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A Study on the Mechanism of Dynamic Pressure during the Combinatorial Key Strata Rock Column Instability in Shallow Multi-coal Seams
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In order to study the pressure changes and support failure in mining face under concentrated coal pillar in shallow coal seam, the concentrated coal pillar in 30105 working face of Nan Liang Coal Mine was selected as the research object. In this study, the mechanism of dynamic mine pressure in mining face under concentrated coal pillar was investigated through multiple simulation experiments, numerical simulations, and theoretical analysis. The results of similar simulation experiment indicate that the dynamic mine pressure occurred at 25 m under the concentrated coal pillar and 7 m beyond the coal pillar. The strata roof was observed with sliding down, resulting in collapse and severe fractures commonly seen in rock column. The overlying strata caused the overall subsidence and collapse synchronously, resulting in the sudden increase of the resistance of the support in the working face, and the dynamic load coefficients reach 3.4 and 3.5. The theoretical analysis indicates that the two hard strata in the overlying strata of 3−1 coal meet the theoretical criterion of the combined key strata with the concentrated coal pillar of 2−2 coal in the weak interlayer of the combined key strata. The combined key strata bear the load of the whole overlying strata. The sliding instability featured with the rock column-type fracture located in the combined key strata is considered as the primary trigger of the abnormal resistance of the support and the dynamic mine pressure in the mining face under the concentrated coal pillar. The dynamic pressure model of “combination key strata—immediate roof-support” was established, along with the dynamic load coefficient calculation related to the rock column-type fracture and instability. The characteristics of dynamic load coefficient of the rock column-type fracture and instability under different overlying rock structure conditions were analyzed, providing references and insights into mining under similar geographic conditions.

1. Introduction

Yu Shen Fu Mine, as one of the major coal production sites in mainland China, is featured with coal seams shallowly buried in the loose and thick coal strata. The longwall mining conducted often leads to dynamic pressures, the cut-off of the overlying rocks, and the gradient subsidence observed in the surface [1, 2]. The roof is difficult to control. In order to avoid a series of issues aforementioned, room and pillar mining have been adopted [3, 4]. In addition, the long wall spaced mining method was experimented in Nan Liang Mine to increase the mining efficiency [5], which left a large number of spaced coal pillars and dense coal pillars. As the mining deepens, the mining experiences of multiple excavation wells including those of Hao-Dang et al. [6] and Jin-Feng and Xu [7] well suggest that the remining coal pillars in the excavation zone of the upper coal seam pose as major safety threats to the lower coal seam. Jin-Feng et al. [8] considered the three-hinged arch structure of the overlying strata beyond the coal pillar was the main cause of the instable pressure in the working face. De-Fu [9]
studied the fracture of the roof overlying strata where the spaced mining approach was adopted and concluded that the fracture of the roof overlying strata in the Nan Liang site was featured with false roof, the collapse of the immediate roof, and the bending and subsidence of the primary roof with developed cracks in the middle. No gradient subsidence was observed in the surface. Zhang et al. [10] conducted research on the dynamic pressure zone of the dense coal pillar under the excavation where the room-and-pillar approach was adopted and concluded that the dynamic loads often occurred within the radius of 10 meters during the last pressure cycle before the exposure of the coal pillar. However, the researchers mainly focused on the structural instability of the coal pillar overlying rock strata in the lower working face, the stability of the coal pillar, and loading zone featured with changes without looking into the load bearing structure of the overlying strata and mechanism behind the dynamic pressure during the mining of the lower coal pillar.

In this study, the mining process of the concentrated coal pillar located in the upper coal seam in 30105 working face was studied focusing on the overlying strata bearing structure and the collapse of the overlying strata to analyze the mechanisms guiding the dynamic loading and pressure of the working face under the coal pillar, the sudden resistance increases, and drastic pressure increases.

