In the present paper, we introduce a new parametric fuzzy divergence measure on intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Some properties of the proposed measure are also being studied. In addition, the application of the intuitionistic fuzzy divergence measure in decision making and consequently choosing the best medicines and treatment for the patients has also been discussed. There are some diseases for which vaccine is not available. In that case, we have devised a method to choose the best treatment for the patients based on the results of clinical trials.
Srivastava [25] pointed that that the measures given by Vlachos and Sergiadi [17], Zhang and Jiang [21], Junjun et al. [18] do not satisfy the basic requirement of non-negativity of the intuitionistic fuzzy divergence measure. Ohlan [26] extended the idea of Fan and Xie [27] and proposed an intuitionistic fuzzy exponential divergence measure.

In the present study, we suggest a new intuitionistic fuzzy divergence measure. Afterwards, we examine its properties and provide an illustration of how it can help in choosing the best medical treatment for the patients.

2. Preliminaries

We start by reviewing some well-known concepts and definitions related to fuzzy set theory and intuitionistic fuzzy set theory. Since we use the proposed notions in applications, we provide all concepts for the finite universe $X$.

Definition 1. Fuzzy set [3]: a fuzzy set defined on a finite universe of discourse $X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ is given as

$$ R = \{ (x, \mu_R(x)), x \in X \}, \quad (1) $$

where $\mu_R: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is the membership function of $R$. The membership value $\mu_R(x)$ gives the degree of the belongingness of $x \in X$ in $R$ when $\mu_R(x)$ is valued in $[0, 1]$.

Atanassov [14] introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) as the generalization of the concept of fuzzy set.

Definition 2. Intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) [14]: an intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) $R$ on a finite universe of discourse $X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ is defined as

$$ R = \{ (x, \mu_R(x), \nu_R(x)), x \in X \}, \quad (2) $$

where $\mu_R: X \rightarrow [0, 1], \quad \nu_R: X \rightarrow [0, 1], \quad$ and $0 \leq \mu_R + \nu_R \leq 1, \forall x \in X$.

$\mu_R(x)$ denotes the degree of membership and $\nu_R(x)$ denotes the degree of non-membership of $x$ in $R$. For each IFS $R$ in $X$, we will call $\pi_R(x) = 1 - \mu_R(x) - \nu_R(x) = 1 - \mu_R(x) - \nu_R(x)$, the hesitation degree of $x$ in $R$. It is obvious that $0 \leq \pi_R(x) \leq 1$ for each $x \in X$.

Atanassov [14] further introduced the set operations on IFSs as follows.

Let $R$ and $S \in$ IFS$(X)$ be the family of all IFSs in the universe $X$, given by

$$ R = \{ (x, \mu_R(x), \nu_R(x)), x \in X \}, $$
$$ S = \{ (x, \mu_S(x), \nu_S(x)), x \in X \}. \quad (3) $$

(i) $R \subseteq S$ iff $\mu_R(x) \leq \mu_S(x)$ and $\nu_R(x) \geq \nu_S(x), \forall x \in X$.
(ii) $R = S$ iff $R \subseteq S$ and $S \subseteq R$.
(iii) $R^c = \{ (x, \nu_R(x), \mu_R(x)), x \in X \}$.
(iv) $R \cup S = \{ (x, \max(\mu_R(x), \mu_S(x)), \min(\nu_R(x), \nu_S(x))) | x \in X \}$.
(v) $R \cap S = \{ (x, \min(\mu_R(x), \mu_S(x)), \max(\nu_R(x), \nu_S(x))) | x \in X \}$.

Definition 3. Let $R$ and $S$ be two intuitionistic fuzzy sets in $X$. A mapping $D$: IFS$(X) \times$ IFS$(X) \rightarrow R$ is a divergence measure for IFS if it satisfies the following axioms [17]:

(D1) $D(R : S) \geq 0$.
(D2) $D(R : S) = 0$ if and only if $R = S$.
(D3) $D(R : S) = D(R^c : S^c)$.

In 1967, Harvda and Charvat [5] defined the measure of divergence of a probability distribution $P = (p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n)$ from another probability distribution $Q = (q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n)$ as

$$ D_\alpha (P, Q) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (p_i^\alpha q_i^{1-\alpha} - 1), \quad \alpha > 0, \alpha \neq 1. \quad (4) $$

It is known as the generalized divergence of degree $\alpha$. Then, in 1959, Kullback and Leibler [2] proposed the following measure of symmetric divergence:

$$ I_\alpha (P, Q) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (p_i^\alpha q_i^{1-\alpha} + q_i^\alpha p_i^{1-\alpha} - 2), \quad \alpha > 0, \alpha \neq 1, \quad (5) $$

which is also known as distance measure of degree $\alpha$.

