Hindawi Publishing Corporation
Abstract and Applied Analysis
Volume 2014, Article ID 346162, 4 pages
http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/346162

Research Article

On a New Criterion for Meromorphic Starlike Functions

Lei Shi and Zhi-Gang Wang

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Anyang Normal University, Anyang Henan 455000, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Zhi-Gang Wang; zhigangwang@foxmail.com

Received 14 December 2013; Accepted 17 February 2014; Published 19 March 2014

Academic Editor: Mohamed K. Aouf

The main purpose of this paper is to derive a new criterion for meromorphic starlike functions of order α.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let Σ_n denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_{k-1} z^{k-1} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \ldots\}), \tag{1}$$

which are *analytic* in the *punctured* open unit disk

$$\mathbb{U}^* := \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } 0 < |z| < 1\} =: \mathbb{U} \setminus \{0\}. \tag{2}$$

A function $f \in \Sigma_n$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{MS}_n^*(\alpha)$ of *meromorphic starlike functions of order* α if it satisfies the condition

$$\Re\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) < -\alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; 0 \leqq \alpha < 1). \tag{3}$$

For simplicity, we write $\mathcal{MS}_n^*(0) =: \mathcal{MS}_n^*$.

For two functions f and g, analytic in $\mathbb{U}$, we say that the function f is subordinate to g in $\mathbb{U}$ and write

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \tag{4}$$

if there exists a Schwarz function ω, which is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with

$$\omega(0) = 0, \qquad |\omega(z)| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \tag{5}$$

such that

$$f(z) = g(\omega(z)) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{6}$$

Indeed, it is known that

$$\begin{aligned} &f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \\ &\Longrightarrow f(0) = g(0), \qquad f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}). \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Furthermore, if the function g is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, then we have the following equivalence:

$$\begin{aligned} &f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \\ &\Longleftrightarrow f(0) = g(0), \qquad f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}). \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

In a recent paper, Miller et al. [1] proved the following result.

Theorem A. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leqq \lambda \leqq 1$, and*

$$M_0(\lambda, n) = \frac{n + 1 - \lambda}{\sqrt{(n + 1 - \lambda)^2 + \lambda^2} + 1 - \lambda}. \tag{9}$$

If $f \in \Sigma_n$ satisfies the condition

$$\left|z^2 f'(z) + (1 - \lambda) z f(z) + \lambda\right| < M_0(\lambda, n) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \tag{10}$$

then $f \in \mathcal{MS}_n^*$.

More recently, Catas [2] improved Theorem A as follows.

Theorem B. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leqq \lambda < 1$, and*

$$M(\lambda, n) = \max\{M_0(\lambda, n), M_1(\lambda, n)\}, \tag{11}$$

where $M_0(\lambda, n)$ is given by (9) *and*

$$M_1(\lambda, n) = \frac{2(n+1-\lambda)(1-\lambda)}{(1-\lambda)(n-1) + \sqrt{(n+1-\lambda)^2(1-\lambda) + [(n-1)(1-\lambda)]^2}}. \tag{12}$$

If $f \in \Sigma_n$ satisfies the condition

$$\left|z^2 f'(z) + (1-\lambda) z f(z) + \lambda\right| < M(\lambda, n) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \tag{13}$$

then $f \in \mathcal{MS}_n^*$.

In this paper, we aim at finding the conditions for starlikeness of the expression $|z^2 f'(z) + \lambda z f(z) + 1 - \lambda|$ for $\lambda > 1$.

For some recent investigations of meromorphic functions, see, for example, the works of [3–12] and the references cited therein.

In order to prove our main results, we require the following subordination result due to Hallenbeck and Ruscheweyh [13].

Lemma 1. *Let ϕ be a convex function with $\phi(0) = 1$, and let $\gamma \neq 0$ be a complex number with $\Re(\gamma) \geqq 0$. If a function*

$$\mathfrak{p}(z) = 1 + \mathfrak{p}_n z^n + \mathfrak{p}_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \cdots \tag{14}$$

satisfies the condition

$$\mathfrak{p}(z) + \frac{1}{\gamma} z \mathfrak{p}'(z) \prec \phi(z), \tag{15}$$

then

$$\mathfrak{p}(z) \prec \chi(z) := \frac{\gamma}{n z^{\gamma/n}} \int_0^z \phi(t) t^{(\gamma/n)-1} dt \prec \phi(z). \tag{16}$$

2. Main Results

We begin by stating the following result.

