

Research Article

Existence Theory for q -Antiperiodic Boundary Value Problems of Sequential q -Fractional Integrodifferential Equations

Ravi P. Agarwal,^{1,2} Bashir Ahmad,² Ahmed Alsaedi,² and Hana Al-Hutami²

¹ Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, Kingsville, TX 78363-8202, USA

² Nonlinear Analysis and Applied Mathematics Research Group (NAAM), King Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 80203, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia

Correspondence should be addressed to Bashir Ahmad; bashirahmad.qau@yahoo.com

Received 21 February 2014; Accepted 3 April 2014; Published 30 April 2014

Academic Editor: Erdal Karapinar

Copyright © 2014 Ravi P. Agarwal et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We discuss the existence and uniqueness of solutions for a new class of sequential q -fractional integrodifferential equations with q -antiperiodic boundary conditions. Our results rely on the standard tools of fixed-point theory such as Krasnoselskii's fixed-point theorem, Leray-Schauder nonlinear alternative, and Banach's contraction principle. An illustrative example is also presented.

1. Introduction

We consider a q -antiperiodic boundary value problem of sequential q -fractional integrodifferential equations given by

$${}^c D_q^\alpha ({}^c D_q^\gamma + \lambda) x(t) = Af(t, x(t)) + BI_q^\rho g(t, x(t)),$$

$$0 \leq t \leq 1, \quad 0 < q < 1, \quad (1)$$

$$x(0) = -x(1), \quad (t^{(1-\gamma)} D_q x(t)) \Big|_{t=0} = -D_q x(1),$$

where ${}^c D_q^\alpha$ and ${}^c D_q^\gamma$ denote the fractional q -derivative of the Caputo type, $0 < \alpha, \gamma \leq 1$, $I_q^\rho(\cdot)$ denotes Riemann-Liouville integral with $0 < \rho < 1$, f, g being given continuous functions, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and A, B being real constants.

The aim of the present study is to establish some existence and uniqueness results for the problem (1) by means of Krasnoselskii's fixed-point theorem, Leray-Schauder nonlinear alternative, and Banach's contraction principle. Though the tools employed in this work are standard, yet their exposition in the framework of the given problem is new.

Fractional calculus has developed into a popular mathematical modelling tool for many real world phenomena occurring in physical and technical sciences, see, for example, [1–4]. A fractional-order differential operator distinguishes itself from an integer-order differential operator in the sense

that it is nonlocal in nature and can describe the memory and hereditary properties of some important and useful materials and processes. This feature has fascinated many researchers and several results ranging from theoretical analysis to asymptotic behavior and numerical methods for fractional differential equations have been established. For some recent work on the topic, see [5–12] and references therein.

The mathematical modeling of linear control systems, concerning the controllability of systems consisting of a set of well-defined interconnected objects, is based on the linear systems of divided difference functional equations. The controllability in mathematical control theory studies the concepts such as controllability of the state, controllability of the output, controllability at the origin, and complete controllability. The q -difference equations play a key role in the control theory as these equations are always completely controllable and appear in the q -optimal control problem [13]. The variational q -calculus is known as a generalization of the continuous variational calculus due to the presence of an extra-parameter q whose nature may be physical or economical. The study of the q -uniform lattice rely on the q -Euler equations. In other words, it suffices to solve the q -Euler-Lagrange equation for finding the extremum of the functional involved instead of solving the Euler-Lagrange equation [14]. One can find more details in a series of papers [15–21].

The subject of fractional q -difference (q -fractional) equations is regarded as fractional analogue of q -difference equations and has recently gained a considerable attention. For examples and details, we refer the reader to the works [22–33] and references therein while some earlier work on the subject can be found in [34–36]. The present work is motivated by recent interest in the study of fractional-order differential equations.

2. Preliminaries on Fractional q -Calculus

Let us describe the notations and terminology for q -fractional calculus [35].

For a real parameter $q \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{1\}$, a q -real number denoted by $[a]_q$ is defined by

$$[a]_q = \frac{1 - q^a}{1 - q}, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2)$$

The q -analogue of the Pochhammer symbol (q -shifted factorial) is defined as

$$(a; q)_0 = 1, \quad (a; q)_k = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (1 - aq^i), \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}. \quad (3)$$

The q -analogue of the exponent $(x - y)^k$ is

$$(x - y)^{(0)} = 1, \quad (x - y)^{(k)} = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (x - yq^j), \quad (4)$$

$$k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The q -gamma function $\Gamma_q(y)$ is defined as

$$\Gamma_q(y) = \frac{(1 - q)^{(y-1)}}{(1 - q)^{y-1}}, \quad (5)$$

where $y \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$. Observe that $\Gamma_q(y + 1) = [y]_q \Gamma_q(y)$.

Definition 1 (see [35]). Let f be a function defined on $[0, 1]$. The fractional q -integral of the Riemann-Liouville type of order $\beta \geq 0$ is $(I_q^\beta f)(t) = f(t)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} I_q^\beta f(t) &:= \int_0^t \frac{(t - qs)^{(\beta-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\beta)} f(s) d_qs \\ &= t^\beta (1 - q)^\beta \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^k \frac{(q^\beta; q)_k}{(q; q)_k} f(tq^k), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

$$\beta > 0, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Observe that the above q -integral reduces to the following one for $\beta = 1$.

$$I_q f(t) := \int_0^t f(s) d_qs = t(1 - q) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^k f(tq^k). \quad (7)$$

Further details of q -integrals and fractional q -integrals can be found respectively in Section 1.3 and Section 4.2 of the text [35].