Corresponding to measures (4) and (5), Hooda [6] suggested the following measures of fuzzy divergence:

$$ I_\alpha(R : S) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [p_i^\alpha q_i^{1-\alpha} (x_i) + (1 - p_i(x_i))^{\alpha} (1 - q_i(x_i))^{1-\alpha} - 1], \quad (6) $$

Now, corresponding to Hooda [6], we define a new measure of intuitionistic fuzzy divergence.
3. New Parametric Divergence Measure on IFS

Let $R$ and $S$ be two IFSs defined on universal set $X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$; then, a parametric intuitionistic fuzzy divergence measure based on parameter $\alpha$ is defined as follows:

$$D^{I_{FS}}_{\alpha} (R; S) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \left( \frac{\mu_R(x_i) + 1 - \nu_R(x_i)}{2} \right)^{\alpha} \left( \frac{\mu_S(x_i) + 1 - \nu_S(x_i)}{2} \right)^{1-\alpha} 
+ \left( \frac{\nu_R(x_i) + 1 - \mu_R(x_i)}{2} \right)^{\alpha} \left( \frac{\mu_S(x_i) + 1 - \nu_S(x_i)}{2} \right)^{1-\alpha} 
+ \left( \frac{\mu_S(x_i) + 1 - \nu_S(x_i)}{2} \right)^{\alpha} \left( \frac{\mu_R(x_i) + 1 - \nu_R(x_i)}{2} \right)^{1-\alpha} 
+ \left( \frac{\nu_S(x_i) + 1 - \mu_S(x_i)}{2} \right)^{\alpha} \left( \frac{\mu_R(x_i) + 1 - \nu_R(x_i)}{2} \right)^{1-\alpha} \right]^{-1},$$

(7)

where $\alpha > 0, \alpha \neq 1$.

Since $D^{I_{FS}}_{\alpha} (R; S) \neq D^{I_{FS}}_{\alpha} (S; R)$, the above proposed measure is not symmetric. In order to imbue the symmetry property, we define $J^{I_{FS}}_{\alpha} (R; S) = D^{I_{FS}}_{\alpha} (R; S) + D^{I_{FS}}_{\alpha} (S; R)$.

$$J^{I_{FS}}_{\alpha} (R; S) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \left( \frac{\mu_R(x_i) + 1 - \nu_R(x_i)}{2} \right)^{\alpha} \left( \frac{\mu_S(x_i) + 1 - \nu_S(x_i)}{2} \right)^{1-\alpha} 
+ \left( \frac{\nu_R(x_i) + 1 - \mu_R(x_i)}{2} \right)^{\alpha} \left( \frac{\mu_S(x_i) + 1 - \nu_S(x_i)}{2} \right)^{1-\alpha} 
+ \left( \frac{\mu_S(x_i) + 1 - \nu_S(x_i)}{2} \right)^{\alpha} \left( \frac{\mu_R(x_i) + 1 - \nu_R(x_i)}{2} \right)^{1-\alpha} 
+ \left( \frac{\nu_S(x_i) + 1 - \mu_S(x_i)}{2} \right)^{\alpha} \left( \frac{\mu_R(x_i) + 1 - \nu_R(x_i)}{2} \right)^{1-\alpha} \right]^{-1},$$

(8)

where $\alpha > 0, \alpha \neq 1$.

3.1. Validity Proof of the Defined Measure of Divergence

**Theorem 1.** $J^{I_{FS}}_{\alpha} (R; S)$ is a valid measure of directed divergence on IFS, i.e., it satisfies

$$J^{I_{FS}}_{\alpha} (R; S) \geq 0,$$

$$J^{I_{FS}}_{\alpha} (R; S) = 0, \text{ iff } R = S,$$

$$J^{I_{FS}}_{\alpha} (R; S) = J^{I_{FS}}_{\alpha} (S; R).$$

**Proof.** In [6], it is proved that $I_{\alpha} (R; S) \geq 0$ and vanishes only when $R = S$. As

$$0 \leq \frac{\mu_R(x_i) + 1 - \nu_R(x_i)}{2} \leq 1,$$

(10)

the result holds for $D^{I_{FS}}_{\alpha}$ also. Therefore, $D^{I_{FS}}_{\alpha} (R; S) \geq 0$, and hence $J^{I_{FS}}_{\alpha} (R; S) \geq 0$.

