Determining the Layout Parameters of the Gas Drainage Roadway: A Study for Sima Coalmine China
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To determine the layout parameters of the gas drainage roadway (GDR) serving for the working face, an analytical calculation method of fracture zone and the modelling experiment were adopted, and the overburden fissure induced by mining and the height of fracture zone were analyzed. For the research on the distribution of fracture zone by analytical calculation method, the multiple factors influencing the failure mode of strata and the height of fracture zone were considered. The #1207 working face in Sima mine was taken as an engineering background, and the layout parameters of GDR were given by analyzing the height of fracture zone. Combining the results obtained by analytical calculation and scale modelling experiment, the suggested height of GDR was 10.7–32.8 m away from the coal seam roof, and the projection distance of GDR in the horizontal was within the range of 0–35 m from the airway. By monitoring the gas drainage effects in different heights away from coal seam roof in #1207 working face and in different horizontal distances away from the ventilation roadway in the #1211 working face, the results showed that the optimal height was 17.5–22 m away from coal roof, and the optimal horizontal distance was 17–21 m away from airway for GDR. The gas drainage effect of GDR indicated that the proposed parameters are scientific and reasonable.

1. Introduction

The production conditions of many mines in China are extremely complicated, and various major production accidents occurred [1, 2]. Gas is one of the biggest disaster factors that lead to the production accidents [3, 4]. The technology of gas drainage and relieved methane is an effective and reliable method for gas disaster treatment in high gas working face [5–10]. Currently, gas drainage roadway (GDR) is widely used in the gas drainage method, which can effectively solve the gas transfinite problem and make full use of the extracted gas. The layout parameters of the GDR directly affect the gas drainage effect [11]. When the layer of the GDR is too high, the problem of high gas in the working face cannot be solved. When the layer is too low, it is susceptible to seam mining and detrimental to the stability of the GDR.

Some researchers [12–14] thought that gas drainage should be firstly applied to transform high gas content coal seams into low gas content coal seams for the safety and effectivity of coal mining, the influence of different coal rock occurrence conditions on the three-zones was analyzed, and the calculation method for the height of the three zones was obtained [15]. Numerical simulation mode was built, and the characteristics of gas occurrence were given in the three zones above the goaf [16, 17]. Wang et al. and Cheng et al. [18, 19] discussed in detail the arrangement of drainage roadway for gas extraction and proposed the methods for improving permeability of the first-mined and adjacent seams and constructing a gas tunnel. Lu et al. and Li et al. [20, 21] insisted that the extraction system should be arranged in the mining-induced fracture elliptic paraboloid zone, and the research result was successfully applied in different mines. Yuan et al. [22] gave the reasonable parameters of the GDR in some mines of Huainan. At present, most scholars analyzed the layout of the GDR based on the distribution of fracture zone. On the one hand, they analyzed
the fracture zone of the surrounding rock from the aspects of numerical and physical simulation. On the other hand, analytical calculations were carried out by using empirical formula to obtain the height of the fracture zone.

In generally, the calculation of the fracture zone using the empirical formula is only related to the mining height and looseness coefficient of the coal seam [23]. In the process of working face mining, the height of the fracture zone of the overburden is also related to mining range, the distance between the key layer and the coal seam, and the movement characteristics of the key layer, which have been proved by field monitoring [24–26]. However, there are little analytical calculations and scale-modelling experiments for the height of the fracture zone considering the multiple influence factors, which makes it difficult to determine layout parameters of the GDR. The breather fracture field is located at the saddle shape profile, and it is in the range of the fracture zone, whose distribution is shown in Figure 1. The gas drainage roadway is placed in the fracture zone on the air-return roadway side of the working face, which is in favor of improving the gas drainage effect.

In the field, both gas drainage effect and the stability of gas drainage roadway should be taken into account. Thus, it is essential to calculate the range of fracture zone and determine the layout parameters of gas drainage roadway considering the multiple influence factors by analytical calculation method of fracture zone and the scale modeling experiment. In the work, an analytical calculation and a scale modelling experiment are adopted to determine the layout parameters of the GDR. Subsequently, the position parameters of the GDR are optimized based the measuring data in the Sima mine.