2. The Working Face Condition

No. 30105 working face in Nan Liang Mine locates at 3−1 coal seam in the west side of the No. 301 mining zone, with a length of 2048 m and a slope length of 300 m. The average coal seam is about 2.1 m with a slope between 0 and 3°. The coal seam contains a primary siltstone roof with a thickness of 20.4 m, an immediate siltstone roof with a thickness between 0.5 and 3.7 m, and a siltstone floor with a thickness of 7.4 m. A distance between 25.7 and 35.2 m was identified from the No. 30105 working face to the No. 20109 and No. 20111 working face of 2−1 coal seam above. Due to different mining approaches and layout, the concentrated coal pillar left in the No. 20109 and No. 20111 working face of 2−2 coal seam is featured with an average width of 40 m and a length of 162 m, which are about 485 m away from the center cut of No. 30105 working face. The layout of the 1#~92# supports of No. 30105 working face is illustrated in Figure 1.

The mining of No. 30105 was mainly conducted through the coal pillar located in the overlying excavation zone whose resistance carried by the working face supports was monitored in real time. According to the monitoring data, the upcoming loading distance was 22 m. The average resistance on the support reached about 25.5 MPa under zero load. Rib falls were observed among support 1#~92# in the working face corresponding to the concentrated coal pillar during the loading cycle, with a 43% opening of the safety valve for the support. During the loading process, the support was compressed by 200~400 mm, with a maximum load of 44.6 MPa and a dynamic loading coefficient of 1.75.

3. The Collapse Simulation of the Overlying Strata under the Concentrated Coal Pillar during the Mining

3.1. The Experiment Design. In order to simulate the collapse of the overlying strata of the concentrated coal pillar in the working face, a model was built following the scale of 1:100, as demonstrated in Figure 2, following the overlying pillar layout of No. 30105 working face. The material adopted was mainly river sand with plaster and white power as the glue agents. The mica powder in 8 to 10 mesh was used to simulate the horizontal joints among the rock strata. Considering the height limitation of the model, the 17 m soil layer was replaced with a similar block made of iron. The dimension of the model was 3000 mm (length) × 1300 mm (height) × 200 mm (width). The dimension of the support was designed with a length of 200 mm, a width of 35 mm, and a lift range of 12 to 60 mm, featured with thread rods following the scale of 1:100. The actual resistance on the support was converted by following the formula below, taking the scale of the model into consideration. The experiment was conducted in the following steps:

Step 1. A 10 m coal collar was preserved at the left boundary of the 2−2 coal seam, which was pushed forward by 50 m to form a spaced excavation zone. Another 40 m concentrated coal pillar was preserved which was pushed forward by 25 m to form a second knife-shaped working pillar.

Step 2. The excavation was conducted from left to the right in the 3−1 coal seam, aiming at similar migration and collapse pattern of the overlying strata during the development of the concentrated coal pillar in the working face. The model after the completion of step 1 is demonstrated in Figure 3. The overlying strata in the spaced excavation zone started to form a collapse in a gradient arch shape.

3.2. The Simulation Phenomenon and Result Analysis. The working face moved forward approaching the concentrated coal pillar, as shown in Figure 4(a). Cracks in the overlying strata developed upward till 8 m into the red soil layer, without obvious surface subsidence. A gradient collapse zone was formed in the overlying strata in the excavation zone, with a fracture angle of 68°. As the working face moved forward to 25 m, as shown in Figure 4(b), the immediate roof collapsed, and the roof strata slid, resulting in major fractures and subsidence of the whole overlying loose strata. Simultaneously, the resistance of the working face support was increased to 86.7 MPa drastically with a dynamic loading coefficient of 3.4. A deep and through crack was developed along the coal wall of the working face until 13 m to 18 m into the coal pillar with a strata fracture angle of 70°. The working face continued to move forward, as shown in Figure 4(c) until the roof fell through the coal wall and the whole overlying strata subsided. The crack, following the coal wall of the working face, developed through the edge of the coal pillar, the excavation roof, resulting in a through
crack joining the ground. Simultaneously, the resistance of the working face support was increased to 89.25 MPa drastically with a dynamic loading coefficient of 3.5. A gap was developed in the model surface with uneven subsidence and a strata fracture angle of 75°.