Similarly, $J^{I_{FS}}_{\alpha} (R; S) = 0$ iff $R = S$.

$$J^{I_{FS}}_{\alpha} (R'; S') = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \left( \frac{\nu_R(x_i) + 1 - \mu_R(x_i)}{2} \right)^{\alpha} \left( \frac{\mu_S(x_i) + 1 - \nu_S(x_i)}{2} \right)^{1-\alpha} 
+ \left( \frac{\mu_R(x_i) + 1 - \nu_R(x_i)}{2} \right)^{\alpha} \left( \frac{\mu_S(x_i) + 1 - \nu_S(x_i)}{2} \right)^{1-\alpha} 
+ \left( \frac{\mu_S(x_i) + 1 - \nu_S(x_i)}{2} \right)^{\alpha} \left( \frac{\mu_R(x_i) + 1 - \nu_R(x_i)}{2} \right)^{1-\alpha} 
+ \left( \frac{\nu_S(x_i) + 1 - \mu_S(x_i)}{2} \right)^{\alpha} \left( \frac{\mu_R(x_i) + 1 - \nu_R(x_i)}{2} \right)^{1-\alpha} \right]^{-1},$$

(11)

$$= J^{I_{FS}}_{\alpha} (R; S).$$
3.2. Properties of the Proposed Intuitionistic Fuzzy Divergence Measure. For the proofs of the properties, we need to separate $X$ into $X_1$ and $X_2$ such that

$$X_1 = \{ x_i | x_i \in X, R(x_i) \subseteq S(x_i) \},$$
$$X_2 = \{ x_i | x_i \in X, R(x_i) \supseteq S(x_i) \}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

Therefore, for all $x_i \in X_1$, we have

$$\mu_R(x_i) \leq \mu_S(x_i),$$
$$\nu_R(x_i) \geq \nu_S(x_i),$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

and for all $x_i \in X_2$, we have

$$\mu_R(x_i) \geq \mu_S(x_i),$$
$$\nu_R(x_i) \leq \nu_S(x_i).$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

---

**Theorem 2.** Let $R$, $S$, $T$ be an IFS on universal set $X = X = \{ x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \}$; then, the proposed measure given by (8) satisfies the following properties:

(a) $J_{FS}^a(R \cup S : R \cap S) = J_{FS}^a(R: S)$.

(b) $J_{FS}^a(R : R \cup S) = J_{FS}^a(S : R \cap S)$.

(c) $J_{FS}^a(R : R \cap S) = J_{FS}^a(S : R \cup S)$.

(d) $J_{FS}^a(R : R \cup S) + J_{FS}^a(R : R \cap S) = J_{FS}^a(R : S)$.

(e) $J_{FS}^a(R : R \cap S) \leq J_{FS}^a(R : T) + J_{FS}^a(S : T)$.

(f) $J_{FS}^a(R \cap S : T) \leq J_{FS}^a(R : T) + J_{FS}^a(S : T)$.

(g) $J_{FS}^a(R \cap S : T) + J_{FS}^a(R \cup S : T) = J_{FS}^a(R : T) + J_{FS}^a(S : T)$.

(h) $J_{FS}^a(R : S^c) = J_{FS}^a(R^c : S)$.

**Proof.** (a)
\[ j_{\text{IFS}}^{R}(R \cup S) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \sum_{x_i \in X} \left[ \left( \frac{\mu_R(x_i) + 1 - \gamma_R(x_i)}{2} \right)^{\alpha-1} \left( \frac{\mu_{R\cup S}(x_i) + 1 - \gamma_{R\cup S}(x_i)}{2} \right) \right. \\
+ \left( \frac{\gamma_R(x_i) + 1 - \mu_R(x_i)}{2} \right)^{\alpha-1} \left( \frac{\gamma_{R\cup S}(x_i) + 1 - \mu_{R\cup S}(x_i)}{2} \right) \right. \\
+ \left( \frac{\mu_{R\cup S}(x_i) + 1 - \gamma_{R\cup S}(x_i)}{2} \right)^{\alpha-1} \left( \frac{\mu_R(x_i) + 1 - \gamma_R(x_i)}{2} \right) \right. \\
+ \left( \frac{\gamma_{R\cup S}(x_i) + 1 - \mu_{R\cup S}(x_i)}{2} \right)^{\alpha-1} \left( \frac{\gamma_R(x_i) + 1 - \mu_R(x_i)}{2} \right) \right] \\
+ \left( \frac{\mu_R(x_i) + 1 - \gamma_R(x_i)}{2} \right)^{\alpha-1} \left( \frac{\mu_{R\cup S}(x_i) + 1 - \gamma_{R\cup S}(x_i)}{2} \right) \right] - 2. \tag{16} \]

