

Review Article

Intravenous Immunoglobulin in the Management of Lupus Nephritis

Scott E. Wenderfer¹ and Trisha Thacker²

¹ Department of Pediatrics, Renal Section, Baylor College of Medicine, 1102 Bates Street, Suite 260, Houston, TX 77030, USA

² University of Houston, Houston, TX 77004, USA

Correspondence should be addressed to Scott E. Wenderfer, wenderfe@bcm.edu

Received 5 May 2012; Revised 24 July 2012; Accepted 25 July 2012

Academic Editor: Ricard Cervera

Copyright © 2012 S. E. Wenderfer and T. Thacker. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The occurrence of nephritis in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus is associated with increased morbidity and mortality. The pathogenesis of lupus nephritis is complex, involving innate and adaptive cellular and humoral immune responses. Autoantibodies in particular have been shown to be critical in the initiation and progression of renal injury, via interactions with both Fc-receptors and complement. One approach in the management of patients with lupus nephritis has been the use of intravenous immunoglobulin. This therapy has shown benefit in the setting of many forms of autoantibody-mediated injury; however, the mechanisms of efficacy are not fully understood. In this paper, the data supporting the use of immunoglobulin therapy in lupus nephritis will be evaluated. In addition, the potential mechanisms of action will be discussed with respect to the known involvement of complement and Fc-receptors in the kidney parenchyma. Results are provocative and warrant additional clinical trials.

1. Introduction

Intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIg) is a biological agent composed of polyclonal antibodies, derived from the plasma of a large pool of healthy donors [1–5]. It has been primarily used to treat hypogammaglobulinemia but has also shown promise in treating autoimmune diseases, inflammatory diseases, and cancer. It is FDA approved for the treatment of idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP) and Kawasaki's vasculitis. Several anecdotal reports and a few studies have shown promising results on the effectiveness of IVIg in the treatment of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE). Its use has been widespread; however, its efficacy has not been clearly established.

The precise mechanisms by which IVIg functions as an anti-inflammatory agent remains debatable (Table 1) [1, 6–8]. The presence of Ig in the preparations with specificity for variable regions of pathogenic autoantibodies (anti-idiotypic responses) can allow for direct binding and neutralization of pathogenic effector functions. The effector functions of autoantibodies are mediated by receptors for

constant regions of IgG (FcR) or receptors for complement components that bind to antigen antibody immune complexes. Complement receptors and FcR are expressed on lymphocytes, granulocytes, monocytes, and some parenchymal cells and can induce tissue injury once bound to immune complexes containing pathogenic antibodies. Monomeric IgG in IVIg preparations has been shown to antagonize pathologic immune complexes binding to activating FcR [9]. Alternatively, nonspecific polyclonal Ig can form immune complexes that bind to inhibitory-type Fc-receptors [6]. These inhibitory Fc-receptors then dampen the effector functions of the activating-type FcR and complement receptors [10–12]. IVIg can similarly augment the clearance of pathogenic autoantibodies via the reticuloendothelial system (RES). The RES uses complement receptors and Fc-R on circulating erythrocytes and monocytes to target immune complexes to the spleen and liver, where they are eliminated [13–15]. In addition, the expression of inhibitory FcR can be upregulated by IVIg, tipping the balance of activating and inhibitory responses. This can modulate cellular immune responses in addition to humoral responses [16]. Exogenous

TABLE 1: Potential mechanisms of action of IVIg.

(i) Anti-idiotypic binding that neutralizes auto-Abs
(ii) Competitive inhibition of binding to activating Fc-receptors
(iii) Upregulation of inhibitory Fc-receptors
(iv) Delayed clearance of Ab-coated blood cells
(v) Increased clearance of auto-Abs by reticuloendothelial system
(vi) Decreased half-life of auto-Abs due to competitive binding to FcRn

Ig can saturate binding sites on the neonatal FcR, a related receptor with widespread expression that usually accounts for the long half-life of albumin and Ig molecules in serum, via protection from lysosomal degradation [17]. This can lead to increased degradation of auto-Abs and reduction of auto-Ab titers. Finally, antibodies with a particular specificity that naturally exist in normal individuals may exert anti-inflammatory effects, such as has been described for the neutralization of basophil and B-cell cytokines BAFF and APRIL by IVIg [18]. It is likely that IVIg works in part through each of these proposed mechanisms.

IVIg preparations can vary in composition depending on the source and method of preparation [19]. This may account for variability in effect when used clinically. The purity of IVIg can vary from 90% to 98%, and the elimination of IgA, IgE, and IgM can vary widely. The range of IgA content extends from 2.9 mcg/mL to 200 mcg/mL. The glycosylation of Ig molecules can vary as well, which has recently been shown to be important for IVIg's effectiveness in immunosuppression [1, 20]. Preparations can also vary according to the preservative used. Brands containing maltose and sucrose are associated with an increased risk of renal toxicity. Therefore, several brands are now available that utilize preservatives such as glycine or L-proline instead of sugars. Moreover, the osmolality can range from 240 to 636 mOsm/kg. All commercial preparations contain some detectable titer of autoantibodies, including antiphospholipid and anti-DNA Abs. They also contain anti-idiotypic Abs specific for antiphospholipids, anti-DNA Abs. Overall, the several preparations of IVIg available allow for more individualized therapy depending on the patient's needs.

In order to better understand the risks and benefits of using IVIg for the management of patients with lupus nephritis, a review of the literature was undertaken to abstract the available evidence on efficacy and safety. In addition, the potential mechanisms of action of IVIg that might occur when administered to patients with lupus nephritis are considered by reviewing the literature on parenchymal Fc-receptors in the kidney.

2. Methods

Methods used were modeled from other systematic reviews of treatments for lupus nephritis [21–23]. Briefly, studies of any design in English were sought concerning IVIg in the treatment of patients with complications of lupus nephritis or SLE. Free text searches were undertaken to identify eligible

TABLE 2: Studies identified in review of literature on IVIG therapy for lupus nephritis.

Articles retrieved	630
Articles excluded	598
(i) IVIg use not discussed	144
(ii) Use limited to animal/ <i>in vitro</i> models	49
(iii) Review article	136
(iv) Use in SLE not discussed	38
(v) Use in SLE limited to non-renal disease	217
(vi) Patients reported in subsequent manuscript	5
(vii) Manuscript not available in English*	11
Articles included	32 (22 efficacy/9 adverse effects/1 both)

* Includes 33 patients with lupus nephritis amongst those reported.

reports from MEDLINE/PubMed (to April 2012) using the terms IVIg or “intravenous immunoglobulin” and the terms “lupus nephritis” or “lupus erythematosus.” Additional trials were sought in review articles [2–5] and reference lists of retrieved articles. For completeness, we included randomized trials, cohort studies, and case reports. When it could be determined that the same patients were included in multiple publications, we used the largest body of data, the most informative, or the most recent (as appropriate). Reviews with clinical information published in a fuller form elsewhere were excluded, as were studies in which IVIg was used for treating other conditions.