Remark 2. The semigroup property holds for q -fractional integration (Proposition 4.3 [35]):

$$I_q^\gamma I_q^\beta f(t) = I_q^{\beta+\gamma} f(t); \quad \gamma, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^+. \quad (8)$$

Further, it has been shown in Lemma 6 of [37] that

$$I_q^\beta (x)^{(v)} = \frac{\Gamma_q(v+1)}{\Gamma_q(\beta+v+1)} (x)^{(\beta+v)}, \quad (9)$$

$$0 < x < a, \quad \beta \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad v \in (-1, \infty).$$

Before giving the definition of fractional q -derivative, we recall the concept of q -derivative.

Let f be a real valued function defined on a q -geometric set A ($|q| \neq 1$). Then the q -derivative of a function f is defined as

$$D_q f(t) = \frac{f(t) - f(qt)}{t - qt}, \quad t \in A \setminus \{0\}. \quad (10)$$

For $0 \in A$, the q -derivative at zero is defined for $|q| < 1$ by

$$D_q f(0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(tq^n) - f(0)}{tq^n}, \quad t \in A \setminus \{0\}. \quad (11)$$

Provided that the limit exists and does not depend on t .

Furthermore,

$$D_q^0 f = f, \quad D_q^n f = D_q(D_q^{n-1} f), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (12)$$

Definition 3 (see [35]). The Caputo fractional q -derivative of order $\beta > 0$ is defined by

$${}^c D_q^\beta f(t) = I_q^{[\beta]-\beta} D_q^{[\beta]} f(t), \quad (13)$$

where $[\beta]$ is the smallest integer greater than or equal to β .

Next we enlist some properties involving Riemann-Liouville q -fractional integral and Caputo fractional q -derivative (Theorem 5.2 [35]):

$$I_q^\beta {}^c D_q^\beta f(t) = f(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{[\beta]-1} \frac{t^k}{\Gamma_q(k+1)} (D_q^k f)(0^+), \quad (14)$$

$$\forall t \in (0, a], \quad \beta > 0;$$

$${}^c D_q^\beta I_q^\beta f(t) = f(t), \quad \forall t \in (0, a], \quad \beta > 0.$$

Now we establish a lemma that plays a key role in the sequel.

Lemma 4. For a given $h \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$, the boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} {}^c D_q^\alpha ({}^c D_q^\gamma + \lambda) x(t) &= h(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \quad 0 < q < 1, \\ x(0) &= -x(1), \quad \left(t^{(1-\gamma)} D_q x(t) \right) \Big|_{t=0} = -D_q x(1) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

is equivalent to the q -integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= \int_0^t \frac{(t-qu)^{(\gamma-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma)} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} h(m) d_q m - \lambda x(u) \right) d_q u \\ &\quad + \frac{(1-2t^\gamma)}{4[\gamma]_q} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^1 \frac{(1-qu)^{(\gamma-2)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma-1)} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} h(m) d_q m - \lambda x(u) \right) d_q u \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-qu)^{(\gamma-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma)} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} h(m) d_q m - \lambda x(u) \right) d_q u. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Proof. It is well known that the solution of q -fractional equation in (15) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= \int_0^t \frac{(t-qu)^{(\gamma-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma)} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} h(m) d_q m - \lambda x(u) \right) d_q u \\ &\quad - \frac{t^\gamma}{\Gamma_q(\gamma+1)} c_0 - c_1. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Differentiating (17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D_q x(t) &= \int_0^t \frac{(t-qu)^{(\gamma-2)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma-1)} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} h(m) d_q m - \lambda x(u) \right) d_q u \\ &\quad - \frac{[\gamma]_q t^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma+1)} c_0. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Using the boundary conditions (15) in (17) and (18) and solving the resulting expressions for c_0 and c_1 , we get

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 &= \frac{\Gamma_q(\gamma+1)}{2[\gamma]_q} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^1 \frac{(1-qu)^{(\gamma-2)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma-1)} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} h(m) d_q m - \lambda x(u) \right) d_q u, \\ c_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-qu)^{(\gamma-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma)} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} h(m) d_q m - \lambda x(u) \right) d_q u \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4[\gamma]_q} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^1 \frac{(1-qu)^{(\gamma-2)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma-1)} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} h(m) d_q m - \lambda x(u) \right) d_q u. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Substituting the values of c_0 and c_1 in (17) yields the solution (16). The converse follows in a straightforward manner. This completes the proof. \square

Let $\mathcal{C} = C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ denote the Banach space of all continuous functions from $[0, 1]$ into \mathbb{R} endowed with the usual norm defined by $\|x\| = \sup\{|x(t)|, t \in [0, 1]\}$.

In view of Lemma 4, we define an operator $\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{U}x)(t) &= \int_0^t \frac{(t-qu)^{(\gamma-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma)} \\ &\quad \times \left(A \int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \right. \\ &\quad \times f(m, x(m)) d_q m \\ &\quad + B \int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha+\rho-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+\rho)} \\ &\quad \times g(m, x(m)) d_q m \\ &\quad \left. - \lambda x(u) \right) d_q u + \frac{(1-2t^\gamma)}{4[\gamma]_q} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \int_0^1 \frac{(1-qu)^{(\gamma-2)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma-1)} \\
& \times \left(A \int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \right. \\
& \quad \times f(m, x(m)) d_q m \\
& \quad + B \int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha+\rho-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+\rho)} \\
& \quad \times g(m, x(m)) d_q m - \lambda x(u) \Big) d_q u \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-qu)^{(\gamma-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma)} \\
& \times \left(A \int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \right. \\
& \quad \times f(m, x(m)) d_q m \\
& \quad + B \int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha+\rho-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+\rho)} \\
& \quad \times g(m, x(m)) d_q m \\
& \quad \left. - \lambda x(u) \right) d_q u. \tag{20}
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that the problem (1) has solutions only if the operator equation $x = \mathcal{U}x$ has fixed points.

3. Main Results

For the forthcoming analysis, the following conditions are assumed.

