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The mining industry generates large quantities of waste as tailings. The tailings have an adverse environmental impact. This study explored the utilization and stabilization of Barberton gold mine tailings (GMTs) and basic oxygen furnace slag (BOFS) to synthesize geopolymers for other applications. The geochemical, chemical, and geotechnical properties of GMT, BOFS, GMT geopolymer, and GMT: BOFS geopolymer were also studied. Sodium hydroxide (NaOH) and potassium hydroxide (KOH) were used as alkaline activators. The highest unconfined compressive strength (UCS) recorded for GMT geopolymers cured for 5 days at the elevated temperature of 90°C was 4.31 MPa and 6.59 MPa for NaOH and KOH, respectively. GMT: BOFS geopolymer attained the UCS of 20.0 MPa and 25.7 MPa, with NaOH and KOH, respectively, at the same curing conditions. Characterization of the binders showed that BOFS was a good source of calcium, which had a positive effect on the geopolymer gels by balancing the ionic charges. The developed GMT: BOFS geopolymers satisfied the minimum requirements for nonfacing building masonry as stipulated by the ASTM C34-17a, ASTM C129-14c 0076a, and the SANS 227: 2007 for burnt clay masonry units and can be used as a mine backfill paste and for lightweight civil applications. The geopolymers passed the toxicity characteristic leaching procedure (TCLP), and the results yielded low heavy metals concentration, indicating that the geopolymers will not leach to the environment.

1. Introduction

Mine tailings are the major wastes generated by the mining industry. This waste is commonly landfilled as mine dumps. The major challenge with landfilling is the environment due to water and soil contamination and air pollution. Some of the tailings laden with that when discharged into water streams contaminate the water and affect aquatic life and animals. Many mine tailings contain heavy metals that have the potential to leach to the environment and pollute surface water [1]. In South Africa, there are more than 500 gold mine tailings (GMTs) occupying the land, with adverse effects on the environment. Three methods have been reported as solutions to reduce the environmental impacts posed by mine tailings: (1) isolation of mine, (2) chemical stabilization of mine tailings, and (3) a combination of these two methods [2, 3]. The isolation of tailings entails techniques such as tailings capping the confinement of the tailings by constructing a system with a closure similar to that of landfills [4]. In the chemical stabilization process, the heavy metals are mobilized by introducing cementitious materials or chemicals into mine tailings [1]. This process can be conducted through chemical reactions and/or physical encapsulation [1]. In the past two decades, the common practice for the stabilization of mine tailings was through the utilization of pozzolanic materials such as lime and cement [5–11]. Other researchers have studied using wastes such as aluminum, fly ash, and slag as stabilizers [12, 13]. With the development of numerous technologies, geopolymerization or alkaline activation has been reported to be the most promising environmentally friendly and economical technology to effectively stabilize mine tailings. This process also allows for the mine tailings to be used for other applications as cemented paste [14]. The first countries reported to have
used cemented tailings paste as a filler are Australia, Ger-
man, and North America [15]. Worldwide, more than 100
cemented paste backfill factories have been reported to be
active [14]. The utilization of mine tailings as cemented paste
reduced the tailings that must be built and managed on the
land [16]. Moreover, the replacement of Portland cement
with new innovative material would contribute greatly to the
reduction of greenhouse gases, whereby waste is used instead
of cement.