Theorem 2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda > 1$, and $0 \leqq \alpha < 1$. If $f \in \Sigma_n$ satisfies the inequality*

$$\left|z^2 f'(z) + \lambda z f(z) + 1 - \lambda\right| < M, \tag{17}$$

where

$$M := M(\lambda, \alpha, n) = \frac{(1-\alpha)(\lambda+n-1)}{\lambda - \alpha + \sqrt{(1-\lambda)^2 + (\lambda+n-1)^2}}, \tag{18}$$

then $f \in \mathcal{MS}_n^*(\alpha)$.

Proof. Suppose that

$$q(z) := z f(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{19}$$

It follows from (19) that

$$z q'(z) = z f(z) + z^2 f'(z). \tag{20}$$

By combining (17), (19), and (20), we easily get

$$\left|q(z) + \frac{1}{\lambda-1} z q'(z) - 1\right| < \frac{M}{\lambda-1}, \tag{21}$$

or equivalently

$$q(z) + \frac{1}{\lambda-1} z q'(z) \prec 1 + \frac{M}{\lambda-1} z. \tag{22}$$

An application of Lemma 1 yields

$$\begin{aligned} q(z) &\prec \frac{\lambda-1}{n z^{(\lambda-1)/n}} \int_0^z \left(1 + \frac{M}{\lambda-1} t\right) t^{[(\lambda-1)/n]-1} dt \\ &= 1 + \frac{M}{\lambda+n-1} z. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

The subordination (23) is equivalent to

$$\left|q(z) - 1\right| < \frac{M}{\lambda+n-1} =: N. \tag{24}$$

From (18) and (24), we know that

$$N < \frac{1-\alpha}{\lambda-\alpha} < 1. \tag{25}$$

We suppose that

$$-\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} := (1-\alpha) p(z) + \alpha. \tag{26}$$

By virtue of (19) and (26), we get

$$z^2 f'(z) = -q(z)\left[(1-\alpha) p(z) + \alpha\right], \tag{27}$$

which implies that (17) can be written as

$$\left|q(z)\left[(1-\alpha) p(z) + \alpha - \lambda\right] + \lambda - 1\right| < M = (\lambda+n-1) N. \tag{28}$$

We now only need to show that (28) implies $\Re(p(z)) > 0$ in $\mathbb{U}$. Indeed, if this is false, since $p(0) = 1$, then there exists a point $z_0 \in \mathbb{U}$ such that $p(z_0) = \beta i$, where β is a real number. Thus, in order to show that (28) implies $\Re(p(z)) > 0$ in $\mathbb{U}$, it suffices to obtain the contradiction from the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|q(z_0)\left[(1-\alpha)\beta i + \alpha - \lambda\right] + \lambda - 1\right| \\ &\quad \geqq (\lambda+n-1) N \quad (\beta \in \mathbb{R}). \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

By setting

$$q(z_0) = u + iv \quad (u, v \in \mathbb{R}), \tag{30}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \left|q(z_0)\left[(1-\alpha)\beta i+\alpha-\lambda\right]+\lambda-1\right|^2 \\ &= \left(u^2+v^2\right)\left[(1-\alpha)^2\beta^2+(\alpha-\lambda)^2\right] \\ &\quad -2(1-\lambda)\Re\left((u+iv)\left[(1-\alpha)\beta i+\alpha-\lambda\right]\right)+(1-\lambda)^2 \\ &= \left(u^2+v^2\right)(1-\alpha)^2\beta^2+2(1-\lambda)(1-\alpha)\beta v \\ &\quad +\left|(u+iv)(\alpha-\lambda)-(1-\lambda)\right|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

By means of (24), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|(u+iv)(\alpha-\lambda)-(1-\lambda)\right| \\ &\quad = \left|(u+iv)(\alpha-\lambda)-(\alpha-\lambda)+\alpha-\lambda-1+\lambda\right| \\ &\quad = \left|(\alpha-\lambda)(u+iv-1)-(1-\alpha)\right| \\ &\quad \geqq 1-\alpha-(\lambda-\alpha)\left|u+iv-1\right| \\ &\quad \geqq 1-\alpha-(\lambda-\alpha)N. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

It follows from (31) and (32) that

$$\begin{aligned} E &\geqq \left(u^2+v^2\right)(1-\alpha)^2\beta^2+2(1-\lambda)(1-\alpha)\beta v \\ &\quad +\left[1-\alpha-(\lambda-\alpha)N\right]^2. \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

We now set

$$\begin{aligned} F(\beta) &:= E-M^2 \\ &\geqq \left(u^2+v^2\right)(1-\alpha)^2\beta^2+2(1-\lambda)(1-\alpha)v\beta \\ &\quad +\left[1-\alpha-(\lambda-\alpha)N\right]^2-(\lambda+n-1)^2N^2. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

