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Component factories are experiencing the problems associated with lean production, especially the accuracy of production time prediction and the unnecessary waste in terms of time and resource utilization. In order to solve these problems, a discrete event simulation-(DES)-based lean planning and optimization method for precast component production is proposed by integrating the complexity assessment (CS), discrete event simulation (DES), and lean management (LM). The method includes three submodels: improved production planning, DES, and lean analysis and optimization. In the submodel of improved production planning, a complexity evaluation index system for precast components is established through investigating five component factories, consulting seven domain experts and analysing relevant literature. In the submodel of DES, the DES technique is adopted to simulate and analyse the production activities of precast components. The submodel of lean analysis and optimization provides multidimensional analysis, comparative analysis, and suggestions. Finally, a detailed production case is selected to simulate and test the proposed method. The important findings are as follows: (1) this method can minimize the difference between the processing time of each workstation to avoid bottleneck stations as much as possible; (2) this method can capture the uncertainty during precast component production, and the most likely production time calculated by the method is 12.05 hours instead of the 11.50 hours originally estimated by the component factory; (3) this method can identify some unnecessary waste in the production process of precast components, including less than 50% utilization of workstations and unnecessary equipment purchases; (4) this method also provides some suggestions regarding production optimization. Due to the particularity of precast component production, it further expands the boundary of lean production methodology from the perspective of the construction industry rather than the manufacturing industry. The proposed method assists component factories in planning and optimizing the precast component production when they make detailed production plans.

1. Introduction

Since prefabricated buildings earn a lot of time in the global fight against the new coronavirus "COVID-19," they attract more global attention than other types of buildings. Prefabricated buildings, a sustainable, and cleaner technology [1] are once considered a preferred choice to balance economic, environmental, and social benefits [2]. A large number of scholars have conducted in-depth research on prefabricated buildings in the aspects of environment [3, 4], risks [5–7], and virtual construction [8, 9]. However, the development of prefabricated buildings is still in its infancy stage owing to the high total cost, insignificant environment effectiveness, and unclear social benefit. What is even more worrying is that the higher prefabrication rate would lead to the higher total cost [10]. This phenomenon is not conducive to the sustainable development of prefabricated buildings. Hence, some experts are invited to identify the key stages
leading to incremental costs. The result shows that incremental costs are mainly from the production and transportation stages of precast components. This result is consistent with Chen et al. [11] who think that the high cost of prefabricated buildings is mainly attributed to the higher production cost.

Lean production theory believes that the waste (e.g., time and resources) is an important cause of high production costs [12]. Time and cost are inseparable in the precast component production system. In addition to higher production costs, component factories are also facing the heavy pressure from on-time delivery [13], which affects the formulation of production plans. According to the previous investigation and consultation for several component factories, component factories expect that the time without steam curing will be controlled within 15 minutes. This rough production planning is simple but hides a lot of valuable information. Hence, component factories have not yet found a suitable method regarding the planning and optimization for precast component production although they are very clear about their own needs and responsibilities. After searching and analyzing relevant literature, we find that the planning and optimization for precast component production are mainly achieved in the following ways: the resource and cost optimization for complex molds [14], the layout planning of precast components under the constraints of mold platen utilization [15], the planning of crew configurations based on genetic algorithm [16], and the production planning system integrating multiple artificial intelligence technologies [17]. However, these related studies ignore some practical problems, including the uncertainty of the production process, the complex evaluation of precast components, and the unnecessary waste during component production.

According to component factory investigation, expert consultation, and existing literature analysis, this study aims to improve the accuracy of production time prediction by capturing the uncertainty during precast component production. On this basis, this study is further intended to eliminate unnecessary waste in terms of time and resource utilization. It not only involves the survival of precast component factories but also affects the sustainability of the entire prefabricated construction industry. Firstly, the existing relevant literature is reviewed and analyzed. Secondly, the DES-based lean planning and optimization method for precast component production, a new integrated approach, is proposed by combining the complexity assessment (CS), discrete event simulation (DES), and lean management (LM) on the basis of the existing production planning. Thirdly, a production case from a component factory is used to simulate and test this methodology. Fourthly, the obtained results are analyzed in depth. Finally, conclusions and future work are summarized.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Production Line and Process. Precast components making up prefabricated buildings are produced at a factory. The basic production process includes cleaning mold, binding steel, pouring concrete, curing component, and releasing mold [18]. However, different types of precast components vary in the details of production process. Each type of precast component has a corresponding production line [19]. The existing production lines are divided into two categories: fixed mold platen and mobile mold platen [20]. Most precast components are produced by using mobile mold platen, including semiprecast slabs, lightweight partition boards, and precast wallboards. Improvements in production process attract the attention from scholars. Chen et al. [21] created more efficient precast process from the perspective of process reengineering. Ochoa [22] developed a more reliable production planning process with the help of the last planner system (LPS). Han et al. [23] applied an automated postsimulation tool to improve the production line of prefabricated buildings. However, once a production line is built in reality, all corresponding processes will be determined and not easy to change. Bottleneck stations are not welcomed during precast component production.