Two reviewers extracted information from the identified publications independently, and disagreement was resolved by consensus. Information extracted included the number of patients treated, dosing regimens, duration of therapy, additional treatments provided, any demographic information (age, gender, and ethnicity), definitions or classifications of lupus nephritis (WHO was used), study design, efficacy and/or safety outcomes, and drop-out rates. Any definition of lupus nephritis, as provided by authors, was accepted. If studies included patients who did not have lupus nephritis, efficacy information was only used for analysis if reported separately for nephritis patients. Efficacy outcomes sought were those of complete or partial renal response rates as defined by the original authors (mainly urine protein excretion, serum creatinine or creatinine clearance, renal survival, or a combination) and subsequent relapse rates. Adverse events sought included mortality, infections, cytopenias, gastrointestinal problems, amenorrhea, azoospermia, and hospitalization rates. Information of adverse events in all patients receiving IVIg was included as there is no data suggesting that adverse events would be different in patients with or without nephritis [21].

3. Results

An initial literature search identified 630 potential articles for review, of which 32 were found to address the use of IVIg in lupus nephritis (Table 2). Several treatment regimens

using different dosages have been reported, most commonly 400 mg/kg/day for 4-5 daily doses (high dose, standard dosing for ITP), although 400 mg/kg/dose 1 × monthly, or 85 mg/kg/day for 4-5 days (low dose), has also been described. In SLE patients, there is no published data on how long exogenous Ig remains present after administration, and there is a lack of consensus on dosing intervals and the duration of therapy. Most publications fail to specify the source of IVIg administered, which precludes analysis of individual preparation methods. As has been pointed out before, comparison of outcomes between studies is hampered by counting day 1 as first day of treatment, or the day of kidney biopsy, rather than first day of symptoms [24, 25].

The short-term effects of IVIg were reported initially in 1989 in three patients with mild SLE who had been treated with 300–500 mg/kg/dose, 1 dose every 4 weeks [26]. Although this low dose had been effective in some autoimmune neurological diseases, the immediate effects on auto-Ab titers in these SLE patients were modest. When the high-dose regimen (400 mg/kg/day for 5 days) was used, greater reductions in auto-Ab titers were noted [26]. In a cohort study from Germany, 12 patients with mild-to-moderate disease given 2 courses of high-dose IVIG had a decline in anti-dsDNA Abs within 1 week. Antinuclear antibodies and complement protein levels were not affected. Within 6 weeks, improvements were noted in clinical disease activity scores which lasted 5 to 12 months [27]. In an Israeli cohort study, clinical disease scores were also improved in 62 patients receiving low-dose IVIg (~500 mg/kg/dose once every 5 ± 2 weeks for a mean of 6 doses) [28]. Unsatisfactory responses were noted for thrombocytopenia, alopecia, vasculitis, and proteinuria. Based on these reports, dosage appears to be important.

Several case reports were identified describing the use of IVIg for various nonrenal manifestations of SLE. The results described were encouraging (Table 3) but have infrequently led to larger prospective trials [29–37, 39]. Benefits in treating cutaneous lupus have not been reproducible [40]. Cohorts ($n = 26$) with autoimmune hemolytic anemia [41] and with thrombocytopenia ($n = 59$) [42] have been published and showed short-term benefits without sustained responses. Positive effects on achievement of live births have been reported in pregnant women with SLE and antiphospholipid antibodies after treatment with IVIg [38], but randomized controlled trials demonstrated equivalency or even inferiority of IVIg compared to heparin and aspirin [43–45]. However, investigators continue to search for subsets of SLE patients who will benefit from IVIg, and clinicians continue to prescribe it for SLE patients who fail initial therapy regimens. In a cohort study from Israel, 20 patients with SLE and organ specific disease involvement were treated with 1–8 courses of high-dose IVIg [46]. Improvements in clinical disease scores, hypocomplementemia, and autoantibody titers were seen in 80% of patients. However, when looking at organ specific response rates, the improvements were seen more in CNS disease, arthritis, fever, and thrombocytopenia than in proteinuria.

Few studies have followed their patients for long term. A 2012 study from Israel followed patients for a mean of

TABLE 3: Initial case reports of IVIg therapy of SLE manifestations.

Hjortkjaer Petersen et al. [29]	1990	Pericarditis
Maier et al. [30]	1990	Thrombocytopenia
Tomer and Shoenfeld [31]	1992	Psychosis
Lesprit et al. [32]	1996	Polyneuritis
Aharon et al. [33]	1997	Myelofibrosis
Généreau et al. [34]	1999	Cutaneous lupus
Sherer et al. [35]	1999	Cerebritis
Sherer et al. [36]	1999	Myocarditis
Meissner et al. [37]	2000	Serositis
Sherer et al. [38]	2000	Antiphospholipid syndrome
Hoshi et al. [39]	2004	Pulmonary hemorrhage

30 months after initiating therapy [47]. Eleven patients with SLE were treated with high-dose IVIG monthly for 6 months, followed by additional courses given every 2-3 months. At latest followup, 6 patients had complete remission, 3 had partial remissions, and 2 patients were nonresponders, defined by improvements in clinical disease scoring. In responders, IVIG had a significant steroid-sparing effect. Adverse effects were reported in 18% of patients during their first course of IVIg, and 50% of all patients treated. Common adverse effects included headache, fatigue, nausea, visual disturbances, and limb pain. Adverse effects resulted in truncation of 8 courses, and two patients suffered severe effects (seizure, pulmonary embolus).

3.1. Salvage Therapy of Refractory Lupus Nephritis. Most studies identified that reported efficacy of IVIg in lupus nephritis restricted entry to patients who had failed their initial induction therapy of IV corticosteroids and cytotoxic agents. Twelve case reports were identified (Table 4). The first cases were published in 1982 in Japan, with subsequent cases from Europe and North America [37, 48–55]. Patients received 1 or 2 courses of high-dose IVIg in combination with corticosteroids, with or without plasma exchange or cytotoxic agents. By biopsy, the responders had class II, III, IV, or V nephritis. Patients recovered renal function with reductions in proteinuria and reduced immune deposits on repeat biopsy.

Two cohort studies of high-dose IVIg were identified. In an Israeli cohort, 7 patients with biopsy proven class IV or V nephritis were treated with 1 to 6 courses of high-dose IVIg after failing therapy with IV cyclophosphamide and prednisone [56]. All patients had nephrotic syndrome. All 7 experienced decreases in proteinuria and improvement or resolution of nephrotic syndrome. One patient had a complete remission which persisted at least three years. Only one patient had a relapse, which occurred 4 months after discontinuation of the IVIg [56]. In an Italian cohort study, 12 treatment refractory patients with SLE were treated with 6–24 monthly courses of high-dose IVIg [57]. A progressive clinical improvement was observed in 11 patients, associated with increases complement protein levels and decreases in auto-Abs, and marked improvements in renal function and proteinuria.

TABLE 4: Studies included in IVIg therapy for lupus nephritis.

