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Isolated Effect and Sensitivity of Agricultural and Industrial Waste Ca-Based Stabilizer Materials (CSMs) in Evaluating Swell Shrink Nature of Palygorskite-Rich Clays
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This paper evaluates the suitability of sugarcane bagasse ash (SCBA) and waste marble dust (WMD) on the geotechnical properties of Palygorskite-rich expansive clays located in northwest Pakistan. These problematic soils exhibit undesirable characteristics which greatly affect the pavements, boundary walls, slab-on-grade members, and other civil engineering infrastructures. A series of geotechnical tests were performed on soil specimens using prescribed percentages of the aforementioned Ca-based stabilizer materials (CSMs). The investigation includes X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) Analysis, Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) tests, and physicomechanical properties such as moisture-density relationship, Atterberg’s limits, swell pressure, and an ANN-based sensitivity analyses of overall swell pressure development. The outcomes of these experimental investigations showed that the addition of CSMs into the expansive soils increased to 4% SCBA and 10% WMD, the plasticity index reduced by 30% and 49%, the volumetric swell decreased from approximately 49% to 86% and 63%, and the swelling pressure reduction was from 189kPa to 120kPa and 160kPa (about 15% and 36%), respectively. It is interesting to note that replacement with specified CSM accelerated the strength of soil at extended curing periods and the optimum improvement in the strength behavior of the soil was also recorded. Moreover, with addition of the respective CSMs, the compactability and strength characteristics were ameliorated, while plasticity was significantly lowered. Given the amount of SCBA and WMD produced annually, their utilization for the stabilization of problematic soils, even in relatively low concentrations, could potentially have a substantial impact on the sustainable reuse of these waste materials.

1. Introduction

The expansive soils (also known as; swelling soils, swell-shrink soils, soft soils) exhibit complex behavior due to seasonal variation and presence of strong hydrophilic clay minerals in the form of smectites (having a 2:1 structure composed of aluminosilicate layers) such as Montmorillonite (Mt), Kaolinite, Illite, and Palygorskite. Mt is regarded as the only component in highly expansive clays that is responsible for water uptake and swelling processes [1, 2]. The swelling of these soils in lieu with modern representations is associated with the absorption of moisture by the surface of clay particles which increases the water foils thickness present between clay particles, in addition to osmotic and capillary processes taking place simultaneously [3–7]. The five main tests used for the identification of main minerals of expansive soil are X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) Analysis, Differential Thermal Analysis (DTA), Dye Adsorption, Chemical Analysis, and Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) [8]. The DDL theory was proposed to predict the swelling stress and is usually used to calculate the swelling pressure through quantification of the repulsive and...
attractive forces caused by physicochemical effects at the particle scale level [9–12]. The clay minerals (smectites) have large specific surface area (SSA), plate-like minerals, and very high adsorptive capacity for water. When the SSA and cation exchange capacity (CEC) are high, the swelling pressure ($P_s$) and free swell (FS) in expansive clay based materials are also greater [13]. The influence of pore fluid composition on clay behavior has been the subject of considerable interest in clay mineralogy. In addition, there are several visual indicators which corroborate the presence of water-sensitive expansive clays having low inherent shear strength [14]. The water uptake is mainly due to mineralogical composition of these calamitous clays which depends on the electric charge over the surface of clay mineral, CEC, and the interlayer bonding [15, 16]. The geological conditions, engineering characteristics, and local environmental conditions also govern the expansivity of such soils. The entry of moisture in these clay minerals causes extensive damage to foundations of buildings, cracking of road pavements, upheaval and breakup of building foundations, pavements, slopes, and linings of the reservoirs as well as channels; especially the light civil engineering structures are adversely damaged [17–22]. The lightly loaded structures experience comparatively more settlement and/or heaving as a result of swell-shrink nature of the expansive soils [23]. Expansive soils are causing substantial damage to roads, buildings, and various underground utilities in the northwestern part of Pakistan, as shown in Figure 1. Their importance can further be explained by cost of resulting damages which exceed approximately 10 billion US dollars annually in the United States. The residential areas affected by the expansive soil lie over 10 million square meter land which causes an approximate damage of one billion US dollar annually in China [24, 25].