Two ways to deal with bottleneck stations are discovered by Arashpour et al. [24] and Arashpour et al. [25]: one is to set the work-in-process (WIP) buffers, and the other is to optimize the process and resource. From an economic perspective, the latter seems to be given priority.

2.2. Production Planning and Scheduling. Production planning and scheduling play a crucial role in the on-time delivery and other benefits of precast components. There is a sequential and cross relationship between them, which have been studied together in many existing literature. Time is the most basic variable for production planning and scheduling. Li et al. [26] studied schedule risks in prefabrication housing production. Gantt chart is a common tool to make and illustrate schedules [27, 28]. Discrete event simulation (DES) analyses what-if questions regarding scheduling and management [29, 30]. However, DES is more flexible than Gantt chart in terms of capturing uncertain information. Demiralp et al. [31] used Arena software, a DES tool, to simulate the total time and error of using RFID technology in the production, transfer, and installation of precast panels. Tommelein [32] adopted the STROBOSCOPE computer system, a DES tool, to simulate the pipe-spool installation process and proved that the “pull” technique helped to improve the performance of the process.

Some studies regarding the production planning and scheduling of precast components are mainly for a single production line. Khalili and Chua [14] adopted a mold adaptability matrix to efficiently utilize complex molds in production platform. Wang et al. [15] offered a model of prefabrication production planning to maximize the average utilization rate of pallets. In contrast, the scheduling of multiple production lines is gradually becoming an issue. Wang and Hu [33] established a two-level rescheduling model of precast production with multiple production lines. However, regardless of the research on single production lines or multiple production lines, the accuracy of production time prediction has not been paid enough attention and effectively solved. The application and development of
methods have always been the focus of scholars. Genetic algorithm (GA) is widely adopted in the production planning and scheduling of precast components, such as Ko [34], Ma et al. [35], Wang et al. [36], and Wang et al. [37], as well as Yang et al. [38]. Podolski and Rejment [39] proposed a production scheduling model of precast components based on the simulated annealing metaheuristic algorithm. Altaf et al. [40] developed a production planning and control system for a panelised home production facility. All abovementioned studies seem to have not taken into account the complexity of precast components, which will aggravate the unevenness of the flowing rhythm between mold platens so as to cause further waste.

2.3. Lean Management. Lean concepts derived from the Japanese automotive industry [41] aim to minimize the waste in processes and maximize the value of products [42]. Koskela [43] put forward the application of new production philosophies to construction in September 1992. Some new production philosophies (especially lean management) have developed rapidly in the construction industry. Lean management has been widely used in the construction industry so far, such as BIM and lean synergies [44], lean design management [45], and lean talent management [46], as well as lean principles in construction projects [47]. For component factories, the products refer to various precast components. Lean management will reduce costs and increase efficiency in the production process of precast components for prefabricated buildings. Hence, lean management has always been valued by component factories and scholars. Yu et al. [48] developed a production system for the effective application of lean tools in precast component production. Heravi and Firoozi [49] used value stream mapping to identify the wastes in production, diagnose their fundamental causes, and propose improvements. Innella et al. [50] discussed the implementation of lean techniques in all production process stages of the prefabricated building industry. However, some techniques (e.g., value stream mapping) in lean management can handle determined time instead of floating time. Discrete event simulation is commonly used to capture and deal with time uncertainty, and some unknown valuable information can be obtained from the processing results. The studies combining lean management with discrete event simulation are rare, which makes it difficult for lean management to consider the uncertainty of time.