- (A₁) $f, g : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions such that $|f(t, x) - f(t, y)| \leq L_1|x - y|$ and $|g(t, x) - g(t, y)| \leq L_2|x - y|$, for all $t \in [0, 1]$, $L_1, L_2 > 0$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (A₂) There exist $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^+)$ with $|f(t, x)| \leq \zeta_1(t)$, $|g(t, x)| \leq \zeta_2(t)$, for all $(t, x) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$, where $\|\zeta_i\| = \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |\zeta_i(t)|$, $i = 1, 2$.

For computational convenience, we set

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_1 &= \frac{3}{2\Gamma_q(\alpha+\gamma+1)} + \frac{1}{4[\gamma]_q \Gamma_q(\alpha+\gamma)}, \\
\delta_2 &= \frac{3}{2\Gamma_q(\alpha+\rho+\gamma+1)} + \frac{1}{4[\gamma]_q \Gamma_q(\alpha+\rho+\gamma)}, \\
\delta_3 &= \frac{3}{2\Gamma_q(\gamma+1)} + \frac{1}{4\Gamma_q(\gamma+1)},
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda &= L \left[|A| \left(\frac{1}{4[\gamma]_q \Gamma_q(\alpha+\gamma)} + \frac{1}{2\Gamma_q(\alpha+\gamma+1)} \right) \right. \\
& \quad + |B| \left(\frac{1}{4[\gamma]_q \Gamma_q(\alpha+\rho+\gamma)} + \frac{1}{2\Gamma_q(\alpha+\rho+\gamma+1)} \right) \Big] \\
& \quad + |\lambda| \left[\frac{1}{4\Gamma_q(\gamma+1)} + \frac{1}{2\Gamma_q(\gamma+1)} \right]. \tag{22}
\end{aligned}$$

Our first existence result is based on Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem.

Lemma 5 (see, Krasnoselskii [38]). *Let Y be a closed, convex, bounded, and nonempty subset of a Banach space X . Let Q_1, Q_2 be the operators such that (i) $Q_1x + Q_2y \in Y$ whenever $x, y \in Y$; (ii) Q_1 is compact and continuous; and (iii) Q_2 is a contraction mapping. Then there exists $z \in Y$ such that $z = Q_1z + Q_2z$.*

Theorem 6. *Let $f, g : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous functions satisfying (A₁)-(A₂). Furthermore $\Lambda < 1$, where Λ is given by (22) and $L = \max\{L_1, L_2\}$. Then the problem (1) has at least one solution on $[0, 1]$.*

Proof. Consider the set $B_\sigma = \{x \in \mathcal{C} : \|x\| \leq \sigma\}$, where σ is given by

$$\sigma \geq \frac{|A| \|\zeta_1\| \delta_1 + |B| \|\zeta_2\| \delta_2}{1 - |\lambda| \delta_3}, \quad 1 - |\lambda| \delta_3 > 0. \tag{23}$$

Define operators \mathcal{U}_1 and \mathcal{U}_2 on B_σ as

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{U}_1 x)(t) &= \int_0^t \frac{(t-qu)^{(\gamma-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma)} \\
& \times \left(A \int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} f(m, x(m)) d_q m \right. \\
& \quad + B \int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha+\rho-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+\rho)} \\
& \quad \times g(m, x(m)) d_q m \\
& \quad \left. - \lambda x(u) \right) d_q u, \quad t \in [0, 1], \\
(\mathcal{U}_2 x)(t) &= \frac{(1-2t^\gamma)}{4[\gamma]_q} \\
& \times \int_0^1 \frac{(1-qu)^{(\gamma-2)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma-1)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \times \left(A \int_0^u \frac{(u - qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_0^u \frac{(u - qm)^{(\alpha+\rho-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha + \rho)} \right. \\
 & \quad \times f(m, x(m)) d_q m \\
 & \quad + B \int_0^u \frac{(u - qm)^{(\alpha+\rho-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha + \rho)} \\
 & \quad \times g(m, x(m)) d_q m \\
 & \quad \left. - \lambda x(u) \right) d_q u \\
 & - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - qu)^{(\gamma-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma)} \\
 & \times \left(A \int_0^u \frac{(u - qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} f(m, x(m)) d_q m \right. \\
 & \quad + B \int_0^u \frac{(u - qm)^{(\alpha+\rho-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha + \rho)} \\
 & \quad \times g(m, x(m)) d_q m \\
 & \quad \left. - \lambda x(u) \right) d_q u, \quad t \in [0, 1].
 \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

For $x, y \in B_\sigma$, we find that

$$\|\mathcal{U}_1 x + \mathcal{U}_2 y\| \leq |A| \|\zeta_1\| \delta_1 + |B| \|\zeta_2\| \delta_2 + |\lambda| \sigma \delta_3 \leq \sigma. \tag{25}$$

Thus, $\mathcal{U}_1 x + \mathcal{U}_2 y \in B_\sigma$. Continuity of f and g imply that the operator \mathcal{U}_1 is continuous. Also, \mathcal{U}_1 is uniformly bounded on B_σ as

$$\|\mathcal{U}_1 x\| \leq \frac{|A| \|\zeta_1\|}{\Gamma_q(\alpha + \gamma + 1)} + \frac{|B| \|\zeta_2\|}{\Gamma_q(\alpha + \rho + \gamma + 1)} + \frac{|\lambda| \sigma}{\Gamma_q(\gamma + 1)}. \tag{26}$$

Now, we prove the compactness of the operator \mathcal{U}_1 . In view of (A_1) , we define

$$\sup_{(t,x) \in [0,1] \times B_\sigma} |f(t, x)| = \bar{f}, \quad \sup_{(t,x) \in [0,1] \times B_\sigma} |g(t, x)| = \bar{g}. \tag{27}$$

