Concrete is a kind of complex multiphase composite material, and the spatial distribution of each component of concrete is random, which has an impact on its physical and mechanical properties. This paper presents algorithms for generating two-dimensional (2D) and three-dimensional (3D) arbitrarily graded stochastic concrete aggregate models, graphical format conversion methods, and finite element applications. Aggregate shapes include circular, ellipsoidal, convex polygon, ellipsoidal, and spherical. These models take into account the randomness of appearance, position distribution, and particle size distribution, including the interface transition zone, and the aggregate content can reach a high level. An efficient interference algorithm of ellipsoidal aggregate is proposed, which can reasonably control the thickness of the mortar layer around the aggregate. The corresponding program is compiled by MATLAB to realize the generation of random mesoscopic concrete aggregate geometric model. A graphic format conversion method is provided, which greatly extends the applicability and generality of the random aggregation model. The usefulness of the method in this paper was verified by the mechanical analysis of random aggregate model specimens with different volume fractions and the chloride transport properties of different aggregate shape specimens. In addition, the results of the two cases show that the random aggregate geometry and aggregate content have a great impact on the physical and mechanical properties of concrete, and the randomness of the spatial distribution of aggregates makes the chloride ion transport path and the elastic modulus and Poisson’s ratio of concrete be random. The results of this paper can provide an important research foundation for the study of mesoscopic physical and mechanical properties, gradation theory, and durability of concrete.

1. Introduction

Cement concrete is made of coarse aggregate, cement, sand, water, and admixtures in a certain proportion through mixing, hydration, and solidification. The complexity of the original composition and molding process of concrete leads to its complex physical and mechanical properties. With the in-depth study of concrete materials and the complexity of concrete ratio design, some properties of concrete are difficult to explain from a macroscopic research and need to be explained from mesoscopic or even microscopic perspective. Therefore, researchers have studied the composition of concrete from a mesoscopic perspective and established a mesomechanical model to study the mechanical and physical properties of concrete [1–3]. Among them, concrete aggregates are the most important components of the mesomechanical model, whose shape, spatial distribution, volume rate, and gradation determine the applicability and reliability of the meso-stochastic research.

In the study of concrete stochastic models, concrete can be assumed to be a two-phase composite consisting of aggregate and cement mortar [4–7] or a three-phase composite consisting of aggregate, cement mortar, and the interface transition zone (ITZ) [2, 3, 8, 9]. Pebble aggregates are...
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aggregate. and it is not convenient to control the volume ratio of
does not consider the control of the sharp angle of polygon,
gates involved, and the cohesive elements are globally
fully-graded polyhedral random aggregate, which gets the
et al. [9] used the spatial allocation method to generate on
realistic concrete models with less random numbers. Qin
and high volume fraction specimens and leads to more
method,” which appearsto be moreconvenient for both low
mesostructure models with a high aggregate content.
represented as crushed coarse aggregates in two- or three-
situation. Ma et al. [8] demonstrated a convex extension
long with many sharp angles, which did not match the actual
model not convenient to use. xX herefore, it is necessary to use
algorithm of random aggregate models are presented, in-
cluding circular aggregate, circular and elliptical random
mixed aggregate, convex polygonal aggregate, and spherical-
ellipsoidal random mixed aggregate mesoscopic models.
Then, a method is proposed to convert random aggregate
files in graphical format to a common format so that the
generated mesoscopic aggregation models can be easily used
by finite element software. At the same time, the mesh
generation method after importing stochastic aggregates
into the finite element software is introduced. Finally, the
main role and significance of the stochastic concrete model
are illustrated by two examples, including mechanical
analysis of stochastic aggregate model specimens with dif-
ferent volume fractions and chloride ion transport prop-
erties of different aggregate shape specimens.

2. Principle of Random Aggregate
Generation for Concrete

2.1. Parametric Space Representation of Aggregate Particles. In a certain space \( \Omega \), the position of any aggregate particle in \( \Omega \) is randomly distributed. In Cartesian coordinate system, the coordinates of reference point \( O(X_O, Y_O, Z_O) \) of any aggregate particle can be expressed as

\[
\begin{align*}
X_O &= f_{11}(x, y, z) + \eta_1(f_{12}(x, y, z) - f_{11}(x, y, z)) \\
Y_O &= f_{21}(x, y, z) + \eta_2(f_{22}(x, y, z) - f_{21}(x, y, z)) \\
Z_O &= f_{31}(x, y, z) + \eta_3(f_{32}(x, y, z) - f_{31}(x, y, z)),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( f_{11}(x, y, z), f_{12}(x, y, z), f_{21}(x, y, z), f_{22}(x, y, z), f_{31}(x, y, z), \) and \( f_{32}(x, y, z) \) are boundary curve functions of domain \( \Omega \), respectively; \( \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 \) are independent random numbers between 0 and 1. Specifically for prism specimens, the coordinates of any reference point \( O(X_O, Y_O, Z_O) \) can be expressed as

\[
\begin{align*}
X_O &= X_{\text{min}} + \eta_1(X_{\text{max}} - X_{\text{min}}) \\
Y_O &= Y_{\text{min}} + \eta_2(Y_{\text{max}} - Y_{\text{min}}) \\
Z_O &= Z_{\text{min}} + \eta_3(Z_{\text{max}} - Z_{\text{min}}),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( X_{\text{max}}, X_{\text{min}}, Y_{\text{max}}, Y_{\text{min}}, Z_{\text{max}}, \) and \( Z_{\text{min}} \) are the maximum and minimum values of 3D Cartesian coordinate system on the boundary of domain \( \Omega \), respectively.