By reviewing the production line and process, the production planning, and scheduling as well as the lean management, the following information can be obtained: (1) direct related studies are few but lay the foundation for the planning and optimization for precast component production; (2) although some studies are not specifically aimed at the planning and optimization for precast component production, they provide some concepts and methods with reference value, such as the DES, GA, and lean management; (3) the methods from different studies have the feasibility of complementary advantages so as to achieve the effect of “1 + 1 > 2”; (4) all studies do not take into account the complexity of precast components.

3. Research Methodology

So far, the body of knowledge related to the existing production planning method for precast components is fragmented. Therefore, it is necessary to sort out and summarize the body of knowledge. Then, a framework for DES-based lean planning and optimization method is proposed on the basis of the existing production planning method.

3.1. Existing Production Planning Method for Precast Components. Component factories pay close attention to cost reduction and benefit increase. A direct and effective way is to strengthen lean manufacturing, which continuously improves resource utilization and reduce unnecessary waste. In order to sort out the existing production planning method, five component factories were surveyed on-site and seven experts were consulted, as shown in Table 1. The five component factories are located in Heilongjiang, Jiangsu, and Guangdong of China, respectively. The seven experts have worked in component factories for more than two years. Three experts adopt face-to-face consultation, and the other four experts conduct online consultation via WeChat, which is a popular online app for communication in China. Figure 1 indicates the production process of a component factory. Compared with other precast components, precast wallboards and semiprecast slabs are processed on the flow production line, which is an important symbol for component factories from manual production to industrial production.

The production plans of precast components in reality include a total supply plan, a monthly production plan, a weekly production plan, and a daily production plan. This is the process of refining plans. The production capability analysis is the premise and foundation of all production plans. After multiple consultations and feedbacks, the framework for the existing production planning method corresponding to the daily production plan is summarized, as shown in Figure 2.

Step 1: Analyze production lines and their workstations. Step 2: Plan component layout on mold platens. Step 3: If the high utilization of mold platens is not met, then return to the step 2; otherwise, proceed to the next step. Step 4: Arrange workers and other resources to regulate and control workstation time. Step 5: Determine the calculation time for the production time. Step 6: If the production time does not meet the daily production plan, then multiple shifts per day are recommended until the daily production plan is met; otherwise, end the planning process to obtain a detailed and feasible daily production scheme.

3.2. Framework for DES-Based Lean Planning and Optimization Method. It is a kind of classical scientific thinking to analyse the deficiency of existing methods and make improvements. We find that the existing production planning method ignores the complexity assessment (CS) of precast components. Besides, it is also unable to capture the uncertainty in production and make the lean analysis of the production process. Hence, the related consultation and
verification work are carried out again. After many in-depth discussions with the above experts, we find that the complexity of precast components should also be regarded as a constraint of component layout on mold platen. The combination of precast components with higher complexity and the combination of precast components with lower complexity are not the optimal layout while the combination of precast components under equilibrium is recognized as the optimal layout. The combination of precast components under equilibrium can make the duration of a mold platen at each workstation tend to be equal. With a holistic review of previous literature, we find that discrete event simulation and lean management can assist in the realization of lean planning. Discrete event simulation (DES) provides an opportunity to capture the uncertainty of production operations [52, 53]. Lean management (LM) provides some valuable index analyses, including production time analysis [54], bottleneck workstation analysis [55], resource utilization analysis [56], and cost-benefit analysis [57]. Hence, CS, DES, and LM are combined with the existing production planning to form a DES-based lean planning and optimization method, as shown in Figure 3.

The DES-based lean planning and optimization method is divided into three submodels, namely, improved production planning, discrete event simulation, and lean analysis and optimization. Improved production planning is the premise of discrete event simulation as well as lean analysis and optimization. Discrete event simulation builds the connection between improved production planning and lean analysis and optimization. Lean analysis and optimization have a feedback effect on improved production planning.

3.2.1. Submodel of Improved Production Planning. The submodel of improved production planning adds the complexity evaluation of precast components to the existing production planning. The identification of complexity evaluation indices for precast components is an important and urgent challenge to be solved. If all types of precast components are considered during index identification, then the number of indices will become extremely large. Hence, this study is limited to precast wallboards and semiprecast slabs suitable for assembly lines. Firstly, we search China National Knowledge Infrastructure and Web of Science by topic search within our capabilities, but no relevant literature is found. The topics include precast components, precast wallboards, semiprecast slabs, and complexity. This means that the complexity indices of precast components are relatively new and unknown to existing research. Ji et al. [58] measured the complexity of prefabricated construction products via quality performance-based indicators, but they