Today, the developmental works in society are flourishing but the environment is also deteriorating due to rapid industrialization which leads to both pollution and generation of solid wastes, thus causing hazardous conditions [26]. According to the Global Waste Generation—Statistics and Facts 2019, the global waste generation is forecasted to increase by 70% by 2050, implying that almost 8 billion tons of waste are generated annually [22]. The incorporation of waste products and fibers blended with other chemical agents ameliorates stiffness and strength properties of expansive soils [27]. Such soils are stabilized by incorporating multiple additives including traditional and nontraditional stabilizers [28] which are well documented in literature: lime [14, 29], cement [30–32], fly ash [33–36], rice husk ash [37–40], waste ceramic dust [41–43], nanosilica [44–48], calcium carbide residue [49–51], and so on. Furthermore, the chemical stabilization of expansive soil has been focused by number of researchers [52–56] and it was revealed that fly ash, lime, cement, and CaCl$_2$ are useful additives and have significantly improved the engineering properties of problematic soils [57–59]. It is pertinent to mention that when sulphate rich soils are stabilized using the Ca-based stabilizer materials (CSMs), “SO$_4^-$-induced heave” occurs due to formation of ettringite which arises from the reactive nature of sulphates in the expansive clays. Of the available CSMs, lime and cement are more commonly used additives in pavements construction and lightly loaded infrastructure. Moreover, variety of methods with specific limitations could be used to improve expansive clays, for instance, using chemical additives, prewetting, moisture control, and thermal methods [60, 61]. In previous works, Jute and coir fiber (0.25, 0.5, 0.75, and 1%), bagasse ash (3, 6, 9, and 12%), burned olive waste (0.0, 2.5, 5.0, and 7.5%), palm oil fuel ash (10, 20, 30, and 40%), egg shell (10, 20, 30, and 50%), tamarind kernel powder (2, 4, and 8%), wheat husk ash (3, 5, 7, 9, and 11%), xanthan gum (0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, and 3.0%), and rice husk ash (2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12%) have also been widely used [22].

In this research, the varying proportion of sugarcane bagasse ash (SCBA) and waste marble dust (WMD) has been employed as geomaterials for treating the expansive soils to evaluate their efficacy or otherwise in improving plasticity, compactability, swell potential, and strength characteristics. SCBA is an agricultural by-product of bagasse during power generation and its unsafe disposal poses a serious environmental problem. Pakistan is the 6$^{th}$ largest producer of sugarcane producing approximately 500,000 tons of bagasse ash. When SCBA is mixed in soil, calcium hydroxide from lime or soil reacts with silica from bagasse ash, which is similar reaction as cement reacting with soil and could be explained by two processes: (1) cation exchange and flocculation reaction (short-term reaction) and (2) pozzolanic reaction which is dependent on time and temperature and wherein formation of gelatinous compounds, i.e., calcium silicate hydrates (CSH) and calcium aluminum hydrates (CAH) takes place (long-term reaction). A simplified qualitative depiction of representative soil lime-SCBA (pozzolanic) reactions is given in the following equations [27]:

$$\text{Ca(OH)}_2 \rightarrow \text{Ca}^{2+} + 2\text{OH}^- \tag{1}$$

$$\text{Ca}^{2+} + 2\text{OH}^- + \text{SiO}_2 \rightarrow \text{C} - \text{S} - \text{H} \tag{2}$$

$$\text{Ca}^{2+} + 2\text{OH}^- + \text{Al}_4\text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{C} - \text{A} - \text{H} \tag{3}$$

It is noteworthy to mention that SCBA is efficient in controlling the plasticity and shrink-swell potential of expansive soils owing to presence of large amount of silica and variety of other oxides which improves the pozzolanic activity [62–66]. On the other hand, WMD is largely employed for stabilization of black cotton soils (BCS) during construction of pavements. In India, Rajasthan province produces 95% of total marble from 4000 marble mines which is considered as the largest marble production across the globe. During production of marble, 70% WMD is produced which affects the local ecosystems and also leads to increased alkalinity. WMD improves the unconfined compression strength (UCS) of soft soils by acting as filler agent. This industrial waste material is also deemed as an addendum to lime (CaO) for testing its efficacy in expansive soil stabilization [67–69].

To the authors’ knowledge, no study till date is present that explains the independent roles of locally produced
SCBA and WMD on the geotechnical behavior of medium expansive soils, cured for 28 days, in viewpoint of microstructural tests and sensitivity analysis via Artificial Neural Network (ANN). Therefore, the objective of this study is to analyze the mechanical and morphological performance of swelling clays using SCBA/WMD as the CSMs. A detailed laboratory soil testing was performed to evaluate the index properties (consistency limits) and engineering properties (compaction, compression strength, and microstructural tests) of the soil with varying quantities (2, 4, 6, 8, and 10%) of the additives and the swell pressure ($P_s$) development of treated soils was analyzed in terms of interactive response of impacting factors with assistance of ANN-based sensitivity analysis. The CSMs used in this study are economically and locally available. In addition, X-ray Fluorescence (XRF) tests revealed the chemical composition of both stabilizer materials. The change in microstructural features and morphology was studied by X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) Analysis and Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), respectively.