The process of geopolymerization entails the formation of
gels on the surface of mine tailings whereby the gel binds
the particles together [1]. A geopolymer is an amorphous
binder, and its formation is favored by alkali activation of
high pH materials containing silica and alumina and cured
at room or slightly elevated temperature [17]. In the de-
velopment of geopolymer, an amorphous or semi-
amorphous structure is formed by the activation of alumina
silicate-containing material in an alkaline environment [18].
Geopolymers have high adsorption capacity and can im-
mobilize contaminants such as heavy metals [19]; this,
therefore, makes geopolymers to be a sustainable solution to
effectively address the challenges associated with GMT.
Kiventerä et al. [20] investigated the use of gold tailings as
raw material in the development of geopolymers. The un-
confined compressive strength obtained using only raw
GMT was between 1.3 MPa and 3.5 MPa. With the alkali
activation of GMT and the addition of granulated blast
furnace slag (GBFS), the strength attained was in the range of
1.8 MPa and 25 MPa, showing that alkaline activation sig-
nificantly improved the strength of GMT geopolymers. The
researchers also concluded that through alkaline activation,
binders with sufficient strength for the material to be utilized
in the construction industry are formed [20]. In the study
conducted by Aseniero et al. [21], to utilize GMT as geo-
polymeric source material, the Si/Al ratio of the tailings used
samples was 4.81. The strength attained for the synthesized
gel polymer bricks was 5.48 MPa [21]. An investigation on
the alkaline activation of GMT as an option for inertization,
conducted by Kiventerä et al. [20], showed that through
geopolymerization, heavy metals such as Cu, Ni, Cr, Mn, and
Zn were completely immobilized.

Basic oxygen furnace slag (BOFS) is a by-product of the
steel manufacturing industry. The steel industry is amongst
one of the largest waste producers. The global slag output
from the steel industry was reported to be over 1600 million
tons per annum [22]. In South Africa, the iron World Steel
Association production statistics showed a generation of up
to 37 million tons of blast furnace slag over a 12 year period
[23]. Both GMT and BOFS contaminate the environment
because of the pollutants in the material. It is therefore
crucial that these two materials are beneficiated.

In the study to enhance the pozzolanic activity of
granulated copper slag by adding calcium oxide (CaO), Feng
et al. [24] reported that with 20% of CaO, the strength
activity index for the mortar increased, which confirmed
improvement in the modified slag. A geopolymer using
lithium slag and sodium hydroxide (NaOH) as an activator
was synthesized by He et al. [25]. The binder developed was
used to replace 30% of Portland pozzolana cement. The
specimen with high NaOH showed the highest strength of
32.3 MPa and 39.7 MPa at 28- and 56-days curing, respec-
tively. The results obtained were like the strength of PPC
specimens of 33.4 MPa and 41.8 MPa, for similar curing
periods [25]. Granulated blast furnace slag was alkaline
activated by NaOH and KOH to develop a building and
construction material [26]. Phosphate mine tailings were
alkaline activated using NaOH, and slag was added to adjust
the gel structure [27]. The results showed the optimum
strength at the mix design of 60% tailings and 40% slag. With
the increment of slag in the mix design, there was a for-
mation of N-A-S-H and C-A-S-H gels [27]. Fly ash and
ground granulated blast furnace slag were used to develop a
gemopolymer paste, using NaOH and sodium silicate
(Na2SiO3) as an activator [28]. The setting time of the paste
decreased with the increase in Na2SiO3 concentration. The
increment in NaOH molarity resulted in a decrease in setting
time and consistency [28]. Jiao et al. [29] studied the effect of
alkaline activator dosage on the properties of alkaline ac-
tivated blast furnace slag using an activator consisting of
NaOH and Na2SiO3. Compressive strength of 55 MPa was
obtained at 1-day curing, with a maximum strength of
102 MPa [29].

Alkaline activation of gold tailings to develop a binder is
not a new concept. Silicious gold tailings were converted to
value-added products through alkaline activation using two
mixtures containing calcium oxide, anhydride, and cement
[30]. NaOH and Na2SiO3 were applied as new options for
inertization of gold tailings, and the material was firstly
activated hydrothermally at the temperature of 200°C [31].
Heavy metals and sulfates were immobilized in gold tailings
using hydrated line and NaOH/Na2SiO3 activators [32].
Geopolymers were synthesized from alkaline activation of
gold tailings using cement, NaOH, and Na2SiO3 [33]. The
tailings were pretreated at elevated temperatures above
500°C [33]. These are the recent developments in the ac-
tivation of gold tailings, which shows that activators widely
studied are alkaline activators with the addition of sodium
silicate. Studies have reported sodium silicate as being one of
the most expensive alkaline activators, with high envi-
ronmental impact [20, 34]. The other reported studies show
the addition of cement in the mix design and pretreating gold
tailings at elevated temperatures, which is energy intensive.
The production of cement is one of the contributors to global
warming through the emission of greenhouse gases. An
estimated 4.8 billion tons of CO2 gas was emitted to the
atmosphere by the cement industry in 2020 [35].