The analysis reveals that multiple dynamic loads occurred at the support during the mining process of the concentrated coal pillar in the working face, accompanied with the increased resistance in the support, which were caused by the combinatorial key strata structure formed by two layers of hard overlaying strata as the carrier for the whole loose layer. When the suspension beam structure of the combinatorial strata formed due to the failure of the overlaying strata reaching the fracture limit, the combinatorial key strata structure experienced fractures and instability along the coal wall. Along with the fracture of the overlaying strata, the loose loading overlaying subsided, stressing the support and leading to drastic resistance on the hydraulic support. According to the resistance curve of the support in the model shown in Figure 5, two dynamic loading occurred to the working face due to the concentrated coal pillar, resulting in a greater resistance on the support than the rated resistance. The higher resistance on the support without the loading than that in the excavation zone suggests that the subsidence of the overlaying loose load bearing zone along with the combinatorial strata is the main cause of the drastic increase in the support resistance in the working face.

4. The Mechanism behind the Instability and Rock Column-Type Fracture of the Key Combinatorial Strata

4.1. The Parameter Analysis of the Key Combinatorial Strata. According to the combinatorial key strata theory [11] of the shallow coal seam, two hard strata layers of the shallowly buried coal seam with lose ground soil are considered as key strata. A sandwich structure is often formed with a weak stratum in between two hard strata, which move, deform, and fracture simultaneously. The sandwich structured strata share the common characteristics of key strata, such as the deformation, fracture, and support, despite of a greater thickness.

With the parameters of the overlaying strata of No. 30105 working face in the Nan Liang site, the fifth and
eleventh strata layers above No. 30105 working face were evaluated based on the calculation formula (1) following the key strata theory [12] and the criterion of the combinatorial key strata.

\[
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_i g h_i \cdot \sum_{i=n+1}^{m} E_i h_i^3}{(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_i g h_i + q) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_i h_i^3} = \frac{0.6277 \times 34305.51}{1.8533 \times 95270.78} = 0.12 < 1.
\]

(1)

According to the calculation, the fifth and eleventh strata layers above No. 30105 working face satisfy the criterion of the combinatorial key strata. The key parameters were calculated and provided below.

The thickness of the combinatorial key strata is \(h_{zu} = 44.5 m\).

The loading of the combinatorial key strata is \(q_{zu} = 2.48 MPa\).

\[
q_{zu} (x)_{m+1} = \frac{E_{zu} h_{zu}^3 (\sum_{i=1}^{m} \rho_i g h_i + q)}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} E_i h_i^3} = 2.48 MPa.
\]

(2)

The cyclic upcoming loading distance of the combinatorial key strata [13] \(l_{zu}\) is calculated by the following formula:

\[
l_{zu} = h_{zu} \sqrt{\frac{q_{zu} \psi}{3q}} = 44.5 \times \sqrt{\frac{2.5 \times 0.65}{3 \times 2.48}} = 20.9 m.
\]

(3)

The tensile strength of the combinatorial key strata is 2.5 MPa, \(\psi\) is 0.65, referring to the impact coefficient of the layer number of the combinatorial key strata, and \(q\) is 2.48 MPa, indicating the load of the loose layer.

According to the simulation demonstrated in Figure 4 and the theoretic analysis, a structural model simulating the

**Figure 4:** Collapse characteristics of overlying strata of concentrated coal pillar in working face. (a) Approaching the concentrated coal pillar. (b) 25 m under the concentrated coal pillar. (c) 7 m beyond the concentrated coal pillar.
rock column-type fracture and instability of the combina-
torial key strata in No. 30105 working face is established, as
drawn in Figure 6. B1 and B2 refer to the two layers of hard
key strata above the working face, respectively. A relatively
weaker layer was designed between two key strata layers.
When the load was added, both key strata fractured si-
multaneously, resulting in major rock column-type fracture
in the combinatorial key strata. 2−2 coal pillar located be-
tween the two key strata. During the mining underneath the
coal pillar, due to the relatively great thickness of the
fractured rock, the overlaying loading layer consequently
fractured and subsided.