Consequently, for $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|(\mathcal{U}_1 x)(t_2) - (\mathcal{U}_1 x)(t_1)\| \\
 & \leq \int_0^{t_1} \frac{(t_2 - qu)^{(\gamma-1)} - (t_1 - qu)^{(\gamma-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma)} \\
 & \quad \times \left[|A| \bar{f} \int_0^u \frac{(u - qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} d_q m + |B| \bar{g} \right. \\
 & \quad \times \int_0^u \frac{(u - qm)^{(\alpha+\rho-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha + \rho)} d_q m + |\lambda| \sigma \left. \right] d_q u \\
 & \quad + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{(t_2 - qu)^{(\gamma-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma)} \\
 & \quad \times \left[|A| \bar{f} \int_0^u \frac{(u - qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} d_q m + |B| \bar{g} \right. \\
 & \quad \times \int_0^u \frac{(u - qm)^{(\alpha+\rho-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha + \rho)} d_q m + |\lambda| \sigma \left. \right] d_q u,
 \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

which is independent of x and tends to zero as $t_2 \rightarrow t_1$. Thus, \mathcal{U}_1 is relatively compact on B_σ . Hence, by the Arzelà-Ascoli Theorem, \mathcal{U}_1 is compact on B_σ . Now, we shall show that \mathcal{U}_2 is a contraction.

From (A_1) and for $x, y \in B_\sigma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|\mathcal{U}_2 x - \mathcal{U}_2 y\| \\
 & \leq \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \left\{ \frac{1}{4[\gamma]_q} \right. \\
 & \quad \times \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - qu)^{(\gamma-2)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma - 1)} \\
 & \quad \times \left[|A| \int_0^u \frac{(u - qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \right. \\
 & \quad \times |f(m, x(m)) - f(m, y(m))| d_q m \\
 & \quad + |B| \int_0^u \frac{(u - qm)^{(\alpha+\rho-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha + \rho)} \\
 & \quad \times |g(m, x(m)) - g(m, y(m))| d_q m \\
 & \quad \left. \left. + |\lambda| |x(u) - y(u)| \right] d_q u \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-qu)^{(\gamma-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma)} \\
& \quad \times \left[|A| \int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \right. \\
& \quad \quad \times |f(m, x(m)) - f(m, y(m))| d_q m \\
& \quad + |B| \int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha+\rho-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+\rho)} \\
& \quad \quad \times |g(m, x(m)) - g(m, y(m))| d_q m \\
& \quad \left. + |\lambda| |x(u) - y(u)| \right] d_q u \Big\} \\
& \leq \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \left\{ \frac{1}{4[\gamma]_q} \right. \\
& \quad \times \int_0^1 \frac{(1-qu)^{(\gamma-2)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma-1)} \\
& \quad \times \left[|A| \int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} L_1 \right. \\
& \quad \quad \times |x(m) - y(m)| d_q m \\
& \quad + |B| \int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha+\rho-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+\rho)} \\
& \quad \quad \times L_2 |x(m) - y(m)| d_q m \\
& \quad \left. + |\lambda| |x(u) - y(u)| \right] d_q u \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-qu)^{(\gamma-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma)} \\
& \quad \times \left[|A| \int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \right. \\
& \quad \quad \times L_1 |x(m) - y(m)| d_q m \\
& \quad + |B| \int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha+\rho-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+\rho)} \\
& \quad \quad \times L_2 |x(m) - y(m)| d_q m \\
& \quad \left. + |\lambda| |x(u) - y(u)| \right] d_q u \Big\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \leq \left[L \left[|A| \left(\frac{1}{4[\gamma]_q \Gamma_q(\alpha+\gamma)} + \frac{1}{2\Gamma_q(\alpha+\gamma+1)} \right) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. + |B| \left(\frac{1}{4[\gamma]_q \Gamma_q(\alpha+\rho+\gamma)} + \frac{1}{2\Gamma_q(\alpha+\rho+\gamma+1)} \right) \right] \\
& \quad \left. + |\lambda| \left[\frac{1}{4[\gamma]_q \Gamma_q(\gamma)} + \frac{1}{2\Gamma_q(\gamma+1)} \right] \right] \|x - y\| \\
& = \Lambda \|x - y\|,
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where we have used (22). In view of the assumption $\Lambda < 1$, the operator \mathcal{U}_2 is a contraction. Thus, all the conditions of Lemma 5 are satisfied. Hence, by the conclusion of Lemma 5, the problem (1) has at least one solution on $[0, 1]$. \square

Our next result is based on Leray-Schauder nonlinear alternative.

Lemma 7 (nonlinear alternative for single valued maps, see [39]). *Let E be a Banach space, C a closed, convex subset of E , W an open subset of C , and $0 \in W$. Suppose that $\mathcal{U} : \overline{W} \rightarrow C$ is a continuous, compact (i.e., $\mathcal{U}(\overline{W})$ is a relatively compact subset of C) map. Then either*

- (i) \mathcal{U} has a fixed point in \overline{W} , or
- (ii) there is a $x \in \partial W$ (the boundary of W in C) and $\kappa \in (0, 1)$ with $x = \kappa \mathcal{U}(x)$.

Theorem 8. *Let $f, g : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous functions and the following assumptions hold:*

(A₃) *there exist functions $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^+)$, and nondecreasing functions $\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2 : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $|f(t, x)| \leq \nu_1(t) \vartheta_1(\|x\|)$, $|g(t, x)| \leq \nu_2(t) \vartheta_2(\|x\|)$, for all $(t, x) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$;*

(A₄) *there exists a constant $\omega > 0$ such that*

$$\omega > \frac{|A| \|\nu_1\| \vartheta_1(\omega) \delta_1 + |B| \|\nu_2\| \vartheta_2(\omega) \delta_2}{1 - |\lambda| \delta_3}, \quad 1 - |\lambda| \delta_3 > 0. \tag{30}$$

Then the boundary value problem (1) has at least one solution on $[0, 1]$.