In this study, GMT was activated without the addition of
a silica source, such as sodium silicate. The source of silicate
was the GMT studied, as they consist of a high content of
SiO2/Al2O3. To improve the properties of the geopolymers
developed, BOFS was incorporated into the mix design.
NaOH and potassium hydroxide (KOH) were studied
separately as alkaline activators, and a comparative study
was conducted to determine the activator that yields the best
results.

NaOH and KOH are the commonly used alkaline ac-
tivators. The other activator that can be used in the geo-
polymerization process is calcium hydroxide Ca(OH)2. In
the geopolymerization process, the Na\(^+\) and K\(^+\) from alkaline activators charge to balance the excess tetrahedrons negative charge, which is incorporated into the alumina silicate network. The charge balance using Ca\(^{2+}\) does yield the same results as the one charge remains in the system. It is worth noting that there are two processes to produce Ca(OH)\(_2\), which is through lime hydration or from the reaction of NaOH with sodium chloride. Using lime from the latter process will be costly as NaOH is already involved in the reaction. The main drive for the selected alkali activators is the reduction of carbon dioxide, which is emitted in the process of lime production.

The readily available GMT used in this study had an alumina silicate ratio of 4.75; this makes geopolymerization of tailings a sustainable solution to deal with GMT waste. The developed geopolymers were also evaluated for potential environmental impact. This study presents the holistic beneficiation of two materials, which contribute to environmental pollution and provide a novel solution for alternative cost-effective and environmentally friendly building materials.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials. The GMTs were collected from a mining company in Mpumalanga region of South Africa. GBFS was obtained from a steel manufacturing company. Before the experiments, baseline tests were conducted to study the geochemical and geotechnical properties of the material. Potassium hydroxide (KOH) and sodium hydroxide (NaOH) were used as alkaline activators.

2.2. Development of Geopolymers. To determine the optimum ratio for the development of GMT: BOFS geopolymers, maximum dry density (MDD) and optimum most content (OMC) for different mix designs of GMT: BOFS were determined. The mix design that yielded the highest MDD was then used for alkaline activations tests. Different concentrations of alkaline activators were investigated, and the specimens were cured at different temperatures to determine the optimum curing temperature, based on the UCS attained. The geopolymer with the optimum UCS was further studied. Figure 1 shows the procedure that was followed for the development of geopolymers. Alkali activator concentrations of 5 M, 10 M, 15 M, and 20 M were investigated, and the one that yielded the best results was used for further tests. A liquid to solid ratio of 0.2 and curing temperature of 90°C for a duration of 5 days were the values fixed as the preliminary study, and it was based on the literature. The effect of liquid to solid ratio was studied by varying ratios between 0.15, 0.2, and 0.25 of an alkaline solution to dry raw material (tailings). For GMT: BOFS geopolymer, the ratios studied were 0.17, 0.2, and 0.23 as higher ratios provided unworkable pastes.

2.3. Characterization. The elemental composition of GMT, BOFS, and geopolymers developed was studied by X-ray fluorescence (XRF; Rigaku ZSX PRIMUS). The samples were pulverized and mixed with Sasol wax. The mixture was pelletized and dried in an oven for 1 hour, at the temperature of 50°C. After drying, the samples were placed in a spectrometer compartment. The spectrometer was equipped with a 4 kW Rh anode X-ray tube end window and powered at a current of 60 mA and voltage of 40 kV, for heavy elements. The light elements were powered at a voltage of 30 kV and a current of 100 mA. X-ray diffraction (XRD; Rigaku Ultima IV) was used to study mineralogy. The X-ray diffractometer with CuKa radiation with the voltage of 40 kV, current of 40 mA, measuring time of 50 s, scanning range between 4 and 100°C, and 0.01° 2θ step scan was applied.