Generally, pebble aggregate particles can be represented
by sphere or ellipsoid and can be represented by circle or
ellipse in 2D. Gravel aggregate can be represented by convex
polyhedron or convex polygon. The circular aggregate
particles can be described by three characteristic parameters:
center coordinates (reference point) \(O(X_O, Y_O)\) and radius \(r\). The elliptical aggregate particles can be determined by the reference point \(O(X_O, Y_O)\), the long half axis \(a\), the short half axis \(b\) (or the ratio of long axis to short axis \(e\)), and the direction angle \(\theta\) of the long axis. \(\theta\) represents the angle between the long axis and the horizontal axis \(x\). The convex polygon aggregate can be described by the number of sides \(n\), the polar angle \(\alpha\), and the polar radius \(r_p\). Firstly, \(n\) is generated randomly:

\[ n_i = n_{\min} \text{+ random}\left(\eta_i (n_{\max} - n_{\min})\right), \tag{3} \]

where \(\eta_i\) is a random number with uniform distribution between 0 and 1, namely \(\eta_i \sim U(0, 1)\); \(n_{\max}\) and \(n_{\min}\) are the minimum and maximum values of polygon sides, generally within 4–12; and round () is the rounding function.

The polar angle (\(\alpha\)) is also a random number. Assuming that \(\alpha\) also obeys the uniform distribution, \(\alpha\) can be generated by the following:

\[ \alpha_i = \eta_2 (2\pi - 0), \tag{4} \]

where \(\eta_2 \sim U(0, 1)\). After obtaining \(n\) polar angles \(\alpha\), the sequence of \(n\) ordered polar angles \(\alpha\) is obtained, which is actually the direction of the vertices of the polygon. The next step is to determine the specific positions of these vertices in these directions. Therefore, a polar radius \(r_p\) is generated randomly as

\[ r_{p,i} = r_{p,\min} + \eta_3 \left(r_{p,\max} - r_{p,\min}\right), \tag{5} \]

where \(\eta_3 \sim U(0, 1)\); \(r_{p,\min}\) and \(r_{p,\max}\) are the minimum and maximum of the polar radius of the polygon, respectively. It could be noted that \(\eta_1\), \(\eta_2\), and \(\eta_3\) are three independent random numbers.

The expression method of spherical aggregate is similar to that of circular aggregate, which can be described by the coordinate components \(X_O, Y_O, Z_O\) of reference point and radius \(r\). Ellipsoidal aggregate particles can be determined by nine characteristic parameters: reference point \((O(X_O, Y_O, Z_O))\), semimajor axis of ellipsoid \((a, b, c)\), precession angle \((\gamma)\), nutation angle \((\phi)\), and spin angle \((\psi)\).

2.2. Spatial Random Distribution of Aggregate Particles. Reasonable aggregate size distribution can effectively improve the compactness and durability of concrete. Fuller grading curve is recognized by scholars as one of the most ideal grading curve, because the concrete formulated by Fuller grading has theoretically the maximum density and strength. Fuller curve can be illustrated by the following [14]:

\[ p(d) = 100 \left(\frac{d}{d_{\max}}\right)^n, \tag{6} \]

where \(p(d)\) is cumulative volume fraction of aggregate within the particle size \(d\), \(d_{\max}\) is the maximum aggregate size, and \(n\) is the index of the equation, \(n = 0.45–0.70\). For the graded section \([d_s, d_{s+1}]\), the aggregate volume contained is

\[ V_{ag}[d_s, d_{s+1}] = \frac{p(d_{s+1}) - p(d_s)}{p(d_{\max}) - p(d_{\min})} R_{ag} V_{con}, \tag{7} \]

where \(R_{ag}\) is the volume ratio of aggregate (%); \(V_{con}\) is the volume of concrete; \(d_{\max}\) is the maximum size of aggregate; and \(d_{\min}\) is the minimum size of aggregate. When the concrete area, the aggregate volume ratio, and the aggregate grading section \([d_s, d_{s+1}]\) are determined, the aggregate volume of the graded section can be calculated by (7).