2. Significance of Research

Expansive soils are located in various regions of Asia including China, India, Iran, Oman, Pakistan, and Saudi Arabia [29,70–76]. The percentage land covered in countries where expansive soils are found in abundance has been illustrated in Figure 2. The engineering properties of the expansive soils have been improved in order to mitigate their volume change behavior by utilizing waste materials. As a result, the carbon footprint, energy consumption, and pollution related to waste materials disposal are significantly lessened since soil stabilization leads to decreased volume change [77, 78].

In the past, many researchers have attempted to stabilize the expansive soils using SCBA and WMD. Osinubi found that black cotton soil (BCS) can be stabilized by incorporating 4% to 8% SCBA at standard proctor compaction to achieve peak CBR value of 31% and increase their shear strength properties, in pavement construction [79, 80]. Kharade concluded that SCBA increased the CBR and UCS of expansive soil by 40%, thereby coping with environmental concerns by reduction of sugar industry waste material, while the change is density was negligible [64, 81]. Furthermore, while investigating the effect of WMD on improvement of expansive soil properties, Jain revealed that this CSM can be utilized effectively to improve soil plasticity, increase the MDD, control the swell behavior, and increase the strength by 20% [68]. Ditta argued that the swelling pressure and FSI were plummeted (about 79.5% of untreated expansive clay soil) with use of WMD [82]. Soil with 25–30% WMD combination is observed to be the best soil-marble dust combination for stabilizing expansive soils [83]. Therefore, in order to study and evaluate the feasible dosage levels and seek better understanding about the SCBA and

![Figure 1: Variety of indicators of swelling clays in KPK province, north Pakistan. (a) Widespread presence of desiccation cracks. (b) Diagonal cracks in wall of 1-storey building. (c) Perpetual cracking in boundary walls. (d) Nonuniform settlement of floor subgrades.](image-url)
WMD stabilization, an attempt has been made to conduct a study that incorporates identical stabilizer contents for the sake of comparison in viewpoint of the already mentioned past researches.

3. Experimental Investigation

3.1. Materials and Their Properties

3.1.1. Expansive Soil. The expansive soil specimen was obtained from railway station site in Kohat city of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (KPK) province, as shown in Figure 3, wherein the study area has been highlighted. The Atterberg limits, grain size distribution, engineering properties, chemical characteristics, and other salient features of the expansive soil are enlisted in Table 1. According to the criteria given by Dakshanamanthy and Raman, the expansive clay is categorized as medium expansive in nature [84]. The active zone depth (AZD) of the expansive soil is the region where the effects of swelling and shrinkage are pronounced. The AZD of the soil strata was calculated 2.77 m which is depicted in Figure 4.

Figure 5 presents the X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) pattern of untreated expansive soil obtained by using D6 advance powder diffractometer conducted at Geoscience Advanced Laboratories, Islamabad. The soil specimen is pulverized and inserted into cavity of the glass sample holder. The specimen is slightly compressed and is flattened at microlevel in the cavity while removing the excess powder around the cavity. The specimen was scanned at desired speed using Bragg’s angle with the help of a graphite monochromator and Cu-Kα radiation. JADE high score software was employed to analyze the data obtained from the diffractometer by plotting the intensities against 2θ values. The diffractometer record of the machine and the microstructural features of Palygorskite mineral have been illustrated in Figure 5. Palygorskite, chlorite, muscovite, and quartz are found as the predominant clay minerals [68, 85, 86]. In the XRD analysis, it was also revealed that diffraction grating of Palygorskite was 10.75 Å and was observed as the abundant clay mineral [87]. Palygorskite (also known as attapulgite) is porous in nature, exhibits a higher adsorptive capacity, and resembles fibrous clay mineral. Figure 6 presents the Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) micrographs of untreated and treated Palygorskite-rich powdered expansive soil, conducted at Geoscience Advanced Laboratories, Islamabad. The target locations were chosen randomly at various magnifications by gentle beam of electromagnetic lenses, maintaining 25 kV excitation voltages. At least three surface morphologies of each sample were captured at various magnifications (2500x, 5000x, and 10000x) [88]. The bigger particles in the SEM images represent presence of quartz in soil while the smaller portion of matrix illustrates presence of smectites which have higher affinity for water and are problematic.

3.1.2. Sugarcane Bagasse Ash (SCBA) and Waste Marble Dust (WMD). To evaluate the oxides composition of the CSMs, X-ray Fluorescence (XRF) analyses were conducted and the results for SCBA and WMD have been summarized in Table 2. It is evident from an examination of the chemical composition that SCBA exhibits pozzolanic characteristics.
whereas the WMD is observed to have nucleating properties [62, 67, 69, 92]. These waste materials act as an environmental burden and therefore need to be safely and effectively handled.