Based on the combinatorial key strata theory, to prevent
the instability, collapse, and the sliding, the following
condition has to be met [14]:

\[ T \tan \varphi \geq R_A. \] (4)

Substituting the pushing force \( T \) imposed on the rock
column, \( R_A \) as the support force need to maintain stability
and \( l_{zu} \) as the rock column cyclic fracture distance, the follow-
ning formula can be obtained:

\[ q \leq \frac{(2 \tan \varphi + 3 \sin \alpha)^2 [\sigma_c] \psi}{8n}. \] (5)

In formula (5), \( \tan \varphi \) refers to the friction among the rock
columns, which was selected at 0.6, \( [\sigma_c] \) is the uniaxial
compressive strength of the combinatorial key strata, and
\( n = 10 \), indicating the ratio between the compressive
strength and the tensile strength of the combinatorial key
strata.

The reverse angle of the fracture rock in the combina-
torial key strata depends on the excavation height \( M \), the
thickness of the immediate roof \( \Sigma h \), the crushing expansion
coefficient \( k_p \), and the length of the fractured rock column
\( l_{zu} \):

\[ \alpha' = \arcsin \frac{M - \sum h(k_p - 1)}{l_{zu}}. \] (6)

With key parameters, the final reverse angle \( \alpha' = 3.5° \) fol-
lowing formula (6). Consequently, the following result can
be obtained with formula (5):

\[ q \leq \frac{(1.2 + 3 \sin \alpha)^2 × 2.5 × 0.65}{8} = 0.38 \text{MPa}. \] (7)

The comparison of formulae (7) and (2) has revealed that
the actual loading on the combinatorial key strata was much
higher than the maximum allowable loading without the
fracture and instability. In other words, the fracture and
instability of the combinatorial key strata is a major cause of
the drastic changes to the pressure of the working face under
the concentrated coal pillar in the shallow coal seam.

4.2. The Dynamic Pressure Mechanism behind the Pillar-Type
Fracture and Instability

4.2.1. The Dynamic Loading Model Featured with the
Combinatorial Key Strata—The Immediate Roof-the Support.
According to the model built to simulate the rock column-
type fracture and instability of the combinatorial key strata, a
loading dynamic model featured with a system of “the
combinatorial key strata—the immediate roof-the support”
is established, as shown in Figure 7. In order to simplify the
loading calculation during the rock column-type fracture, 
assume that the fractured rock column B of the combina-
torial key strata (whose weight is represented with \( G_{zu} \)) slid
and fractured at the \( \Delta h \) from the immediate roof and
consequently landed on the immediate roof. The immediate
roof was pushed down by \( \Delta h_z \). During the sliding, the
following assumptions were made:

① No deformation occurs to the two key strata and no
rebound occurs after the fractured rock contacts the
immediate roof.

② The immediate roof still follows Hooke’s law after the
deformation.

③ The acoustic, heat, and radiant energy generated
during the sliding and instability can be overlooked
[15].

According to the above assumptions, during the fracture,
sliding, and instability of the combinatorial rock column, the
lower boundary of the immediate roof reaches the lowest
position when the velocity of the fractured rock column
becomes zero after contacting with the immediate roof.
Meanwhile, the maximum sinking of the immediate roof is \(\Delta h_z\), and the corresponding dynamic load is \(F_{dz}\), the sinking distance of the fractured rock column is \(\Delta h + \Delta h_z\), which is the work done by the frictional force \(f\) on both sides of the fractured rock column during the failure \(2f(\Delta h + \Delta h_z)\).