3. Interference Conditions between Aggregate Particles

3.1. Interference Judgment between Aggregate Particles. In practice, aggregates rarely touch or overlap each other, so an impact zone can be created around each aggregate to keep other aggregate particles out, as shown in Figure 1. For round aggregate, the interference can be judged by the center distance of adjacent aggregate particles:

\[ \sqrt{(x_{i} - x_{j})^2 + (y_{i} - y_{j})^2} \geq \varsigma_i (r_i + r_j), \tag{8} \]

where \(\varsigma_i\) is aggregate influence range coefficient [15, 16], which is 1.05 in this paper.

Although many scholars have pointed out that the round aggregate could simulate the pebble aggregate, the actual aggregate is not a pure round, but a relatively smooth ellipsoid or sphere. Hence, this paper regards two-dimensional pebble aggregates as a mixture of round and ellipsoidal shapes (Figure 2). When the lengths of the two axes of the ellipse are equal, the ellipse degenerates into a circle. Therefore, the circle can be regarded as a special form of the ellipse. Based on this idea, we can consider a given parameter \(e_i\), which is expressed as the ratio of the long axis to the short axis of the ellipse, to control the random change of the ellipse shape and ensure the appropriate length width ratio, namely:

\[ e_i = \frac{2a_i}{2b_i}, \tag{9} \]

where \(a\) and \(b\) are the long and short semiaxes of the ellipse, respectively.

The method to judge the interference relationship between two ellipses is as follows [17]. An inscribed polygon is generated in one ellipse, and the geometric relationship of two ellipses is determined by judging the geometric relationship between the inscribed polygon and another ellipse. Now that the sum of the distances from any point of an ellipse to its two focal points is twice the length of the long semiaxis. If the length from one vertex of a polygon inscribed by an ellipse to the two focal points of another ellipse is less than or equal to a fixed value, the two ellipses will interfere; otherwise, the two ellipses will separate.

Meanwhile, the problem can also be solved by using the quadratic form of the spherical equation. For any two ellipses \(AX^2 + AX = 0\) and \(BX^2 + BX = 0\), the generalized characteristic polynomial of these two ellipses could be expressed as
If the characteristic polynomial has two distinct positive roots \( \lambda \), then the two ellipses are separated, else the two ellipses interfere with each other.

In order to guarantee the convexity of polygons, various methods that can generate arbitrarily convex polygons have been proposed, but they still cannot fully satisfy the requirement of randomness or the algorithm is too complex. In order to solve the problem of randomness, the following methods are adopted in this paper: firstly, the range of polygon particle size is given according to gradation requirements of aggregate. Then the reference point and particle size of polygon are randomly generated. After generating a particle size each time, the relationship between polygon corresponding to each angle can be obtained by

\[
f(\lambda) = \det(\lambda A + B). \tag{10}\]

where \( \ell \) is the elongation coefficient, reflecting the length width ratio of convex polygon. Since the actual gravel aggregate is neither narrowly pointed nor standardly round, its elongation should be controlled. The elongation coefficient \( \ell \) can be defined as follows:

\[
\ell = \frac{l_{\text{max}}}{l_{\text{min}}} \tag{13}\]

where \( l_{\text{max}} \) and \( l_{\text{min}} \) are the maximum and minimum distances from the polygon vertex to the reference coordinate, respectively. Finally, the random convex polygon can be generated by connecting these vertices. The algorithm is easy to program and meets the requirements of randomness.

The interference judgment methods of many scholars could be roughly divided into two types: area method [5] and angle method [11]. Most scholars use area as the measure to determine the interference relationship. For any convex polygon, set its vertex \( A_1, A_2, A_3, \ldots, A_n \) sorted counter clockwise, the coordinate of the vertex \( A_i \) is \((x_i, y_i)\), and \( p(x, y) \) is a point in the plane; then the area of the triangle \( pA_iA_{i+1} \) is \( S_i \) as shown in Figure 3. Assuming that the inner region enclosed by convex polygon \( A_1A_2A_3\ldots A_n \) is \( \Omega_A \) and the boundary is \( \Omega_B \), then

\[
\begin{cases}
    p \in \Omega_A, & S_i > 0 (i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n) \\
    p \in \Omega_B, & \text{at least one } S_i = 0 (i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n). \\
    p \notin \Omega_A, & \text{at least one } S_i < 0 (i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n).
\end{cases}
\]

The algorithm of area method is cumbersome, and it is easy to miss the special case like Figure 3(c), so it is necessary to do edge check again. The angle method use included
angles for interference judgment. Assuming that \( p \) is any point in the field \( \Omega \), the point \( p \) is connected with the vertices of the polygon \( A_1, A_2, A_3 \ldots A_n \) and \( \theta_i = \angle A_i p A_{i+1} \), \( \theta_n = \angle A_n p A_1 \). Then,

\[
\begin{align*}
    & p \in \Omega_A, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_i = 360^\circ \\
    & p \notin \Omega_A, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_i = 0, \\
\end{align*}
\]

(14)

where \( \theta_i \) can be obtained by cosine theorem, and the positive and negative of \( \theta_i \) can be defined in the following way (taking \( \angle A_i p A_{i+2} \) for example): set

\[
W = (x_1 - x_p)(y_2 - y_p) - (y_1 - y_p)(x_2 - x_p). 
\]

(15)

If \( W < 0 \), it is clockwise, taking \( \theta_i \) as negative, otherwise, taking \( \theta_i \) as positive.

