Energy Levels in Nanowires and Nanorods with a Finite Potential Well
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Abstract

The energy of electrons and holes in cylindrical quantum wires with a finite potential well was calculated by two methods. An analytical expression is approximately determined that allows one to calculate the energy of electrons and holes at the first discrete level in a cylindrical quantum wire. The electron energy was calculated by two methods for cylindrical layers of different radius. In the calculations, the nonparabolicity of the electron energy spectrum is taken into account. The dependence of the effective masses of electrons and holes on the radius of a quantum wires is determined. An analysis is made of the dependence of the energy of electrons and holes on the internal and external radii, and it is determined that the energy of electrons and holes in cylindrical layers with a constant thickness weakly depends on the internal radius. The results were obtained for the InP/InAs heterostructures.

1. Introduction

In recent years, a lot of work has been done on calculating the energy of electrons and holes in quantum wells based on InP/InAs/InP heterostructures, due to the fact that today, for the creation of new-generation devices, it largely depends on semiconductor nanostructures.

In [1, 2], various technologies for growing quantum wires were presented, and nanowires of various sizes were obtained. In [3], core-shell nanowires were experimentally obtained, and it was shown that the cross-sectional area is in the shape of a hexagon. Optical properties of InAs/InP-based quantum wires were studied by photoluminescence spectroscopy [4]. The optical properties of core-multishell nanowires based on InP/InAs/InP were experimentally studied in [5–8]. The relaxation time of electron spin in semiconductor quantum wires has been experimentally investigated.

In type III-V semiconductors and in heterostructures based on them, electron dispersion is strongly nonparabolic; the Kane model was used to study the spectrum of charge carriers of these materials [9]. In [10], the energy spectrum of an electron with a nonparabolic dispersion law in quantum wires with a rectangular cross-sectional shape was theoretically investigated. The authors of [11, 12] also theoretically studied the energy spectrum of an electron with a nonparabolic dispersion law in quantum wires, but with a hexagonal and triangular cross-sectional shape. The influence of electronic, polaron, and coulomb interactions on energy states in quantum nanowires was studied [13–16]. The I-V characteristic of nanowires was considered taking into account the tunneling of electrons in quantum states [17, 18]. The effect of temperature on the energy levels [19, 20] and optical absorption [21] of quantum dots are studied. The energy levels of InAs/InP quantum dots have been studied [22]. In [23–28], to determine the energy spectrum and wave function of an electron in quantum wires with a rectangular cross-sectional shape, solutions of the Schrödinger equation were obtained using various mathematical methods. The effect of an electric field on the energy spectrum of a rectangular quantum wire is investigated [29]. The authors of [30–33] obtained analytical solutions of the Schrödinger equation for cylindrical quantum wires with a finite potential and a parabolic dispersion law. The solution of the Schrödinger equation is obtained by the finite...
difference method (shooting method) for rectangular [34] and cylindrical \([35, 36]\) quantum wires.

In this paper, two methods are used to calculate the energy of electrons and holes for a cylindrical quantum wire and a quantum nanorod with a finite potential well. In this case, the nonparabolicity of the dispersion of electrons and holes is taken into account. The relationship between the effective mass of charge carriers and the radius of a quantum wire is determined.


Figure 1 shows the geometric and potential diagram of a cylindrical quantum wire with a finite potential well. The potential energy of an electron of a cylindrical quantum wire with a finite depth has the form:

\[
U(r) = U(\rho) = \begin{cases} 
0, & 0 \leq \rho \leq R, \\
W, & \rho > R.
\end{cases}
\]

The Schrödinger equation in a cylindrical coordinate system is as follows:

\[
-\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \right) f(r) + U(r)f(r) = E_f(r).
\]

We seek a solution to this equation in the following form:

\[
f(r) = e^{ik_z z} e^{il \phi} \psi(\rho),
\]

Here, the parameters \(k_z\) and \(l\) are independent of coordinates. Therefore, equation (2) will be solved for the radial wave function. Thus, equation (2) in region \(0 \leq \rho \leq R\) for the radial wave function \(\psi(\rho)\) takes the following form:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \psi(\rho)}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \psi(\rho)}{\partial \rho} + \left( k_A^2 - \frac{l^2}{\rho^2} \right) \psi(\rho) = 0, \quad 0 \leq \rho \leq R,
\]

where

\[
\xi = k_A \rho, \quad k_A = \sqrt{\frac{2m_0}{\hbar^2}(E - k_z^2)}.
\]