The micrograph was studied by using the scanning electron microscope (SEM; Tescan Vega 3 XMU). Finely ground samples were carbon coated and mounted on the microscope.

The functional groups were detected by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR). Finely ground samples were placed on the FTIR spectrometer.

A Malvern particle size analyzer (Hydro 2000 LV) was used to measure the particle size distribution of raw materials and developed geopolymer [36]. The composites were subjected to toxic leaching characteristic procedure (TCLP) to study their leachability. The developed composites were milled to 80%-75 μm. A buffer solution containing sodium hydroxide and acetic acid at a pH of 4.93 ± 0.05 and a liquid to solid ratio of 20 : 1 was used to leach the material [37, 38]. Leachates from TCLP tests were analyzed using atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS).

3. Results

3.1. Elemental Analysis of GMT and GBFS. Table 1 shows the elemental composition of GMT and BOFS. GMT was predominated with silicon dioxide (SiO\(_2\)), aluminum oxide (Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)), and iron oxide (Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)), with relative proportions of 57.9 wt.%, 12.2 wt.%, and 10.4 wt.%, respectively. The ratio of SiO\(_2\)/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) is 4.75. The ratio is similar to the GMT used by Aseniero et al. which was 4.81 and reported to be close to the recommended value for geopolymerization [21].

There were no radionuclides detected in GMT, indicating that the tailings were not radioactive. Calcium oxide (CaO), Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), and SiO\(_2\) were the major constituents in BOFS, with the relative proportions of 46 wt.%, 29.6 wt.%, and 10.2 wt.%, respectively. The composition of BOFS is close to the elemental composition of BOFS reported by Sithole et al. [39], whereby the predominant constituents in BOFS were CaO, Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), and SiO\(_2\) with the relative proportion of 51.81 wt.%, 24.6 wt.%, and 7.7 wt.%, respectively. Similar results were obtained by Belhadj et al. [40], where three types of BOFS studied for activation were predominated with CaO, Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), and SiO\(_2\).

SiO\(_2\)/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) in BOFS was calculated to be 1.42, which is less than that of GMT.
As detected in the elemental analysis of GMT, the highest peaks were measured at 2 theta 21 and 26, showing quartz (SiO$_2$) is the major crystalline phase. These results are similar to the mineralogy of GMT studied by [19]. There were also traces of gypsum in the phase composition of the GMT. Kiventerä et al. [20] analyzed gold mine tailings from Finland, and their findings were similar to these in that the phases identified include quartz, albite, and gypsum.

The XRD pattern of BOFS shows that the main mineral phases are calcium silicate (CAS), CaO, with minor phases of periclase (MgO) and Fe$_3$O$_4$. The presence of Fe$_3$O$_4$ in BOFS shows that the material is magnetic, and this leads to difficulty in crushing [40]. Calcium oxide silicate is a constituent normally present in, BOF slag [41], and it was also detected in the BOFS used in this study.

The main feature for GMT FTIR spectra is the central band at around 2400 cm$^{-1}$. The band shifted to the lower wave number of about 1000 cm$^{-1}$, similar to the GMT FTIR spectra reported by [19], which is attributed to Al-O-Si or Si-O-Si. The main spectra in BOFS were at the wavenumber of about 1300 cm$^{-1}$ and 800 cm$^{-1}$. Although weak, the peak around 2300 cm$^{-1}$ corresponds to MgO [42].

### 3.4. Atterberg Limits and Specific Gravity of GMT and BOFS

Table 2 presents the liquid limit (LL), plastic limit (PL), and specific gravity (SG) of GMT and BOFS. Both GMT and BOFS are not plastic materials, with LL of 17 and 13, respectively. The tailings studied were also nonplastic [46]. Ahmed and Siddiqua [46] reported SG of 3.17 for GMT [28], a value slightly higher than what was measured for GMT in
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**Figure 1:** Experimental procedure flow chart for the development of the alkaline activated specimens.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component (mass %)</th>
<th>GMT</th>
<th>BOFS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>3.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>29.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>46.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>3.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$_2$O</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>0.069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO$_3$</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>0.819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.243</td>
<td>4.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>0.705</td>
<td>0.337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>0.517</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$_2$O</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td>0.338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P$_2$O$_5$</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiO</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrO$_2$</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb$_2$O</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuO</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrO$_2$</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb$_2$O$_5$</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
this study. The SG for BOFS is similar to the SG observed by Kuo et al. [47], who reported a value of 3.31.