2. Engineering Background of the Case

The primitive gas content of the #3 coal seam of Sima mine is 6.31 m³/t, and the desorption gas volume is 4.55 m³/t. The max absolute gas emission during production is 33.71 m³/min. Due to the limitation of mine air volume, gas emission seriously affects the normal production. Thus, it is essential to conduct gas drainage. The GDR could be used in the working face to control gas for the first time. However, how to reasonably design the parameters of the GDR has become a key problem. The coal thickness is 6.3 m, the inclined length is 199.5 m, and the advancing length is 118.5 m in #1207 working face. The gas drainage is mainly by drilling in the working face and in the fracture zone. The service intake roadway is located in the east of working face, and the maiden field is located in the north and west. The GDR is arranged in #1207 working face for the first time, and the distribution of working face is shown in Figure 2.

In order to solve the abovementioned problem, the layout parameters of the GDR are studied by analytical calculation method of fracture zone and the scale modelling experiment. Multiple influence factors are considered in the analytical calculation method including mining range, the distance between key stratum and coal seams, and the movement of key stratum. Meanwhile, the engineering application is analyzed to optimize the layout parameters of the GDR.

3. Analytical Calculation

The partition of the fracture zone of overlying rock is not only related to the mining height of coal seam, but also affected by multiple factors such as the mining range in the working face, the strength of rock strata, the movement of the key layers, and the follower layers. Wang et al. [27] proposed a new method to calculate the height of fracture zone by considering the height of water flowing fracture zone under multiple factor action. The method thought that the goaf could not be completely filled with the loose and fractured rock mass and the key layer and the movement characteristics of rock mainly affected the fracture zone. With increasing mining range of coal seam, the fracture of key layer occurs when the hanging steps reach the limit value. After the fracture of key layer, it is by analyzing whether a hinge structure can be formed to judge that the overlying strata are a caving zone or fracture zone. If the rock layers form a hinge structure, the overlying strata are a fracture zone. If the rock layers cannot form a hinge structure, the overlying strata are a caving zone. The key stratum and its overlying strata can be considered as curve subsidence zone, when the key layer is not affected by coal mining, or the fracture does not appear within the working face. Based on the above new partition method of fracture zone, the height of fracture zone in #1207 working face of Sima mine is calculated to provide support for the layout parameters of GDR.

The detailed calculation process is as follows:
The 1st step: according to the identification method of key strata [28], there are five hard strata in the overburden strata of working face, among which there are three key strata. The first inferior key stratum is mudstone layer, which is 10 m away from coal roof. The second inferior key stratum is siltstone layer, which is 39.3 m away from coal roof. The main key stratum is fine-sandstone layer, which is 54.9 m away from coal roof.

The 2nd step: based on the fact that the parameters of the thickness of coal seam (M), the thickness of immediate roof (h1), and residual expansion rate of rock (k′p) meet the following equation, it is thought that the cavitation below the immediate roof can be formed:

\[
h_1 + M \geq k'_p [h_1 + (1 - C)M],
\]

where \(C\) is the recovery ratio, and its value is 0.97. Meanwhile, the value of \(M\) is 6.3 m, the value of \(h_1\) is 2.5 m, and the value of \(k'_p\) is 1.30.

The 3rd step: equation (2) is used to judge whether the fracture of the upper key strata can take place. If the mining parameters meet the following equation, the fracture will occur:

\[
a - 2 \sum h \cot \alpha \geq h_2 \left(\frac{2R_t}{q}\right)^{1/2},
\]

where \(a\) is the length of working face; \(\sum h\) is the gross thicknesses between key strata and working face; \(\alpha\) is the fracture angle of overlying strata; \(h_2\) is the thicknesses of key strata; \(R_t\) is the tensile strength of key strata; and \(q\) is the load of key strata. The calculation results of \(a - 2 \sum h \cot \alpha\) and \(h_2 (2R_t/q)^{1/2}\) are listed in Table 1.

