Hindawi Abstract and Applied Analysis Volume 2021, Article ID 9959374, 8 pages https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/9959374



Research Article

Fixed Point Theorems for $(\alpha, k, \theta, \varphi)$ -Contraction Multivalued Mappings in b-Metric Space

Youssef Errai 🕞, El Miloudi Marhrani, and Mohamed Aamri

Laboratory of Algebra, Analysis and Applications (L3A), Faculty of Sciences Ben M'Sik, Hassan II University of Casablanca, B.P 7955, Sidi Othmane, Casablanca, Morocco

Correspondence should be addressed to Youssef Errai; yousseferrai1@gmail.com

Received 21 April 2021; Revised 18 June 2021; Accepted 29 July 2021; Published 2 September 2021

Academic Editor: Victor Kovtunenko

Copyright © 2021 Youssef Errai et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We present the concept of $(\alpha, k, \theta, \varphi)$ -contractive multivalued mappings in b-metric spaces and prove some fixed point results for these mappings in this study. Our results expand and refine some of the literature's findings in fixed point theory.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Fixed point theory is one of the most active research areas of mathematics. The findings are used to solve problems in a wide variety of disciplines, including transportation theory, economics, and biomathematics. The development of functional analysis methods assisted in the broadening of the well-known Banach contraction theory (see [1–21] and references therein). One of them is the extension of the principle of contraction to cover applications and multivalued applications.

Samet et al. [20] presented the definition of α -admissible mapping in complete metric spaces and partially ordered metric spaces in 2012 and proved several fixed point theorems under the generalized contraction in both. Following that, Hasanzade et al. [22] introduced the idea of α_* -admissible mapping, which is the multivalued edition of α -admissible single-valued mapping established in [20], and they provided a fixed point result for multivalued mappings in complete metric spaces satisfying many generalized contractive conditions.

In 2013, Mohammadi et al. [16] developed the notion of an α_* -admissible mapping to the class of α -admissible mappings.

In 2014, Jleli and Samet [11] expanded the contractive condition by considering the following function Θ :

Definition 1 ([11]). Let Θ denote the set of all functions $\theta:(0,\infty)\longrightarrow(1,\infty)$ fulfilling the following criteria:

 (Θ_1) : θ is nondecreasing;

 (Θ_2) : from every sequence $\{z_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$, $\lim_{n \longrightarrow \infty} \theta(z_n) = 1$ if and only if $\lim_{n \longrightarrow \infty} z_n = 0$;

if and only if $\lim_{n \longrightarrow \infty} z_n = 0$; (Θ_3) : there exists 0 < r < 1 and $l \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\lim_{z \longrightarrow 0^+} (\theta(z) - 1)/z^r = l$;

 (Θ_4) : every $\theta \in \Theta$ is continuous.

Definition 2 ([11]). Let (Ω, d) be a metric space. A mapping $F: Ω \longrightarrow Ω$ is called a θ-contraction if $θ: (0, ∞) \longrightarrow (1, ∞)$ fulfills (Θ₁) − (Θ₃) and there exists a constant k ∈ (0, 1) such that for all x, y ∈ Ω,

$$d(Fx, Fy) \neq 0 \Longrightarrow \theta(d(Fx, Fy)) \leq [\theta(d(x, y))]^k.$$
 (1)

Several researchers extended (1) in various ways and proved fixed point theorems for a single and multivalued contractive mappings (see [7, 12, 17, 18, 23]).

Any Banach contraction is a θ -contraction, although this is not so for the inverse ([7]).

Definition 3 ([24, 25]). Let $s \ge 1$ be a given real number and Ω be a nonempty set. A function $d: \Omega \times \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a b-metric if the following conditions are fulfilled for all $x, y, z \in \Omega$.

 (bM_1) : d(x, x) = 0 if and only if x = y; (bM_2) : d(x, y) = d(y, x); (bM_3) : $d(x, z) \le s[d(x, y) + d(y, z)]$.

The pair (Ω, d) is called a *b*-metric space.

It is worth noting that *b*-metric space is a broader category than metric spaces.

The following notations are used in this paper:

$$M(x,y) = \max \left\{ d(x,y), d(x,fx), d(y,fy), \frac{[d(x,fy) + d(y,fx)]}{2s} \right\}.$$
(2)

 $Q(x, y) = \min \{d(x, fx), d(x, fy), d(y, fx)\}$, and Ψ is the set of all self mapping on $[0, +\infty[$ such that ψ is a continuous monotone and nondecreasing, and $\psi(t) = 0 \iff t = 0$.

Definition 4 ([26]). Let (Ω, d) be a *b*-metric space. $X \in \Omega$, and consider a sequence $\{u_n\}$ in Ω . Then,

(i) $\{u_n\}$ is b-convergent if there exists $u \in \Omega$ such that $d(u_n,u) \longrightarrow 0$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$

In this case, we write $\lim_{n \to \infty} u_n = u$.