Under the evenly distributed loading, the thickness of the combinatorial key strata \(h_{zu} = h_{k1} + h_{k2} + h_{cj}\). However, with the coal pillar as the middle layer of the combinatorial key strata, local damages have been formed due to the excavation zones at both sides, resulting in statistic loading compression \(\Delta h_{cj}\) under the stress from the coal pillar. Therefore, the actual thickness during the fracture, sliding, and instability of the combinatorial rock column can be expressed by the following formula:

\[
h_{zu} = h_{k1} + h_{k2} + h_{cj} - \Delta h_{cj}.
\] (8)

The elastic energy accumulated inside the interlayer rock layer can be expressed by the following formula:

\[
U_{zu} = \frac{1}{2}k_{cj}\Delta h_{cj}^2.
\] (9)

According to the law of conservation of mechanical energy, the kinetic energy \(E_{k,zu}\), potential energy \(E_{p,zu}\), and elastic accumulation energy \(U_{zu}\) during the instability of the fractured rock column are transformed into the work done by overcoming the frictional force on both sides of the fractured rock column into the added strain energy \(V_{\varepsilon,z}\) from the immediate roof to the support.

\[
E_{k,zu} + E_{p,zu} - 2f \cdot (\Delta h_z + \Delta h) = V_{\varepsilon,z}.
\] (10)

According to the collapse characteristics of the fractured rock column of the combinatorial key layer, the overlying load layer of the combined key layer and the failure of the fractured rock column shall occur simultaneously during the roof cutting of the fractured rock column. Therefore, when the rock column collapses and moves to the lowest end, the total potential energy of the combined key layer can be calculated following the formula below:

\[
E_{p,zu} = (G_{zu} + q_{zu}) (\Delta h_z + \Delta h) + \frac{1}{2}k_{cj}\Delta h_{cj}^2.
\] (11)

Considering that initial velocity and final velocity of the fractured rock pillar are both zero,

\[
E_{k,zu} = 0.
\] (12)

The work done by the fractured rock column to overcome friction

\[
W_f = 2\frac{\mu q_{zu}^2 a}{8h} (\Delta h_z + \Delta h).
\] (13)

\(T\): The horizontal push force imposed on the fractured rock column \(T = q_{zu}^2 a/8h\)

\(\mu\): Friction coefficient in vertical direction of rock column

\(a\): Length of contact surface of fractured rock column

\(\Delta h_z\): Static displacement of the immediate roof.

Due to material complying with Hooke’s law, the strain energy added by the direct top is equal to the work done by the dynamic load \(F_{dz}\) on the displacement, as shown in the following formula:

\[
V_{\varepsilon,z} = \frac{1}{2}F_{dz} \cdot \Delta h_z.
\] (14)

For the immediate roof, the relationship between \(F_{dz}\) and \(\Delta h_z\) can be described as follows:

\[
F_{dz} = \frac{E_c A}{\sum h} \Delta h_z.
\] (15)

Substituting (15) into formula (14), the following formula is obtained:

\[
V_{\varepsilon,z} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{E_c A}{\sum h} \cdot \Delta h_z^2.
\] (16)

\(E_c\) refers to the elastic modulus of the immediate roof; \(A\) refers to the contact area of the immediate roof. Substituting (11), (12), and (13) into formula (10), the following formula is obtained:

\[
(G_{zu} + q_{zu}) (\Delta h_z + \Delta h) + \frac{1}{2}k_{cj}\Delta h_{cj}^2 = 2\frac{\mu q_{zu}^2 a}{8h} \cdot (\Delta h_z + \Delta h) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{E_c A}{\sum h} \cdot \Delta h_z^2.
\] (17)

Considering that the weight of the second layer of the key strata and the stress of the concentrated coal pillar as the static load, the compressive displacement of the fractured strata of the combinatorial key strata is calculated according to the following formula:

\[
\Delta h_{zu} = \frac{(G_{zu} + q_{zu})h_{cj}}{E_{cj}A}.
\] (18)