It can be seen from Table 1 that the values of \(a - 2 \sum h \cot \alpha\) are all much larger than those of \(h_2 (2R_t/q)^{1/2}\) for the three key layers, which indicates that the three key layers will be affected by the mining process, and the fracture of three key layers will occur.

The 4th step: to further determine whether the rock is a caving zone or a fracture zone after disrupting, the principle of "three hinged arch" is applied [29].

The conditions for fault rock blocks without slip and instability are given in

\[
h_2^2 \leq 0.5 \tan \varphi,
\]

where \(\varphi\) is the friction angle of rock; \(L\) is the fracture length, m.

The conditions for fault rock without deformation and instability are presented in

\[
\frac{\sigma_p}{\sigma_t} \leq k, \quad \sigma_p = \frac{2gq^2}{(1 - i \sin \beta)^2},
\]

where \(\sigma_p\) is the extrusion stress of occlusal area, kPa; \(\sigma_t\) is the compressive strength of rock, kPa; \(k\) is the proportional coefficient, and its value is 0.29 based on experience [30]; \(\beta\) is the allowed angle of subsidence, which is determined by the cavitation size \(\Delta\) and the fracture length \(L\) [29]. \(i\) can be obtained by

\[
i = \frac{L}{h_2}.
\]

As displayed in Tables 2 and 3, only the first inferior key stratum cannot meet equations (3) and (4) at the same time.

To summarize, the mining thickness of coal seam is 6.3 m, and there are key strata above the coal. The first inferior key stratum is fractured during mining process and then destabilized. The first inferior key stratum and its following stratum belong to caving zone, and the height of caving zone is 17 m. The second inferior key stratum and main key stratum are also fractured during coal mining, but they can form hinge structure. Because the thickness of primary recovery is smaller, and the strata thickness below the main key layer is larger, the goal can be completely filled by the fracture rocks. Thus, the main key layer and its above rock strata are identified as curve subsidence zone, and the height of fracture zone is 44.2 m.

According to the analytical calculation results above, the GDR was preferably arranged in the height range of 10.7 to 54.9 m from the coal seam roof to optimize the gas drainage effect. The distribution of fracture zone is shown in Figure 3.

### 4. Scale Modelling Experiment

In order to verify the rationality of the above theory analysis and study the layout parameters of the GDR in the working face, we take the #1207 working face of Sima mine as the prototype to carry out scale modelling experiment.

The actual height of coal seam and its above rock strata is 380 m. The scale model before excavation is shown in Figure 4. The length of model is 2400 mm, and the height is 1200 mm. In order to eliminate boundary effect, the excavation area is 1800 mm in the middle, leaving 300 mm on both sides as the boundary.

With the advance of working face, the TDS303 data collector was used to collect the pressure data of working face and the vertical displacement data of the upper part of the model. Meanwhile, the electronic theodolite was used to observe the angle variation of measuring points. The fracture angles in the mining side and in the open-off cut side are analyzed when the working face is advanced to 60 cm, 90 cm, 120 cm, 150 cm, and 180 cm, respectively. The statistical results of fracture angle are shown in Table 4.
As shown in Table 4, the maximum and minimum values of fracture angle in the mining side are 64° and 58°, respectively. The average value of fracture angle in the mining side is 61.6°. The maximum and minimum values of fracture angle in the open-off cut side are 67° and 65°, respectively. The average value of fracture angle in the open-off cut side is 66°. The fracture angle in the mining side fluctuates greatly, while the fracture angle in the open-off cut side is relatively stable. Thus, it is estimated that fracture angle in the mining side is about 62° and the fracture angle in the cut-off side is about 66° for the #1207 working face of Sima mine based on the scale modelling experiment. The fracture angle in the mining side is always less than that in the cut-off side.

Based on the law of overburden movement during the excavation process, the fracture zone was divided, and the results are shown in Figure 5.

According to the obtained height of fracture zone in the physical similarity model and the geometrical similarity ratio between the actual strata and similarity model, it can be found that the height of the caving zone in #1207 working face is 10.7 m, and the height of the fracture zone is 44.2 m. The curve subsidence zone is located over 54.9 m above the coal seam.