- (ii) $\{u_n\}$ is b-Cauchy sequence if $d(u_n, u_m) \longrightarrow 0$ as n, $m \longrightarrow \infty$
- (iii) X is closed if and only if for each sequence $\{u_n\}$ of points in X with $d(u_n, u) \longrightarrow 0$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$, we have $u \in X$.

Remark 5 ([26]). The following statements hold in a *b*-metric space (Ω, d) .

- (1) A b-convergent sequence has a unique limit
- (2) Each *b*-convergent sequence is a *b*-Cauchy sequence
- (3) In general, a *b*-metric is not continuous
- (4) d does not generally induce a topology on Ω .

Consider $(\Omega; d; s)$ be a b-metric space and $CL(\Omega)$ the class of nonempty closed subsets of Ω . On $CL(\Omega)$, consider H(.,.) be the generalized Pompeiu-Hausdorff b-metric (see [27]); i.e., for all $G; R \in CL(\Omega)$,

$$H(G;R) = \begin{cases} \max \left\{ \sup_{a \in G} d(a,R), \sup_{b \in R} d(G,b) \right\}, & \text{if the maximum exists,} \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
(3)

where $d(a; R) = \inf \{d(a; b): b \in R\}$. For $G; R \in CL(\Omega)$, we put $\delta(G; R) = \sup_{a \in G} d(a; R)$; we note that $\delta(G; R) \leq H(G; R)$. *Definition 6* ([26, 28]). Let (Ω, d) and (Y, δ) be two *b*-metric spaces.

- (D_1) : The space (Ω, d) is *b*-complete if every *b*-Cauchy sequence in Ωb -converges.
- (D₂): A function $f: \Omega \longrightarrow Y$ is *b*-continuous at a point $u \in \Omega$ if it is *b*-sequentially continuous at u; that is, whenever $\{u_n\}$ is *b*-convergent to u, $\{fu_n\}$ is *b*-convergent to fu.

Definition 7 ([16, 22]). Let Ω be a nonempty set, $\alpha: \Omega \times \Omega \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a given mapping, and $N(\Omega)$ the class of all nonempty subsets of Ω .

- (1) If the following condition applies, a mapping $f: \Omega \longrightarrow N(\Omega)$ is called α_* -admissible: for $x, y \in \Omega$, $\alpha(x, y) \ge 1 \Longrightarrow \alpha_*(fx, fy) \ge 1$, where $\alpha_*(fx, fy) \coloneqq \inf \{\alpha(a, b): a \in fx, b \in fy\}$
- (2) For each $x \in \Omega$ and $y \in fx$ with $\alpha(x, y) \ge 1$, we have $\alpha(y, z) \ge 1$; for all $z \in fy$, a mapping $f: \Omega \longrightarrow N(\Omega)$ is said to be α -admissible

Hussain et al. [8] in metric spaces used the concept of α -complete. We expand and apply it in *b*-metric spaces here.

Definition 8. Let (Ω, d) be a b-metric space and $\alpha: \Omega \times \Omega \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a mapping. The b-metric space Ω is said to be α -complete if and only if every b-Cauchy sequence $\{u_n\}$ in Ω with $\alpha(u_n, u_{n+1}) \ge 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, b-converges in Ω .

In 2015, Kutbi and Sintunavarat ([13]) introduced the notion of the α -continuity for multivalued mappings in metric spaces. We expand and apply it in b-metric spaces here.

Definition 9. Let (Ω, d) be a *b*-metric space and $T: \Omega \longrightarrow CL(\Omega)$ and $\alpha: \Omega \times \Omega \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$ be two given mappings. We say T is an α -continuous multivalued mapping on $(CL(\Omega), H)$ if

- (i) for each sequence $\{u_n\}$ with $u_n \longrightarrow u \in \Omega$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$ and
- (ii) $\alpha(u_n, u_{n+1}) \ge 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $Tu_n \longrightarrow Tu$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$

Remark 10.

- (1) It is easy to see that α_* -admissibility implies α -admissibility. But the converse may not be true as shown in example 15 of [15]
- (2) If Ω is b-complete b-metric space, then Ω is also α -complete b-metric space. But the converse is not true (see Example 1)
- (3) Note that the *b*-continuity implies the α -continuity. In general, the converse is not true (see in Example 2)

Example 1. Let $\Omega = (0, \infty), d(x, y) = |x - y|^2$ for all $x, y \in \Omega$, and Λ be a closed subset of Ω . Define $\alpha : \Omega \times \Omega \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$ by the following:

$$\alpha(x,y) = \begin{cases} (x-y)^2 + 1, & \text{if } x, y \in \Lambda, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
 (4)

Clearly, (Ω, d) is not a b-complete b-metric space, but it is an α -complete b-metric space.

