1. Introduction

Under the action of the advanced supporting pressure, the mining roadway will experience roof subsidence, bottom heave, and two-side shrinkage deformation so that the section of the mining roadway cannot meet the actual production requirements and affects the normal mining of the coal mining face. There are many technologies for the roof control of mining roadways. For example, Sun Jiuzheng published the book “Control Technology and Engineering Practice of Thin Composite Roof of Mining Roadways,” which discussed the mechanical characteristics, changing laws, and influencing factors of thin composite roofs of mining roadways. The deformation and failure mechanism of the thin composite roof of the roadway and the deformation and failure laws of the thin composite roof of the mining roadway are summarized. Qiu Wenhua et al. proposed roof control technology for semicoal and rock roadways under complex conditions. Due to the different production geological conditions of each mining area, the roof control technology of the fully mechanized mining face is different under the influence of the advanced support pressure. According to the specific production conditions of the 1204 working face, relevant research is carried out to put forward a reasonable technical plan for the roof control of the mining roadway in the fully mechanized mining face.

2. Engineering Geological Conditions

The 1204 working face is arranged in the 2# coal seam, the ground elevation is +948~+1103 m, the underground elevation is +495~+541 m, the strike length is 1980 m, and the dip length is 167 m. The thickness of the coal seam is 2.5 to 3.95 m, with an average of 3.2 m; the inclination angle of the coal seam is 3° to 8°, with an average of 6°. The occurrence of roof and floor is shown in Table 1.
3. Determination of the Key Parameters of the Roof Control of the Mining Roadway

According to the production geological conditions of 1204, the roof control mode of the advanced support section of the super 1204 fully mechanized face is determined to be "single hydraulic prop + π beam".


According to the cross section characteristics of the two lanes in the 1204 working face, the single hydraulic prop of the transportation lane uses the DZ-35 type, and the π-shaped steel beam uses the DFB4000-300 type. The single hydraulic prop of the material lane is DZ-28, and the π-shaped steel beam is DFB3600-300. The main technical characteristics of the single hydraulic prop and the π-shaped steel beam are shown in Tables 2 and 3, respectively.

3.2. Verification of the Shrinkage of Single Hydraulic Support.

After the roadway is excavated, the basic support is performed, and the initial deformation of the old roof is given [1]. The allowable shrinkage amount should satisfy the movement and development of the old roof and reach the final stable state, that is, the basic support of the roadway. The allowable roof shrinkage of the protective structure should at least meet the expected deformation of the surrounding rock [2, 3].

\[ \Delta h + \Delta h_j > \Delta h_0, \]

(1)

where \( \Delta h \) is the required shrinkage of the basic support in the lane, \( \Delta h_j \) is the amount of compression of the auxiliary supporting structure, and \( \Delta h_0 \) is the moving amount of the roof and floor of the roadway under a given deformation state of the old roof, generally 200–300 mm.

The roof control method of the roadway in 1204 fully mechanized coal mining face adopts “single hydraulic prop + π beam,” the compression of the auxiliary support structure is 0, and the estimated roadway roof and floor moving in the given deformation state of the old roof is 300 mm. The required shrinkage of the basic stent should be greater than 300 mm. The selected single hydraulic prop has a stroke of 800 mm, which can meet the requirements.


According to the supporting force of the single hydraulic prop under the given deformation of the old roof and the given load of the roof, the bearing capacity of the π-shaped beam is considered, and the supporting density is determined by comprehensive analysis.

3.3.1. Under a Given Deformation State. Under the given deformation working condition required by the old roof, the supporting structure in the roadway should control the direct roof and make it close to the old roof. Therefore, the supporting force should be at least sufficient to balance the rock weight of the direct roof.

\[ N = 10\lambda \gamma h B d, \]

(2)

where \( N \) is the supporting force, kN; \( \lambda \) is the dynamic pressure coefficient, 2; \( \gamma \) is the bulk density of the direct roof, 2.5 t/m³; \( h \) is the thickness of the direct roof, 1.6 m; \( d \) is row spacing, 0.8 m; and \( B \) is the width of the lane, the transportation lane is 5 m, and the material lane is 4 m.

It is calculated that the required supporting force \( N = 320 \text{kN} \) for the transportation lane and the required supporting force \( N = 256 \text{kN} \) for the material lane. Therefore, in this case, the transport lane needs at least 2 single hydraulic props and at least 2 material lanes.

3.3.2. Under a Given Load State. Since the roof falling shape is arched, it is analyzed according to Platts’ pressure-free arch theory [4], as shown in Figure 1.