Proof. Consider the operator $\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ defined by (20). The proof consists of several steps.

- (i) It is easy to show that \mathcal{U} is continuous.
- (ii) \mathcal{U} maps bounded sets into bounded sets in $C([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R})$.

For a positive number \bar{r} , let $B_{\bar{r}} = \{x \in \mathcal{C} : \|x\| \leq \bar{r}\}$ be a bounded set in $C([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R})$ and $x \in B_{\bar{r}}$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\mathcal{U}x)\| \\ & \leq \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} \left\{ \int_0^t \frac{(t-qu)^{(\gamma-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma)} \right. \\ & \quad \times \left[|A| \int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} |f(m, x(m))| d_q m \right. \\ & \quad + |B| \int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha+\rho-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+\rho)} \\ & \quad \times |g(m, x(m))| d_q m \\ & \quad \left. + |\lambda| |x(u)| \right] d_q u \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{4[\gamma]_q} \\ & \quad \times \int_0^1 \frac{(1-qu)^{(\gamma-2)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma-1)} \\ & \quad \times \left[|A| \int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} |f(m, x(m))| d_q m \right. \\ & \quad + |B| \int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha+\rho-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+\rho)} \\ & \quad \times |g(m, x(m))| d_q m \\ & \quad \left. + |\lambda| |x(u)| \right] d_q u \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-qu)^{(\gamma-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma)} \\ & \quad \times \left[|A| \int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} |f(m, x(m))| d_q m \right. \\ & \quad + |B| \int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha+\rho-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+\rho)} \\ & \quad \times |g(m, x(m))| d_q m \\ & \quad \left. + |\lambda| |x(u)| \right] d_q u \Big\} \\ & \leq \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} \left\{ \int_0^t \frac{(t-qu)^{(\gamma-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma)} \right. \\ & \quad \times \left[|A| \int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \nu_1(m) \vartheta_1(\|x\|) d_q m \right. \\ & \quad + |B| \int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha+\rho-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+\rho)} \\ & \quad \times \nu_2(m) \vartheta_2(\|x\|) d_q m \\ & \quad \left. + |\lambda| |x(u)| \right] d_q u \Big\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & + |B| \int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha+\rho-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+\rho)} \\ & \quad \times \nu_2(m) \vartheta_2(\|x\|) d_q m \\ & \quad + |\lambda| |x(u)| \Big] d_q u \\ & + \frac{1}{4[\gamma]_q} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-qu)^{(\gamma-2)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma-1)} \\ & \quad \times \left[|A| \int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \right. \\ & \quad \times \nu_1(m) \vartheta_1(\|x\|) d_q m \\ & \quad + |B| \int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha+\rho-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+\rho)} \\ & \quad \times \nu_2(m) \vartheta_2(\|x\|) d_q m \\ & \quad \left. + |\lambda| |x(u)| \right] d_q u \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-qu)^{(\gamma-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma)} \\ & \quad \times \left[|A| \int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \right. \\ & \quad \times \nu_1(m) \vartheta_1(\|x\|) d_q m \\ & \quad + |B| \int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha+\rho-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+\rho)} \\ & \quad \times \nu_2(m) \vartheta_2(\|x\|) d_q m \\ & \quad \left. + |\lambda| |x(u)| \right] d_q u \Big\} \\ & \leq |A| \|\nu_1\| \vartheta_1(\|x\|) \\ & \quad \times \left\{ \int_0^1 \frac{(1-qu)^{(\gamma-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma)} \left[\int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} d_q m \right] d_q u \right. \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{4[\gamma]_q} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-qu)^{(\gamma-2)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma-1)} \\ & \quad \times \left[\int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} d_q m \right] d_q u \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-qu)^{(\gamma-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma)} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \left[\int_0^u \frac{(u - qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} d_q m \right] d_q u \Big\} \\
& + |B| \|\nu_2\| \vartheta_2(\|x\|) \\
& \times \left\{ \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - qu)^{(\gamma-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma)} \left[\int_0^u \frac{(u - qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} d_q m \right] d_q u \right. \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{4[\gamma]_q} \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - qu)^{(\gamma-2)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma-1)} \\
& \quad \times \left[\int_0^u \frac{(u - qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} d_q m \right] d_q u \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - qu)^{(\gamma-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma)} \\
& \quad \times \left[\int_0^u \frac{(u - qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} d_q m \right] d_q u \Big\} \\
& + |\lambda| \|x\| \left\{ \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - qu)^{(\gamma-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma)} d_q u + \frac{1}{4[\gamma]_q} \right. \\
& \quad \times \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - qu)^{(\gamma-2)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma-1)} d_q u \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - qu)^{(\gamma-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma)} d_q u \right\} \\
& \leq |A| \|\nu_1\| \vartheta_1(\bar{r}) \delta_1 + |B| \|\nu_2\| \vartheta_2(\bar{r}) \delta_2 + |\lambda| \bar{r} \delta_3 \leq \bar{r}.
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

This shows that $\mathcal{U}x \in B_{\bar{r}}$.

(iii) \mathcal{U} maps bounded sets into equicontinuous sets of $C([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R})$.