In this case, equation (4) takes the following form:

\[
\xi^2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi(\rho)}{\partial \xi^2} + \xi \frac{\partial \psi(\rho)}{\partial \xi} + \left( \xi^2 - l^2 \right) \psi(\rho) = 0.
\]

The general solution to this equation is in the form of a linear combination of the Bessel function \(J_l(\xi)\) and the Neumann function \(N_l(\xi)\) of the \(l\)-th order \([37]\):

\[
\psi_1(\rho) = A_1 J_l(k_A \rho) + B_1 N_l(k_A \rho), \quad 0 \leq \rho \leq R.
\]

Equation (2) for the radial wave function \(\psi(\rho)\) in region \(\rho > R\) takes the following form:

\[
\xi^2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \xi^2} + \xi \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi} + \left( -\xi^2 - M^2 \right) \psi = 0, \quad \rho > R,
\]

where
The solution of equation (8) gives a linear combination of the imaginary argument \( I_l(\zeta) \) of the Bessel function and the Macdonald function \( K_l(\zeta) \) of the \( l \)-th order [37]:

\[
\psi_2(\rho) = A_2 K_l(\gamma_B \rho) + B_2 I_l(\gamma_B \rho), \quad \rho > R.
\]

Therefore, \( \psi(\rho) \) for a radial wave function is appropriate for the following:

\[
\psi(\rho) = \begin{cases} 
A_1 I_l(k_\rho \rho) + B_1 N_l(k_\rho \rho), & 0 \leq \rho \leq R, \\
A_2 K_l(\gamma_B \rho) + B_2 I_l(\gamma_B \rho), & \rho > R.
\end{cases}
\]

If we take into account that the wave function inside the cylinder is finite and equal to zero at an infinite distance from the center of the cylinder, expression (11) takes the following form:

\[
\psi(\rho) = \begin{cases} 
A_1 I_l(k_\rho \rho), & 0 \leq \rho \leq R, \\
A_2 K_l(\gamma_B \rho), & \rho > R.
\end{cases}
\]

Here, \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) are constant values. We select relation \( A_1/A_2 \) in such a way that the following boundary conditions are satisfied:

\[
[\psi(\rho)]_{\rho=R} = [\psi(\rho)]_{\rho=R}.
\]

From (12) and (13), we obtain the following transcendental equation:

\[
\frac{f_l(k_\rho R)K_l(\gamma_B R)}{J_l(k_\rho R)K_l'(\gamma_B R)} = \frac{m_A}{m_B}
\]

Solving the transcendental equation (14), we can determine the electron energy in a cylindrical quantum wire.

When the argument is too small under condition \( l = 0 \), expanding the imaginary argument of the Bessel function \( I_l(\zeta) \) and Macdonald \( K_l(\zeta) \) in a row, we get the first terms and an analytical formula for calculating the energy:

\[
E_{0,1} = \frac{4\hbar^2}{m_A R^2} \left[ \left( \frac{2m_B W}{\hbar^2} \right)^2 R K_0 \left( \sqrt{\left( \frac{2m_B W}{\hbar^2} \right)^2 R} \right) + 2K_1 \left( \sqrt{\left( \frac{2m_B W}{\hbar^2} \right)^2 R} \right) \right]
\]

If we take into account (5) and (9), expression (15) gives very close results to the exact results of equation (14) when calculating the energy of electrons and holes in cylindrical nanowires with larger radii (Figures 2–4).

3. The Analytical Method for Calculating the Electron Energy in a Cylindrical Quantum Nanorod with a Finite Height of the Potential Well

Figure 5 shows the geometric and potential diagram of a cylindrical quantum nanorod with a finite potential well. Let the potential energy of an electron in a cylindrical quantum nanorod with a finite depth be given by the following expression:

\[
U(r) = \begin{cases}
W, & 0 < r < R_1, \\
0, & R_1 \leq r \leq R_2, \\
W, & R_2 < r.
\end{cases}
\]
Figure 3: The dependence of the energy of a heavy hole on the radius of a cylindrical quantum wire for the parabolic and nonparabolic dispersion law.