The damage range of the roadway gang is given by [5]

\[ C = \left( \frac{k_r H v}{1000\sigma_m - 1} \right) h \tan \left( 45^\circ - \phi \right). \]

(3)

In the above formula, the squeezing stress concentration coefficient around the roadway is generally taken as 3; \( r \) is the average gravity density of the rock formation, which is 25 kN/m³; \( v \) is the mining influence coefficient; 2; \( H \) is the buried depth and the maximum value is 487 m; \( \sigma_m \) is the uniaxial compressive strength of the top plate, 20 MPa; \( \phi \) is the internal friction angle of the top plate, 20°; and \( h \) is the height of the roadway, the transportation lane is 3.1 m, and the material lane is 2.5 m.

By using formula (3), the damage range of the transportation lane ledge is calculated as 2.99 m and the damage range of the material lane ledge is calculated as 2.41 m.

The maximum loosening range of the top plate is given by [6]

\[ b = \frac{L}{2} + C \frac{f_m}{f_m}. \]

(4)

---

Table 1: The lithology of the roof and floor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Roof and floor name</th>
<th>Rock name</th>
<th>Thickness (m)</th>
<th>Lithology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basic top</td>
<td>Sandy shale argillaceous shale</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>Dense and hard, not easy to fall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct top</td>
<td>Partially sandy shale</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Broken, cracks developed, easy to straddle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudotop</td>
<td>Mudstone</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Fall with the mining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct bottom</td>
<td>Sandy shale</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>Siltstone lenticular horizontal bedding with fissures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old bottom</td>
<td>Fine-grained sandstone</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>Horizontal bedding fissures are developed and hard, ( f = 6 ) or so</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
where $f_m$ is roof rigidity coefficient, taking 2, and $L$ is the width of the lane. The transportation lane is 5 m, and the material lane is 4 m.

By using formula (4), the loosening range of the roof of the transportation lane is calculated as 2.74 m and the loosening range of the roof of the material lane is calculated as 2.21 m.

Considering the most dangerous situation, the weight of the rock mass within the loose roof range is borne by the single hydraulic prop. The required single hydraulic prop supporting force is given by

$$N = \frac{br(2C + L)d}{1/8}.$$  

(5)

By using formula (5), the supporting force of the single hydraulic prop required by the transportation lane is calculated as 603 kN and the supporting force of the single hydraulic prop required by the material lane is calculated as 389 kN. Therefore, in this case, at least 3 single hydraulic props are required for the transportation lane and at least 2 for the material lane.

3.3.3. Considering the Bearing Capacity of π-Shaped Steel Beams. Since the length of the π-shaped beam is much larger than that of the hinged top beam, its overall supporting effect is good, which avoids the phenomenon of large top pressure and wedge pressing on the hinged top beam [7–12]. When the roof control mode is one beam and two columns, it is simplified as a simply supported beam for calculation, as shown in Figure 2(a); when the roof control mode is one beam and three columns, it is simplified as a statically indeterminate beam for calculation as shown in Figure 2(b).

When the roof control mode is one beam and two columns, it satisfies

$$[\sigma] = \frac{M_{\text{max}}}{W_z} = \frac{1/8 \cdot q \cdot L^2}{W_z} = \frac{1/8 \cdot F \cdot L}{W_z}. \quad (6)$$

When the roof control mode is one beam and three columns,

$$[\sigma] = \frac{M_{\text{max}}}{W_z} = \frac{9/512 \cdot q \cdot L^2}{W_z} = \frac{9/512 \cdot F \cdot L}{W_z}, \quad (7)$$

where $[\sigma]$ is the allowable stress, MPa; $M_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum bending moment, kN⋅m; $W_z$ is the bending section modulus, m$^3$; $q$ is the uniform load, kN/m; $L$ is beam span, m; and $F$ is the equivalent concentrated force, kN.

It can be seen from Table 2 that when the beam span is 700 mm, the central load of the beam is 300–400 kN. Since the π-shaped steel beam $[\sigma]$ and $W_z$ are constants, the bearing capacity of the π-shaped steel beam at different individual hydraulic prop spacings is obtained (see Table 4).

The calculation results in Table 4 show that when the roof control mode is one beam and two columns, the bearing capacity of the π-shaped steel beam is less than the required supporting force $N$ under the given deformation of the old roof and the given load state of the roof; when the roof control mode is one beam and three columns, the bearing capacity of the π-shaped steel beam in the material lane can meet the requirements, and the bearing capacity of the π-shaped steel beam in the area affected by the weak forward supporting pressure of the transportation lane can also meet the design requirements, but the loading capacity of the π-shaped steel beam in the severely affected area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Width (mm)</th>
<th>Length (mm)</th>
<th>Height (mm)</th>
<th>Thickness (mm)</th>
<th>Bearing capacity (kN)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DFB4000-300</td>
<td>27simn</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>300~400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFB3600-300</td>
<td>27simn</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>300~400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: The main technical characteristics of single hydraulic prop.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Rated work resistance (kN)</th>
<th>Rated work hydraulic (MPa)</th>
<th>Setting force (kN)</th>
<th>Pump station hydraulic (kN)</th>
<th>Maximum height (mm)</th>
<th>Minimum height (mm)</th>
<th>Stroke (mm)</th>
<th>Stroke base area (cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DZ-35</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>118~157</td>
<td>15~20</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DZ-28</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>118~157</td>
<td>15~20</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: The main technical characteristics of π type steel beam.
of the transportation lane cannot satisfy the design requirements.