### Table 1: Basic information of component factory experts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Location of component factory</th>
<th>Position in component factory</th>
<th>Working years in component factory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expert 1</td>
<td>Heilongjiang</td>
<td>Technical engineer</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expert 2</td>
<td>Jiangsu</td>
<td>Factory manager</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expert 3</td>
<td>Jiangsu</td>
<td>Technical engineer</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expert 4</td>
<td>Jiangsu</td>
<td>Technical engineer</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expert 5</td>
<td>Jiangsu</td>
<td>Technical engineer</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expert 6</td>
<td>Guangdong</td>
<td>Chief engineer</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expert 7</td>
<td>Guangdong</td>
<td>Laboratory director</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 1: Production process of a component factory under investigation.](image-url)
did not clarify what these indicators are. Although there is no lack of research on product complexity in the manufacturing industry [59–61], product attributes vary greatly between the construction industry and the manufacturing industry. Secondly, we have to identify the complexity evaluation indices for precast components through the investigation, consultation, and practice. The investigated companies and the consulted experts are as the same as the information listed in Table 1. After multiple rounds of feedback, the complexity evaluation index system for precast components is established, as shown in Table 2.

The complexity of a precast component depends on its shape, composition, and processing technology. Since different types of precast components differ in their complexity evaluation indices, their weights have to be determined in specific production cases. The weight of an index depends on how much the index affects the production time. These indices are divided into two categories: easy-to-quantify indices and difficult-to-quantify indices. The scoring set of each difficult-to-quantify index is quantified by the Likert scale, such as “1 = extremely simple,” “2 = more simple,” “3 = generally complex,” “4 = more complex,” and “5 = extremely complex”. The simplest or most complex indices serve as a scoring reference for a certain index. The value of each easy-to-quantify index also needs to be converted into a range of 1 to 5. For example, the second-class index “cast times” is an easy-to-quantify index. If a precast component only needs one-time cast, then its score is 1. The “cast times” is positively related to the scores. However, if a precast component needs more than five times cast, then its score is still 5. The complexity calculation of a precast component is shown in Formula (1). $f$ represents the complexity of a precast component, $w_i$ represents the weight of the $ith$ index, $r_i$ represents the score of the $ith$ index.

$$f = \left[w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_i, \ldots, w_{12}\right] \times \left[r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_i, \ldots, r_{12}\right]' .$$

(1)

3.2.2. Submodel of Discrete Event Simulation. The existing calculation method of the production time for precast components is mainly based on takt time, which is a time value after comprehensively considering the processing time of each workstation. Its principle is shown in formula (2). $T$ represents the production time of a batch of precast components. $t$ represents takt time. $n$ represents the number of mold platens. $t_0$ represents the steam curing time in curing kiln. The corresponding relationship between mold platens and precast components is that more than one precast component can be placed on one mold platen.

$$T = t \times n + t_0.$$ 

(2)

The processing time of each workstation is not a fixed value, and they are also different from each other. Hence, the existing calculation method ignores the uncertainty in production. Discrete event simulation is an event-driven and state-hopping subsystem, which provides an opportunity to capture the uncertainty. The occurrence of an event is uncertain, but follows a certain probability distribution. By analysing and comparing many common continuous distributions, uniform distribution and triangular distribution should be used in DES due to considering limited sample data, as shown in formulas (3) and (4).

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{b-a}, & a < x < b, \\ 0, & \text{else}, \end{cases}$$

(3)

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{2(x-a)}{(b-a)(c-a)} & a \leq x \leq c, \\ \frac{2(b-x)}{(b-a)(b-c)} & c < x \leq b. \end{cases}$$

(4)

The $f(x)$ or $g(x)$ is a probability density function. $x$ is an independent variable and usually represents time. The $a$, $b$, and $c$ respectively represent the minimum, maximum, and average values. DES will produce valuable results for various subsequent analyses.