In formula (18), \((G_{k2} + q_{zu}) = (E_{cj}A/h_{cj}) \Delta h_{cj}\)

Considering the first layer of the key strata as the static load on the immediate roof, the static compression of the immediate roof is
\[ \Delta z = \frac{(G_{zu} + q_{zu}) \sum h}{E_z A} \]  
(19) 
\[ G_{zu} + q_{zu} = \frac{E_z A}{\sum h} \Delta z. \]  
(20)

In formula (19), 
\[ \frac{E_z A}{\sum h} \Delta z (\Delta h_z + \Delta h) + \frac{1}{2} k_{cj} \Delta h^2_{cj} = 2 \frac{\mu q_{zu} l^2}{8h} \cdot (\Delta h_z + \Delta h) + \frac{1}{2} E_z A \sum h \Delta h^2_z, \]  
(21)
\[ \frac{1}{2} E_z A \Delta h^2_z + \left( 2 \frac{\mu q_{zu} l^2}{8h} - \frac{E_z A}{\sum h} \Delta_z \right) \cdot \Delta h_z + \left( 2 \frac{\mu q_{zu} l^2}{8h} - \frac{E_z A}{\sum h} \Delta_z \right) \Delta h - \frac{1}{2} k_{cj} \Delta h^2_{cj} = 0. \]  
(22)

Therefore, the above formula (17) can be solved with two roots for \( \Delta h_z \), and the root greater than \( \Delta z \) is taken to obtain the formula below:

\[ \Delta h_z = -\frac{-(2f - k_2 \Delta z) + \sqrt{(2f - k_2 \Delta z)^2 - 2k_2 [(f - k_2 \Delta z) \Delta h - (1/2) k_{cj} \Delta h^2_{cj}]} }{k_2} \]
(23)

The stiffness of the immediate roof \( k_2 = E_z A / \sum h. \)

\[ \Delta h_z = \Delta z \cdot \left( 1 - 2f \frac{k_2}{k_2 \Delta z} + \frac{2f}{k_2 \Delta z - 1} \left( \frac{2f}{k_2 \Delta z - 1} - 1 - \frac{2\Delta h}{\Delta z} \right) + \frac{k_{cj} \Delta h^2_{cj}}{k_2 \Delta z^2} \right), \]
(24)
\[ F_{dz} = \frac{E_z A}{\sum h} \Delta z \cdot \frac{2f}{k_2 \Delta z} + \frac{2f}{k_2 \Delta z - 1} \left( \frac{2f}{k_2 \Delta z - 1} - 1 - \frac{2\Delta h}{\Delta z} \right) + \frac{k_{cj} \Delta h^2_{cj}}{k_2 \Delta z^2}, \]
\[ F_{dz} = (G_{zu} + q_{zu}) \cdot K_d, \]
\[ K_d = 1 - 2f \frac{k_2}{k_2 \Delta z} + \frac{2f}{k_2 \Delta z - 1} \left( \frac{2f}{k_2 \Delta z - 1} - 1 - \frac{2\Delta h}{\Delta z} \right) + \frac{k_{cj} \Delta h^2_{cj}}{k_2 \Delta z^2}. \]
(25)

According to formula (25), the loading coefficient \( K_d \) mainly depends on the friction \( f \) at both ends of the fractured rock, the stiffness \( k_2 \) of the intermediate roof, the static compression of the immediate roof \( \Delta z \), the gap between the primary roof and the immediate roof \( \Delta h \), the static compression of the intermediate strata \( \Delta h_{cj} \), and the stiffness of the intermediate strata \( k_{cj} \).

4.2.2. The Discussion of Loading Coefficient

(1) When the friction at both ends of the fractured rock column is overlooked, namely, \( f = 0 \).  
(2) Under the circumstance of single key strata in the overlaying strata during the mining of the single coal seam, the loading coefficient is
\[ K_d = 1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{2\Delta h}{\Delta z}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (26)

When no gap is identified between the key strata and the immediate roof, namely, \(\Delta h = 0\), \(K_d = 2\).