Let $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ with $t_1 < t_2$ and $x \in B_{\bar{r}}$, where $B_{\bar{r}}$ is a bounded set of $C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$. Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(\mathcal{U}x)(t_2) - (\mathcal{U}x)(t_1)\| \\
& \leq \left| \int_0^{t_1} \frac{(t_2 - qu)^{(\gamma-1)} - (t_1 - qu)^{(\gamma-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma)} \right. \\
& \quad \times \left[|A| \int_0^u \frac{(u - qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \nu_1(m) \vartheta_1(\bar{r}) d_q m \right. \\
& \quad + |B| \int_0^u \frac{(u - qm)^{(\alpha+\rho-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+\rho)} \\
& \quad \left. \left. \times \nu_2(m) \vartheta_2(\bar{r}) d_q m + |\lambda| \bar{r} \right] d_q u \right|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{(t_2 - qu)^{(\gamma-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma)} \\
& \quad \times \left[|A| \int_0^u \frac{(u - qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \nu_1(m) \vartheta_1(\bar{r}) d_q m \right. \\
& \quad + |B| \int_0^u \frac{(u - qm)^{(\alpha+\rho-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+\rho)} \\
& \quad \times \nu_2(m) \vartheta_2(\bar{r}) d_q m \\
& \quad \left. + |\lambda| \bar{r} \right] d_q u \Big| \\
& + \frac{(t_2^\gamma - t_1^\gamma)}{2[\gamma]_q} \\
& \times \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - qu)^{(\gamma-2)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma-1)} \\
& \quad \times \left[|A| \int_0^u \frac{(u - qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \nu_1(m) \vartheta_1(\bar{r}) d_q m \right. \\
& \quad + |B| \int_0^u \frac{(u - qm)^{(\alpha+\rho-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+\rho)} \\
& \quad \times \nu_2(m) \vartheta_2(\bar{r}) d_q m \\
& \quad \left. + |\lambda| \bar{r} \right] d_q u.
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Obviously the right-hand side of the above inequality tends to zero independently of $x \in B_{\bar{r}}$ as $t_2 - t_1 \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, it follows by the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem that $\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is completely continuous.

(iv) Let x be a solution of the given problem such that $x = \kappa \mathcal{U}x$ for $\kappa \in (0, 1)$. Then, for $t \in [0, 1]$, it follows by the procedure used to establish (ii) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& |x(t)| = |\kappa(\mathcal{U}x)(t)| \\
& \leq |A| \|\nu_1\| \vartheta_1(\|x\|) \delta_1 + |B| \|\nu_2\| \vartheta_2(\|x\|) \delta_2 \\
& \quad + |\lambda| \|x\| \delta_3.
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Consequently, we have

$$\|x\| \leq \frac{|A| \|\nu_1\| \vartheta_1(\|x\|) \delta_1 + |B| \|\nu_2\| \vartheta_2(\|x\|) \delta_2}{1 - |\lambda| \delta_3}. \tag{34}$$

In view of (A_4) , there exists ω such that $\|x\| \neq \omega$. Let us set

$$W = \{x \in \mathcal{C} : \|x\| < \omega\}. \tag{35}$$

Note that the operator $\mathcal{U} : \overline{W} \rightarrow C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ is continuous and completely continuous. From the choice of W , there is no $x \in \partial W$ such that $x = \kappa \mathcal{U}(x)$ for some $\kappa \in (0, 1)$. In consequence, by the nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder type (Lemma 7), we deduce that \mathcal{U} has a fixed point $x \in \overline{W}$ which is a solution of the problem (1). This completes the proof.

□

Finally we show the existence of a unique solution of the given problem by applying Banach's contraction mapping principle (Banach fixed-point theorem).

Theorem 9. Suppose that the assumption (A_1) holds and

$$\overline{\Lambda} = (L\Lambda_1 + |\lambda| \delta_3) < 1, \quad \Lambda_1 = |A| \delta_1 + |B| \delta_2, \quad (36)$$

where δ_1, δ_2 , and δ_3 are given by (21) and $L = \max\{L_1, L_2\}$. Then the boundary value problem (1) has a unique solution.

Proof. Fix $M = \max\{M_1, M_2\}$, where M_1, M_2 are finite numbers given by $M_1 = \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |f(t, 0)|$, $M_2 = \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |g(t, 0)|$. Selecting $\sigma \geq M\Lambda_1/(1 - \overline{\Lambda})$, we show that $\mathcal{U}B_\sigma \subset B_\sigma$, where $B_\sigma = \{x \in \mathcal{C} : \|x\| \leq \sigma\}$. For $x \in B_\sigma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\mathcal{U}x)\| \\ & \leq \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} \left\{ \int_0^t \frac{(t-qu)^{(\gamma-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma)} \right. \\ & \quad \times \left[|A| \int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} |f(m, x(m))| d_q m \right. \\ & \quad + |B| \int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha+\rho-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+\rho)} \\ & \quad \times |g(m, x(m))| d_q m \\ & \quad \left. + |\lambda| |x(u)| \right] d_q u \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{4[\gamma]_q} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-qu)^{(\gamma-2)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma-1)} \\ & \quad \times \left[|A| \int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \right. \\ & \quad \times |f(m, x(m))| d_q m \\ & \quad + |B| \int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha+\rho-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+\rho)} \\ & \quad \times |g(m, x(m))| d_q m \\ & \quad \left. + |\lambda| |x(u)| \right] d_q u \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-qu)^{(\gamma-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma)} \\ & \quad \times \left[|A| \int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \right. \\ & \quad \times |f(m, x(m))| d_q m \\ & \quad + |B| \int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha+\rho-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+\rho)} \\ & \quad \times |g(m, x(m))| d_q m \\ & \quad \left. + |\lambda| |x(u)| \right] d_q u \Big\} \\ & \leq \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} \left\{ \int_0^t \frac{(t-qu)^{(\gamma-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma)} \right. \\ & \quad \times \left[|A| \int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \right. \\ & \quad \times (|f(m, x(m)) - f(m, 0)| \\ & \quad + |f(m, 0)|) d_q m \\ & \quad + |B| \int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha+\rho-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+\rho)} \\ & \quad \times (|g(m, x(m)) - g(m, 0)| \\ & \quad + |g(m, 0)|) d_q m \\ & \quad \left. + |\lambda| |x(u)| \right] d_q u \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{4[\gamma]_q} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-qu)^{(\gamma-2)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma-1)} \\ & \quad \times \left[|A| \int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \right. \\ & \quad \times (|f(m, x(m)) - f(m, 0)| \\ & \quad + |f(m, 0)|) d_q m \\ & \quad + |B| \int_0^u \frac{(u-qm)^{(\alpha+\rho-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+\rho)} \\ & \quad \times (|g(m, x(m)) - g(m, 0)| \\ & \quad + |g(m, 0)|) d_q m \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + |B| \int_0^u \frac{(u - qm)^{(\alpha + \rho - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha + \rho)} \\
 & \quad \times |g(m, x(m)) \\
 & \quad - g(m, y(m))| d_q m \\
 & + |\lambda| |x(u) - y(u)| \Big] d_q u \\
 & + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - qu)^{(\gamma - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\gamma)} \\
 & \quad \times \left[|A| \int_0^u \frac{(u - qm)^{(\alpha - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \right. \\
 & \quad \times |f(m, x(m)) \\
 & \quad - f(m, y(m))| d_q m \\
 & + |B| \int_0^u \frac{(u - qm)^{(\alpha + \rho - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha + \rho)} \\
 & \quad \times |g(m, x(m)) \\
 & \quad - g(m, y(m))| d_q m \\
 & \left. + |\lambda| |x(u) - y(u)| \Big] d_q u \right\} \\
 & \leq \bar{\Lambda} \|x - y\|.
 \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Since $\bar{\Lambda} \in (0, 1)$ by the given assumption, therefore \mathcal{U} is a contraction. Hence, it follows by Banach's contraction principle that the problem (1) has a unique solution. \square