3.2.3. Submodel of Lean Analysis and Optimization. The submodel of lean analysis and optimization refers to the multidimensional analysis of the simulation results. The multidimensional analysis includes a production time analysis, a bottleneck workstation analysis, a resource utilization analysis, and a cost-benefit analysis. The production...
Table 2: Complexity evaluation index system for precast components produced by assembly line.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First-class index</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Second-class index</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complexity of component shape</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Volume of component</td>
<td>( w_1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Geometric shape of component (e.g., rectangle, triangle, circle, curved surface, &quot;L&quot; shape, and &quot;T&quot; shape)</td>
<td>( w_2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Type and quantity of reserved holes for components</td>
<td>( w_3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Embedded window frame and window</td>
<td>( w_4 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Complexity of component surface and end surface (e.g., concrete washing surface, fair-faced concrete, chiselling surface, and shear slot)</td>
<td>( w_5 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complexity of component composition</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Complexity of component steel (e.g., steel content, steel location, and exposed steel)</td>
<td>( w_6 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Type and quantity of embedded parts</td>
<td>( w_7 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Type and quantity of grouting sleeve</td>
<td>( w_8 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Setting of thermal insulation materials</td>
<td>( w_9 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complexity of processing technology</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Cast times (e.g., one-time cast or multitime cast)</td>
<td>( w_{10} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Processing technology of component in reverse position</td>
<td>( w_{11} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Prestressed processing technology</td>
<td>( w_{12} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
time analysis takes the duration of each workstation as the basic element. The bottleneck workstation analysis aims to identify and analyse the workstation with the shortest duration. The resource utilization analysis is mainly used to identify idle resources. Resource utilization includes instantaneous utilization and scheduled utilization. Instantaneous utilization reflects how busy a resource is throughout the run. Instantaneous utilization is less than or equal to scheduled utilization. Formula (5) expresses the calculation mechanism of instantaneous utilization \( f(t) \) is the number of resources that are busy at time \( t \), \( g(t) \) is the number of resources available at time \( t \), including busy resources and idle resources.

\[
p(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\int_0^t f(t)/g(t)}{T} & g(t) > 0, \\ 0 & g(t) = 0. \end{cases}
\]

The cost-benefit analysis is used to analyse the dynamic changes in performance, such as balance resources, save time, and reduce costs. Then, the comparative analysis between the simulation results and the original plan results is performed. The submodel of lean analysis and optimization not only includes a variety of lean analysis but also provides the detailed measures used to optimize the scheme. These detailed measures are to improve the production benefits continuously under the premise of meeting delivery time.

4.4. Processing Time for Each Workstation under Constraints. The utilization of mold platens is regarded as a prior constraint for planning the component layout on mold platen. On this basis, the complexity of precast components is used to further optimize the layout. The principle of optimization is that the combination of complex components and simple components appears on a mold platen as much as possible. A total of 21 mold platens are used for the 43 semiprecast slabs through planning and optimization, which correspond to 43 molds. In view of the confidential requirements from the component factory, Figure 6 only shows eight component (or mold) layouts on four mold platens, which are from the 21 mold platens. These layouts take into account both the utilization of mold platens and the complexity of precast components. The \( i \) takes an integer between 2 and 19.

Some workstations (e.g., mold assembly workstations) in the production line are only used initially. Hence, it only considers a common production situation where the mold assembly workstations are no longer required. A production team of the production line has 40 workers. Considering the utilization of mold platens and the complexity of precast components, the name, number, activity, and time of each workstation used for semiprecast slabs are provided by the three experts, as shown in Table 3. Other workstations in the production line are regarded as transportation routes. Since the transport time of mold platen between workstations is very short, it is not considered. Resting workstation is a very special and flexible station. Multiple activities will be completed at this workstation, and preliminary solidified semiprecast slabs are allowed to stay here for a long time in special circumstances. The curing kiln owns 39 cells, which can store up to 39 mold platens. The triangular distribution is often used in the case of high data collection cost and low data accuracy [25], which is in line with this case. If the three
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4.4. Logic Model and Simulation Results for Production Case

The production line of semiprecast slabs is abstracted into a discrete event simulation system. In the discrete event simulation system, a mold platen instead of a semiprecast slab is regarded as a virtual entity. Since the arrival time of the virtual entity is meaningless, it can be any minimal number. A mold platen arrives at a workstation and occupies it so that other mold platens cannot use the workstation. Hence, workstations are regarded as resources. Although workers, materials, and equipment are available as resources, only workstations are considered here. The location of mold platens moves with processing workstations. After the molds on mold platen are released, the mold platen and its corresponding molds will be returned to the cleaning station and reused again. The DES-based logic model regarding semiprecast slab production is established, as shown in Figure 7. The starting point of the model is the random arrival of mold platens. Some activities have been merged into the model. For example, mold preparing includes mold cleaning, mold platen cleaning, and antiadherent brushing.