Study	N*	Study design
Sherer et al. 2008 [28]	62	Cohort, 6 courses LD*
Meissner et al. 2000 [37]	1	Case, 1 course HD
Levy et al. 1999 [46]	5	Cohort, 1–8 courses HD*
Akashi et al. 1990 [48]	2	Cohort, 1–3 courses HD
Oliet et al. 1992 [49]	1	Case, 1 course HD
Winder et al. 1993 [50]	2	Cohort, 10–20 courses HD
Arahata et al. 1999 [51]	1	Case RPGN, 1 course HD
Viertel et al. 2000 [52]	1	Case AKI, 2 courses HD
Gan et al. 2002 [53]	4	Cohort, 1 course HD
Kamali et al. 2005 [54]	4	Cohort, 1–6 courses HD
Micheloud et al. 2006 [55]	1	Case pregnancy, 1 course HD
Levy et al. 2000 [56]	7	Cohort, 1–6 courses HD
Francioni et al. 1994 [57]	12	Cohort, 6–24 courses HD
Monova et al. 2002 [58]	58	Cohort, LD up to 7 years
Bridoux et al. 1998 [59]	3	Cohort AKI/TMA, 1 course HD
Becker et al. 1995 [60]	2	ESRD cohort, courses HD
Lin et al. 1989 [61]	9	Pediatric cohort, 1–2 courses HD
Welch et al. 1995 [62]	1	Case, 6 courses HD (induction)
Silvestris et al. 1996 [63]	3	Cohort, 2 courses HD
Boletis et al. 1999 [64]	14	RCT, 18 courses LD (induction)
Corvetta et al. 1989 [65]	3	Cohort, courses HD
Zandman-Goddard et al. 2012 [47]	11	Cohort, 2–17 courses HD
Chacko et al. 2006 [66]	1	Case, 1 course HD
Tan et al. 2008 [67]	1	Case, 1 course HD
Ng 1999 [68]	1	Case, 1 course HD
Ben-Chetrit et al. 1991 [69]	1	Case, 2 courses HD
Pasatiempo et al. 1994 [70]	3	Cohort, 1 course
Barron et al. 1992 [71]	6	Pediatric cohort
CDC MMWR 1999 [72]	120	Registry, includes non-SLE
Sati et al. 2001 [73]	55	Cohort, includes non-SLE
Orbach et al. 2004 [74]	106	Literature review

*Number of patients in study with lupus nephritis receiving IVIg where efficacy or adverse events could be defined based on information provided. LD: low-dose IVIg, HD: high-dose IVIg.

One cohort study was identified that used a longer course of lower-dose IVIg. In a Bulgarian cohort study of patients with all forms of treatment refractory chronic glomerulonephritis, better outcomes were reported in the 58 patients who had SLE [58]. All patients were treated with a low-dose IVIg regimen, 85 mg/kg/day on alternate days, for a total of three days, repeated quarterly for up to 7 years. At the conclusion of the study, 30% of the patients with lupus nephritis achieved full remission (unchanged or improved renal function, resolution of nephrotic syndrome, and proteinuria <0.5 gram/day) and 40% patients achieved partial remission (unchanged or improved renal function, improvement in nephrotic syndrome, and proteinuria <1.5 gram/day). Of nonresponders, nearly all died or survived with end-stage renal disease (ESRD), indicating the severity of disease in this cohort. Reported adverse effects were fever, chills, nausea, vomiting, headache, and rash, and none occurred in more than 10% of individuals.

Additional cohort studies were identified which involved important subsets of patients with SLE. One study demonstrated efficacy of a single course of IVIg in three patients with acute kidney injury from combined inflammatory nephritis and thrombotic microangiopathy [59]. The only study of IVIg treatment in patients with ESRD involved 2 patients with symptomatic SLE on dialysis treated with high-dose IVIg [60]. Both patients demonstrated clinical and serologic improvement and tolerated the IVIg administration well. There were only transient declines in serum albumin concentrations noted, which might reflect saturation of neonatal FcRs that protect albumin from lysosomal degradation [75]. In the only report of IVIg use in children with lupus nephritis, 9 children with biopsy-proven class IV or V nephritis were treated with high-dose IVIg [61]. These children had not responded to pulse methylprednisolone or intravenous cyclophosphamide. Five of 8 with class IV nephritis saw marked improvement in renal function and

decreases in IgG deposits on repeat biopsy, while the remaining three experienced a reduction in their class of nephritis. The sole patient with class V disease had a partial renal response. Occasional fever, chills, hypotension, and rash were reported in these cohorts, but overall prevalence of adverse effects is unclear from the literature.

Overall, the response rates to the various IVIg regimens are promising. However, all of the studies were uncontrolled trials that leave open the possibility that these patients would have done just as well without the IVIg, as there is known to be delayed benefits of IV solumedrol, IV cyclophosphamide, azathioprine, and other immunosuppressants.

3.2. Use of IVIg as Part of Induction Therapy for Lupus Nephritis. Only a few studies were identified that treated lupus nephritis with IVIg as part of the initial therapy. The numbers of patients treated are small, and the doses administered tended to be lower. One case report reported efficacy and safety in a patient with a complement deficiency [62]. As part of the 1999 cohort study from Israel mentioned above, 5 of the 20 patients treated with 1–8 courses of high-dose IVIg had renal involvement, ranging from mild proteinuria or nephrotic syndrome [46]. Improvements in renal disease were noted, but were inferior to those reported in the CNS disease, arthritis, and thrombocytopenia. Patients with nephritis in the 2008 cohort study also showed some response: resolution of urinary casts in 88% of patients, but of proteinuria in only 20% [28]. Conversely, An Italian cohort of three patients with renal flare failed to respond to 2 courses of high-dose IVIg and steroids [63].

In a randomized study of 14 patients with class IV lupus nephritis, IVIg was compared to intravenous cyclophosphamide [64]. Five patients received low-dose IVIg (400 mg/kg) monthly for eighteen months. Nine patients received cyclophosphamide 1 g/m² IV every two months for six months, then every three months for twelve months. The method of randomization was not described, and it is unclear if there was any dropout after randomization. Patients could also be treated with prednisone at the physicians' discretion. After the 18-month treatment period, a marked improvement in renal function (both serum creatinine and creatinine clearance) was noted in both groups. IVIg was not shown to be inferior to this dose of IV cyclophosphamide. However, IVIg had a modest steroid sparing effect over cyclophosphamide.

The utility of IVIg as first line therapy for lupus nephritis, therefore, remains unclear. No study has compared IVIg to the most commonly used IV cyclophosphamide regimens (NIH, EuroLupus protocols) or to mycophenolate. In addition, no study of IVIg as add on therapy with any of these induction regimens has been published.

3.3. The Use of IVIg as Maintenance Therapy for Lupus Nephritis. No studies were identified using IVIg as a maintenance therapy for lupus nephritis.