According to the above method, arbitrary convex polygon can be generated. However, the polygon generated by the above method may have some sharp corners, and the phenomenon can also be seen in other researches. The existence of sharp corners is not consistent with the actual aggregate shape, and can also be seen in other researches. The existence of sharp corners is extremely detrimental to the finite element meshing and the convergence of the calculation. Therefore, in order to reduce the probability of sharp corners, the following improvement methods are proposed:

\[
\text{ang}_{m+1} - \text{ang}_m < \frac{\alpha_1}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ang}_{m+1} - \text{ang}_m > \frac{\alpha_2}{n}, 
\]

(16)

where \( \alpha_1 \) and \( \alpha_2 \) are defined angles, \( \alpha_1 > \alpha_2 \). The difference between the two adjacent angles is limited to a certain range. Figure 4 shows the aggregate models at different angles \( \alpha_1 \) and \( \alpha_2 \), which proves the necessity of the control of the adjacent angles.

The random aggregate of three-dimensional pebble can be expressed as spherical or ellipsoid. The interference relationship between two spherical aggregates can be judged by the center distance of aggregate particles:

\[
\sqrt{(X_j^0 - X_i^0)^2 + (Y_j^0 - Y_i^0)^2 + (Z_j^0 - Z_i^0)^2} \geq \zeta_3(r_j + r_i),
\]

(17)

where \( \zeta_3 \) is influence range coefficient of aggregate, which is 1.05 in this paper.

Figure 5 shows the spherical random aggregate model with particle size \( d_e(2.36, 40) \) mm and volume fraction of 40%.

According to the grading range of coarse aggregate specified in industry recommended standards of the PRC (JTG/T 3650-2020) [18], standard concrete specimens are generated with the size of 150 mm \( \times \) 150 mm \( \times \) 150 mm. The corresponding grading parameters are shown in Table 1. The total volume fraction of coarse aggregate is 45%, as shown in Figure 6.

Although many scholars point out that the spherical aggregate could simulate the pebble aggregate, the actual aggregate is closer to the random mixing of sphere and ellipsoid. In polar coordinates, the standard equation of ellipsoid can be expressed as

\[
\begin{align*}
    x &= a \sin \theta \cos \varphi \\
    y &= b \sin \theta \sin \varphi \\
    z &= c \cos \theta,
\end{align*}
\]

(18)

where \( a, b, \) and \( c \) are the three semimajor axes of the ellipse; \( \theta, \varphi \) is the centrifugal angle of ellipsoid, respectively. When \( a = b = c \), the elliptic equation degenerates into a spherical equation.

The quadratic form corresponding to ellipsoid \( A \) is

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    \frac{1}{a^2} & 0 & 0 \\
    0 & \frac{1}{b^2} & 0 \\
    0 & 0 & \frac{1}{c^2}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
    X^T \\
    Y^T \\
    Z^T
\end{bmatrix}
= 0,
\]

(19)
where $A$ is ellipsoid coefficient matrix, $X$ is homogeneous coordinates of any point on the ellipsoid, and $X' = (x', y', z', 1)^T$.

The ellipsoid generated above is the standard ellipsoid with the reference point at the origin. In fact, the aggregate is arranged at any position according to any angle in the concrete, so it should be translated and rotated. After translating the ellipsoid to a random position $(X_O, Y_O, Z_O)$, the coordinate of any point on the ellipsoid is as follows:

$$X' = BX = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & X_O \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & Y_O \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & Z_O \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} X.$$  

After rotation, the coordinate of any point on the ellipsoid is
or

\[
X'' = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos \psi & \sin \psi & 0 & 0 \\
-\sin \psi & \cos \psi & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \cos \phi & \sin \phi & 0 \\
0 & -\sin \phi & \cos \phi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\cos \gamma & \sin \gamma & 0 & 0 \\
-\sin \gamma & \cos \gamma & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
X'
\end{bmatrix},
\]

(22)

where \(\psi\), \(\phi\), and \(\gamma\) are three independent random numbers between 0 and \(\pi/2\), respectively. The algebraic expression of the ellipsoid after rotation is as follows:

\[
X''^T A X'' = (C_1 C_2 C_3 B X')^T A (C_1 C_2 C_3 B) X = 0.
\]

(23)

\[
\text{Set } D = (C_1 C_2 C_3 B)^T A (C_1 C_2 C_3 B); \text{ then, any elliptic equation in space is } X''^T D X = 0.
\]

In actual concrete, there is no intersection and inclusion between any two ellipsoids, so the minimum distance between them should be controlled. The positional relationship between the two ellipsoids can be judged by solving the characteristic equation of the two ellipsoids [19, 20]:

\[
\det(\lambda A_1 + A_2) = 0,
\]

(24)

where \(A_1\) and \(A_2\) are the coefficient matrix (diagonal matrix) of any two standard ellipsoids, respectively and \(\lambda\) is the generalized eigenvalue. When there are two different positive answers to the generalized characteristic equation, the two ellipsoids are separated. The eigenvalue method can separate ellipsoids, but cannot give the minimum distance between ellipsoids. At the same time, the method [19] does not study the ellipsoidal interference judgment after rotation in space.