4. Research and Demonstration of Roof Control Scheme

4.1. Feasible Plan. In order to further determine the roof control plan of the stoping roadway in 1204 fully mechanized mining face, the influence range of the advanced support is considered as 30 m. Due to the different section sizes of the transportation lane and the material lane, four feasible plans are proposed, respectively. Under the normal mining situation of the simulation study, different methods are adopted. When the advance support plan is used, the surrounding rock deformation law and plastic zone distribution characteristics of the two lanes are used to optimize and determine the reasonable roof control plan of the mining roadway. The specific plan is as follows.

4.1.1. Material Lane

Option 1: the roof control of the mining roadway adopts one beam and three pillars, and the row spacing is 1.6 m

Option 2: the roof control of the mining roadway adopts the one-beam three-pillar method, and the row spacing is 0.8 m

Option 3: the roof control of the mining roadway adopts one beam and three pillars, and the row spacing is 0.4 m

Option 4: the roof control of the mining roadway adopts the one-beam four-pillar method, and the row spacing is 0.8 m

4.1.2. Transportation Lane

Option 1: the roof control of the mining roadway adopts one beam and three pillars, and the row spacing is 1.6 m

Option 2: the roof control of the mining roadway adopts the one-beam three-pillar method, and the row spacing is 0.8 m

Option 3: the roof control of the mining roadway adopts one beam and four pillars, and the row spacing is 0.8 m

Option 4: the roof control of the mining roadway adopts the one-beam four-pillar method within 0–20 m from the working face, and one-beam three-pillar method within 20–30 m, and the row spacing is 0.8 m

4.2. Plan Demonstration and Determination. With the help of FLAC3D software, the above feasibility schemes are researched and demonstrated. Establish a horizontal model, excavate 80 m, and arrange surface displacement measurement points in two lanes 50 m ahead of the work face, as shown in Figure 3. By comparing and analyzing the deformation law of the surrounding rock of the mining roadway and the distribution characteristics of the plastic zone in different schemes, the reasonable advance support technical scheme is optimized and determined [13, 14].

4.2.1. Material Lane. The plastic zone distribution of surrounding rock under different schemes is shown in Figure 3, and the surface displacement characteristics of the transportation lane under different schemes are shown in Table 5.

From the analysis in Figure 3, it can be seen that when option 1 is adopted, the distribution range of the plastic zone of the surrounding rock of the material lane is obviously larger than that of options 2, 3, and 4, while when the option 2, 3, and 4 are adopted, the distribution range of the plastic zone of the surrounding rock of the material lane is similar. It means that the roof of the roadway in the advanced support section of the working face has been effectively controlled when the second, third, and fourth options are adopted, but the first-time control effect of the program is poor.

From the analysis of Figure 3 and Table 5, it can be seen that when the first scheme is adopted, the roof control mode of the mining roadway is one beam and three columns, the row spacing is 1.6 m, and the cumulative displacement of the roof and floor and the cumulative displacement of the two sides have reached 460 mm and 501 mm, respectively. When the second scheme is adopted, the roof control method of the mining roadway remains unchanged, and the row spacing becomes 0.8 m. Compared with the first scheme, the cumulative displacement of the roof and floor and the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Beam span (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4000 (kN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3600 (kN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>700 (kN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One beam and two columns</td>
<td>52.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One beam and three pillars</td>
<td>373</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: The advanced support simplified mechanical model. (a) One beam and two columns. (b) One beam and three columns.
cumulative displacement of the two sides are reduced by 179 mm and 170 m respectively; when the scheme is adopted at 3 o’clock, the roof control method of the mining roadway remains unchanged, and the row spacing becomes 0.4 m. However, compared with the second plan, the cumulative displacement of the roof and floor and the cumulative displacement of the two sides are only reduced by 26 mm and 28 mm, respectively. In the fourth plan, the roof control mode of the mining roadway becomes one beam and four columns with a row spacing of 0.8 m. Compared with the second plan, the cumulative displacement of the roof and floor and the cumulative displacement of the two sides are only reduced by 18 mm and 20 mm, respectively, which shows reducing the spacing between rows can improve the roof control effect of the advanced support section. However, the simulation study shows that the material lane roof is effectively controlled when the second, third, and fourth options are adopted, but the effect is not much different. The ratio control effect is very obvious; therefore, the second option is determined as the optimal one.