3.2. Testing Methodology Adopted. The laboratory tests were performed in accordance with relevant standards as shown in Table 1 into the following steps: a series of Atterberg limits test, compaction characteristics, swell potential, and unconfined

| Property                        | Liquid limit $\omega_L$ (%) | Plastic limit $\omega_p$ (%) | Gravel (>4.75 mm) (%) | Sand (0.074 to 4.75 mm) (%) | Clay and silt (<0.074 mm) (%) | Activity | Iron oxide-alumina (%) Fe$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ | Carbonate CaCO$_3$ (%) | Chloride NaCl (%) | Sulphates CaSO$_4$ (%) | Insoluble residue I.R. (%) | Linear shrinkage | Specific gravity, $g_s$ | pH | Soil classification | Free swell index (FSI) (%) | Swell pressure (kPa) | Optimum water content (%) | Maximum dry density (kN/m$^3$) | Unconfined compression strength (kPa) | Sand equivalent By piston test (%) | Sand equivalent By sight (%) |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|----|---------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Atterberg limits                | ASTM D4318-00              | ASTM D4318-00              | ASTM 98 D422-63       | ASTM 98 D422-63             | ASTM 98 D422-63               | ASTM 98 D422-63 | 42.7                                           | 21.2                   | 0                 | 3                        | 97                             | 0.57                  | 2.71                      | 7.1 | CL–OL               | 195                         | 189                    | 14.9                       | 18.1                        | 72.21                    | 4.7                        | 3.4                        |
| Grain size distribution         | 42.7                       | 21.2                       | 0                     | 3                           | 97                            | 0.57                  | 2.71                                           | 7.1                   | 195               | 189                     | 14.9                          | 18.1                   | 72.21                     | 4.7 | 3.4                 | 4.7                        | 3.4                    | 72.21                     | 4.7                        | 3.4                        | 4.7                        | 3.4                        |
| Chemical characteristics        | 42.7                       | 21.2                       | 0                     | 3                           | 97                            | 0.57                  | 2.71                                           | 7.1                   | 195               | 189                     | 14.9                          | 18.1                   | 72.21                     | 4.7 | 3.4                 | 4.7                        | 3.4                    | 72.21                     | 4.7                        | 3.4                        | 4.7                        | 3.4                        |
| General characteristics         | 42.7                       | 21.2                       | 0                     | 3                           | 97                            | 0.57                  | 2.71                                           | 7.1                   | 195               | 189                     | 14.9                          | 18.1                   | 72.21                     | 4.7 | 3.4                 | 4.7                        | 3.4                    | 72.21                     | 4.7                        | 3.4                        | 4.7                        | 3.4                        |
| Normalized proctor test         | 42.7                       | 21.2                       | 0                     | 3                           | 97                            | 0.57                  | 2.71                                           | 7.1                   | 195               | 189                     | 14.9                          | 18.1                   | 72.21                     | 4.7 | 3.4                 | 4.7                        | 3.4                    | 72.21                     | 4.7                        | 3.4                        | 4.7                        | 3.4                        |
| Strength characteristics        | 42.7                       | 21.2                       | 0                     | 3                           | 97                            | 0.57                  | 2.71                                           | 7.1                   | 195               | 189                     | 14.9                          | 18.1                   | 72.21                     | 4.7 | 3.4                 | 4.7                        | 3.4                    | 72.21                     | 4.7                        | 3.4                        | 4.7                        | 3.4                        |
| Sand equivalent                 | 42.7                       | 21.2                       | 0                     | 3                           | 97                            | 0.57                  | 2.71                                           | 7.1                   | 195               | 189                     | 14.9                          | 18.1                   | 72.21                     | 4.7 | 3.4                 | 4.7                        | 3.4                    | 72.21                     | 4.7                        | 3.4                        | 4.7                        | 3.4                        |

Figure 3: Location of study area (highlighted).
compression test were carried out on expansive soil mixed with various percentages of SCBA and WMD, i.e., 0%, 2%, 4%, 6%, 8%, and 10%. Swelling tests were performed on specimens prepared at a moisture content similar to the OMC for untreated soil with different dry densities depending on the standard compaction. The purpose of mixing these waste materials in expansive soil was to perform a comparative study according to preset mix design by conducting microstructural tests such as XRD, XRF, and SEM. It was done to analyze the positive changes in geotechnical characteristics of expansive soil on every modification level. To grasp the existing isolated effect of each CSM, an Artificial Neural Network (ANN) based sensitivity analysis has been incorporated in order to simplify the complex response of treated soils and to analyze the variation of $P_s$ against several parameters. To do so, four parameters were selected as dosage of agents (%), PI, OMC, and MDD, which were used for carrying out the sensitivity-based analysis. In the ANN model, K-folded mechanism has been applied by dividing the dataset into five fractions including a model on four fractions for training and on the fifth section for validation. Accordingly, cross-validation of randomly folded datasets is performed by taking one group as hold-out or test
dataset and the remaining groups as training datasets. Then, data fitting is being performed on the training set and being evaluated on the test set. Iterations of these procedures and the evaluation scoring continue until the confidence of the model reaches its maximum and deviations are discarded. Finally, the precision of these method can be evaluated by Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) and Coefficient of Correlation ($R^2$).