3.4. Adverse Effects of IVIg in SLE. Most patients with SLE and nephritis tolerated their IVIg therapies. However,

deterioration of renal function following IVIg treatment has been recognized [65, 66, 70, 72–74, 76]. A CDC report cited 120 cases of nephrotoxicity worldwide, with only 26% of cases occurring in patients with preexisting renal disease [72]. Incidence has been reported in 10–33% of some cohorts [65, 73]. Determining the etiology of acute kidney injury in patients with SLE can be challenging. The majority of nephrotoxicity cases prior to 2000 (90%) have been attributed to IVIg preparations utilizing the stabilizers maltose and sucrose. Intracellular accumulation of these sugars leads to cellular swelling and vacuole formation in tubular epithelial cells of the kidney [74]. Additional risk factors for renal toxicity following IVIg therapy include age >70 years, renal impairment pre-treatment, and diabetes mellitus [73]. In addition to the risk of AKI, IVIg was associated with renal flares [74]. The risk appears to be greater in children, as 3 of 6 patients treated with IVIg in one pediatric cohort from Toronto developed renal flare [71]. Patients with anti-phospholipids or other thrombophilias should receive aspirin therapy to minimize risks for thrombosis associated with infusions of IVIg [67, 74]. Mild adverse reactions of IVIg are common and include infusion reactions, headache, dermatitis, hepatitis, pseudo-hyponatremia, neutropenia, and aseptic meningitis [47, 68, 69, 74]. Infusion reactions typically respond to slowing down the infusion rate, and the other reactions respond to withdrawal of IVIg infusions. Headaches are less frequent when high dose IVIg is given 400 mg/kg/day over 5 days than when given 1 gm/kg/day over 2 days [46].

Finally, the choice of IVIg preparation can be influenced by the SLE patient's comorbidities. In SLE patients with impaired glucose tolerance or diabetes mellitus, preparations with sucrose or maltose should be avoided to minimize risk of hyperglycemia. Patients with nephrotic syndrome or edema should receive more concentrated Ig preparations, whereas patients with renal insufficiency should receive preparations with lower osmolalities. In SLE patients with effective IgA deficiency, the use of IVIg preparations with higher levels is contraindicated as it can result in severe hypersensitivity and anaphylaxis.

4. Discussion

IVIg is an expensive therapy in finite supply as it requires blood donation from healthy donors. Its use in lupus nephritis must be decided upon a case-by-case basis. The treatment of lupus nephritis with IVIg shows promising results in reducing immune deposits in the kidney, reducing proteinuria, improving kidney function, and reducing necessary corticosteroid doses. However, IVIg use has been associated with adverse effects of renal flaring and acute tubular necrosis that can both lead to renal failure. Despite these risks, IVIg treatment appears to be a reasonable option in patients who are refractory to initial induction therapy. Further studies of the use of IVIg as an induction agent for new onset disease as well as renal flares are necessary before its use can be recommended as first line.

The potential mechanisms of action of IVIg are all applicable to patients with lupus nephritis. The pathogenesis of nephritis in lupus includes the accumulation of immune complexes (ICs) between autoantibodies and antigen. IC can form *in situ* or in the circulation. Circulating IC can be passively trapped in the kidney or can be actively bound by renal cells. There is abundant literature demonstrating that IC directly binds to renal parenchymal cells. Heat-aggregated IgG (HA-IgG) localizes to the kidney *in vivo* [77–81] and binds to glomerular mesangial cells [82–91] and glomerular visceral epithelial cells (podocytes) [92] *in vitro* with high specificity. Glomerular IC deposits can be induced in rats and mice by the injection of preformed IC [93–96]. *In vitro*, IC binding to mesangial cells results in IC internalization and activation of specific signaling pathways [83–87], cell proliferation, and release of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines [90, 91]. Podocytes respond to HA-IgG with altered fibrinolytic activity [97]. Glomerular endothelial cell binding to preformed IC or HA-IgG leads to internalization of autoantibodies [98]. Clearance of pathogenic IC from the kidney by IVIg can act to minimize parenchymal cell activation in lupus patients.

Despite the widely reported findings of IgG accumulation in biopsies of patients with IC kidney diseases, the presence of IC receptors on renal cells has not been firmly established. Binding of IgG by leukocytes is mediated by Fc-receptors (FcγRs) [99]. Named for their ability to bind the Fc-region of IgG, they include FcγRI (activating receptor for monomeric IgG), FcγRII (inhibitory IC receptor), and FcγRIII and FcγRIV (activating IC receptors). Leukocytes internalize IC once bound to FcγR, resulting in IC degradation or cellular activation. FcγR activation plays a role in leukocyte migration, proliferation, cytokine production, hypersensitivity reactions, and peripheral tolerance [87]. There is a paucity of human biopsy studies describing parenchymal expression of FcγRs [100]. There are numerous reports demonstrating expression of receptors for IgG in cultured mesangial cells [91, 97, 101–107]. Constitutive expression of FcγRIII has been reported in rat mesangial cells [102]. Stimulating antibodies to rat FcγRIII activate the same pathways in rat mesangial cells as those activated by preformed IC [102–104]. FcγRIII has also been identified in human mesangial cells, and activation of this receptor induces cytokine production [103]. Other groups have failed to show basal expression of FcγRI or FcγRIII [108], although expression could be measured after stimulation with IFNγ and endotoxin [104, 105]. Mouse mesangial cells constitutively express FcγRII, but FcγRIII requires stimulation with IFNγ [91]. In podocytes, FcγR does not appear to be expressed, but expression of the neonatal FcR has been reported [109, 110]. All of these receptors could theoretically contribute to the accumulation of IgG in the kidney in SLE. IVIg could potentially alter the balance of inhibitory and activating Fc-receptors in the kidney or could saturate neonatal FcR resulting in more degradation or urinary excretion of pathogenic auto-Abs by the kidney.

There have been several advances in understanding the mechanisms of IVIg. Research into effects of parenchymal FcR may lead to new targets for treating renal manifestations

of systemic rheumatologic diseases. Efficacy of recombinant Fc-fragments [111, 112] and sialylated Ig [113] in recapitulating the immunosuppressive effects of IVIg in animal models is revolutionizing the field. The potential development of recombinant reagents may allow for the proper prospective randomized controlled trials that have not been possible with IVIg due to its finite supply. For greatest impact, future studies of IVIg and these newer polyclonal Ig-based derivatives need to include standardized response measures and report specifics on the rates of previously identified adverse events. Patients with lupus nephritis would be an ideal population to perform these studies, as new treatments for lupus nephritis are badly needed.

Acknowledgments

This paper was funded by NIH DK081663 and the Victor Chaltiel Young Investigator Grant of the National Kidney Foundation (S. E. Wenderfer P. I.).