(2) Repeated mining was conducted to the coal seam and the upper coal seam was excavated (the key strata of the upper coal seam was fractured),

\[ K_d = 1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{2\Delta h}{\Delta z} + \frac{k_cj h^2_{cj}}{k_2^2 \Delta z^2}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (27)

When no gap is identified between the key strata and the immediate roof, namely, \(\Delta h = 0\),

\[ K_d = 1 + \frac{k_cj h^2_{cj}}{k_2^2 \Delta z^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (28)

(3) The overlaying strata of the shallow coal seam meet the criterion of the key combinatorial key strata structure; the combinatorial key strata experience the collapse and the instability.

When there is no static compression in the middle layer between two key hard strata, namely, \(\Delta h_{cj} = 0\), the loading coefficient can be expressed in formula (26).

When the static compression in the middle layer between two key hard strata, namely, \(\Delta h_{cj} \neq 0\), the loading coefficient can be expressed in formula (27).

Assuming that the stiffness of the middle layer is \(i\) times the stiffness of the immediate roof, \(k_cj = ik_2\), \((i > 0)\) in formula (27).

\[ K_d = 1 + \frac{2\Delta h}{\Delta z} + \frac{i(G_{k2} + q_{zu})h_{cj}}{(G_{zu} + q_{zu}) \sum h} \]  \hspace{1cm} (29)

In formula (29), whereas \(\Delta h \neq 0\) indicates that gap exists between the combinatorial key strata and the immediate roof, the sudden compression of the immediate roof can be considered as a multiple of the static load compression and the dynamic load factor increases exponentially.

When \(\Delta h = 0\) indicating no gap exists between the primary roof and the immediate roof, the dynamic load coefficient is illustrated in formula (28) below.

(2) When \(2f = k_2 \Delta z\),

\[ K_d = \frac{k_cj h^2_{cj}}{k_2^2 \Delta z^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (30)

The static load leading to the rock column-type fracture of the combinatorial key strata originates from the static resilient energy and resilient energy released instantly from the immediate roof during the fracture, resulting in loading shock to the support of the working face. In addition, during the rock column-type fracture of the combinational key strata, even with the maximum friction at both ends of the rock column, the sliding and instability of the fractured rock column still produces a high loading shock to the working face.

Based on the analysis above and the structure of the combinatorial key strata in No. 30105 working face in Nan Liang Mine, as well as the pressure monitoring, no gas was identified between the primary roof and the immediate roof, namely, \(\Delta h = 0\), the loading coefficient can be calculated by the following formula to obtain

\[ K_d = 1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{i(G_{k2} + q_{zu})h_{cj}}{(G_{zu} + q_{zu}) \sum h} \sum h} \]  \hspace{1cm} (31)

In formula (31),

\[ \left(\frac{(G_{k2} + q_{zu})h_{cj}}{(G_{zu} + q_{zu}) \sum h}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{1.85 \times 13.2}{2.48 \times 4}\right)^2 = 6. \]  \hspace{1cm} (32)

4.2.3. The Loading Analysis of the Support. Based on the stability analysis of the fractured strata in the combinatorial key strata, as shown in Figure 5, the moment above the support of the working face \(\sum Mo = 0\);

\[ T(h - a - w) + T \tan \phi - (G_{zu} + q_{zu}) \frac{I}{2} = 0, \]

\[ a = \frac{1}{2}(h - \frac{1}{2}l \sin \alpha). \]  \hspace{1cm} (33)

The following formula can be obtained:

\[ T = \frac{l(G_{zu} + q_{zu})}{2(h - a - w + l \tan \phi)}, \]

\[ T = \frac{G_{zu} + q_{zu}}{i + 0.5 \sin \alpha - 2 \sin \alpha_{\max} + 2 \tan \phi} \]

where \(G_{zu}\) refers to the weight of the combinatorial key strata; \(q_{zu}\) indicates the loading effect of the loose layer on the combinatorial key strata.