In the Arena software, the terminating simulation mode is adopted instead of the steady-state simulation mode. The logical model is simulated 1500 times under the existing resource allocation, and the termination condition for each simulation is set to "Dispose 1.number out<>21". After the 1500 simulations, the total time of all entities is as follows: average total time (723.18 minutes), minimum average total time (712.90 minutes), maximum average total time (733.76 minutes), minimum total time (588.25 minutes), and maximum total time (866.35 minutes). This total time is also referred to as the production time for 43 semiprecast slabs, as shown in Figure 8.

The activities with waiting time include mold preparing (123.24 minutes), transport to curing kiln (0.44 minutes), and end face cleaning (0.01 minutes). Instantaneous utilization of each resource is shown in Table 4.

4.5. Analysis and Discussion of Results

4.5.1. Comparative Analysis between the Existing and Improved Methods. The existing production planning method for precast components fails to distinguish the difference between the processing time of each workstation and only selects a typical workstation processing time as takt time. The component factory previously adopted a typical workstation processing time (approximately 10 minutes) as takt time, and then the production time for the 21 mold platens was 11.50 hours (21 × 10/60 + 8 = 11.50 h). If two shifts per day are implemented, two standard floors of semiprecast slabs will be produced per day, which meets the daily production plan. Compared with the existing production planning method, the DES-based lean planning and optimization method for precast components add the complexity assessment for precast components on the basis of the mold platen utilization. Besides, it can also capture the difference between the processing time of each workstation so as to provide more accurate results and richer information. After the layout of precast components on mold platen according to the utilization rate of mold platen, the combination results of precast components also need to be optimized according to the complexity of precast components.
According to Figure 8, the average production time calculated by this method is 12.05 hours (723.18/60 = 12.05 h). According to this calculation result, it seems to be difficult to realize two shifts per day, which means that the daily production plan regarding two standard floors cannot be achieved in one day. Besides, the information captured by the method also includes other types of production time, the activities with waiting time, and the instantaneous utilization of each resource. This information or data will provide decision-makers with a deeper analysis and reference.

### 4.5.2. Workstation Utilization Analysis under Existing Resource Allocation

Activities within 1 minute are more flexible and easily overlooked in the production process of semiprecast slabs. Although the waiting time of mold preparing is much larger than 1 minute, it does not affect the total time. Therefore, there is no bottleneck workstation under the existing resource allocation. However, some workstations (or resources) are idle. This goes against the lean principle and is not conducive to the factory’s sustainable development. The average instantaneous utilization of each workstation is shown in Figure 9. The cleaning workstation owns the highest instantaneous utilization of 0.3057. Hence, the instantaneous utilization of all workstations does not exceed 50%. This means that some same workstations will be idle. The maximum number of steel installation stations in a busy state is 3, which is consistent with the information provided by the factory staff interviewed. From the perspective of lean management, the component factory is suggested to make full use of the idle workstations to produce those precast components suitable for fixed mold platens.

### 4.5.3. Decision Analysis of Future Production Planning

The component factory expects that the semiprecast slabs of two standard floors are produced per day. The expected
production time of one standard floor should be controlled within 11.5 hours considering some necessary reservation time. However, the 1500 simulation results under existing production capability show that this expected production time is difficult to achieve although it is feasible to a certain extent. The comparison of 1500 simulation results with the expected production time is shown in Figure 10. The expected production time (690 minutes) is located between minimum total time (588.25 minutes) and minimum average total time (712.90 minutes). A learning curve exists in
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Minimum average</th>
<th>Maximum average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cleaning workstation</td>
<td>0.3057</td>
<td>0.2926</td>
<td>0.3186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Steel installation workstation</td>
<td>0.1034</td>
<td>0.0969</td>
<td>0.1092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Checking workstation before concrete pouring</td>
<td>0.1533</td>
<td>0.1440</td>
<td>0.1634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Concrete pouring and vibrating workstation</td>
<td>0.1529</td>
<td>0.1444</td>
<td>0.1621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Resting workstation</td>
<td>0.1394</td>
<td>0.1292</td>
<td>0.1503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Stacking crane</td>
<td>0.1861</td>
<td>0.1695</td>
<td>0.2051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Curing kiln</td>
<td>0.3053</td>
<td>0.2983</td>
<td>0.3120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Mold releasing workstation</td>
<td>0.0723</td>
<td>0.0686</td>
<td>0.0755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Buffer area for end face cleaning</td>
<td>0.1240</td>
<td>0.1212</td>
<td>0.1267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Temporary stacking area</td>
<td>0.1240</td>
<td>0.1212</td>
<td>0.1267</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the production process of precast components [63]. After the workers in the component factory are skilled in their own work, the time spent on each workstation will be reduced so as to achieve the expected production time and two shifts per day. Hence, the key is how to shorten the time from unskilled to skilled in work. The component factory is suggested to pay more attention to the learning abilities and incentives of workers for improving work efficiency.