References

- [1] F. Nimmerjahn and J. V. Ravetch, "The antiinflammatory activity of IgG: the intravenous IgG paradox," *The Journal of Experimental Medicine*, vol. 204, no. 1, pp. 11–15, 2007.
- [2] L. Rauova, J. Lukac, Y. Levy, J. Rovensky, and Y. Shoenfeld, "High-dose intravenous immunoglobulins for lupus nephritis—a salvage immunomodulation," *Lupus*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 209–213, 2001.
- [3] E. Toubi, A. Kessel, and Y. Shoenfeld, "High-dose intravenous immunoglobulins: an option in the treatment of systemic lupus erythematosus," *Human Immunology*, vol. 66, no. 4, pp. 395–402, 2005.
- [4] G. Zandman-Goddard, Y. Levy, and Y. Shoenfeld, "Intravenous immunoglobulin therapy and systemic lupus erythematosus," *Clinical Reviews in Allergy and Immunology*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 219–228, 2005.
- [5] J. Bayry, V. S. Negi, and S. V. Kaveri, "Intravenous immunoglobulin therapy in rheumatic diseases," *Nature Reviews Rheumatology*, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 349–359, 2011.
- [6] A. Samuelsson, T. L. Towers, and J. V. Ravetch, "Anti-inflammatory activity of IVIG mediated through the inhibitory Fc receptor," *Science*, vol. 291, no. 5503, pp. 484–486, 2001.
- [7] R. Clynes, "Protective mechanisms of IVIG," *Current Opinion in Immunology*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 646–651, 2007.
- [8] F. Nimmerjahn and J. V. Ravetch, "Anti-inflammatory actions of intravenous immunoglobulin," *Annual Review of Immunology*, vol. 26, pp. 513–533, 2008.
- [9] E. van Mirre, J. L. Teeling, J. W. M. van der Meer, W. K. Bleeker, and C. E. Hack, "Monomeric IgG in intravenous Ig preparations is a functional antagonist of FcγRII and FcγRIIIb," *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 173, no. 1, pp. 332–339, 2004.
- [10] H. U. Lutz, P. Stammler, V. Bianchi et al., "Intravenously applied IgG stimulates complement attenuation in a complement-dependent autoimmune disease at the amplifying C3 convertase level," *Blood*, vol. 103, no. 2, pp. 465–472, 2004.
- [11] A. M. Boruchov, G. Heller, M. C. Veri, E. Bonvini, J. V. Ravetch, and J. W. Young, "Activating and inhibitory IgG Fc receptors on human DCs mediate opposing functions," *The Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 115, no. 10, pp. 2914–2923, 2005.

- [12] S. Konrad, U. Baumann, R. E. Schmidt, and J. E. Gessner, "Intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIg)-mediated neutralisation of C5a: a direct mechanism of IVIg in the maintenance of a high FcγRIIB to FcγRIII expression ratio on macrophages," *British Journal of Haematology*, vol. 134, no. 3, pp. 345–347, 2006.
- [13] B. Benacerraf, M. Sebestyen, and N. S. Cooper, "The clearance of antigen antibody complexes from the blood by the reticuloendothelial system," *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 82, no. 2, pp. 131–137, 1959.
- [14] J. C. Edberg, G. A. Kujala, and R. P. Taylor, "Rapid immune adherence reactivity of nascent, soluble antibody/DNA immune complexes in the circulation," *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 139, no. 4, pp. 1240–1244, 1987.
- [15] J. A. Schifferli and R. P. Taylor, "Physiological and pathological aspects of circulating immune complexes," *Kidney International*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 993–1003, 1989.
- [16] R. M. Anthony, T. Kobayashi, F. Wermeling, and J. V. Ravetch, "Intravenous gammaglobulin suppresses inflammation through a novel T_H2 pathway," *Nature*, vol. 475, no. 7354, pp. 110–113, 2011.
- [17] J. P. Abonia, D. S. Friend, W. G. Austen et al., "Mast cell protease 5 mediates ischemia-reperfusion injury of mouse skeletal muscle," *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 174, no. 11, pp. 7285–7291, 2005.
- [18] L. Le Pottier, T. Sapir, B. Bendaoud, P. Youinou, Y. Shoenfeld, and J. O. Pers, "Intravenous immunoglobulin and cytokines: focus on tumor necrosis factor family members BAFF and APRIL," *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, vol. 1110, pp. 426–432, 2007.
- [19] J. S. Orange, E. M. Hossny, C. R. Weiler et al., "Use of intravenous immunoglobulin in human disease: a review of evidence by members of the Primary Immunodeficiency Committee of the American Academy of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology," *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, vol. 117, no. 4, supplement, pp. S525–S553, 2006.
- [20] Y. Kaneko, F. Nimmerjahn, and J. V. Ravetch, "Anti-inflammatory activity of immunoglobulin G resulting from Fc sialylation," *Science*, vol. 313, no. 5787, pp. 670–673, 2006.
- [21] R. Andrew Moore and S. Derry, "Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised trials and cohort studies of mycophenolate mofetil in lupus nephritis," *Arthritis Research and Therapy*, vol. 8, article R182, 2006.
- [22] E. Fiore, M. Rizzi, M. Ragazzi et al., "Acute hemorrhagic edema of young children (cockade purpura and edema): a case series and systematic review," *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*, vol. 59, no. 4, pp. 684–695, 2008.
- [23] M. Ramos-Casals, M. J. Soto, M. J. Cuadrado, and M. A. Khamashta, "Rituximab in systemic lupus erythematosus A systematic review of off-label use in 188 cases," *Lupus*, vol. 18, no. 9, pp. 767–776, 2009.
- [24] J. M. Esdaile, L. Joseph, T. Mackenzie, M. Kashgarian, and J. P. Hayslett, "The benefit of early treatment with immunosuppressive agents in lupus nephritis," *The Journal of Rheumatology*, vol. 21, no. 11, pp. 2046–2051, 1994.
- [25] J. M. Esdaile, L. Joseph, T. MacKenzie, M. Kashgarian, and J. P. Hayslett, "The benefit of early treatment with immunosuppressive drugs in lupus nephritis," *The Journal of Rheumatology*, vol. 22, no. 6, article 1211, 1995.
- [26] M. Ballou and A. Parke, "The uses of intravenous immune globulin in collagen vascular disorders," *The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, vol. 84, no. 4, pp. 608–612, 1989.
- [27] J. O. Schroeder, R. A. Zeuner, H. H. Euler, and H. Löffler, "High dose intravenous immunoglobulins in systemic lupus erythematosus: clinical and serological results of a pilot study," *The Journal of Rheumatology*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 71–75, 1996.
- [28] Y. Sherer, S. Kuechler, J. J. Scali et al., "Low dose intravenous immunoglobulin in systemic lupus erythematosus: analysis of 62 cases," *Israel Medical Association Journal*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 55–57, 2008.
- [29] H. Hjortkjaer Petersen, H. Nielsen, M. Hansen, F. Stensgaard-Hansen, and P. Helin, "High-dose immunoglobulin therapy in pericarditis caused by SLE," *Scandinavian The Journal of Rheumatology*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 91–93, 1990.
- [30] W. P. Maier, D. S. Gordon, R. F. Howard et al., "Intravenous immunoglobulin therapy in systemic lupus erythematosus-associated thrombocytopenia," *Arthritis and Rheumatism*, vol. 33, no. 8, pp. 1233–1239, 1990.
- [31] Y. Tomer and Y. Shoenfeld, "Successful treatment of psychosis secondary to SLE with high dose intravenous immunoglobulin," *Clinical and Experimental Rheumatology*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 391–393, 1992.
- [32] P. Lesprit, F. Mouloud, P. Bierling et al., "Prolonged remission of SLE-associated polyradiculoneuropathy after a single course of intravenous immunoglobulin," *Scandinavian The Journal of Rheumatology*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 177–179, 1996.
- [33] A. Aharon, Y. Levy, Y. Bar-Dayan et al., "Successful treatment of early secondary myelofibrosis in SLE with IVIg," *Lupus*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 408–411, 1997.
- [34] T. Généreau, O. Chosidow, C. Danel, P. Chérin, and S. Herson, "High-dose intravenous immunoglobulin in cutaneous lupus erythematosus," *Archives of Dermatology*, vol. 135, no. 9, pp. 1124–1125, 1999.
- [35] Y. Sherer, Y. Levy, P. Langevitz, M. Lorber, F. Fabrizzi, and Y. Shoenfeld, "Successful treatment of systemic lupus erythematosus cerebritis with intravenous immunoglobulin," *Clinical Rheumatology*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 170–173, 1999.
- [36] Y. Sherer, Y. Levy, and Y. Shoenfeld, "Marked improvement of severe cardiac dysfunction after one course of intravenous immunoglobulin in a patient with systemic lupus erythematosus," *Clinical Rheumatology*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 238–240, 1999.
- [37] M. Meissner, Y. Sherer, Y. Levy, H. Chwalinska-Sadowska, P. Langevitz, and Y. Shoenfeld, "Intravenous immunoglobulin therapy in a patient with lupus serositis and nephritis," *Rheumatology International*, vol. 19, no. 5, pp. 199–201, 2000.
- [38] Y. Sherer, Y. Levy, and Y. Shoenfeld, "Intravenous immunoglobulin therapy of antiphospholipid syndrome," *Rheumatology*, vol. 39, no. 4, pp. 421–426, 2000.
- [39] K. I. Hoshi, M. Matsuda, M. Ishikawa et al., "Successful treatment of fulminant pulmonary hemorrhage associated with systemic lupus erythematosus," *Clinical Rheumatology*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 252–255, 2004.
- [40] O. De Pità, A. M. Bellucci, M. Ruffelli, C. R. Girardelli, and P. Puddu, "Intravenous immunoglobulin therapy is not able to efficiently control cutaneous manifestations in patients with lupus erythematosus," *Lupus*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 415–417, 1997.
- [41] E. Gomard-Mennesson, M. Ruivard, M. Koenig et al., "Treatment of isolated severe immune hemolytic anaemia associated with systemic lupus erythematosus: 26 Cases," *Lupus*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 223–231, 2006.
- [42] C. Arnal, J. C. Pielt, J. Léone et al., "Treatment of severe immune thrombocytopenia associated with systemic lupus erythematosus: 59 Cases," *The Journal of Rheumatology*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 75–83, 2002.