Example 2. Let $\Omega = [0, \infty)$ and $d(x, y) = |x - y|^2$ for all $x, y \in \Omega$; let $T : \Omega \longrightarrow CL(\Omega)$ and $\alpha : \Omega \times \Omega \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined by the following:

$$Tx = \begin{cases} \left\{ x^3 \right\}, & \text{if } x \in [0, 1], \\ \left\{ \cos (\pi x) + 3 \right\}, & \text{if } x \in (1, \infty), \end{cases}$$

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} e^{xy}, & \text{if } x, y \in [0, 1], \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(5)

Clearly, T is not a b-continuous multivalued mapping on $(CL(\Omega), H)$. Indeed, if $\{z_n\}$ in Ω defined by $z_n = 1 + 1/n$ for all $n \geq 1$, we see that $z_n = 1 + 1/n \longrightarrow^d 1$ but $Tz_n = \{\cos{(\pi + \pi/n)} + 3\} \longrightarrow^H \{2\} \neq \{1\} = T1$; however, T is a α -continuous multivalued mapping on $(CL(\Omega), H)$. Indeed, if $z_n \longrightarrow^d z$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$ with $\alpha(z_n, z_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then z, $z_n \in [0, 1]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and so $Tz_n = \{z_n^3\} \longrightarrow^H \{z^3\} = Tz$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 11 [29]. Let $(\Omega; d; s)$ be a b-metric space, and let $R \in CL(\Omega)$. If $\varsigma \in \Omega$ and $d(\varsigma; R) < \eta$, then there exists $v \in R$ such that $d(\varsigma; v) < \eta$.

Lemma 12 [1]. Let $(\Omega; d; s)$ be a b-metric space, and let $R \in CL(\Omega)$ and $s \in \Omega$. Then, we have

$$d(\varsigma; R) = 0$$
 if and only if $\varsigma \in \overline{R} = R$, (6)

where \bar{R} denotes the closure of R.

Lemma 13 ([30]). Let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence in a b-metric space (Ω, d, s) with $s \ge 1$ such that

$$d(u_n, u_{n+1}) \le \lambda d(u_{n-1}, u_n),$$
 (7)

for some $\lambda \in [0, 1)$, and each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\{u_n\}$ is a b-Cauchy sequence in (Ω, d, s) .

Lemma 14 [31]. If (Ω, d) is a b-metric space with $s \ge 1$ and $\{u_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are b-convergent to u, y, respectively, we have

$$\frac{1}{s^2}d(u,y) \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} d(u_n, y_n) \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} d(u_n, y_n)$$

$$\le s^2 d(u,y).$$
(8)

In particular, if u=y, then we have $\lim_{n\longrightarrow\infty}d(u_n,y_n)=0$. Moreover, for each $z\in\Omega$, we have

$$\frac{1}{s}d(u,z) \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} d(u_n,z) \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} d(u_n,z) \le sd(u,z). \tag{9}$$

We will prove some new results of the fixed point in α -complete b-metric spaces, we will add a new kind of contraction for multivalued mappings called $(\alpha, k, \theta, \varphi)$ -contraction multivalued mappings, and we give some examples to illustrate the main results of this paper.

2. Results

Definition 15. Let (Ω, d, s) be a b-metric space. A mapping $f: \Omega \longrightarrow CL(\Omega)$ is called weak $(\alpha, k, \theta, \varphi)$ -contractive multivalued mapping if there exist $\alpha: \Omega \times \Omega \longrightarrow [0, \infty), \theta \in \Theta$, $k \in (0, 1), L \geq 0, \varphi \in \Psi$ and constant $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ such that

$$\alpha(x,y) \ge 1 \Longrightarrow \theta(sH(fx,fy))$$

$$\le \lambda \theta(M(x,y))^k - \varphi(M(x,y)) + LQ(x,y), \tag{10}$$

for all $x, y \in \Omega$.

Example 3. Let $\Omega = [12/10, \infty)$, and take the *b*-metric $d(x, y) = |x - y|^2$ for all $x, y \in \Omega$. Define $f : \Omega \longrightarrow CL(\Omega)$, $\varphi : [0, \infty) \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\alpha : \Omega \times \Omega \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$, and $\theta : [0, \infty) \longrightarrow [1, \infty)$ by the following:

$$fx = \left[\frac{12}{10}, \frac{12}{10} + \frac{x}{4}\right],$$

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2}x,$$

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} e^{x-y}, & \text{if } x \in \left[\frac{12}{10} + y, \infty\right), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
(11)

and $\theta(t) = e^{te^t}$. Note that for all $t \ge 12/10$, one has

$$e^{t/8e^{t/8}} \le \frac{3}{10}e^{t/2e^t} - \frac{7}{10}t.$$
 (12)

Now, for all $x, y \in \Omega$ such that $x \in [12/10 + y, \infty)$, we have $H(fx, fy) = |x - y|^2/16$.