4.2.2. Transportation Lane. The plastic zone distribution of surrounding rock under different schemes is shown in Figure 4, and the surface displacement characteristics of the transportation lane under different schemes are shown in Table 6.

From the analysis in Figure 4, it can be seen that when the transportation lane adopts Option 1, the plastic zone of the surrounding rock is the largest, followed by Option 2, the smallest in Option 3 and Option 4, and when Option 3 and Option 4 are adopted, the distribution range of the plastic zone of the surrounding rock of the material roadway is not much different. The distribution range is not much different, indicating that when schemes 3 and 4 are adopted, the roadway roof control effect in the advanced support section of the working face is better.

From the analysis of Figure 4 and Table 6, it can be seen that when the first scheme is adopted, the roof control mode of the mining roadway is one beam and three columns, the

![Figure 3: Plastic zone distribution of the material roadway’s surrounding rock. (a) Option 1. (b) Option 2. (c) Option 3. (d) Option 4.](image)

### Table 5: The displacement curve about the material roadway’s surface in different advanced support schemes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Cumulative displacement (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Top and bottom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>281</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 3:** Plastic zone distribution of the material roadway’s surrounding rock. (a) Option 1. (b) Option 2. (c) Option 3. (d) Option 4.
row spacing is 1.6 m, and the cumulative displacement of the roof and floor and the cumulative displacement of the two sides reach 511 mm and 589 mm, respectively. When the second plan is adopted, the advance support method remains unchanged, and the row spacing becomes 0.8 m. Compared with the first plan, the cumulative displacement of the top and bottom plates and the cumulative displacement of the two sides are reduced by 108 mm and 148 mm, respectively; when the third is adopted at the same time, the cumulative displacement of the two sides are reduced by 108 mm and 148 mm, respectively; when the fourth scheme is adopted and when the working front is 0–20 m, one beam and four columns are used, and one beam and three columns are used within 20–30 m, and the row spacing is 0.8 m. Compared with scheme 3, the cumulative displacement of the top and bottom plates and the cumulative displacement of the two sides are only reduced. The values of 16 mm and 12 mm indicate that the control effect of the surrounding rock in the advanced support section of the working face of scheme 3 and scheme 4 is better, but the difference in the control effect between the two is very small. Considering comprehensively, the fourth option is determined as the best option.

Therefore, the optimal design plan for the roof control of the mining roadway in 1204 fully mechanized mining face is determined as follows: ① material lane: one beam and three columns are used within 0–30 m in front of the work face, with a row spacing of 0.8 m; ② transportation lane: when the working front is 0–20 m, one beam and four columns are used inside, and one beam and three columns are used within 20–30 m, and the row spacing is 0.8 m.

5. On-Site Industrial Test

5.1. Station Layout. In order to verify the roof control effect of the mining roadway, the roadway surface displacement
observation stations were arranged in the transportation lane and the material lane, respectively, and the “cross measurement method” was used for observation. The measurement points are set up as shown in Figure 5. A drill hole with a depth of 400~500 mm is constructed on the top and bottom and the two sides, and a round steel or steel rod with a diameter of 16~18 mm is buried in the hole and fixed with a resin coil. The roadway shows that the displacement monitoring mainly includes roof subsidence OC, floor heave OD, left side approach OA, and right side approach OB.

5.2. Analysis of Observation Results. Field measurements show that the cumulative approach of the two sides of the material lane is 477 mm, the cumulative approach of the roof and floor is 411 mm, the cumulative approach of the two sides of the transportation lane is 354 mm, and the cumulative approach of the top and floor is 301 mm. The roof of the mining roadway in 1204 fully mechanized mining face has been effectively controlled, and the roadway section can meet the actual production requirements.

6. Conclusion

(1) The roof control support equipment suitable for the mining roadway of 1204 fully mechanized mining face is determined, namely, the single hydraulic prop of the transportation lane is DZ-35, the π-shaped steel beam is DFB4000-300, the single hydraulic prop of the material lane is DZ-28 type, and π-shaped steel beam is DFB3600-300 type.

(2) According to the supporting force of the single hydraulic prop required under the given deformation of the old roof and the given load of the roof, and considering the bearing capacity of the π-shaped beam, comprehensive analysis is used to determine the supporting density, combined with FLAC3D numerical simulation method. Research and demonstration of the roof control scheme of the mining roadway were carried out, and the optimal scheme was determined, that is, the severely affected area within the advanced support range of the material lane adopts the one-beam three-column method.

(3) Field engineering tests show that it is reasonable to propose the roof control plan of the stopeing roadway in 1204 fully mechanized mining face. The cumulative approach of the two sides of the material roadway is 477 mm, the cumulative approach of the roof and floor is 411 mm, and the cumulative approach of the two sides of the transportation lane is 354 mm. The cumulative moving distance of the roof and floor is 301 mm, and the roof of the mining roadway is effectively controlled.
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