- [43] D. W. Branch, A. M. Peaceman, M. Druzin et al., "A multicenter, placebo-controlled pilot study of intravenous immune globulin treatment of antiphospholipid syndrome during pregnancy," *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, vol. 182, no. 1, pp. 122–127, 2000.
- [44] E. Vaquero, N. Lazzarin, H. Valensise et al., "Pregnancy outcome in recurrent spontaneous abortion associated with antiphospholipid antibodies: a comparative study of intravenous immunoglobulin versus prednisone plus low-dose aspirin," *American Journal of Reproductive Immunology*, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 174–179, 2001.
- [45] G. Triolo, A. Ferrante, F. Ciccia et al., "Randomized study of subcutaneous low molecular weight heparin plus aspirin versus intravenous immunoglobulin in the treatment of recurrent fetal loss associated with antiphospholipid antibodies," *Arthritis and Rheumatism*, vol. 48, no. 3, pp. 728–731, 2003.
- [46] Y. Levy, Y. Sherer, A. Ahmed et al., "A study of 20 SLE patients with intravenous immunoglobulin—clinical and serologic response," *Lupus*, vol. 8, no. 9, pp. 705–712, 1999.
- [47] G. Zandman-Goddard, A. Krauthammer, Y. Levy, P. Langevitz, and Y. Shoenfeld, "Long-term therapy with intravenous immunoglobulin is beneficial in patients with autoimmune diseases," *Clinical Reviews in Allergy and Immunology*, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 247–255, 2012.
- [48] K. Akashi, K. Nagasawa, T. Mayumi, E. Yokota, N. Oochi, and T. Kusaba, "Successful treatment of refractory systemic lupus erythematosus with intravenous immunoglobulins," *The Journal of Rheumatology*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 375–379, 1990.
- [49] A. Oliet, E. Hernandez, P. Gallar, and A. Vigil, "High-dose intravenous gamma-globulin in systemic lupus erythematosus," *Nephron*, vol. 62, no. 4, article 465, 1992.
- [50] A. Winder, Y. Molad, I. Ostfeld, G. Kenet, J. Pinkhas, and Y. Sidi, "Treatment of systemic lupus erythematosus by prolonged administration of high dose intravenous immunoglobulin: report of 2 cases," *The Journal of Rheumatology*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 495–498, 1993.
- [51] H. Arahata, K. Migita, H. Izumoto et al., "Successful treatment of rapidly progressive lupus nephritis associated with anti-MPO antibodies by intravenous immunoglobulins," *Clinical Rheumatology*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 77–81, 1999.
- [52] A. Viertel, E. Weidmann, R. Wigand, H. Geiger, and U. F. Mondorf, "Treatment of severe systemic lupus erythematosus with immunoadsorption and intravenous immunoglobulins," *Intensive Care Medicine*, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 823–824, 2000.
- [53] H. C. Gan, K. Hyoon, and K. Y. Fong, "Clinical outcomes of patients with biopsy-proven lupus nephritis in NUH," *Singapore Medical Journal*, vol. 43, no. 12, pp. 614–616, 2002.
- [54] S. Kamali, A. Cefle, M. Sayarlioglu et al., "Experience with monthly, high-dose, intravenous immunoglobulin therapy in patients with different connective tissue diseases," *Rheumatology International*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 211–214, 2005.
- [55] D. Micheloud, L. Nuño, M. Rodríguez-Mahou et al., "Efficacy and safety of Etanercept, high-dose intravenous gammaglobulin and plasmapheresis combined therapy for lupus diffuse proliferative nephritis complicating pregnancy," *Lupus*, vol. 15, no. 12, pp. 881–885, 2006.
- [56] Y. Levy, Y. Sherer, J. George et al., "Intravenous immunoglobulin treatment of lupus nephritis," *Seminars in Arthritis and Rheumatism*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 321–327, 2000.
- [57] C. Francioni, M. Galeazzi, A. Fioravanti, R. Gelli, F. Megale, and R. Marcolongo, "Long term I.V. Ig treatment in systemic lupus erythematosus," *Clinical and Experimental Rheumatology*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 163–168, 1994.
- [58] D. Monova, N. Belovezhov, I. Altunkova, and S. Monov, "Intravenous immunoglobulin G in the treatment of patients with chronic glomerulonephritis: clinical experience lasting 15 years," *Nephron*, vol. 90, no. 3, pp. 262–266, 2002.
- [59] F. Bridoux, F. Vrtovnik, C. Noël et al., "Renal thrombotic microangiopathy in systemic lupus erythematosus: clinical correlations and long-term renal survival," *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 298–304, 1998.
- [60] B. N. Becker, H. Fuchs, and R. Hakim, "Intravenous immune globulin in the treatment of patients with systemic lupus erythematosus and end-stage renal disease," *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*, vol. 5, no. 10, pp. 1746–1750, 1995.
- [61] C. Y. Lin, H. C. Hsu, and H. Chiang, "Improvement of histological and immunological change in steroid and immunosuppressive drug-resistant lupus nephritis by high-dose intravenous gamma globulin," *Nephron*, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 303–310, 1989.
- [62] T. R. Welch, A. J. McAdams, and L. S. Beischel, "Glomerulonephritis associated with complete deficiency of the fourth component of complement: response to intravenous immunoglobulin," *Arthritis and Rheumatism*, vol. 38, no. 9, pp. 1333–1337, 1995.
- [63] F. Silvestris, O. D'Amore, P. Cafforio, L. Savino, and F. Dammacco, "Intravenous immune globulin therapy of lupus nephritis: use of pathogenic anti-DNA-reactive IgG," *Clinical and Experimental Immunology, Supplement*, vol. 104, supplement 1, pp. 91–97, 1996.
- [64] J. N. Boletis, J. P. A. Ioannidis, K. A. Boki, and H. M. Moutsopoulos, "Intravenous immunoglobulin compared with cyclophosphamide for proliferative lupus nephritis," *The Lancet*, vol. 354, no. 9178, pp. 569–570, 1999.
- [65] A. Corvetta, R. Della Bitta, A. Gabrielli, P. J. Spaeth, and G. Danieli, "Use of high-dose intravenous immunoglobulin in systemic lupus erythematosus: report of three cases," *Clinical and Experimental Rheumatology*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 295–299, 1989.
- [66] B. Chacko, G. T. John, N. Balakrishnan, M. G. Kirubakaran, and C. K. Jacob, "Osmotic nephropathy resulting from maltose-based intravenous immunoglobulin therapy," *Renal Failure*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 193–195, 2006.
- [67] S. Tan, S. Tambar, S. Chohan, R. Ramsey-Goldman, and C. Lee, "Acute myocardial infarction after treatment of thrombocytopenia in a young woman with systemic lupus erythematosus," *Journal of Clinical Rheumatology*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 350–352, 2008.
- [68] S. K. B. Ng, "Intravenous immunoglobulin infusion causing pseudohyponatremia," *Lupus*, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 488–490, 1999.
- [69] E. Ben-Chetrit, C. Putterman, and M. D. Grebenau, "Transient neutropenia induced by intravenous immune globulin," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 326, no. 4, pp. 270–271, 1991.
- [70] A. M. G. Pasatiempo, J. A. Kroser, M. Rudnick, and B. I. Hoffman, "Acute renal failure after intravenous immunoglobulin therapy," *The Journal of Rheumatology*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 347–349, 1994.
- [71] K. S. Barron, M. R. Sher, and E. D. Silverman, "Intravenous immunoglobulin therapy: magic or black magic," *The Journal of Rheumatology*, vol. 19, no. 33, pp. 94–97, 1992.