Suppose that two ellipsoid equations are \(E_1: X^T D_1 X\), \(E_2: X^T D_2 X\). As shown in Figure 7(a) [21], \(x_k, y_k (k \in N^+)\) is a point on two ellipsoids, respectively; then, the shortest distance between the two ellipsoids is as follows:
\[ d(E_1, E_2) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \|x_k - y_k\|. \] (25)

In the \(k\)-th iteration, we construct sphere \(Q(c_{1,k}, r_{1,k})\) inscribed at \(E_1\), \(Q(c_{2,k}, r_{2,k})\) inscribed at \(E_2\) with \(x_{k,1}, y_{k,1}\) as the internal tangent points. If \(Q(c_{1,k}, r_{2,k})\) is completely contained in \(E_1 \cup E_2\), then the two ellipsoids are tangent or intersecting. Otherwise, the next iteration is carried out with \(x_{k+1,1}, y_{k+1,1}\) as the internal tangent points. If \(\forall k \in N^+, Q(c_{1,k}, r_{2,k}) \not\subset E_1 \cup E_2\), then the two ellipsoids are separated. Each iteration of this algorithm needs to generate an inscribed sphere and calculate the position relationship between \(Q(c_{1,k}, r_{2,k})\) and \(E_1 \cup E_2\). The calculation process is complicated and the amount of calculation is large.

Based on the above problems, this paper proposes a new method to determine the positional relationship between two ellipsoids, as shown in Figure 7(b). Firstly, assume that the center of the ellipse \(E_1\) is \(O_1\). Then, select point \(p\) on the surface of the ellipsoid \(E_2\), and determine the line segment \(L\) by \(O_1\) and \(p\) points. The position relationship between ellipsoids \(E_1\) and \(E_2\) could be simplified as the relationship between point \(g\) on the line segment \(L\) and the ellipsoid \(E_1\). For any straight line segment \(L\), if \(\exists g \not\in E_1\) is guaranteed, then point \(p\) is separated from \(E_1\). The specific algorithm steps are as follows:

1. By determining the point \(p(x_{p,1}, y_{p,1}, z_{p,1})\) on the ellipsoid \(E_2\), the parameter equation of the straight line segment \(L\) could be determined, so as to determine the point \(p_i\) on the straight line segment \(L\).
2. When \(g_i\) is substituted into the equation of ellipsoid \(E_1\), if \(\forall g_i \in E_1\) holds, then \(E_1\) and \(E_2\) will not be separated and the calculation will be terminated. Otherwise, the point \(p_{i+1}\) will be determined to judge the position relationship between \(g_i+1\) and \(E_1\).
3. If \(\forall p_i \in E_2, \exists g_i \not\in E_1\), the two ellipsoids are separated.

The thickness between cement mortar aggregates cannot be quantified due to the separation of the two ellipsoids. Therefore, the amplification factor matrix \(\xi\) is introduced:

\[ \xi = \begin{bmatrix} \eta & \eta \\ \eta & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \] (26)

where \(\eta\) is the aggregate size adjustment factor, \(\eta = 1/1.05^2\). After enlarging the ellipsoid \(E_1\), the virtual ellipsoid \(E_1v\) is obtained, and its coefficient matrix is

\[ D_1 = (C_1C_2C_3B)^T \xi A_1 (C_1C_2C_3B). \] (27)

After ensuring that the virtual ellipsoid \(E_1v\) and \(E_2\) are tangent or separated, the distance between the ellipsoid \(E_1v\) and \(E_1\) is the minimum mortar thickness between the aggregates. Figure 8 shows the single-graded ellipsoidal random aggregate cube with a side length of 150 mm. Figure 9 shows the continuous-graded ellipsoidal random aggregate model, and the aggregate grading parameters are the same as Table 1.

3.2 Delivery of Aggregate. After determining the reference point and orientation of aggregate particles, the aggregate particles are placed into the concrete domain \(\Omega\). According to the spatial relationship between aggregate and cement mortar matrix in actual concrete, each aggregate particle should meet the following requirements:

1. The aggregate particles must be all located in the domain \(\Omega\) of concrete.
2. The aggregate particles put in later cannot overlap with those already put in.
3. The distance between the edge of aggregate particles and the edge of concrete area shall not be less than the minimum thickness of cement mortar coating.
4. The minimum distance between aggregate particles shall be maintained.