Figure 4: The dependence of the energy of a light hole on the radius of a cylindrical quantum wire for the parabolic and nonparabolic dispersion law.
\[
\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} \right) f(r) + U(r) f(r) = E f(r) 
\]

(17)

The solution to the radial part of the equation will be

\[
\psi(\rho) = \begin{cases} 
A_1 K_l(y_B \rho) + B_1 I_l(y_B \rho), & 0 < \rho < R_1, \\
A_2 I_l(k_A \rho) + B_2 N_l(k_A \rho), & R_1 \leq \rho \leq R_2, \\
A_3 K_l(y_B \rho) + B_3 I_l(y_B \rho), & R_2 < \rho.
\end{cases} 
\]

(18)

If we take into account that the wave function inside a cylindrical quantum nanorod is finite and equal to zero at an infinite distance from the center of the quantum nanorod, then expression (18) takes the following form:

\[
\psi(\rho) = \begin{cases} 
B_1 I_l(y_B \rho), & 0 < \rho < R_1, \\
A_2 I_l(k_A \rho) + B_2 N_l(k_A \rho), & R_1 \leq \rho \leq R_2, \\
A_3 K_l(y_B \rho), & R_2 < \rho.
\end{cases} 
\]

(19)

For the continuity of the wave function, the following conditions must be met:

\[
\psi_1(\rho)|_{\rho=R_1} = \psi_2(\rho)|_{\rho=R_1}, \\
\frac{1}{m_B} \frac{d\psi_1(\rho)}{d\rho}|_{\rho=R_1} = \frac{1}{m_A} \frac{d\psi_2(\rho)}{d\rho}|_{\rho=R_1}, \\
\psi_2(\rho)|_{\rho=R_2} = \psi_3(\rho)|_{\rho=R_2}, \\
\frac{1}{m_A} \frac{d\psi_2(\rho)}{d\rho}|_{\rho=R_2} = \frac{1}{m_B} \frac{d\psi_3(\rho)}{d\rho}|_{\rho=R_2}.
\]

(20)

Applying boundary conditions (20) to expression (19), we obtain the following system of equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
B_1 I_l(y_B R_1) &= A_2 I_l(k_A R_1) + B_2 N_l(k_A R_1), \\
\frac{1}{m_B} B_1 I_l'(y_B R_1) &= \frac{1}{m_A} \left( A_2 I_l'(k_A R_1) + B_2 N_l'(k_A R_1) \right), \\
A_2 I_l(k_A R_2) + B_2 N_l(k_A R_2) &= A_3 K_l(y_B R_2), \\
\frac{1}{m_A} \left( A_2 I_l'(k_A R_2) + B_2 N_l'(k_A R_2) \right) &= \frac{1}{m_B} A_3 K_l'(y_B R_2).
\end{align*}
\]

(21)

Solving the system of equation (21), we obtain the following transcendental equation:

\[
\frac{(m_A/m_B) I_l'(k_A R_1) I_l(y_B R_1) - f_l'(k_A R_1) I_l(y_B R_1)}{(m_A/m_B) I_l'(k_A R_2) K_l(y_B R_2) - f_l'(k_A R_2) K_l(y_B R_2)} = \frac{N_l'(k_A R_1) I_l(y_B R_1) - (m_A/m_B) N_l'(k_A R_1) f_l'(y_B R_1)}{N_l'(k_A R_2) K_l(y_B R_2) - (m_A/m_B) N_l'(k_A R_2) K_l'(y_B R_2)}
\]

(22)
Transcendental equation (22) allows us to calculate the energy of electrons and holes in cylindrical nanolayers with a finite potential well.