Upon completion of every curing period, the specimens were tested according to nomenclature presented in Table 3. Bö¨he number shows the dosage of respective stabilizer (S: sugarcane bagasse ash; M: waste marble dust), whereas ES and UT refer to ‘expansive soil’ and ‘untreated’ specimens, respectively. The results of each SCBA/WMD mixture were compared with those of the untreated expansive soil (UT).

### 4. Experimental Results

#### 4.1. Atterberg Limits

Figures 7(a)–7(f) show the effect of SCBA and WMD treatment on the liquid limit (LL), plasticity index (PI), and shrinkage limit (SL) of untreated and stabilized swelling clays, respectively. The LL of SCBA amended soil decreased by 20% while that of WMD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituents (%)</th>
<th>Sugarcane bagasse ash, SCBA</th>
<th>Waste marble dust, WMD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[89]</td>
<td>[62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>[90]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[91]</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>71.63</td>
<td>85.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>9.37</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>2.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$_2$O</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$_2$O</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>3.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss on ignition</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample code</th>
<th>Soil (%)</th>
<th>SCBA (%)</th>
<th>WMD (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ES-UT</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES-2S</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES-4S</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES-6S</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES-8S</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES-10S</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES-2M</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES-4M</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES-6M</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES-8M</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES-10M</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
amended soil decreased by 28.5% in ES-10S and ES-10M mixes, respectively. The effect of SCBA is comparatively small which may be attributed to smaller particle size, larger SSA, thereby making clay minerals more prone to attack by available calcium [20]. The simultaneous decrease in PI is due to significant reduction in LL and gentle lowering of plastic limit (PL) as indicated in Figures 7(b) and 7(c), respectively [21, 30, 93]. In case of WMD treatment, the LL decreases significantly, so the overall PI values plummet accordingly. It is due cation exchange which causes flocculation and subsequently reduces the double layer thickness around clay particles [61]. These results of decreasing trends are consistent with findings of past researchers [91, 94, 95]. It is noteworthy to mention here that the effect of addition of 2–4% CaO brings about an insignificant change in the PI value [96]. The SL also indicates an increasing trend for SCBA ($R^2 = 85\%$) while the WMD amended soil follows a decreasing trend, as shown in Figures 7(e) and 7(f), respectively. This is explained by coating of clay particles by WMD particles, thereby flocculating the particles. Clay matrix is filled up whereas voids and amount of water are reduced that renders the clayey soil as workable [23]. In general, ES-4S, ES-6S, ES-8M, and ES-M10 illustrate the optimum amount to yield minimum PI values. These results are consistent with other researchers who have utilized similar additives for modifying geotechnical properties of high plastic clays [23, 59, 68, 98].

4.2. Compaction Characteristics. Figure 8 shows the effect of SCBA and WMD addition on the compaction characteristics of untreated and treated expansive soil. It can be observed that the maximum dry density (MDD) reaches its peak for...
ES-4S which is associated with higher density of SCBA in contrast to the expansive soil. On the other hand, in case of WMD treated soil, the MDD increases up to addition of 4% content and then lowers down with further addition of the industrial CSM, thus substantiating similar findings of [23, 47, 75, 91]. Exceeding the dosage from optimum amounts increases the OMC (up to addition of 10% stabilizer material) which could be associated with presence of additional water particles held in soil structure and higher water absorption by SCBA and WMD. The cause of reduction in MDD at higher dosage levels is probably due to particle size and Gs of expansive soil as well as the stabilizer materials. This increase in MDD corresponds to improvement of expansive soil. The decrease in MDD for ES-4S is in line previous studies which reported that, with the increase in MDD, the moisture is uniformly distributed on compacted soil and reduces unit weight of stabilizer material compared to the Palygorskite-rich expansive soil, which increase the FSvol. At low percentages of soil stabilizer materials, a higher increase was recorded in swell potential [20, 35]. The specific gravity of WMD is less than that of SCBA; therefore the volume of WMD added is higher and WMD-soil mixture workability lowers down at decreased stabilizer content compared to workability of SCBA-soil mixture [18, 36]. The comparison of the CSMS dosage on the FS and Ps with previous researchers work is presented in Figures 9(c) and 9(d), respectively. It could be seen that both FS and Ps follow similar trends in case of SCBA ∼ cement and WMD ∼ lime while being added at uniform dosage intervals. However, the Ps values are comparatively lower than those for cement and lime which is attributed to medium expansivity of the Palygorskite-rich expansive soil. The resemblance of SCBA with cement is due to presence of high amount of silica while the WMD and lime appear to yield similar results due to rich amount of CaO, which is also evidenced from Table 2.