\[ j_{\text{IFS}}^{S}(R \cap S) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \sum_{x_i \in X_1} \left[ \left( \frac{\mu_S(x_i) + 1 - \gamma_S(x_i)}{2} \right)^{\alpha-1} \left( \frac{\mu_R(x_i) + 1 - \gamma_R(x_i)}{2} \right) \right. \\
+ \left( \frac{\gamma_S(x_i) + 1 - \mu_S(x_i)}{2} \right)^{\alpha-1} \left( \frac{\gamma_R(x_i) + 1 - \mu_R(x_i)}{2} \right) \right. \\
+ \left( \frac{\mu_R(x_i) + 1 - \gamma_R(x_i)}{2} \right)^{\alpha-1} \left( \frac{\mu_S(x_i) + 1 - \gamma_S(x_i)}{2} \right) \right. \\
+ \left( \frac{\gamma_R(x_i) + 1 - \mu_R(x_i)}{2} \right)^{\alpha-1} \left( \frac{\gamma_S(x_i) + 1 - \mu_S(x_i)}{2} \right) \right] \tag{17} \\
- 2 + \sum_{x_i \in X_2} [0]. \]

From (17) and (18),

\[ j_{\text{IFS}}^{\alpha}(R \cup S) = j_{\text{IFS}}^{\alpha}(S \cap R). \tag{19} \]

\[ j_{\text{IFS}}^{\alpha}(R \cap S) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \sum_{x_i \in X_1} \left[ \left( \frac{\mu_S(x_i) + 1 - \gamma_S(x_i)}{2} \right)^{\alpha-1} \left( \frac{\mu_R(x_i) + 1 - \gamma_R(x_i)}{2} \right) \right. \\
+ \left( \frac{\gamma_S(x_i) + 1 - \mu_S(x_i)}{2} \right)^{\alpha-1} \left( \frac{\gamma_R(x_i) + 1 - \mu_R(x_i)}{2} \right) \right. \\
+ \left( \frac{\mu_R(x_i) + 1 - \gamma_R(x_i)}{2} \right)^{\alpha-1} \left( \frac{\mu_S(x_i) + 1 - \gamma_S(x_i)}{2} \right) \right. \\
+ \left( \frac{\gamma_R(x_i) + 1 - \mu_R(x_i)}{2} \right)^{\alpha-1} \left( \frac{\gamma_S(x_i) + 1 - \mu_S(x_i)}{2} \right) \right] \tag{20} \\
- 2 + \sum_{x_i \in X_2} [0]. \]
(d) Adding (17) and (20), we get
\[ J^\text{IFS} (R: R \cup S) + J^\text{IFS} (R: R \cap S) = J^\text{IFS} (R: S). \] (21)

(e) It suffices to show that
\[
J^\text{IFS} (R: T) + J^\text{IFS} (S: T) - J^\text{IFS} (R \cup S: T) \geq 0,
\]
where
\[
J^\text{IFS} (R: T) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \left( \frac{\mu_R(x_i) + 1 - \nu_R(x_i)}{2} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{\mu_T(x_i) + 1 - \nu_T(x_i)}{2} \right)^{1-\alpha} + \left( \frac{\nu_R(x_i) + 1 - \mu_R(x_i)}{2} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{\nu_T(x_i) + 1 - \mu_T(x_i)}{2} \right)^{1-\alpha} \right].
\]

\[
J^\text{IFS} (S: T) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \left( \frac{\mu_S(x_i) + 1 - \nu_S(x_i)}{2} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{\mu_T(x_i) + 1 - \nu_T(x_i)}{2} \right)^{1-\alpha} + \left( \frac{\nu_S(x_i) + 1 - \mu_S(x_i)}{2} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{\nu_T(x_i) + 1 - \mu_T(x_i)}{2} \right)^{1-\alpha} \right].
\]

\[
J^\text{IFS} (R: T) + J^\text{IFS} (S: T) - J^\text{IFS} (R \cup S: T) \geq 0.
\] (22)

\[
\geq 0.
\]
(f) The proof of (f) is similar to (e).