$$\begin{split} \theta(sH(fx,fy)) &= e^{|x-y|^2/8e^{|x-y|^2/8}} = e^{d(x,y)/8e^{d(x,y)/8}} \le e^{M(x,y)/8e^{M(x,y)/8}} \\ &\le \frac{3}{10} e^{M(x,y)/2e^{M(x,y)}} - \frac{7}{10} M(x,y) \\ &= \frac{3}{10} \left(\theta(M(x,y))\right)^{1/2} - \varphi(M(x,y)) \\ &= \lambda(\theta(M(x,y)))^k - \varphi(M(x,y)). \end{split}$$

And thus,

$$\theta(sH(fx,fy)) \le \lambda(\theta(M(x,y)))^k - \varphi(M(x,y)) + LQ(x,y). \tag{14}$$

Thus, f is weak $(\alpha, k, \theta, \varphi)$ -contractive multivalued mapping, with $\lambda = 3/10$ and k = 1/2.

The following is our primary result:

Theorem 16. Let (Ω, d, s) be a α -complete b-metric space and $f: \Omega \longrightarrow CL(\Omega)$ is a weak $(\alpha, k, \theta, \varphi)$ -contractive multivalued mapping. Assume that the following conditions hold:

- (i) f is a α -admissible
- (ii) There exists $x_0, x_1 \in \Omega$ such that $x_1 \in fx_0$ and $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \ge 1$
- (iii) f is α -continuous

Then, f has a fixed point. Moreover, if u and v are fixed points of f such that $\alpha(u, v) \ge 1$, then u = v.

Proof. If $x_0 = x_1$ or $x_1 \in fx_1$, then x_1 is a fixed point of f. Assume that $x_0 \neq x_1$ and $x_1 \in fx_1$.

Since f is weak $(\alpha, k, \theta, \varphi)$ -contractive multivalued mapping, we obtain

$$1 < \theta(d(x_1, fx_1)) \le \theta(sH(fx_0, fx_1))$$

$$\le \lambda \theta(M(x_0, x_1))^k - \varphi(M(x_0, x_1)) + LQ(x_0, x_1)$$

$$\le \theta(M(x_0, x_1))^k + LQ(x_0, x_1),$$
(15)

with

$$\begin{split} M(x_0,x_1) &= \max \, \left\{ d(x_0,x_1), d(x_0,fx_0), d(x_1,fx_1), \right. \\ &\left. \frac{d(x_0,fx_1) + d(x_1,fx_0)}{2s} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \, \left\{ d(x_0,x_1), d(x_1,fx_1) \right\}, \\ Q(x_0,x_1) &= \min \, \left\{ d(x_1,fx_1), d(x_0,fx_1), d(x_1,fx_0) \right\} = 0. \end{split}$$

Assume that $M(x_0, x_1) = d(x_1, fx_1)$, and from (15), we get

$$1 < \theta(d(x_1, fx_1)) \le \theta(sH(fx_0, fx_1)) \le \theta(d(x_1, fx_1))^k, \quad (17)$$

which is a contradiction; it follows that $M(x_0, x_1) = d(x_0, x_1)$, and (15) becomes

$$1 < \theta(d(x_1, fx_1)) \le \theta(sH(fx_0, fx_1)) \le \theta(d(x_0, x_1))^k.$$
 (18)

By Lemma 11, there exists $x_2 \in fx_1$ such that $d(x_1, x_2) \le d(x_0, x_1)$, and by the monotonicity of θ , we obtain

$$1 < \theta(d(x_1, x_2)) \le \theta(d(x_0, x_1))^k. \tag{19}$$

Since f is a α -admissible and $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \ge 1$, we have $\alpha(x_1, x_2) \ge 1$.

If $x_2 \in fx_2$, this ends the proof; if $x_2 \notin fx_2$, we have $d(x_2, fx_2) > 0$.

From (10), we have

$$\begin{split} &1 < \theta(d(x_{2}, fx_{2})) \leq \theta(sH(fx_{1}, fx_{2})) \\ &\leq \lambda \theta(M(x_{1}, x_{2}))^{k} - \varphi(M(x_{1}, x_{2})) + LQ(x_{1}, x_{2}) \\ &\leq \lambda \theta(M(x_{1}, x_{2}))^{k} + LQ(x_{1}, x_{2}) \\ &\leq \theta(M(x_{1}, x_{2}))^{k} + LQ(x_{1}, x_{2}). \end{split} \tag{20}$$

As above, we obtain

$$1 < \theta(d(x_2, fx_2)) \le \theta(sH(fx_1, fx_2)) \le \theta(d(x_1, x_2))^k, \quad (21)$$

and then, there exists $x_3 \in fx_2$ such that

$$1 < \theta(d(x_2, x_3)) \le \theta(d(x_1, x_2))^k \le \theta(d(x_0, x_1))^{k^2}.$$
 (22)

We will assume that there exists the sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $x_n \neq x_{n+1} \in fx_n$, $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$, using an inductive method.

And

$$1 < \theta(d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})) \le \theta(d(x_0, x_1))^{k^n}, \tag{23}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This shows that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \theta(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) = 1$ and so $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0$.