Indications made by experimental results highlight the importance of variation of plasticity along with compaction characteristics of treated soils with various amounts of the CSMS. Interactive response of these factors on final Ps requires a thorough analysis which includes correlation among variables and counteractive sensitivity of one parameter to the entire group of parameters. The ANN model shown in Figure 10 consists of four variables, 20 nodes with one hidden layer, and one independent variable selected as the Ps. As Ps is determined as the projecting factor in adopting stabilization plans for expansive soils, the relationship among the four independent variables, i.e., MDD, OMC, PI, and Dosage, has been analyzed to ascertain the importance and combined impact of these variables on developed Ps upon treatment. In the ANN model, as mentioned earlier,
Figure 9: Comparison of swell potential results with previous results (volumetric free swell and swell pressure) upon addition of SCBA and WMD.

Figure 10: Artificial Neural Network (ANN) model configuration.
K-folded mechanism has been applied by dividing the dataset into five fractions including a model on four fractions for training and on the fifth section for validation. The strength of the developed model was tested by achieving the lowest RMSE after training to determine the accuracy of the forecast of the system and 0.99 coefficient of determination was achieved in validation stage as well.

The results of ANN-based sensitivity analyses including variable importance and variable's combined impact are depicted in Figure 11. Variable importance and variable’s combined impact on the overall \( P_s \) can be categorized in main and total effect, as can be seen in Figures 11(a) and 11(b), respectively. The impact of the main effect of response variable, namely, swelling pressure \((y)\), among predicting variables MDD, OMC, PI, and dosage \((x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)\) on the predicted \( P_s \), can be described by \( \text{Var}(E(y | x_i)) \).\text{10} The expectation is taken with respect to the conditional distribution of \( x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \) given \( x_i \), and the variance is taken over the distribution of \( x_i \). In other words, \( \text{Var}(E(y | x_i)) \) measures the variation, over the distribution of \( x_i \), in the mean of \( y \) when \( x_i \) is fixed. The affecting indices utilized are main and total effect. Main effect is the ratio of \( \text{Var}(E(y | x_i)) / \text{Var}(y) \) which gives a measure of the sensitivity of independent variable to the selected factor \( x_i \) that reflects the relative contribution of that factor alone, not in combination with other factors. However, the total effect represents the total contribution to the variance of independent variable from all terms that involve \( x_i \) which reflects the relative contribution of that factor both alone and in combination with other factors.

With total effect value, it is possible to represent the effects of single variables, pairs of variables, and so on. The total effect importance index for MDD, OMC, PI, and dosage is an estimate of predicted \( P_s \), as follows:

\[
\text{total effect} = \frac{\text{Var}(E(y | x_i)) + \text{Var}(E(y | x_1, \ldots, x_j))}{\text{Var}(y)}
\]

(4)

where \( E(y) \) is the expected value of \( P_s \) and \( \text{Var}(y) \) is the variance of \( P_s \) with respect to the joint distribution of MDD, OMC, PI, and dosage. Moreover, profiling the combined effect is an approach for visualizing the final response by finding the most important factors to optimize the desired responses. In Figures 11(c) and 11(d) of combined impact of variables, vertical red lines correspond to the current value of each factor shown in red below the horizontal axis. The red value on the vertical axis is the predicted response based on the current values of the factors.

The goal is to find the optimal combination of the four factors in the development of a certain \( P_s \). With the aid provided in Figures 11(a) and 11(d), it is possible to judge which factor or a pair of factors can adjust the desired output. Consequently, as can be seen in Figure 11(a) for treated soils with WMD, the PI value has the most effect on other variables followed not even close by dosage. This result shows that WMD treated samples are heavily dependent on the developed PI during soil chemical reactions verifying the previous observations and suggesting that considerable reduction of PI is expected when treating soil with WMD agents and leading to a comparatively more increase in UCS against SCBA treated samples.