3.5. Geopolymerization of GMT and BOFS

3.5.1. Maximum Dry Density (MDD) and Optimum Moisture Content (OMC) of GMT and BOFS. The MDD and OMC for GMT: BOFS were studied to determine the mix design that yields the optimum MDD. The results obtained are presented in Figure 5.

GMT had an MDD of 1740 kg/m³ and OMC of 15.4. The mix design containing 50% GMT and 50% BOFS yielded the highest MDD. Reduction in GMT content in the mix design resulted in an increment in MDD to 2015 kg/m³ and OMC of 13.66, in 50GMT:50BOFS specimens. The composition of 50GMT: 50 BOFS was then used for the development of geopolymers.
3.5.2. Effect of the Concentration of Alkaline Activators on the UCS of Developed GMT and GMT: BOFS Geopolymers. The MDD and OMC for GMT: BOFS were studied to determine the mix design that yields the optimum MDD. To determine the activator that produces the optimum results, NaOH and KOH were used as activators. The concentrations of 5 M, 10 M, 15 M, and 20 M for both activators were investigated. Figure 6 shows the UCS obtained when different activator concentrations were investigated for GMT and GMT: BOFS geopolymers. The developed geopolymers were cured at elevated temperatures of 60°C, 90°C, and 120°C. The temperature of 90°C was the optimum temperature that yielded the highest UCS.

Figure 4: Micrograph and EDS of (a) GMT geopolymer at optimum conditions, 15 M NaOH; (b) GMT geopolymer at optimum conditions, 10 M KOH; (c) GMT: BOFS geopolymer at elevated conditions, 10 M NaOH; (d) GMT: BOFS geopolymer at optimum conditions, 10 M KOH.
Figures 6(a) and 6(b) show the UCS of GMT geopolymer and GMT: BOFS geopolymers, respectively, with different concentrations of KOH and NaOH. For GMT geopolymer, the UCS of 6.59 MPa was achieved with 10 M KOH and 4.31 MPa with 15 M NaOH. KOH performed better than NaOH. The UCS increased gradually and dropped when the concentrations of NaOH and KOH were increased to 20 MPa.

For the GMT: BOFS geopolymer, the UCS increased significantly to 25.7 MPa at 10 M KOH and 19.97 MPa at 10 M NaOH. The optimum UCS was obtained at the activator’s concentration of 10 M, and KOH also yielded the highest UCS. Falayi [48] attributed the increase in UCS with the increase in KOH/NaOH to the availability of hydroxyl ions, which dissolved SiO2, Al2O3, and CaO. The decrease in

Table 2: Atterberg and SG of GMT and BOFS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>LL (%)</th>
<th>LS (%)</th>
<th>PL (%)</th>
<th>PI (%)</th>
<th>S.G.</th>
<th>D50 (μm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GMT</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0, nonplastic</td>
<td>0, nonplastic</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOFS</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0, nonplastic</td>
<td>0, nonplastic</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>43 (crushed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMT: BOFS</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0, nonplastic</td>
<td>0, nonplastic</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) MDD and OMC for (a) GMT; (b) GMT: BOFS mix designs.

(b) MDD and OMC for (a) GMT; (b) GMT: BOFS mix designs.

Figure 5: MDD and OMC for (a) GMT; (b) GMT: BOFS mix designs.

Figure 6: Effect of KOH and NaOH on the UCS: (a) GMT geopolymer; (b) GMT: BOFS geopolymer.
3.5.3. Mineralogy of GMT and GMT: BOFS Geopolymers at Optimum Conditions. The XRD analysis for GMT and GMT: BOFS geopolymers is presented in Figure 7.