Prove that $\{x_n\}$ is a *b*-Cauchy sequence. For s > 1, we have

$$1 < \theta(d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})) \le \theta(sd(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}))$$

$$\le \theta(sH(fx_n, fx_{n+1})) \le \theta(d(x_n, x_{n+1}))^k$$

$$\le \theta(d(x_n, x_{n+1})),$$
(24)

and using the monotonicity of θ , we shall have

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \le \mu d(x_n, x_{n+1}), \tag{25}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\mu = 1/s \in (0, 1)$.

By Lemma 13, we conclude that $\{x_n\}$ is a *b*-Cauchy sequence.

For s=1, we can use similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 of [9] to prove that there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in (0,1)$ such that

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le \frac{1}{n^{1/r}},$$
 (26)

for all $n \ge n_1$. Then, for $m > n > n_1$, we have

$$d(x_n, x_m) \le \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} d(x_i, x_{i+1}) \le \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} \frac{1}{i^{1/r}}.$$
 (27)

Since 0 < r < 1, $\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} (1/i^{1/r})$ converges. Therefore, $d(x_n, x_m) \longrightarrow 0$ as $m, n \longrightarrow \infty$. It follows that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in Ω ; since Ω is α -complete b-metric space, there exists $x \in \Omega$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0. \tag{28}$$

Since f is α -continuous, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} H(fx_n, fx) = 0, \tag{29}$$

and hence.

$$d(x, fx) \le sd(x, x_{n+1}) + sd(x_{n+1}, fx) \le sd(x_{n+1}, x) + sH(fx_n, fx),$$
(30)

which implies that d(x, fx) = 0. And since fx is closed, we obtain $x \in fx$.

Assume that f has two fixed points u and v such $d(u, v) \neq 0$ and $\alpha(u, v) \geq 1$.

We have

$$1 \leq \theta(d(u,v)) \leq \theta(sH(fu,fv))$$

$$\leq \lambda \theta(M(u,v))^{k} - \varphi(M(u,v)) + LQ(u,v)$$

$$\leq \lambda \theta(M(u,v))^{k} + LQ(u,v)$$

$$\leq \theta(M(u,v))^{k} + LO(u,v),$$
(31)

where

$$M(u, v) = \max \{d(u, v), d(u, fu), d(v, fv), \frac{d(u, fv) + d(v, fu)}{2s}\} = d(u, v),$$
(32)

 $Q(u, v) = \min \{d(v, fv), d(u, fv), d(v, fu)\} = 0.$

Then,

$$1 < \theta(d(u, v)) \le \theta(d(u, v))^k, \tag{33}$$

which is a contradiction since $k \in (0, 1)$; thus, u = v, which ends the proof.

Corollary 17. Let (Ω, d, s) be a b-complete b-metric space and $f: \Omega \longrightarrow CL(\Omega)$ is weak $(\alpha, k, \theta, \varphi)$ -contractive multivalued mapping. Assume that the following conditions hold:

- (i) f is a α -admissible
- (ii) There exist x_0 and $x_1 \in fx_0$ such that $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \ge 1$
- (iii) f is a α-continuous

Then, f has a fixed point.

Corollary 18. Let $(\Omega, d, s \ge 1)$ be a α -complete b-metric space and $f: \Omega \longrightarrow CL(\Omega)$ is weak $(\alpha, k, \theta, \varphi)$ -contractive multivalued mapping. Assume that the following conditions are true:

- (i) f is a α -admissible
- (ii) There exist x_0 and $x_1 \in fx_0$ such that $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \ge 1$
- (iii) f is a continuous

Then, f has a fixed point.

Corollary 19. Let (Ω, d, s) be a α -complete b-metric space and $f: \Omega \longrightarrow CL(\Omega)$ is weak $(\alpha, k, \theta, \varphi)$ -contractive multi-valued mapping. Assume that the following conditions are true:

- (i) f is a α_* -admissible
- (ii) There exist x_0 and $x_1 \in fx_0$ such that $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \ge 1$
- (iii) f is a continuous

Then, f has a fixed point.

Theorem 20. Let (Ω, d, s) be a α -complete b-metric space and $f: \Omega \longrightarrow CL(\Omega)$ is a weak $(\alpha, k, \theta, \varphi)$ -contractive multivalued mapping. Assume that the following conditions hold:

- (i) f is a α -admissible
- (ii) There exists x_0 and $x_1 \in fx_0$ such that $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \ge 1$
- (iii) If $\{x_n\}$ is sequence in X such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_n \longrightarrow x \in X$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$, then $\alpha(x_n, x) \ge 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

Then, f has a fixed point.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 16, we obtain a *b*-Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lim_n d(x_n, x) = 0$. Assume that d(x, fx) > 0.