The input requirement (1) should meet the requirements of (1), and the input requirement (3) should meet the requirements of (28) for the two-dimensional aggregate model and (29) for the three-dimensional aggregate model. The release conditions (2) and (4) can be controlled by the interference judgment discussed above.

\[
\begin{align*}
X_O - c_1 r_1 &\geq f_{11} & X_O + c_2 r_1 &\leq f_{12} \\
Y_O - c_1 r_1 &\geq f_{21} & Y_O + c_2 r_1 &\leq f_{22},
\end{align*}
\] (28)

\[
\begin{align*}
X_O - c_1 r_1 &\geq f_{11} & X_O + c_2 r_1 &\leq f_{12}, \\
Y_O - c_1 r_1 &\geq f_{21} & Y_O + c_2 r_1 &\leq f_{22}, \\
Z_O - c_1 r_1 &\geq f_{31} & Z_O + c_2 r_1 &\leq f_{32},
\end{align*}
\] (29)

where \(c_1\) and \(c_2\) are the adjustment factors used to make the aggregate particles not tangent to the boundary of the
domain $\Omega$ or pass through the outside of the domain or too close to the boundary to meet the mortar wrapping thickness. In this paper, $\varsigma_1 = \varsigma_2 = 1.05$.

The spatial distribution of aggregate particles can be divided into two steps: “taking” and “releasing.” “Taking” is to take the aggregate particles that meet the (shape and size) requirements from the given grading curve, while “release” refers to placing the collected aggregate particles randomly in the concrete area according to certain rules to form a random combination sequence of aggregate particles. In the process of “releasing,” attention should be paid to the boundary relationship between the aggregate particle generated each time and the concrete area $\Omega$, and the interference relationship between the aggregates generated successively. Therefore, the release process is more complex than the generation process. Generally speaking, “take” and “release” are two continuous processes, that is, generation $\rightarrow$ release $\rightarrow$ generation, which is conducive to the judgment and control of the generated quantity. Based on all the analysis above, the general process of concrete aggregate generation and delivery can be summarized as Figure 10.

### 3.3. Interfacial Transition Zone

It is generally believed that the meso concrete is composed of mortar, coarse aggregate, and their ITZ, and the thickness of ITZ is generally $15\sim120 \mu m$ [22–26]. Because of the different properties between cement paste and aggregate, ITZ is the first area of concrete to damage and fracture. These defects not only have a great impact on the mechanical properties of concrete, but also have certain impact on the freezing resistance, permeability resistance, and corrosion resistance of concrete. Therefore, ITZ should be involved in the mesoscale model generally.

The ITZs of mesoscopic stochastic concrete could be obtained by appropriately enlarging the aggregate. Another method is to endow ITZs with corresponding property around the aggregate when meshing [10], but the first method is more versatile. Now that the thickness of the interfacial transition zone around each aggregate is not uniform, the influence of randomness on the formation of interfacial transition zone should be considered. For convex polygonal and circular aggregates, a random number can be generated as the amplification factor, and the original aggregate can be amplified by the coordinate. For ellipsoidal or spherical aggregates, it can be achieved by generating a magnification factor matrix $M$:

$$
M = \begin{bmatrix}
\eta_a \\
\eta_b \\
\eta_c \\
1
\end{bmatrix},
$$

where $\eta_k$ is a random number, and $k = a, b, c, \eta_k \epsilon (1.003, 1.032)$. In this paper, the calculation method of $\eta_k$ is as follows:
\[ \eta_k = \frac{m + h}{m}, h = 0.003 \sim 0.032m, m = a, b, c. \] (31)

4. Random Mesoscopic Concrete Aggregate Geometric Model

4.1. Graphic Format Conversion of Aggregate Model. It is very important that mesoscopic stochastic concrete structures can be opened by finite element software or other drawing software for other computational and analytical activities. In this paper, random concrete model is generated by MATLAB software. MATLAB has powerful numerical calculation and drawing functions, but its output graphics are not versatile and cannot be directly opened and used by finite element software. Autodesk Computer Aided Design (AutoCAD) has powerful interface function, which can save image files in more abundant formats, so it can connect with most of the finite element softwares such as ANSYS. Using AutoCAD to read the SCR script file generated by MATLAB to realize the transformation of graphic file format has strong universality and high efficiency [27–29].

Surface objects in MATLAB can be generated by different functions, such as the surf() type function and the mesh() type function. The color of the face image can be determined by the CData matrix. Surf type function can fill every cell in the surface with color. The surface generated by mesh type function only has color on the line (color changes with height). Because of lack of corresponding color filling data in AutoCAD script file, the color information will be lost when SCR file is generated from MATLAB graphics file. This conversion process is as follows: fopen() type function in MATLAB is used to generate an empty SCR file, and then fprintf() type function is used to read the graphic data generated by MATLAB. Finally, AutoCAD is used to read SCR script files and draw graphics files. Figure 11 shows the examples of the transformation.