- [72] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, "Renal insufficiency and failure associated with immune globulin intravenous therapy—United States, 1985–1998," *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, vol. 48, no. 24, pp. 518–521, 1999.
- [73] H. I. A. Sati, R. Ahya, and H. G. Watson, "Incidence and associations of acute renal failure complicating high-dose intravenous immunoglobulin therapy," *British Journal of Haematology*, vol. 113, no. 2, pp. 556–557, 2001.
- [74] H. Orbach, M. Tishler, and Y. Shoenfeld, "Intravenous immunoglobulin and the kidney—a two-edged sword," *Seminars in Arthritis and Rheumatism*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 593–601, 2004.
- [75] N. Li, M. Zhao, J. Hilario-Vargas et al., "Complete FcRn dependence for intravenous Ig therapy in autoimmune skin blistering diseases," *The Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 115, no. 12, pp. 3440–3450, 2005.
- [76] M. A. Gonzalez-Gay, "The pros and cons of intravenous immunoglobulin treatment in autoimmune nephropathy," *Seminars in Arthritis and Rheumatism*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 573–574, 2004.
- [77] S. M. Mauer, A. J. Fish, E. B. Blau, and A. F. Michael, "The glomerular mesangium. I. Kinetic studies of macromolecular uptake in normal and nephrotic rats," *The Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 51, no. 5, pp. 1092–1101, 1972.
- [78] S. M. Mauer, A. J. Fish, N. K. Day, and A. F. Michael, "The glomerular mesangium. II. Studies of macromolecular uptake in nephrotoxic nephritis in rats," *The Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 431–439, 1974.
- [79] S. M. Mauer, D. E. Sutherland, R. J. Howard, A. J. Fish, J. S. Najarian, and A. F. Michael, "The glomerular mesangium. 3. Acute immune mesangial injury: a new model of glomerulonephritis," *The Journal of Experimental Medicine*, vol. 137, no. 3, pp. 553–570, 1973.
- [80] Y. Shvil, A. F. Michael, and S. M. Mauer, "Uptake of aggregated immunoglobulin by the mouse kidney. I. Effect of endotoxin," *British Journal of Experimental Pathology*, vol. 61, no. 1, pp. 22–29, 1980.
- [81] Y. Shvil, S. M. Mauer, and A. F. Michael, "Uptake of aggregated immunoglobulin by the mouse kidney. II. Effect of hydrocortisone," *British Journal of Experimental Pathology*, vol. 61, no. 1, pp. 30–38, 1980.
- [82] A. Santiago, T. Mori, J. Satriano, and D. Schlondorff, "Regulation of Fc receptors for IgG on cultured rat mesangial cells," *Kidney International*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 87–94, 1991.
- [83] P. C. Singhal, A. Santiago, J. Satriano, R. M. Hays, and D. Schlondorff, "Effects of vasoactive agents on uptake of immunoglobulin G complexes by mesangial cells," *American Journal of Physiology*, vol. 258, no. 3, pp. F589–F596, 1990.
- [84] R. Neuwirth, P. Singhal, B. Diamond et al., "Evidence for immunoglobulin Fc receptor-mediated prostaglandin₂ and platelet-activating factor formation by cultured rat mesangial cells," *The Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 82, no. 3, pp. 936–944, 1988.
- [85] J. R. Sedor, S. W. Carey, and S. N. Emancipator, "Immune complexes bind to cultured rat glomerular mesangial cells to stimulate superoxide release. Evidence for an Fc receptor," *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 138, no. 11, pp. 3751–3757, 1987.
- [86] A. A. Herrada, F. J. Contreras, J. A. Tobar, R. Pacheco, and A. M. Kalergis, "Immune complex-induced enhancement of bacterial antigen presentation requires Fcγ Receptor III expression on dendritic cells," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 104, no. 33, pp. 13402–13407, 2007.
- [87] J. V. Ravetch and S. Bolland, "IgG Fc receptors," *Annual Review of Immunology*, vol. 19, pp. 275–290, 2001.
- [88] N. Novak, S. Kraft, and T. Bieber, "IgE receptors," *Current Opinion in Immunology*, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 721–726, 2001.
- [89] B. D. Wines and P. M. Hogarth, "IgA receptors in health and disease," *Tissue Antigens*, vol. 68, no. 2, pp. 103–114, 2006.
- [90] C. Gomez-Guerrero, M. J. Lopez-Armada, E. Gonzalez, and J. Egido, "Soluble IgA and IgG aggregates are catabolized by cultured rat mesangial cells and induce production of TNF-α and IL-6, and proliferation," *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 153, no. 11, pp. 5247–5256, 1994.
- [91] H. H. Radeke, I. Janssen-Graalfs, E. N. Sowa et al., "Opposite regulation of type II and III receptors for immunoglobulin G in mouse glomerular mesangial cells and in the induction of anti-glomerular basement membrane (GBM) nephritis," *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 277, no. 30, pp. 27535–27544, 2002.
- [92] R. Mancilla-Jimenez, M. D. Appay, B. Bellon et al., "IgG Fc membrane receptor on normal human glomerular visceral epithelial cells," *Virchows Archiv*, vol. 404, no. 2, pp. 139–158, 1984.
- [93] A. O. Haakenstad, G. E. Striker, and M. Mannik, "The glomerular deposition of soluble immune complexes prepared with reduced and alkylated antibodies and with intact antibodies in mice," *Laboratory Investigation*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 293–301, 1976.
- [94] M. Mannik, K. A. David, and V. J. Gauthier, "Preparation and characterization of 4-azido-2-nitrophenyl human serum albumin as an antigen for covalent cross-linking of immune complexes," *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 127, no. 5, pp. 1993–1999, 1981.
- [95] V. J. Gauthier, M. Mannik, and G. E. Striker, "Effect of cationized antibodies in preformed immune complexes on deposition and persistence in renal glomeruli," *The Journal of Experimental Medicine*, vol. 156, no. 3, pp. 766–777, 1982.
- [96] M. Mannik, L. Y. Agodoa, and K. A. David, "Rearrangement of immune complexes in glomeruli leads to persistence and development of electron-dense deposits," *The Journal of Experimental Medicine*, vol. 157, no. 5, pp. 1516–1528, 1983.
- [97] J. P. Haymann, F. Delarue, L. Baud, and J. D. Sraer, "Aggregated IgG bind to glomerular epithelial cells to stimulate urokinase release through an endocytosis-independent process," *Nephron Experimental Nephrology*, vol. 98, no. 1, pp. e13–e21, 2004.
- [98] H. Fujii, K. Nakatani, N. Arita et al., "Internalization of antibodies by endothelial cells via fibronectin implicating a novel mechanism in lupus nephritis," *Kidney International*, vol. 64, no. 5, pp. 1662–1670, 2003.
- [99] M. M. Frank, T. J. Lawley, M. I. Hamburger, and E. J. Brown, "Immunoglobulin G Fc receptor-mediated clearance in autoimmune diseases," *Annals of Internal Medicine*, vol. 98, no. 2, pp. 206–218, 1983.
- [100] J. C. Jennette and R. H. Heptinstall, *Heptinstall's Pathology of the Kidney*, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia, Pa, USA, 6th edition, 2007.
- [101] N. Bagheri, S. R. Chintalacheruvu, and S. N. Emancipator, "Proinflammatory cytokines regulate FcαR expression by human mesangial cells in vitro," *Clinical and Experimental Immunology*, vol. 107, no. 2, pp. 404–409, 1997.
- [102] A. Santiago, J. Satriano, S. DeCandido et al., "A specific Fcγ receptor on cultured rat mesangial cells," *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 143, no. 8, pp. 2575–2582, 1989.
- [103] M. Morcos, G. M. Hansch, M. Schonermark, S. Ellwanger, M. Harle, and B. Heckl-Ostreicher, "Human glomerular