4. The Shooting Method for Calculating the Electron Energy in a Cylindrical Quantum Wire and in a Quantum Nanorod with a Finite Height of a Potential Well

Given the effective mass, the Schrödinger equation will be as follows:

$$\frac{h^2}{2\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \right) \psi(\rho) + V(\rho) \psi(\rho) = E \psi(\rho). \tag{23}$$

Equation (23) is used for the parabolic dispersion law, and it is solved by the finite difference method—the Shooting method [38]. We will try to solve equation (23) by the same method for the nonparabolic dispersion law. In this case, we represent the wave function and the derivative of the effective mass in the following form:

$$d\psi \approx \frac{\psi(\rho + \delta \rho) - \psi(\rho - \delta \rho)}{2\delta \rho}, \tag{24}$$

$$d^2\psi \approx \frac{\psi(\rho + \delta \rho) - 2\psi(\rho) + \psi(\rho - \delta \rho)}{(\delta \rho)^2}, \tag{25}$$

$$dm \approx \frac{m(\rho + \delta \rho) - m(\rho - \delta \rho)}{2\delta \rho}. \tag{26}$$

Using (23)–(26), we obtain the following:

$$\frac{\rho m(\rho) \psi(\rho + \delta \rho) - 2\psi(\rho) + \psi(\rho - \delta \rho)}{(\delta \rho)^2} - \frac{\psi(\rho + \delta \rho) - \psi(\rho - \delta \rho)}{2\delta \rho} \left( \frac{m(\rho + \delta \rho) - m(\rho - \delta \rho)}{2\delta \rho} \right) \approx \frac{2\rho(m(\rho))^2}{h^2} [V(\rho) - E] \psi(\rho). \tag{27}$$

From here, we get

$$\psi(\rho + \delta \rho) = \frac{8\left[ (\delta \rho m(\rho))^2 / h^2 \right] [V(\rho) - E] + m(\rho)}{[2m(\rho)(2 + (\delta \rho / \rho)) - m(\rho + \delta \rho) + m(\rho - \delta \rho)]} \psi(\rho) - \frac{2m(\rho)(2 - (\delta \rho / \rho)) + m(\rho + \delta \rho) - m(\rho - \delta \rho)}{[2m(\rho)(2 + (\delta \rho / \rho)) - m(\rho + \delta \rho) + m(\rho - \delta \rho)]} \psi(\rho - \delta \rho). \tag{28}$$

If the values of $\psi(\rho - \delta \rho)$ and $\psi(\rho)$ are known for wave functions, then using (28), it is possible to determine the value of $\psi(\rho + \delta \rho)$ for an arbitrary energy. In order to calculate the wave function and energy of electrons and holes, it is necessary to take into account the following three boundary conditions:

$$\psi(\infty) \rightarrow 0,$$

$$\psi(0) = 1,$$

$$\psi(\delta \rho) = 1. \tag{29}$$
5. Analysis of the Results

It is known that the lattice constant for InP is 0.5869 nm, and this value is close to the lattice constant for InAs 0.6058 nm \cite{39}. This allows you to get the perfect heterostructures using these materials. Figure 6 shows the band diagram of the InP/InAs based heterostructures. To take into account the nonparabolicity of the zone, we use the Kane model \cite{9}. Various approximations for the effective mass are given in \cite{10,40–43}; we will use the following expression for the effective mass:

\[ m_{\text{InP}}(E) = m_0 \left( 1 + \alpha_{\text{InP}}(E - V(\rho)) \right), \]

\[ \alpha_{\text{InP}} = \frac{1 - m_i}{m_0}, \]

\[ \alpha_{\text{InAs}} = \frac{1 - m_i}{m_0}, \]

where \( i = e, hh, lh. \)

Table 1 shows the necessary parameters for InAs and InP obtained by various authors. For our calculations, we will choose the parameters shown in Table 2.

![Figure 7: The dependence of the radial wave function of an electron on the radius of a cylindrical quantum wire with a finite potential.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>InAs</th>
<th>InP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( E_g ) (eV)</td>
<td>0.35\cite{39}</td>
<td>1.35\cite{39,44,45}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( m_e/m_0 )</td>
<td>0.023\cite{44,47,48,50}</td>
<td>0.026\cite{39}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( m_{lh}/m_0 )</td>
<td>0.025\cite{48}</td>
<td>0.026\cite{39}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \chi ) (eV)</td>
<td>4.92\cite{39}</td>
<td>4.9\cite{45}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 2m_eP^2/h^2 ) (eV)</td>
<td>22.2\cite{39}</td>
<td>20.4\cite{39}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta_0 ) (eV)</td>
<td>0.38\cite{39,47,49}</td>
<td>0.11\cite{39,49}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( E_g \) is the band gap, \( m_e/m_0 \) is the effective mass of the electron in the conduction band, \( m_{lh}/m_0 \) and \( m_{hh}/m_0 \) are the effective masses of light and heavy holes in the valence band, \( \chi \) is the electron affinity, \( \alpha_i \) is the nonparabolicity coefficient, and \( \Delta_0 \) is spin-orbit interaction.