Example 10. Consider a q -fractional integrodifferential equation with q -antiperiodic boundary conditions given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 & {}^c D_q^\alpha \left({}^c D_q^\gamma + \frac{1}{15} \right) x(t) \\
 & = \frac{1}{5} f(t, x(t)) + \frac{1}{8} I_q^\rho g(t, x(t)), \\
 & \quad 0 < t < 1, \quad 0 < q < 1,
 \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

$$x(0) = -x(1), \quad \left(t^{(1-\gamma)} D_q x(t) \right) \Big|_{t=0} = -D_q x(1),$$

where $\alpha = \gamma = \rho = q = 1/2, \lambda = 1/15, A = 1/5, B = 1/8, f(t, x) = (t/20\pi) \sin 2\pi x + (t+1)(1+x)^4/(1+(1+x)^4), g(t, x) = (1/8\pi) \tan^{-1} x + 1/16$. With the given data, $\delta_1 \approx 1.92678, \delta_2 \approx 1.72332, \delta_3 \approx 1.90037$, and

$$|f(t, x)| \leq (t+1) \left(\frac{\|x\|}{10} + 1 \right), \quad |g(t, x)| \leq \frac{1}{8}. \tag{40}$$

Clearly, $v_1(t) = t + 1, \vartheta_1(\|x\|) = \|x\|/10 + 1, v_2(t) = 1/8, \vartheta_1(\|x\|) = 1$, and the condition (A_4) implies that $\omega > 1.00176$. Thus all the assumptions of Theorem 8 are satisfied. Hence, the conclusion of Theorem 8 applies to the problem (39).

Example 11. Consider the following q -fractional q -antiperiodic boundary value problem:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & {}^c D_q^\alpha \left({}^c D_q^\gamma + \frac{1}{8} \right) x(t) \\
 & = \frac{1}{2} f(t, x(t)) + \frac{1}{4} I_q^\rho g(t, x(t)),
 \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

$$0 < t < 1, \quad 0 < q < 1,$$

$$x(0) = -x(1), \quad \left(t^{(1-\gamma)} D_q x(t) \right) \Big|_{t=0} = -D_q x(1),$$

where $\alpha = \gamma = \rho = q = A = 1/2, \lambda = 1/8, B = 1/4, f(t, x) = (1/(t^2+2))(|x|/(1+|x|)) + \sin^2 t, g(t, x) = (1/4) \tan^{-1} x + \cos^2 t + t^3 + 5$. With the given data, it is found that $L_1 = 1/2, L_2 = 1/4$ as $|f(t, x) - f(t, y)| \leq (1/2)|x - y|, |g(t, x) - g(t, y)| \leq (1/4)|x - y|$. Clearly $L = \max\{L_1, L_2\} = 1/2$. Moreover, $\delta_1 \approx 1.92678, \delta_2 \approx 1.72332$, and $\delta_3 \approx 1.90037$. Using the given values, it is found that $\bar{\Lambda} \approx 0.934655 < 1$. Thus all the assumptions of Theorem 9 are satisfied. Hence, by the conclusion of Theorem 9, there exists a unique solution for the problem (41).

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgment

This work was partially supported by Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR), King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

References

- [1] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas, and O. I. Marichev, *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives*, Gordon and Breach Science, Yverdon, Switzerland, 1993.
- [2] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations*, vol. 198 of *Mathematics in Science and Engineering*, Academic Press, San Diego, Calif, USA, 1999.
- [3] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, and J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, vol. 204 of *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*, Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2006.
- [4] D. Baleanu, K. Diethelm, E. Scalas, and J. J. Trujillo, *Fractional Calculus*, vol. 3 of *Series on Complexity, Nonlinearity and Chaos*, World Scientific, Boston, Mass, USA, 2012.
- [5] D. Baleanu, O. G. Mustafa, and R. P. Agarwal, "On L^p -solutions for a class of sequential fractional differential equations," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 218, no. 5, pp. 2074–2081, 2011.