### 5. Layout Parameters of the GDR and Field Application

#### 5.1. Layout Parameters of the GDR

The layout parameters of the GDR could be obtained by analytical calculation and scale modelling experiment. The GDR should be arranged in the most concentrative region of fractures. Based on the results of analytical calculation and scale modelling experiment, the layout parameters of the GDR in Sima mine are determined as follows:

1. **Layout parameters in the height direction**
   - The maximum height of the GDR should be the upper limit of the fracture zone. Meanwhile, the GDR cannot be arranged in the range of the caving zone considering the maintenance of the roadway. It is found that the distribution of gas fissures tends to be closer to the lower part of fracture zone in Figure 1. Thus, the layout position of the GDR in the height direction is located in the middle and lower part of the fracture zone considering all factors. Reasonable layout of the GDR in the height direction is 10.7–32.8 m away from the coal roof.

2. **Layout parameters in the direction of parallel coal seams**
   - A-A profile of the #1207 working face of Sima mine in China is taken as the prototype of this study (Figure 6). The GDR is mostly parallel to the roadway direction. In order to optimize the parameters of the GDR, the section transformation is performed. The collapse state of A-A section is the closest to the state of the B–B section when the goaf reaches a square distribution, and advancing distance of the working face is equal to the working face length. When the advancing length of working face is 200 m, A-A profile is selected as a reference for the B–B profile, and the relevant parameters are analyzed to determine the parameters of the section transformation in the horizontal direction. When the working face advanced 200 m, the excavation length of corresponding model is 100 cm. The fracture angle in the mining side is 62° and it is 66° in the open-off cut side. According to the relevant standard, the reasonable layout area of the GDR is within 1/3 length of the working face near ventilation roadway. The length of the #1207 working face is 200 m, and the reasonable area of the GDR is from 0 m to 70 m. Meanwhile, the GDR in the horizontal direction should be arranged within fracture angle and completely avoid being arranged red area outside the fracture line.

According to the above analysis, the optimal layout parameter of the GDR in the height direction is determined in the middle and lower part of the blue area and is arranged in central line of the blue area along the direction of the coal seam.

That is, the height range of the GDR is from 10.7 m to 32.8 m away from the coal seam roof, which is the middle of the blue region in the height direction in Figure 7. The GDR in the horizontal direction away ventilation roadway is in the range of 0 m to 35 m. The specific layout of the GDR is shown in Figure 7.

#### 5.2. Field Application Analysis

To further optimize the parameters of the GDR in Sima mine, gas drainage effect is monitored in different heights away from coal-seam roof in #1207 working face and different horizontal distances away from the ventilation roadway in #1207 working face in Sima mine.

##### 5.2.1. Drainage Effect in #1207 Working Face

The horizontal section of the GDR in #1207 working face is between 26 m and 31 m away from the coal roof, and the horizontal distance is 35 m from the ventilation roadway. The layout and profile of GDR in #1207 working face are shown in Figures 8 and 9.

The flow of GDR, pure gas content, and gas concentration are monitored for the different heights of GDR in the process of working face advancing. The relation between height of the GDR and gas data is shown in Figure 10.

The flow of the GDR and gas content are lower and more stable when the height of the GDR is below 13.0 m. This is because the height of the GDR is low in the caving zone, and the gas drainage is mainly derived from coal falling area and low concentration gas area in caving zone.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key stratum</th>
<th>$h/L$</th>
<th>$1/2\tan\phi$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First inferior key stratum</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second inferior key stratum</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main key stratum</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
When the height is from 13.0 m to 20.0 m, the GDR is in fracture zone and the fractures are well developed. The high-density gas is pumped into the GDR by negative pressure. Meanwhile, the gas concentration and pure gas content increase with the increase of the height of GDR. When the height of the GDR is 19m, the gas drainage effects are best. The gas concentration is up to 2.99%, and the pure gas content is 11.5 m³/min. When the height exceeds 22.0 m, the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key stratum</th>
<th>β (°)</th>
<th>i</th>
<th>q (MPa)</th>
<th>σ_c (MPa)</th>
<th>σ_p (MPa)</th>
<th>σ_c/σ_p</th>
<th>κ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First inferior key stratum</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>392.23</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>0.165</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second inferior key stratum</td>
<td>87.2</td>
<td>7.22</td>
<td>130.37</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main key stratum</td>
<td>87.0</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>386.47</td>
<td>106.2</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3: Calculation results.**

