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{J}$  is a strictly contractive mapping on  $\Delta$  with a Lipschitz constant  $K$ . Putting  $y = 0$  in (2.3) and dividing both sides of the resulting inequality by 32, we have

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{16}f(2x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{32}\phi(x, 0), \quad (2.12)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Thus,  $d(f, \mathcal{J}f) \leq 1/32 < \infty$ . Note that by Theorem 2.1,  $d(\mathcal{J}^n g, \mathcal{J}^{n+1} g) < \infty$ , for all  $n \geq 0$ . Thus, we get  $n_0 = 0$  in this theorem, so (iii) and (iv) of Theorem 2.1 are true on the whole  $\Delta$ . However, the sequence  $\{\mathcal{J}^n f\}$  converges to a unique fixed-point  $Q : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  in the set  $\{g \in \Delta; d(f, g) < \infty\}$ , that is,

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^{4n}}, \quad (2.13)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . By the part (iv) of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$d(f, Q) \leq \frac{d(f, \mathcal{J}f)}{1 - K} \leq \frac{1}{32(1 - K)}. \quad (2.14)$$

From (2.14), we observe that the inequality (2.5) holds for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . Substituting  $x, y$  by  $2^n x, 2^n y$  in (2.3), respectively, and applying (2.6) and (2.13), we have

$$\|DQ(x, y)\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{4n}} \|Df(2^n x, 2^n y)\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{4n}} \phi(2^n x, 2^n y) = 0, \quad (2.15)$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . Therefore,  $Q$  is a quartic mapping which is unique by part (iii) of Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** Let  $p, \theta$  be nonnegative real numbers such that  $p < 4$ , and let  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  be a mapping (with  $f(0) = 0$  when  $p = 0$ ) satisfying

$$\|Df(x, y)\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p), \quad (2.16)$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ , then there exists a unique quartic mapping  $Q : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{\theta}{32 - 2^{p+1}} \|x\|^p, \quad (2.17)$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ .

*Proof.* The result follows from Theorem 2.2 by using  $\phi(x, y) = \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$ .  $\square$

Now, we establish the superstability of quartic mapping on Banach spaces under some conditions.

**Corollary 2.4.** Let  $p, q, \theta$  be nonnegative real numbers such that  $p + q \in (0, 4)$ . Suppose that a mapping  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  satisfies

$$\|Df(x, y)\| \leq \theta \|x\|^p \|y\|^q, \quad (2.18)$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ , then  $f$  is a quartic mapping on  $\mathcal{X}$ .

*Proof.* Letting  $\phi(x, y) = \theta \|x\|^p \|y\|^q$  in Theorem 2.2, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi(2^n x, 2^n y)}{2^{4n}} = 0, \quad (2.19)$$

which shows (2.6) holds for  $\phi$ . Putting  $x = y = 0$  in (2.18), we get  $f(0) = 0$ . Furthermore, if we put  $y = 0$  in (2.18), then we have  $f(2x) = 2^4 f(x)$ , for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . It is easy to see that by induction, we have  $f(2^n x) = 2^{4n} f(x)$ , and so  $f(x) = f(2^n x)/2^{4n}$ , for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now, it follows from Theorem 2.2 that  $f$  is a quartic mapping.  $\square$

Let  $\theta$  and  $p$  be positive real numbers. Suppose that a mapping  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  satisfies

$$\|Df(x, y)\| \leq \theta \|y\|^p, \quad (2.20)$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ , then by considering  $\phi(x, y) = \theta \|y\|^p$  in Theorem 2.2, the mapping  $f$  is again a quartic mapping on  $\mathcal{X}$ .

The following result is proved in [16, Theorem 1].

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a linear space, and let  $\mathcal{Y}$  be a Banach space. Let  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  be a mapping for which there exists a function  $\varphi : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\varphi}(x, y) &:= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-4k} \varphi(2^k x, 2^k y) < \infty, \\ \|Df(x, y)\| &\leq \delta + \varphi(x, y)\end{aligned}\tag{2.21}$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ , where  $\delta \geq 0$ , then there exists a unique quartic mapping  $Q : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  such that

$$\left\| f(x) - Q(x) + \frac{1}{5}f(0) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{30}\delta + \frac{1}{32}\tilde{\varphi}(x, 0)\tag{2.22}$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ .

One should note that in the above theorem,  $f(0)$  is not necessarily zero, but in the following result, we assume that  $f(0) = 0$  and also consider the case  $\delta = 0$ . By these hypotheses and by applying Theorem 2.1, we obtain the specific result which is a way to prove the superstability of a quartic functional equation.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  be a mapping with  $f(0) = 0$ , and let  $\psi : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function satisfying

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{4n} \psi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}\right) = 0,\tag{2.23}$$

$$\|Df(x, y)\| \leq \psi(x, y),\tag{2.24}$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ . If there exists  $L \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\psi(x, 0) \leq 2^{-4}L\psi(2x, 0),\tag{2.25}$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ , then there exists a unique quartic mapping  $Q : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{L}{32(1-L)}\psi(x, 0),\tag{2.26}$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ .

*Proof.* We take the set  $\Omega := \{g : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \mid g(0) = 0\}$  and consider the generalized metric on  $\Omega$ ,

$$d(g_1, g_2) := \inf\{C \in (0, \infty) : \|g_1(x) - g_2(x)\| \leq C\psi(x, 0) \ \forall x \in \mathcal{X}\},\tag{2.27}$$

if there exists such a constant  $C$ , and  $d(g_1, g_2) = \infty$  otherwise. It follows from the proof of Theorem 2.2 that the metric space  $(\Omega, d)$  is complete (see the proof of Theorem 2.2).

We will show that the mapping  $\mathcal{J} : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  defined by  $\mathcal{J}g(x) = 2^4g(x/2)$  ( $x \in \mathcal{X}$ ) is strictly contractive. Fix a  $C \in (0, \infty)$  and take  $g_1, g_2 \in \Omega$  such that  $d(g_1, g_2) < C$ , then we have

$$\left\| 2^4g_1\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - 2^4g_2\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\| \leq 2^4C\psi\left(\frac{x}{2}, 0\right), \quad (2.28)$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . By using (2.25), we obtain

$$\left\| 2^4g_1\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - 2^4g_2\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\| \leq CL\psi(x, 0), \quad (2.29)$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . It follows from the last inequality that  $d(\mathcal{J}g_1, \mathcal{J}g_2) \leq Ld(g_1, g_2)$ , for all  $g_1, g_2 \in \Omega$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{J}$  is a strictly contractive mapping on  $\Omega$  with a Lipschitz constant  $L$ . By putting  $y = 0$ , replacing  $x$  by  $x/2$  in (2.24) and using (2.25), and then dividing both sides of the resulting inequality by 2, we have

$$\left\| 2^4f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - f(x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\psi\left(\frac{x}{2}, 0\right) \leq 2^{-5}L\psi(x, 0), \quad (2.30)$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . Hence,  $d(f, \mathcal{J}f) \leq 2^{-5}L < \infty$ . By applying the fixed-point alternative Theorem 2.1, there exists a unique mapping  $Q : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  in the set  $\Omega_1 = \{g \in \Omega; d(f, g) < \infty\}$  such that

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{4n}f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right), \quad (2.31)$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . Again Theorem 2.1 shows that

$$d(f, Q) \leq \frac{d(f, \mathcal{J}f)}{1 - L} \leq \frac{2^{-5}L}{1 - L}. \quad (2.32)$$

Hence, inequality (2.32) implies (2.26). Replacing  $x, y$  by  $2^n x, 2^n y$  in (2.24), respectively, and using (2.23) and (2.31), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \|DQ(x, y)\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{4n} \left\| Df\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}\right) \right\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{4n} \psi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}\right) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . Therefore,  $Q$  is a quartic mapping.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.7.** *Let  $p$  and  $\lambda$  be nonnegative real numbers such that  $p > 4$ . Suppose that  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  is a mapping satisfying*

$$\|Df(x, y)\| \leq \lambda(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p), \quad (2.34)$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ , then there exists a unique quartic mapping  $Q : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{\lambda}{2(2^p - 2^4)} \|x\|^p \quad (2.35)$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ .

*Proof.* It is enough to let  $\varphi(x, y) = \lambda(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$  in Theorem 2.6.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.8.** Let  $p, q, \lambda$  be nonnegative real numbers such that  $p + q \in (4, \infty)$ . Suppose that a mapping  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  satisfies

$$\|Df(x, y)\| \leq \lambda \|x\|^p \|y\|^q \quad (2.36)$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ . Then  $f$  is a quartic mapping on  $\mathcal{X}$ .

*Proof.* Putting  $\varphi(x, y) = \theta \|x\|^p \|y\|^q$  in Theorem 2.6, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(2^n x, 2^n y)}{2^{4n}} = 0, \quad (2.37)$$

and thus, (2.6) holds. If we put  $x = y = 0$  in (2.36), then we get  $f(0) = 0$ . Again, letting  $y = 0$  in (2.36), we conclude that  $f(x) = 2^4 f(x/2)$ , and thus,  $f(x) = 2^{4n} f(x/2^n)$ , for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now, we can obtain the desired result by Theorem 2.6.

From Corollaries 2.4 and 2.8 we deduce the following result.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.9.** Let  $p, q$ , and  $\lambda$  be nonnegative real numbers such that  $p + q > 0$  and  $p + q \neq 4$ . Suppose that a mapping  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  satisfies (2.36), for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$  then  $f$  is a quartic mapping on  $\mathcal{X}$ .

## Acknowledgments

This paper was prepared while the first author was attending as a Postdoctoral Researcher in University Putra Malaysia. He is pleased to thank the staff of the Institute for Mathematical Research for warm hospitality, and he wishes to express his gratitude to Professor Dato' Dr. Hj. Kamel Ariffin Mohd Atan. The authors would like to thank the referees for careful reading and giving some useful comments in the first draft of the paper.

## References

- [1] J. Baker, "The stability of the cosine equation," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 80, no. 3, pp. 411–416, 1980.
- [2] S. M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, USA, 1940.
- [3] D. H. Hyers, "On the stability of the linear functional equation," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 27, pp. 222–224, 1941.
- [4] T. M. Rassias, "On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 297–300, 1978.



- [5] I. S. Chang, K. W. Jun, and Y. S. Jung, "The modified Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a cubic type functional equation," *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 675–683, 2005.
- [6] S. Czerwik, "On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces," *Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg*, vol. 62, pp. 59–64, 1992.
- [7] M. Eshaghi Gordji and A. Bodaghi, "On the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability problem for quadratic functional equations," *East Journal on Approximations*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 123–130, 2010.
- [8] K. W. Jun and H. M. Kim, "The generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a cubic functional equation," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 274, no. 2, pp. 867–878, 2002.
- [9] J. Lee, J. An, and C. Park, "On the stability of quadratic functional equations," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2008, Article ID 628178, 8 pages, 2008.
- [10] S. H. Lee, S. M. Im, and I. S. Hwang, "Quartic functional equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 307, no. 2, pp. 387–394, 2005.
- [11] T. Zhou Xu, J. M. Rassias, and W. Xin Xu, "A fixed point approach to the stability of a general mixed additive-cubic functional equation in quasi fuzzy normed spaces," *International Journal of the Physical SciencesInt*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 313–324, 2011.
- [12] T. Z. Xu, J. M. Rassias, and W. X. Xu, "Generalized Ulam-Hyers stability of a general mixed AQCC-functional equation in multi-Banach spaces: a fixed point approach," *European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 1032–1047, 2010.
- [13] A. Bodaghi, I. A. Alias, and M. Eshaghi Gordji, "On the stability of quadratic double centralizers and quadratic multipliers: a fixed point approach," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2011, Article ID 957541, 9 pages, 2011.
- [14] A. Bodaghi, I. A. Alias, and M. H. Ghahramani, "Approximately cubic functional equations and cubic multipliers," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2011, 53 pages, 2011.
- [15] J. B. Diaz and B. Margolis, "A fixed point theorem of the alternative, for contractions on a generalized complete metric space," *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 74, pp. 305–309, 1968.
- [16] A. Najati, "On the stability of a quartic functional equation," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 340, no. 1, pp. 569–574, 2008.