The behavior of SCBA treated samples is prevalent in chemical stabilization since the effect of dosage on the final \( P_s \) has the governing dominance. Although SCBA treated samples showed the most sensitivity towards the dosage, they also react to the variation of compaction characteristics, namely, OMC, which is almost negligible for WMD treated samples. But, for WMD treated samples, the effect of change in PI on the \( P_s \) is more concerning. As it can be seen in Figure 11(c), ES-6M has smaller variation in \( P_s \) ranging from 120 to 180 kPa while samples with similar amount of dosage but with SCBA have larger variation ranging from 110 to 200 kPa. Higher range of \( P_s \) in treated samples with SCBA indicates that adoption of any amount of stabilizer would result in higher variation in expansion threshold which in turn requires a meticulous design and practice.

However, the practical consistency of treated samples is not depending on a sole factor such as dosage; for example, WMD treated samples wherein PI of treated soils upon treatment will determine the course of expansion. For WMD treated samples, due to lower specific gravity and consequent workability compared to SCBA treated samples, any change in PI due to variation of compaction characteristics and dosage incorporation in practice will affect the course of pozzolanic reactions and final UCS needed for design. That is why variation of PI in treated samples with WMD has the most controlling effect among other parameters as shown in Figure 11(c) adding to the overall sensitivity of WMD treated samples to reduction of PI upon treatment.

4.4. Unconfined Compression Strength. Figure 12 shows the unconfined compression strength (UCS) of untreated and treated specimens using aforementioned CSMs at prescribed percentages over interval of 3, 7, 14, and 28 days. At 3-day curing, the UCS of SCBA and WMD amended soil increased by 36% and 255% (306.40 kPa for WMD treated soil) for ES-10S and ES-10M, respectively. Up to 7-day curing, the UCS increased by 42% until ES-6S and ES-6M; however, the WMD treated soil suddenly increased to 343.6 kPa while the UCS of SCBA treated soil plummeted to 130.40 kPa for ES-10S and ES-10M. It is probably due to soil lime reaction that an increase of 255% and 145% in the UCS is recorded at 3 days and 7 days, respectively. It is further explained by the formation of gelatinous cementing material with CaO, filling the voids, thus gaining strength. The UCS of WMD treated soil witnessed a uniform increase of 98% after 14-day curing period. The UCS increased from 86.4 kPa at 3-day curing to 282.2 kPa at 28 days for untreated expansive soil specimen which is almost 227% increase in the compression strength. At 28-day curing period, the maximum UCS obtained for ES-4S is 346 kPa and decreased to 289.2 kPa for ES-6S, ES-8S, and ES-10S, whereas WMD treated soil witnessed a mild increase of 38% in the UCS. In recent research, it was revealed that UCS for ES-10M was recorded to be 630 kPa after curing for 28 days in contrast to 525 kPa when cured for 7 days [68]. The type of failure in case of undisturbed
Summary Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column</th>
<th>Main Effect</th>
<th>Total Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PI</td>
<td>0.882</td>
<td>0.911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dosage</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMG</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>7e-5</td>
<td>1e-4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 11: ANN-based sensitivity analyses of variable importance (a, b) and variable combined impact (c, d), for WMD-treated samples and SCBA-treated samples, respectively.

Figure 12: Effect on unconfined compression strength at various curing ages after addition of stabilizers.
A hydrated grain undergoing growth on the surface of the fine-graded fraction of SCBA, as shown in Figure 6, was noted. This growth is the result of a hydration process initiated by the presence of calcium ions in the soil matrix. The growth was observed to be in a form similar to that of a fibre-like structure, but with a smaller diameter and more compacted appearance, as shown in Figures 6(a) and 6(b). This growth is the result of the reaction between the calcium ions in the soil and the SCBA, which leads to the formation of a gel-like material that helps to stabilize the soil.

Table 4: Modified characteristics of Palygorskite-rich swelling soil (4% SCBA+10% WMD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geotechnical property</th>
<th>LL (%)</th>
<th>PL (%)</th>
<th>PI (%)</th>
<th>MDD (kN/m³)</th>
<th>OMC (%)</th>
<th>Volumetric swell (%)</th>
<th>Swell pressure (kPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Untreated soil</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>48.81</td>
<td>189.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4% SCBA</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% WMD</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>1.815</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Specimen was ductile in nature, whereas compacted soil samples were recorded to have brittle failure making 60 degrees with the horizontal axis. When lime is added to soil, a hydration process is initiated and cation exchange takes place leading to flocculation of larger soil lumps. And the pozzolanic reaction products, i.e., CSH and CH, are formed which govern the long-term strength of soils [20]. This clearly indicates that UCS increase is more predominant at shorter curing periods. Comparatively, WMD is found to be efficacious additive for enhancing the unconfined compressive strength of tested soils. The optimum WMD content can be observed in case of ES-10M. The addition of WMD increases the soil alkalinity alongside an increase in the specific gravity which is responsible for lower PI due to aggregation of particles at microlevel. The MDD is also increased due to lesser voids and this continuously increases the soil strength until ES-10M. However, the optimum WMD may vary depending on the native soil properties [68]. It was revealed that 10% marble powder by dry weight yielded the highest swell-shrink potential and controlled compression index while having maximum unconfined compressive strength [91]. In contrast with SCBA-soil mixtures, the maximum increase in strength at 7 days is observed to be 46% for 6-S. The increase in unconfined strength, i.e., effectiveness of SCBA at 14 and 28 days, is insignificant. This slight increase is due to lack of cementitious properties in SCBA as presented in Table 2 [93]. The results obtained are in line when pyroclastic dust is used for modifying expansive soil [23]. Considering the findings, it is also observed that, for ES-4S and ES-6S, maximum values of UCS are recorded for 3, 7, 14, and 28 days, respectively, as shown in Figure 12. Based on available literature, the increase (10–12%) in UCS is attributed to the hydration and pozzolanic reaction between clay, SCBA, and calcium oxide content in the soil which leads to formation of CSH and CAH; therefore it fills the void space and increases the cohesion and shear strength of the mass [64, 102].