- [6] X. Liu, M. Jia, and W. Ge, "Multiple solutions of a p -Laplacian model involving a fractional derivative," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2013, article 126, 2013.
- [7] R. P. Agarwal and B. Ahmad, "Existence theory for anti-periodic boundary value problems of fractional differential equations and inclusions," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 62, no. 3, pp. 1200–1214, 2011.
- [8] N. J. Ford and M. L. Morgado, "Fractional boundary value problems: analysis and numerical methods," *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 554–567, 2011.
- [9] A. Aghajani, Y. Jalilian, and J. J. Trujillo, "On the existence of solutions of fractional integro-differential equations," *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 44–69, 2012.
- [10] D. O'Regan and S. Staněk, "Fractional boundary value problems with singularities in space variables," *Nonlinear Dynamics*, vol. 71, no. 4, pp. 641–652, 2013.
- [11] B. Ahmad and J. J. Nieto, "Boundary value problems for a class of sequential integrodifferential equations of fractional order," *Journal of Function Spaces and Applications*, vol. 2013, Article ID 149659, 8 pages, 2013.
- [12] L. Zhang, B. Ahmad, G. Wang, and R. P. Agarwal, "Nonlinear fractional integro-differential equations on unbounded domains in a Banach space," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 249, pp. 51–56, 2013.
- [13] G. Bangerezako, " q -difference linear control systems," *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, vol. 17, no. 9, pp. 1229–1249, 2011.
- [14] G. Bangerezako, "Variational q -calculus," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 289, no. 2, pp. 650–665, 2004.
- [15] J. D. Logan, "First integrals in the discrete variational calculus," *Aequationes Mathematicae*, vol. 9, pp. 210–220, 1973.
- [16] O. P. Agrawal, "Some generalized fractional calculus operators and their applications in integral equations," *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 700–711, 2012.
- [17] S. Guermah, S. Djennoune, and M. Bettayeb, "Controllability and observability of linear discrete-time fractional-order systems," *International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 213–222, 2008.
- [18] Z. Bartosiewicz and E. Pawłuszewicz, "Realizations of linear control systems on time scales," *Control and Cybernetics*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 769–786, 2006.
- [19] D. Mozyrska and Z. Bartosiewicz, "On observability concepts for nonlinear discrete-time fractional order control systems," *New Trends in Nanotechnology and Fractional Calculus Applications*, vol. 4, pp. 305–312, 2010.
- [20] T. Abdeljawad, F. Jarad, and D. Baleanu, "Variational optimal-control problems with delayed arguments on time scales," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2009, Article ID 840386, 2009.
- [21] F. Mainardi, "Fractional calculus: some basic problems in continuum and statistical mechanics," in *Fractals and Fractional Calculus in Continuum Mechanics*, A. Carpinteri and F. Mainardi, Eds., pp. 291–348, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1997.
- [22] R. A. C. Ferreira, "Nontrivial solutions for fractional q -difference boundary value problems," *Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations*, vol. 70, pp. 1–10, 2010.
- [23] B. Ahmad, J. J. Nieto, A. Alsaedi, and H. Al-Hutami, "Existence of solutions for nonlinear fractional q -difference integral equations with two fractional orders and nonlocal four-point boundary conditions," *Journal of the Franklin Institute*, vol. 351, no. 5, pp. 2890–2909, 2014.
- [24] C. S. Goodrich, "Existence and uniqueness of solutions to a fractional difference equation with nonlocal conditions," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 191–202, 2011.
- [25] J. Ma and J. Yang, "Existence of solutions for multi-point boundary value problem of fractional q -difference equation," *Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations*, vol. 92, pp. 1–10, 2011.
- [26] J. R. Graef and L. Kong, "Positive solutions for a class of higher order boundary value problems with fractional q -derivatives," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 218, no. 19, pp. 9682–9689, 2012.
- [27] B. Ahmad and S. K. Ntouyas, "Existence of solutions for nonlinear fractional q -difference inclusions with nonlocal Robin (separated) conditions," *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 1333–1351, 2013.
- [28] X. Li, Z. Han, and S. Sun, "Existence of positive solutions of nonlinear fractional q -difference equation with parameter," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2013, article 260, 2013.
- [29] A. Alsaedi, B. Ahmad, and H. Al-Hutami, "A study of nonlinear fractional q -difference equations with nonlocal integral boundary conditions," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2013, Article ID 410505, 8 pages, 2013.
- [30] F. Jarad, T. Abdeljawad, and D. Baleanu, "Stability of q -fractional non-autonomous systems," *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 780–784, 2013.
- [31] T. Abdeljawad, D. Baleanu, F. Jarad, and R. P. Agarwal, "Fractional sums and differences with binomial coefficients," *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, vol. 2013, Article ID 104173, 6 pages, 2013.
- [32] R. A. C. Ferreira, "Positive solutions for a class of boundary value problems with fractional q -differences," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 367–373, 2011.
- [33] A. Ashyralyev, N. Nalbant, and Y. Szen, "Structure of fractional spaces generated by second order difference operators," *Journal of the Franklin Institute*, vol. 351, no. 2, pp. 713–731, 2014.
- [34] W. A. Al-Salam, "Some fractional q -integrals and q -derivatives," *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society. Series II*, vol. 15, pp. 135–140, 1967.
- [35] M. H. Annaby and Z. S. Mansour, *q -Fractional Calculus and Equations*, vol. 2056 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2012.
- [36] R. P. Agarwal, "Certain fractional q -integrals and q -derivatives," vol. 66, pp. 365–370, 1969.
- [37] P. M. Rajković, S. D. Marinković, and M. S. Stanković, "On q -analogues of Caputo derivative and Mittag-Leffler function," *Fractional Calculus & Applied Analysis*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 359–373, 2007.
- [38] D. R. Smart, *Fixed Point Theorems*, Cambridge University Press, 1974.
- [39] A. Granas and J. Dugundji, *Fixed Point Theory*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2003.