4.2. Finite Element Model of Stochastic Concrete. After the establishment of stochastic concrete model, it can be imported into the finite element software for mesh and related analysis. The commonly used meshing methods include mapping mesh method, Delaunay triangulation method,
improved Delaunay triangulation method, and improved advancing front technique (AFT) method. Due to the complex shape of meso concrete model, especially the convex polygon aggregate model, mesh generation is prone to be malformed. In the finite element software COMSOL, the mesh quality can be improved by controlling the maximum and minimum element size, maximum element growth rate, curvature factor, resolution of narrow region, etc. The malformed elements in the mesh can be removed until the shape passed the checking. The bandwidth of the stiffness matrix can be reduced by using the method of node rearrangement, so that the finite element numerical simulation of complex mesostructure of concrete can be realized. The steps of meshing are as follows:

1. The aggregate model is generated by MATLAB according to the algorithm proposed, the SCR script file is used to realize the data format conversion, and the converted data are imported into the finite element software to generate the geometric model. For the model with ITZs, the default repair tolerance should be changed to $10^{-6} \sim 10^{-7}$.

2. As shown in Figure 12, the imported graphics include two kinds of surface (body): one is the surface (volume) of aggregate particles, mortar, and ITZs; the other is the whole area (including aggregate particles, mortar, and ITZs). Because the aggregate and mortar need to be meshed separately, the mortar and ITZ should be separated from the whole area.

3. The material properties of mortar, ITZs, and aggregates are assigned, respectively, and the generated mortar, ITZ, and aggregates are divided into elements, and the nodes are rearranged to reduce the bandwidth of stiffness matrix in finite element calculation. Finally, the data of nodes and elements are saved.

![Figure 11: Format conversion method.](image1)

![Figure 12: Mesh generation of random concrete models.](image2)
5. Application Examples

5.1. Meso Analysis of Chloride Ions Transport. In order to study the influence of aggregate shape on chloride ion transport, six random aggregate models were randomly generated with the volume fraction of 38.5% and size of 50 mm × 50 mm. The chloride transports under the same input conditions were calculated. The calculation conditions are as follows.

(1) Chloride diffusion coefficient of cement mortar: \( D_c = 1.45e - 11 \times (28/(28 + t/1[\text{d}])))^{0.35} \text{m}^2/\text{s} \), and chloride diffusion coefficient of aggregate: \( D_a = 0 \). (2) Transport direction is one dimensional transmission, from left to right. (3) Boundary condition: \( C_s(x = 0, \ t) = 0.21\% \). (4) Initial condition: \( C(x, \ t = 0) = 0 \). (5) Diffusion time of chloride ions for computing is 8 a.

The calculation results are shown in Figure 13. It can be seen that there is a significant difference between the calculation results of the meso model and the homogeneous mortar model, and the calculation results of the different aggregate models also differ significantly. Chloride ions will flow around the aggregate in the process of propagation, so the streamline is not straight, but around the aggregate. Due to the impermeability of the aggregate, the aggregate has a significant blocking effect on the chloride ion transport, which complicates the direction of the chloride ion concentration gradient and the transport path. For models with the same aggregate shape and volume fraction, the chloride ion concentration distribution is similar.

To more clearly demonstrate the differences between these models, the distribution of chloride ion concentrations at the same depth for the models is given in Figure 14. On the concrete surface, the chloride ion concentration increases due to the blocking effect of aggregates, and the calculation results of the fine view model are significantly higher than the macroscopic model. The concentration distribution of chloride ion has multiple peaks due to aggregation. As the concrete depth increases, the aggregation effect diminishes, and the results of mesoscopic model are close to those of the macroscopic model, but there are still many peaks and valleys. By comparing the calculated results of these three mesoscopic aggregate models, it was found that the convex polygonal aggregates showed the greatest variation, followed by the circular and elliptical mixed aggregates. The results show that the more complex the shape of aggregate, the greater the impact on chloride ions transport.

To verify the effect of volume fraction of aggregates on chloride ion transport, six random aggregate models with different aggregate volume fractions were randomly generated and numerical experiments on chloride ion transport were conducted, as shown in Figure 15. The relevant parameters of aggregates are shown in Table 2.

\[ J = \int C \, dx \, dy. \]  

With the increase of aggregate volume fraction, the total amount of material transport decreases. Figure 14(d) is the curve of the relationship between aggregate volume fraction (\( Q_s \)) and total chloride content (\( J \)), which shows a linear relationship. The curve is obtained by fitting the calculated results of the test pieces:
\[ J = -0.0423Q_s + 3.7658, \]  
(33)

where \( Q_s \) is the aggregate volume fraction (%). When \( Q_s = 0 \), \( J = 3.7658 \), the calculated results of (33) are consistent with the calculated values of the homogeneous mortar model in Figure 13(a). Therefore, a linear model of total chloride ion and aggregate volume fraction at a certain time is presented as the following:

\[ J = aQ_s + b, \]  
(34)

where \( a \) and \( b \) are constants at a certain time, which are only related to materials, and \( a < 0, b > 0 \).