It is notable that the SEM images did not show fibre-like, fine-threaded structure or cardboard (i.e., paper-like) appearance, shown in Figure 6. This is attributed to destruction of sample’s structure when remolded as only the core samples exhibit the paper-like appearance. However, the Kaolinite-like structure has been notably observed at different magnifications which confirms the presence of smectite minerals in the soil sample, though the expansivity of such minerals is low in nature [60, 77, 84]. From Figures 6(a) and 6(b), the hydrated grains undergo aggregation and CSH formation takes place which accounts for the strength increase.

On the contrary, Figures 6(c) and 6(d) reveal that the initial varved clay hydration led to in-plane aggregation and thus formation of gelatinous CSH and CAH which is responsible for the significant increase of UCS in WMD treated soils at 28-day curing period. Relatively speaking, a compacted soil matrix is observed with an increase in curing periods of 28 days. It is due to the modification in the pore size distribution by the reaction of the WMD and expansive soil particles, the increase in cementing agents, and the aggregation of particles. The ameliorated properties of the Palygorskite-rich expansive soils treated with CSMs are listed in Table 4.

5. Summary and Conclusions

This paper evaluated the effect of sugarcane bagasse ash (SCBA) and waste marble dust (WMD) on the swelling potential of Palygorskite-rich medium expansive soil. The conclusions drawn from this research study on the basis of overall results can be summarized as follows:

(i) The incorporation of industrial and agriculturally based calcium stabilizer materials, namely, SCBA and WMD, respectively, reduces the plasticity characteristics and arrests the swelling of expansive soils. WMD treated soils show considerable reduction. The extent of diagonal cracking of walls, pronounced desiccation cracks, upheaving, and/or cracking of floors and sidewalks indicated the presence of expansive soils in the region with a depth of zone of seasonal moisture variation as 2.77 meters.

(ii) The MDD of expansive soils is significantly increased with addition of WMD content up to 4% whereas the increase in MDD of SCBA treated soil is comparatively lesser reaching its peak value upon 5% addition of SCBA. Based on the results of compaction tests, the addition of 4% WMD, the MDD is observed to have increased by 7.6% as compared for untreated soil. The optimum percentage of SCBA is 5% which brought an increase of 3.3% in MDD of the untreated soil.

(iii) The ANN-based sensitivity analyses results indicated that PI in treated samples with WMD has the governing rule and any variation in PI will lead to a higher change in subsequent swelling pressure. On the other hand, SCBA treated samples were governed mainly by incorporated dosage and in a comparatively smaller range of variation of swelling pressure. Furthermore, it was shown that the sensitivity of WMD treated samples to a sole factor or the consequent isolated effect after treatment is more noticeable.

(iv) For soil treated with WMD, the unconfined compressive strength (UCS) increased with the passage
of time, from 3 days to 28 days. The addition of 8% and more WMD enhanced maximum early UCS, thereby decreasing the swell potential. The UCS had maximum average values at 3, 7, 14, and 28 days when 4% SCBA was added for treatment, and a similar trend was indicated for the WMD treatment. The percentage increase in UCS of WMD treated soils is several times higher than that of SCBA treated soils.

(v) The experimental results indicated that expansion of soil is controlled effectively when 6% SCBA and 10% WMD were mixed with soil, separately. The swell pressure lowered with increase of WMD (up to 10%) and SCBA (up to 12%), respectively. In general, 4–6% SCBA and 8–10% WMD which are the optimum amount of treatment to reduce the PI decrease volumetric shrinkage, increase the unconfined strength, and decrease in swell potential indicating an obvious improvement.
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