If $F(\beta)\geqq 0$, then (29) holds true. Since $(u^2+v^2)(1-\alpha)^2>0$, the inequality $F(\beta)\geqq 0$ holds if the discriminant $\Delta\leqq 0$; that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= (1-\alpha)^2 \\ &\quad \times\left\{(1-\lambda)^2v^2-\left(u^2+v^2\right)\right. \\ &\quad \left.\times\left[(1-\alpha-(\lambda-\alpha)N)^2-(\lambda+n-1)^2N^2\right]\right\}\leqq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

and the last inequality is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} &v^2\left[(1-\lambda)^2-(1-\alpha-(\lambda-\alpha)N)^2+(\lambda+n-1)^2N^2\right] \\ &\quad \leqq u^2\left[(1-\alpha-(\lambda-\alpha)N)^2-(\lambda+n-1)^2N^2\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Furthermore, in view of (24) and (36), after a geometric argument, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{v^2}{u^2} &\leqq \frac{N^2}{1-N^2} \\ &\leqq \frac{(1-\alpha-(\lambda-\alpha)N)^2-(\lambda+n-1)^2N^2}{(1-\lambda)^2-(1-\alpha-(\lambda-\alpha)N)^2+(\lambda+n-1)^2N^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

It follows from (37) that $\Delta\leqq 0$, which implies that $F(\beta)\geqq 0$. But this contradicts (28). Therefore, we know that $\Re(p(z))>0$ in $\mathbb{U}$. By virtue of (26), we conclude that

$$\Re\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right)<-\Re\left((1-\alpha)p(z)+\alpha\right)<-\alpha. \tag{38}$$

This evidently completes the proof of Theorem 2. □

Taking $\alpha=0$ in Theorem 2, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3. *Let $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda>1$. If $f\in\Sigma_n$ satisfies the inequality*

$$\left|z^2f'(z)+\lambda zf(z)+1-\lambda\right|<\frac{(\lambda+n-1)}{\lambda+\sqrt{(1-\lambda)^2+(\lambda+n-1)^2}}, \tag{39}$$

then $f\in\mathcal{MS}_n^*$.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

The present investigation was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation under Grants nos. 11301008, 11226088, and 11101053, the Foundation for Excellent Youth Teachers of Colleges and Universities of Henan Province under Grant no. 2013GGJS-146, and the Natural Science Foundation of Educational Committee of Henan Province under Grant no. 14B110012 of China.

References

[1] S. S. Miller, P. T. Mocanu, and G. Oros, "On a starlikeness condition for meromorphic functions," *Mathematica*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 221–225, 1999.

[2] A. Catas, "Some simple criteria of starlikeness for meromorphic functions," *Filomat*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 109–113, 2008.

[3] R. M. Ali and V. Ravichandran, "Classes of meromorphic α-convex functions," *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 1479–1490, 2010.

[4] A. Catas, "A note on meromorphic m-valent starlike functions," *Journal of Mathematical Inequalities*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 601–607, 2010.

[5] O. S. Kwon and N. E. Cho, "A class of nonlinear integral operators preserving double subordinations," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2008, Article ID 792160, 10 pages, 2008.

[6] K. I. Noor and F. Amber, "On certain classes of meromorphic functions associated with conic domains," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2012, Article ID 801601, 13 pages, 2012.

[7] M. Nunokawa and O. P. Ahuja, "On meromorphic starlike and convex functions," *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 32, no. 7, pp. 1027–1032, 2001.

[8] M. Nunokawa, S. Owa, N. Uyanik, and H. Shiraishi, "Sufficient conditions for starlikeness of order α for meromorphic functions," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 55, no. 3-4, pp. 1245–1250, 2012.

[9] H. Tang, G.-T. Deng, and S.-H. Li, "On a certain new subclass of meromorphic close-to-convex functions," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2013, article 164, pp. 1–6, 2013.

[10] Z.-G. Wang, Z.-H. Liu, and R.-G. Xiang, "Some criteria for meromorphic multivalent starlike functions," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 218, no. 3, pp. 1107–1111, 2011.

[11] Z.-G. Wang, Y. Sun, and N. Xu, "Some properties of certain meromorphic close-to-convex functions," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 454–460, 2012.

[12] Z.-G. Wang, H. M. Srivastava, and S.-M. Yuan, "Some basic properties of certain subclasses of meromorphically starlike functions," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2014, article 29, pp. 1–13, 2014.

[13] D. J. Hallenbeck and S. Ruscheweyh, "Subordination by convex functions," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 52, pp. 191–195, 1975.