Since f is weak $(\alpha, k, \theta, \varphi)$ -contractive multivalued mapping, we have

$$\alpha(x_n, x) \ge 1 \Longrightarrow \theta(sd(x_{n+1}, fx)) \le \theta(sH(fx_n, fx))$$

$$\le \lambda \theta(M(x_n, x))^k - \varphi(M(x_n, x)) + LQ(x_n, x) \quad (34)$$

$$\le \theta(M(x_n, x))^k + LQ(x_n, x),$$

where

$$M(x_n, x) = \max \left\{ d(x_n, x), d(x_n, fx_n), d(x, fx), \frac{d(x_n, fx) + d(x, fx_n)}{2s} \right\}$$

$$\begin{split} &= \max \left\{ d(x_n, x), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x, fx), \right. \\ &\left. \frac{d(x_n, fx) + d(x, x_{n+1})}{2s} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ d(x_n, x), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x, fx), \right. \\ &\left. \frac{d(x_n, x) + d(x, fx)}{2} + \frac{d(x, x_{n+1})}{2s} \right\}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} Q(x_n, x) &= \min \; \{ d(x, fx), d(x_n, fx), d(x, fx_n) \} \\ &= \min \; \{ d(x, fx), d(x_n, fx), d(x, x_{n+1}) \}. \end{split} \tag{35}$$

If $n \longrightarrow \infty$, we obtain that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup M(x_n, x) = d(x, fx),$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup Q(x_n, x) = 0.$$
(36)

Since $\theta \in \Theta$, we obtain that

$$1 < \theta(d(x, fx)) = \theta\left(d\left(s\frac{1}{s}d(x, fx)\right)\right)$$

$$\leq \theta\left(\limsup_{n \to \infty} sd(x_{n+1}, fx)\right) \leq \theta\left(\limsup_{n \to \infty} sH(fx_n, fx)\right)$$

$$\leq \theta\left(\limsup_{n \to \infty} M(x_n, x)\right)^k - \phi\left(\liminf_{n \to \infty} M(x_n, x)\right)$$

$$+ L \lim\sup_{n \to \infty} Q(x_n, x) = \theta\left(\limsup_{n \to \infty} M(x_n, x)\right)^k$$

$$+ L \lim\sup_{n \to \infty} Q(x_n, x) = \theta(d(x, fx))^k,$$
(37)

which is a contradiction since $k \in (0, 1)$. Thus, x is a fixed point of f.

3. Examples

Example 4. Let $\Omega = [0, \infty)$, and take the *b*-metric $d(x, y) = |x - y|^2$ for all $x, y \in \Omega$. Define $f : \Omega \longrightarrow CL(\Omega)$, $\varphi : \Omega \longrightarrow \Omega$, and $\alpha : \Omega \times \Omega \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$ by the following:

$$fx = \left[1, 1 + \frac{x}{4}\right],$$

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} e^{xy}, & \text{if } x \in [1 + y, \infty), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{7}{10}x,$$
(38)

and $\theta(t) = e^{te^t}$. Note that for all $t \ge 1$,

$$e^{t/8e^{t/8}} \le \frac{476}{1000}e^{t/2e^t} - \frac{7}{10}t. \tag{39}$$

Now, for all $x, y \in X$ and $x \in [1 + y, \infty)$, we have $H(fx, fy) = |x - y|^2 / 16$. Then,

$$\theta(sH(fx,fy)) = e^{|x-y|^2/8e^{|x-y|^2/8}} = e^{d(x,y)/8e^{d(x,y)/8}} \le e^{M(x,y)/8e^{M(x,y)/8}}$$

$$\le \frac{476}{1000} e^{M(x,y)/2e^{M(x,y)}} - \frac{7}{10} M(x,y)$$

$$= \frac{476}{1000} (\theta(M(x,y)))^{1/2} - \varphi(M(x,y))$$

$$= \lambda(\theta(M(x,y)))^k - \varphi(M(x,y)).$$
(40)

Then,

$$\theta(sH(fx,fy)) \le \lambda(\theta(M(x,y)))^k - \varphi(M(x,y)) + LQ(x,y). \tag{41}$$

Thus, f is weak $(\alpha, k, \theta, \varphi)$ -contractive multivalued mapping, with $\lambda = 476/1000$ and k = 1/2.

So, all conditions of Theorem 16 are fulfilled, which implies that f has a fixed point, which is $x_0 = 1$, 1742 (in particular if L = 0).