**Table 4: Fracture angle of overburden roof.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advancing distance</th>
<th>60 cm</th>
<th>90 cm</th>
<th>120 cm</th>
<th>150 cm</th>
<th>180 cm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fracture angle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in the mining side</td>
<td>61°</td>
<td>63°</td>
<td>64°</td>
<td>62°</td>
<td>58°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in the open-off cut side</td>
<td>65°</td>
<td>66°</td>
<td>66°</td>
<td>66°</td>
<td>67°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3: Distribution of fracture zone.**

**Figure 4: The model before excavation.**

**Figure 5: Distribution results of fracture zone.**

**Figure 6: A-A profile.**
pure gas content curve shows a downward trend and gradually stabilizes. The optimal scope of GDR is from 17.5 m to 22 m in the height direction.

5.2.2. Drainage Effect in 1211 Working Face. The strike length of 1211 working face is 220 m. The GDR is located in the upper mudstone, and the distance is 15 m away from coal roof. The plane layout and gas drainage data are shown in Figures 11 and 12. When the horizontal distance between the GDR and the airway is below 13 m, gas concentration and pure gas content slowly grow with horizontal distance increasing. Gas concentration and pure gas content rapidly grow when the distance is more than 13 m. When the horizontal distance is 18 m, the drainage effect is the best. The gas concentration is up to 1.75%, and the pure gas content is 11.3 m³/min. The curves decrease and gradually stabilize. The optimally horizontal distance of the GDR is from 17 m to 21 m away from airway.

6. Conclusions
Based on the engineering background of #1207 working face in Sima mine, the analytical calculation method of fracture zone considering multiple factors is used to obtain the layout parameters of the GDR in the work. With the aid of scale modelling experiment results, the layout parameters of the GDR in Sima mine are determined. Meanwhile, the layout
parameters of the GDR are optimized by monitoring the gas drainage effect in the field. The following conclusions are as follows.

1. The height of fracture zone is obtained by the analytical calculation method considering multiple influence factors. The results show there are three key strata above the coal seam. The height of caving zone in Sima mine is 10.7 m away from the coal roof, and the height of the fracture zone is from 10.7 m to 54.9 m.

2. The results of analytical calculations and scale modelling experiment are applied to obtain the parameters of the GDR. We finally propose that the GDR of Sima mine should be arranged in the range of 10.7 m to 32.8 m from the coal seam in the height direction. The projection distance parallel to the direction of the coal seam is within the range of 0–35 m from the airway.

3. Gas drainage effects in the field are monitored, and the optimal layout parameters of the GDR are proposed. The field analysis shows that the results obtained by analytical calculation and scale modelling experiment are reasonable. The optimal scope of the GDR is from 17.5 m to 22 m in the height direction. The optimally horizontal distance of the GDR is from 17 m to 21 m away from airway.

**Abbreviations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Thickness of coal seam (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_i$</td>
<td>Thickness of immediate roof (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_i$</td>
<td>Residual expansion rate of rock (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Sigma h$</td>
<td>Gross thicknesses between key strata and working face (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Recovery ratio (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>Length of working face (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a'$</td>
<td>Fracture angle of overlying strata (')</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_F'$</td>
<td>Extrusion stress of occlusal area for fracture rock (Pa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_2$</td>
<td>Thicknesses of key strata (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_c$</td>
<td>Compressive strength of rock (Pa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_c$</td>
<td>Tensile strength of key strata (Pa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q</td>
<td>Load of key strata. (N/m$^3$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varphi$</td>
<td>Friction angle of rock ('')</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Fracture length (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>Proportional coefficient (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta$</td>
<td>Caviation size (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>Allowed angle of subsidence (').</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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