The supporting force \(R_A\) to prevent the sliding and instability of the combinatorial key strata can be calculated based on Figure 5.

\[ R_A + 2T \tan \phi \geq G_{zu} + q_{zu}, \]

\[ R_A \geq (G_{zu} + q_{zu}) \left(1 - \frac{2 \tan \phi}{i + 0.5 \sin \alpha - 2 \sin \alpha_{\max} + 2 \tan \phi}\right). \]  \hspace{1cm} (35)

According to formula (17), the reverse angle of the fracture rock was calculated, where \(\alpha' = 3.5^\circ \tan \phi = 0.6\), and \(l = 2.1\).
Table 1: Rock mechanical parameters in numerical simulation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>Thickness (m)</th>
<th>ρ (kN/m³)</th>
<th>K (GPa)</th>
<th>G (GPa)</th>
<th>C (MPa)</th>
<th>φ (°)</th>
<th>σT (MPa)</th>
<th>Kn (GPa)</th>
<th>Ks (GPa)</th>
<th>φ (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loess soil</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red soil</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bedrock</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic roof</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2550</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immediate roof</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 2² seam</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bedrock</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic roof</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2550</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immediate roof</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2450</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 3³ seam</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1350</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floor strata</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>10.33</td>
<td>8.17</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The reasons for dynamic pressure in mining under a concentrated coal pillar. The model is appropriately simplified on the basis of the column diagram of Figure 2 and the numerical calculation model is established with a length of 180 m and a height of 100 m. An evenly distributed load of 0.9 MPa was applied to the upper surface of the model to replace the 50 m thick loose layer. The horizontal and vertical movement were restricted via setting the boundary limits with the upper boundary set as a free boundary. The model block adopts the Mohr–Coulomb model, and the joint model adopts the joint surface contact Coulomb slip model. The mechanical parameters of coal strata are shown in Table 1.

After the model was built, based on the actual mining conditions, a length of 50 m was excavated at both sides of the coal pillar to simulate the spaced structure of the overlaying strata in the field. 3² coal was mined from the left to the right. The vertical displacement under the coal pillar in the working face during the mining can be seen in Figure 8, which suggests that the shear instability occurred at 26 m under the coal pillar and 3 m in the coal pillar, with a roof cutting height of 0.5 m. The hydraulic support in the working face was simulated with the tool "SUPPORT" in the software. The support load...
curve was demonstrated in Figure 9. The support resistance under the coal pillar increased consistently, with local maximum resistance of 8.7 MPa and a loading efficient of 3.34, which is consistent with the calculation.

5. Conclusion

(1) According to the evaluation criterion of the combinatorial key strata, two upper key strata in No. 30105 working face are deemed as the combinatorial key strata. The concentrated coal pillar is located between two key strata. The combinatorial key strata bear the whole overlaying strata and loose strata layer. The sliding and instability of the combinatorial key strata is the main cause of drastic changes to the mining pressure of the working face in the shallow coal during the excavation under the concentrated coal pillar.

(2) According to the simulation, loading occurred at 25 m under the coal pillar and 7 m beyond the coal pillar in the working face. The loading distance was 20 m and 22 m, respectively, with a corresponding loading coefficient of 3.4 and 3.5, which is consistent with the theoretical calculation of 20.9 m as the loading distance and 3.64 as the loading coefficient. The simulation result suggests that the subsidence of the overlaying strata is the main cause of the drastic increase in the resistance on the support of the working face during the mining under the concentrated coal pillar.

(3) A dynamic loading model featured with the combinatorial key strata—the immediate roof—the support—was established. The expression of the loading coefficient during the instability of the fractured rock column was discussed based on the conservation of mechanical energy law along with the characteristics of the loading coefficient under various overlaying strata structures.
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