Example 5. Let $\Omega = [0, \infty)$, and take the *b*-metric $d(x, y) = |x - y|^2$ for all $x, y \in \Omega$. Define $f : \Omega \longrightarrow CL(\Omega)$, $\varphi : \Omega \longrightarrow \Omega$, $\alpha : \Omega \times \Omega \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$, and $\theta : [0, \infty) \longrightarrow [1, \infty)$ by the following:

$$fx = \left[0, \frac{x}{4}\right],$$

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2}x,$$

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} e^{x-y}, & \text{if } x \ge y + \frac{18}{10}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
(42)

and $\theta(t) = e^{te^t}$. Note that for all $t \ge 18/10$, one has

$$e^{t/8e^{t/8}} \le e^{\sqrt{t}/2e^{\sqrt{t}}} - \frac{1}{2}t.$$
 (43)

Now, for all $x, y \in \Omega$ and $x \ge y + 18/10$, we have $H(fx, fy) = |x - y|^2/16$. Then,

$$\begin{split} \theta(sH(fx,fy)) &= e^{|x-y|^2/8e^{|x-y|^2/8}} = e^{d(x,y)/8e^{d(x,y)/8}} \leq e^{M(x,y)/8e^{M(x,y)/8}} \\ &\leq e^{\sqrt{M(x,y)}/2e^{\sqrt{M(x,y)}}} - \frac{1}{2}M(x,y) \\ &= (\theta(M(x,y)))^{1/2} - \varphi(M(x,y)) \\ &= \lambda(\theta(M(x,y)))^k - \varphi(M(x,y)). \end{split}$$

Then,

$$\theta(sH(fx,fy)) \le \lambda(\theta(M(x,y)))^k - \varphi(M(x,y)) + LQ(x,y). \tag{45}$$

Thus, f is weak $(\alpha, k, \theta, \varphi)$ -contractive multivalued mapping, with $\lambda = 1$ and k = 1/2.

So, all conditions of Theorem 16 are verified, which implies that f has a fixed point, which is $x_0 = 0.7586$ (in particular if L = 0).

Example 6. Let $\Omega = [0, \infty)$, and take the *b*-metric $d(x, y) = |x - y|^2$ for all $x, y \in \Omega$. Define $f : \Omega \longrightarrow CL(\Omega)$, $\varphi : \Omega \longrightarrow \Omega$, $\alpha : \Omega \times \Omega \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$, and $\theta : [0, \infty) \longrightarrow [1, \infty)$ by the following:

$$fx = \left[0, \frac{x}{4}\right],$$

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{7}x^{2},$$

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} x - y, & \text{if } x \ge 2 + y, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
(46)

and $\theta(t) = e^{\sqrt{te^t}}$. Note that for all $t \ge 2$, one has

$$e^{\sqrt{t/8e^{t/8}}} \le \frac{7}{10} e^{\sqrt{te^t/3}} - \frac{1}{7}t^2.$$
 (47)

Now, for all $x, y \in \Omega$ and $x \ge 2 + y$, we have $H(fx, fy) = |x - y|^2 / 16$. Then,

$$\begin{split} \theta(sH(fx,fy)) &= e^{\sqrt{|x-y|^2/8e^{|x-y|^2/8}}} = e^{\sqrt{d(x,y)/8e^{d(x,y)/8}}} \le e^{\sqrt{\frac{M(x,y)e^{M(x,y)/8}}{8}}} \\ &\le \frac{7}{10} e^{\sqrt{M(x,y)e^{M(x,y)}/3}} - \frac{1}{7} (M(x,y))^2 \\ &= \frac{7}{10} (\theta(M(x,y)))^{1/3} - \varphi(M(x,y)) \\ &= \lambda(\theta(M(x,y)))^k - \varphi(M(x,y)). \end{split} \tag{48}$$

Then,

$$\theta(sH(fx,fy)) \le \lambda(\theta(M(x,y)))^k - \varphi(M(x,y)) + LQ(x,y). \tag{49}$$

Thus, f is weak $(\alpha, k, \theta, \varphi)$ -contractive multivalued mapping, with $\lambda = 7/10$ and k = 1/3.

So, all conditions of Theorem 16 are verified, which implies that f has a fixed point, which is $x_0 = 2$, 69 (in particular if L = 0).