A semistructured interview is conducted with a technical engineer in the component factory. It is learned from the interview that the component factory intends to purchase a set of automatic equipment for steel processing. According to the 1500 simulation results, this will bring some advantages and disadvantages to the component factory. The advantages are as follows: (1) enhancing the automation level of semiprecast slab production; (2) reducing labor costs; (3) improving the accuracy of steel processing. In contrast, the disadvantages are as follows: (1) further increasing the idle rate of steel installation workstation; (2) not shortening the total production time; (3) adding additional equipment costs. Hence, we recommend that the component factory consider whether to purchase the automatic equipment again on the basis of cost-benefit analysis. Benefits in cost-benefit analysis should be as comprehensive as possible, including profit, time, quality, and automation.

5. Conclusions and Future Work

In order to improve the accuracy of production time prediction and eliminate unnecessary waste in terms of time and resource utilization, this study develops a DES-based lean planning and optimization method for precast component production. Besides, a complexity evaluation index system for precast components produced is established through the investigation, consultation, and practice. Compared with the existing production planning method, the DES-based lean planning and optimization method has the following advantages: (1) the submodel of improved production planning considers not only the utilization of mold platen but also the complexity evaluation of precast components to minimize the difference between the processing time of each workstation; (2) the submodel of discrete event simulation can capture the uncertainty during precast component production to improve the accuracy of production time prediction; (3) the submodel of lean analysis and optimization can eliminate unnecessary waste in terms of time and resource utilization through the multidimensional analysis and comparative analysis.

Subsequently, one of the several project production cases is used to simulate and test the proposed method. Four complexity evaluation indices and their corresponding weights are respectively retained and determined by the
three experts of the component factory. According to the layout of precast components on mold platen and the layout of production line, the DES-based logic model regarding semiprecast slab production is established. After the 1500 simulations, the expected production time (690 minutes) is located between minimum total time (588.25 minutes) and minimum average total time (712.90 minutes). The instantaneous utilization of all workstations does not exceed 50%. For the specific case, the analysis results are as follows: (1) there is no bottleneck workstations under the existing resource allocation, but the utilization of each workstation is not high; (2) it is difficult to achieve the two shifts per day although it is feasible to a certain extent; (3) the option to purchase the automatic equipment used for steel processing does not shorten the total production time of semiprecast slabs. The suggestions regarding optimization are as follows:

(1) The new method developed by this study is recommended for component factories to improve the accuracy of production time prediction (concluded from Section 4.5.1). This method takes into account the complexity of precast components based on the utilization rate of mold platens to minimize the difference in the processing time of each workstation. In the calculation of the production time, it takes into account the uncertainty of the processing time of each workstation rather than taking a rough estimate.

(2) Component factories are suggested to pay more attention to the learning abilities and incentives of workers for improving work efficiency (concluded from Section 4.5.2). According to the consultation, the performance from the learning abilities and incentives can shorten the production time by one hour or more.

(3) Component factories are suggested to make full use of the idle workstations to produce those precast components suitable for fixed mold platens (concluded from Section 4.5.3). Lean management aims to eliminate all waste, especially unnecessary waste. The idleness of the workstation is obviously a waste that needs to be used.

The established method proposes a new idea for improving the accuracy of production time prediction and eliminating unnecessary waste. It further expands the boundary of lean production methodology from the perspective of the construction industry rather than the manufacturing industry. The findings and suggestions will provide a valuable reference and guidance for component factories to make detailed and optimal daily production plans. However, the complexity evaluation index system established in this study only considers some common precast components produced on assembly lines, such as precast wallboards and semiprecast slabs. Some special and uncommon precast components may be produced on assembly lines. Hence, they may be future research directions to continuously improve the complexity evaluation index system and thoroughly analyse the impact of the complexity for precast components on production.
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