5.2. Mesoanalysis of Mechanical Properties. In order to illustrate the influence of aggregate on the stress distribution of concrete structure, the concrete aggregate structure model in Section 4.1 is still used for finite element analysis. For the aggregate, the elastic modulus of aggregate (\( E_0 \)) is 60 GPa, and Poisson’s ratio (\( \nu \)) is 0.16. For cement mortar, \( E_0 = 20 \) GPa, and \( \nu = 0.2 \). It is assumed that the vertical deformation of the concrete cube is 10 \( \mu \)m. The calculated stress distribution is shown in Figure 16. The results show that the local tensile stress comes into being due to the influence of heterogeneity caused by aggregate. The distribution of compressive stress in concrete is also uneven, which shows obvious differences from macroscopic theory. The content of aggregate has great influence on the local tensile stress, the tensile stress shows an upward trend with the increase of volume fraction of aggregate.

In order to quantitatively explain the influence of aggregate on the mechanical properties of concrete, the elastic modulus (\( E \)) and Poisson’s ratio (\( \nu \)) are taken as indicators for analysis, and the definitions are as follows:

\[ \bar{\sigma}_t = \frac{\int \sigma \, dx \, dy}{\int dx \, dy} \]

\[ \bar{e}_t = \frac{\int \varepsilon \, dx \, dy}{\int dx \, dy}, \]  
(35)
where \( \sigma_s \) is the average stress in \( s \) direction, \( \varepsilon_s \) is the average strain in \( s \) direction, and \( s = x, y \). According to the generalized Hooke’s Law:

\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_x &= \frac{1}{E} \left[ \sigma_x - \nu(\sigma_y + \sigma_z) \right], \\
\varepsilon_y &= \frac{1}{E} \left[ \sigma_y - \nu(\sigma_x + \sigma_z) \right],
\end{align*}
\]

where \( E \) and \( \nu \) can be regarded as macroscopic elastic modulus and macroscopic Poisson’s ratio, respectively.

The calculated results shown in Table 3 indicate that the macro elastic modulus of numerical concrete changes significantly with the change of aggregate. With the increase of aggregate volume fraction, the macro elastic modulus increases and macro Poisson’s ratio decreases. The elastic moduli of the same volume fraction are basically equal.

In order to study the macroscopic characteristics of concrete, it is necessary to analyze the random characteristics of aggregate size, shape, distribution density, and distribution form. In addition, according to the required physical and mechanical properties, a mesoscopic stochastic concrete model can be used instead of manual tests for mix proportion design to achieve refined and intelligent design.

![Figure 15](image_url)

**Table 2: Parameters of random concrete aggregate models.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>( r_{\text{min}} ) (mm)</th>
<th>( r_{\text{max}} ) (mm)</th>
<th>( Q_s ) (%)</th>
<th>Number of particles</th>
<th>( J ) (mol/m) ( \cdot 10^{-4} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mortar</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.7659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregate</td>
<td>0.475</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>0.475</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.0037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>0.475</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>2.7525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td>0.475</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>2.5188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 4</td>
<td>0.475</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>2.4569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 5</td>
<td>0.475</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>2.3449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 6</td>
<td>0.475</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2.1529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 7</td>
<td>0.475</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2.0977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 8</td>
<td>0.475</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2.1334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 9</td>
<td>0.475</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>2.0628</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 16: Continued.
6. Conclusions

This study summarizes the construction of mesoscopic concrete models and proposes a systematic method for efficient construction of 2D and 3D concrete stochastic mesoscopic structures. The approach can take into account the requirements of randomness in shape, spatial distribution, and particle size distribution, and the level of aggregate content can be controlled independent of single, continuous, or interstitial grading. The significance and application of the stochastic aggregate model are illustrated by two examples, and the feasibility of the developed stochastic aggregation model is demonstrated. The conclusion is as follows:

(1) A more efficient and realistic generation mechanism is proposed to generate arbitrary convex polygon not based on circle or ellipse, which can effectively control the aspect ratio and sharp angle of polygon.

(2) A new algorithm has been proposed for interference judgment of ellipsoidal aggregates, which can reasonably control the thickness of cement mortar around the aggregate. By controlling the axes ratio of ellipsoidal aggregate particles, this method can also be used to simulate the pores in concrete.

(3) Aggregate increases the tortuosity and distortion of chloride ion transport path and reduces the global speed. This effect is more notable in convex polygonal aggregate than in elliptical aggregate and circular aggregate. The influence of aggregate makes the concentration distribution of chloride ion present multiple peaks in local tensile stress.

(4) Numerical concrete simulating results show that the macro elastic modulus and Poisson’s ratio change obviously with the aggregate fraction; the local tensile stress in concrete increases with the increase of aggregate volume fraction. There is a good linear relationship between the total chloride content transported in concrete and the volume fraction of aggregate.

The mesoscale random concrete model is an approach to realize the well-directed and intelligent design of mix proportion according to the physical and mechanical properties required substituting for manual experiments.
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