Data Availability

No data were used to support this study.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] S. Czerwik, "Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b-metric spaces," *Atti del Seminario Matematico e Fisico dell'Università di Modena*, vol. 46, pp. 263–276, 1998.
- [2] Y. Errai, E. M. Marhrani, and M. Aamri, "Fixed points of g -interpolative Ćirić-Reich-Rus-type contractions in b-metric spaces," Axioms, vol. 9, no. 4, 2020.
- [3] Y. Errai, E. M. Marhrani, and M. Aamri, "Some remarks on fixed point theorems for interpolative Kannan contraction," *Journal of Function Spaces*, vol. 2020, Article ID 2075920, 7 pages, 2020.
- [4] Y. Errai, E. M. Marhrani, and M. Aamri, "Related Fixed Point Theorems in Partially Ordered *b*-Metric Spaces and Applications to Integral Equations," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2021, Article ID 6672724, 14 pages, 2021.
- [5] Y. Errai, E. M. Marhrani, and M. Aamri, "Some new results of interpolative Hardy–Rogers and Ćirić–Reich–Rus type contraction," *Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 2021, Article ID 9992783, 12 pages, 2021.
- [6] H. Huang, G. Deng, and S. Radenović, "Fixed point theorems in b-metric spaces with applications to differential equations," *Journal of Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 20, no. 1, 2018.
- [7] N. Hussain, A. E. Al-Mazrooei, and J. Ahmad, "Fixed point results for generalized (α-η)-θ contractions with applications," The Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications, vol. 10, no. 8, pp. 4197–4208, 2017.
- [8] N. Hussain, M. A. Kutbi, and P. Salimi, "Fixed Point Theory in α-Complete Metric Spaces with Applications," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2014, Article ID 280817, 11 pages, 2014.
- [9] M. Jleli, E. Karapınar, and B. Samet, "Further generalizations of the banach contraction principle," *Journal of Inequalities* and Applications, vol. 2014, no. 1, 2014.
- [10] M. Jleli, B. Samet, C. Vetro, and F. Vetro, "Fixed Points for Multivalued Mappings in b-Metric Spaces," Abstract and Applied Analysis, vol. 2015, Article ID 718074, 7 pages, 2015.
- [11] M. Jleli and B. Samet, "A new generalization of the banach contraction principle," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2014, no. 1, 2014.
- [12] M. Jleli and B. Samet, "A generalized θ -contraction and related fixed point theorems," *The Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications*, vol. 10, no. 9, pp. 4724–4733, 2017.
- [13] M. A. Kutbi and W. Sintunavarat, "On new fixed point results for (α, ψ, ξ) -contractive multi-valued mappings on α -complete metric spaces and their consequences," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2015, no. 1, 2015.
- [14] H. Lakzian, D. Gopal, and W. Sintunavarat, "New fixed point results for mappings of contractive type with an application to nonlinear fractional differential equations," *Journal of Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 251–266, 2016.
- [15] G. Minak, Ö. Acar, and I. Altun, "Multivalued pseudopicard operators and fixed point results," *Journal of Func*tion Spaces and Applications, vol. 2013, article 827458, 7 pages, 2013.

- [16] B. Mohammadi, S. Rezapour, and N. Shahzad, "Some results on fixed points of α-ψ-ciric generalized multifunctions," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2013, no. 1, Article ID 827458, 2013.
- [17] A. Pansuwan, W. Sintunavarat, V. Parvaneh, and Y. J. Cho, "Some fixed point theorems for (α, θ, k) -contractive multivalued mappings with some applications," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2015, no. 1, 2015.
- [18] V. Parvaneh, N. Hussain, A. Mukheimer, and H. Aydi, "On fixed point results for modified js-contractions with applications," *Axioms*, vol. 8, no. 3, 2019.
- [19] H. Qawaqneh, M. Md Noorani, W. Shatanawi, H. Aydi, and H. Alsamir, "Fixed point results for multi-valued contractions in b-metric spaces and an application," *Mathematics*, vol. 7, no. 2, 2019.
- [20] B. Samet, C. Vetro, and P. Vetro, "Fixed point theorems for α-ψ-contractive type mappings," Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications, vol. 75, no. 4, pp. 2154–2165, 2012.
- [21] W. Sintunavarat, S. Plubtieng, and P. Katchang, "Fixed point result and applications on a *b*-metric space endowed with an arbitrary binary relation," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2013, no. 1, 2013.
- [22] J. H. Asl, S. Rezapour, and N. Shahzad, "On fixed points of α - ψ -contractive multifunctions," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2012, no. 1, 2012.
- [23] J. Ahmad, A. E. Al-Mazrooei, Y. J. Cho, and Y.-O. Yang, "Fixed point results for generalized Θ-contractions," *The Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 2350–2358, 2017.
- [24] I. A. Bakhtin, "The contraction mapping principle in quasimetric spaces," Functional Analysis, vol. 30, pp. 26–37, 1989
- [25] S. Czerwik, "Contraction mappings in *b*-metric spaces," *Acta Mathematica et Informatica Universitatis Ostraviensis*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 5–11, 1993.
- [26] M. Boriceanu, M. Bota, and A. Petruşel, "Multivalued fractals in *b*-metric spaces," *Central European Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 367–377, 2010.
- [27] S.-H. Cho, "Fixed points for multivalued mappings in *b*-metric spaces," *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 10, no. 59, pp. 2927–2944, 2016.
- [28] W. Sintunavarat, "Fixed point results in *b*-metric spaces approach to the existence of a solution for nonlinear integral equations," *Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matemáticas*, vol. 110, no. 2, pp. 585–600, 2016.
- [29] S.-H. Cho, "A fixed point theorem for a Ćirić-Berinde type mapping in orbitally complete metric spaces," *Carpathian Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 63–70, 2014.
- [30] S. Aleksić, H. Huang, Z. D. Mitrović, and S. Radenović, "Remarks on some fixed point results in b-metric spaces," *Journal of Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 20, no. 4, 2018.
- [31] A. Aghajani, M. Abbas, and J. Roshan, "Common fixed point of generalized weak contractive mappings in partially ordered *b*-metric spaces," *Mathematica Slovaca*, vol. 64, no. 4, pp. 941–960, 2014.