Table 2: Material parameters of InAs and InP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material parameters</th>
<th>( E_g ) (eV)</th>
<th>( m_e/m_0 )</th>
<th>( m_{lh}/m_0 )</th>
<th>( \chi ) (eV)</th>
<th>( \alpha_e ) (1/eV)</th>
<th>( \alpha_{hh} ) (1/eV)</th>
<th>( \alpha_{lh} ) (1/eV)</th>
<th>( \Delta_0 ) (eV)</th>
<th>( \Delta E_C ) (eV)</th>
<th>( \Delta E_V ) (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>InAs</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InP</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( E_g \) is the band gap, \( m_e/m_0 \) is the effective mass of the electron in the conduction band, \( m_{lh}/m_0 \) and \( m_{hh}/m_0 \) are the effective masses of light and heavy holes in the valence band, \( \chi \) is the electron affinity, \( \alpha_e \) is the nonparabolicity coefficient, and \( \Delta_0 \) is spin-orbit interaction.
A numerical solution of transcendental equation (14) determines the wave functions and the energy of electrons and holes. By an approximate solution of this equation, equation (15) is obtained. For large radii, the solution of equation (15) gives results that are close to the exact results of equation (14). The energy and wave function of the particles are calculated using expression (28), which is obtained by the finite difference method. The calculations were performed taking into account the nonparabolicity of the zones using expression (30). The dependence of the energy on the radius of a cylindrical nanowire for electrons and holes is shown taking into account the parabolic and nonparabolic zones (Figures 2–4). Figure 7 shows the radial wave function of the electron. Usually, the electron wave function as \( \rho \to \infty \) is equal to zero. If at the size of the potential well \( R \), we choose that the wave function at a distance inside the barrier is \( R/2 \), and then the error in calculating the energy was 0.01 meV compared to \( \rho \to \infty \). The dependence of the effective masses of electrons and holes on the radius of a cylindrical nanowire was determined (Figures 8–10). The results of the graphs show that the effective mass of charge carriers decreases with increasing radius of the nanowires and, at large radii, approaches \( m_0 \). Thus, the nonparabolicity of the system at small radii is more noticeable than at large radii.

The resulting expression (22) allows us to calculate the energy in cylindrical nanorod with inner and outer radii \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \), respectively. The results are compared by expression (22) and expression (28) (Figures 11–13). Figure 14 shows the radial wave function of the electron. Here, we assumed...
Figure 11: The dependence of the electron energy on the thickness of the layer of a cylindrical quantum nanorod with a finite potential.

Figure 12: The dependence of the energy of a heavy hole on the thickness of a layer of a cylindrical quantum nanorod with a finite potential.

Figure 13: The dependence of the energy of a light hole on the layer thickness of a cylindrical quantum nanorod with a finite potential.

Figure 14: The dependence of the radial wave function of an electron on the radius of a cylindrical quantum nanorod with a finite potential.
that the wave function inside the barrier at a distance $R_1$ is zero, and the error in this case is 0.01 meV. The results shown in Figure 15 allow us to draw the following conclusion: for a constant thickness of cylindrical nanorod, there is a weak dependence of the particle energy on the internal radius $R_1$, i.e., with an increase in $R_1$, a slight increase in particle energy is observed.

6. Conclusion

The Schrödinger equation for cylindrical nanowires and for cylindrical nanorods was solved by two methods. An approximate equation is obtained that determines the first energy level in cylindrical quantum wires with large radii. When solving the Schrödinger equation, the change in the effective masses of electrons and holes was taken into account. Graphs of the effective mass of electrons and holes versus nanowire radius are presented. The energy of electrons and holes in cylindrical nanorods was calculated, and the effects of parabolicity and nonparabolicity of the zones were compared. It is shown that, for a constant thickness of cylindrical nanorods, there is a weak dependence of the particle energy on the change in the internal radius $R_1$, that is, with an increase in $R_1$, a slight increase in the particle energy is observed.
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