- mesangial cells express CD16 and may be stimulated via this receptor,” *Kidney International*, vol. 46, no. 6, pp. 1627–1634, 1994.
- [104] H. H. Radeke, J. E. Gessner, P. Uciechowski, H. J. Magert, R. E. Schmidt, and K. Resch, “Intrinsic human glomerular mesangial cells can express receptors for IgG complexes (hFc γ RIII-A) and the associated Fc ϵ RI γ -chain,” *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 153, no. 3, pp. 1281–1292, 1994.
- [105] P. Uciechowski, M. Schwarz, J. E. Gessner, R. E. Schmidt, K. Resch, and H. H. Radeke, “IFN-gamma induces the high-affinity Fc receptor I for IgG (CD64) on human glomerular mesangial cells,” *European Journal of Immunology*, vol. 28, no. 9, pp. 2928–2935, 1998.
- [106] C. Gomez-Guerrero, E. Gonzalez, and J. Egidio, “Evidence for a specific IgA receptor in rat and human mesangial cells,” *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 151, no. 12, pp. 7172–7181, 1993.
- [107] Y. Suzuki, C. Ra, K. Saito et al., “Expression and physical association of Fc α receptor and Fc receptor γ chain in human mesangial cells,” *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 1117–1123, 1999.
- [108] R. Matre, O. Tonder, and F. Wesenberg, “Human renal glomeruli possess no Fc γ receptors,” *Clinical Immunology and Immunopathology*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 157–162, 1980.
- [109] J. P. Haymann, J. P. Levraud, S. Bouet et al., “Characterization and localization of the neonatal Fc receptor in adult human kidney,” *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 632–639, 2000.
- [110] S. Akilesh, T. B. Huber, H. Wu et al., “Podocytes use FcRn to clear IgG from the glomerular basement membrane,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 105, no. 3, pp. 967–972, 2008.
- [111] M. Debre, M. C. Bonnet, W. H. Fridman et al., “Infusion of Fc γ fragments for treatment of children with acute immune thrombocytopenic purpura,” *The Lancet*, vol. 342, no. 8877, pp. 945–949, 1993.
- [112] R. M. Anthony, F. Nimmerjahn, D. J. Ashline, V. N. Reinhold, J. C. Paulson, and J. V. Ravetch, “Recapitulation of IVIG anti-inflammatory activity with a recombinant IgG Fc,” *Science*, vol. 320, no. 5874, pp. 373–376, 2008.
- [113] R. M. Anthony, F. Wermeling, and J. V. Ravetch, “Novel roles for the IgG Fc glycan,” *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, vol. 1253, pp. 170–180, 2012.



Hindawi
Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