The mineralogy of geopolymerized GMT shows a decrease in the crystalline phase when NaOH and KOH were introduced to GMT. This can be attributed to dissolution condensation polymerization that contributed to the formation of geopolymer gels [49]. Muscovite and gypsum peaks at 10–20 2 theta diminished when GMT was alkaline activated. The strength of GMT: BOFS geopolymer was greater than the UCS of GMT geopolymer, as shown in Figure 6. In the GMT: BOFS geopolymer, a new product of C-S-H/C–A–S–H gel was evident in the mineralogy of the geopolymer, and this is what has contributed to the higher UCS. There was also reduction in the crystalline phase peak when comparing geopolymers developed by NaOH and KOH.

3.5.4. FTIR of GMT and GMT: BOFS Geopolymers at Optimum Conditions. The FTIR for GMT and GMT: BOFS geopolymers is presented in Figure 8.

FTIR of GMT and the geopolymer activated by 15 M NaOH exhibited bends at the same wavelengths. In the geopolymer from 10 M KOH, the band completely disappeared.

For GMT: BOFS geopolymer, the geopolymer with 10 M NaOH showed bands similar to BOFS. Using 10 M KOH as an activator exhibited a newly formed band of geopolymer at the wavelength of about 2300 cm⁻¹. The bands at the wavelength of about 1000 cm⁻¹ evident in both GMT and CMT: BOFS geopolymers can be assigned to Si-O-Si bonds [49].

3.5.5. Micrography of GMT and GMT: BOFS Geopolymers at Optimum Conditions. The micrography for GMT and GMT: BOFS geopolymers is presented in Figure 4.

The micrography of GMT geopolymer activated with NaOH and KOH shows particles are loosely packed. GMT: BOFS geopolymers activated with NaOH and KOH exhibit material that is well compacted, with particles closely packed. The EDS of NaOH-activated GMT in Figure 4(a) presents the Na-Si-Al phases in the geopolymer structure. This phenomenon was also observed and reported by Demir and Derum [19], who argued that geopolymerization reaction took place with addition of Al to Si structure in GMT, which was then activated by NaOH solution. A gel-like material that indicated the formation of geopolymer gel is also visible in all the geopolymers developed. There are no visible cracks on the geopolymers. Zhang et al. [50] reported that in alkaline activation of mine tailings and fly ash, as a result of activation because of the NaOH used, there are more geopolymers gels developed.

3.5.6. Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) for Geopolymers. TCLP results for GMT geopolymer and GMT: BOFS geopolymers are presented in Figure 9.

The leachability of the geopolymers developed for all the optimum conditions using NaOH and KOH was studied. According to the results obtained, only traces of of iron were detected in the leachate. The concentration of Fe was within the required level, as stipulated by WHO [51]. There are no potential risks associated with the developed geopolymers.
Other metals such as copper and manganese were below the minimum detection limits. This shows that the geopolymers developed can be utilized without posing any threat to the environment.

4. Conclusions

The study investigated the stabilization of gold mine tailings (GMTs) by applying an alkaline activation process and studying NaOH and KOH as activators in the presence of basic oxygen furnace slag (BOFS). GMT-based and GMT:BOFS-based geopolymers were developed. GMT without stabilization yielded the highest strength of 6.59 MPa. Blending GMT and BOFS improved the UCS of the geopolymer drastically, and the highest strength of 25.71 MPa was achieved. KOH proved to a better activator as compared with NaOH. The UCS attained by GMT and GMT:BOFS geopolymers met the minimum requirements for the geopolymers to be used for load-bearing applications in building and construction. The geopolymer leachability tests showed that the developed geopolymers are environmentally friendly and do not pose any potential environmental risk.

Further study should be conducted to evaluate the leaching properties by hydration thermodynamic and geochemical simulation, which can quantitatively analyze the hydration products and leaching value in different hydration periods, using the method proposed by the authors in [52]. Thus, the geopolymer leachability will be further studied.
compared with the TCLP test and simulation, to explore the leaching risk comprehensively.
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