\[ J_a^{IFS}(R \cap S : T) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \sum_{x_i \in X} \left[ \left( \mu_R(x_i) + 1 - \nu_R(x_i) \right)^a \left( \mu_T(x_i) + 1 - \nu_T(x_i) \right)^{1-a} + \left( \mu_T(x_i) + 1 - \nu_T(x_i) \right)^a \left( \mu_R(x_i) + 1 - \nu_R(x_i) \right)^{1-a} \right] \] 

\[ J_a^{IFS}(R \cup S : T) = J_a^{IFS}(R : T) + J_a^{IFS}(S : T). \] 

(h) Add

\[ J_a^{IFS}(R \cap S : T) + J_a^{IFS}(R \cup S : T) = J_a^{IFS}(R : T) + J_a^{IFS}(S : T). \] 

(24)

\[ J_a^{IFS}(R : S') = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \sum_{x_i \in X} \left[ \left( \mu_R(x_i) + 1 - \nu_R(x_i) \right)^a \left( \mu_S(x_i) + 1 - \mu_S(x_i) \right)^{1-a} + \left( \mu_S(x_i) + 1 - \mu_S(x_i) \right)^a \left( \mu_R(x_i) + 1 - \nu_R(x_i) \right)^{1-a} \right] \] 

\[ J_a^{IFS}(R' : S) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \sum_{x_i \in X} \left[ \left( \mu_R(x_i) + 1 - \nu_R(x_i) \right)^a \left( \mu_S(x_i) + 1 - \nu_S(x_i) \right)^{1-a} + \left( \mu_S(x_i) + 1 - \nu_S(x_i) \right)^a \left( \mu_R(x_i) + 1 - \nu_R(x_i) \right)^{1-a} \right] \] 

(25)

\[ J_a^{IFS}(R : S') = J_a^{IFS}(R' : S). \]
4. Drawbacks of Other Measures of Divergence for IFS

Vlachos and Sergiadis [17] defined the following measure of divergence:

\[
D_1 (R; S) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_R (x_i) \ln \left( \frac{\mu_R (x_i)}{(1/2)(\mu_R (x_i) + \mu_S (x_i))} \right) + \nu_R (x_i) \ln \left( \frac{\nu_R (x_i)}{(1/2)(\nu_R (x_i) + \nu_S (x_i))} \right) \tag{26}
\]

Junjun et al. [18] defined

\[
D_2 (R; S) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \pi_R (x_i) \ln \left( \frac{\pi_R (x_i)}{(1/2)(\pi_R (x_i) + \pi_S (x_i))} \right) + \Delta_R (x_i) \ln \left( \frac{\Delta_R (x_i)}{(1/2)(\Delta_R (x_i) + \Delta_S (x_i))} \right), \tag{27}
\]

where \(\Delta_R (x_i) = |\mu_R (x_i) - \nu_R (x_i)|\).

Example 1. Consider the IFS given by

\[
R = \{\langle x_1, 0.44, 0.385 \rangle, \langle x_2, 0.43, 0.39 \rangle, \langle x_3, 0.42, 0.38 \rangle\},
\]

\[
S = \{\langle x_1, 0.34, 0.48 \rangle, \langle x_2, 0.37, 0.46 \rangle, \langle x_3, 0.38, 0.45 \rangle\}. \tag{28}
\]

Corresponding to \(R\) and \(S\), we get

\[
D_1 (R; S) = -0.00712,
\]

\[
D_2 (R; S) = -0.04547. \tag{29}
\]

Therefore, the measures given by (26) and (27) do not satisfy the axiom of non-negativity.

5. Application

A lot of uncertainty and fuzziness is associated with most of the decisions in medical science. There are various diseases like migraine, depression, and many viral diseases for which vaccine is not available or 100 percent cure is not available. One of the current viral diseases which is known to affect more than 50,000,000 lives in the whole world is COVID-19. On 11th March 2020, the WHO officially declared the outbreak of COVID-19 as pandemic. Still, no vaccine or 100 percent effective medicine is invented for its cure. In such cases, doctors have to choose the medicines already available in the market. For example, in the case of COVID-19, medicines already available are remdesivir, favipiravir, and hydroxychloroquine. Now, the following question arises: which medicine or treatment is most effective among the available ones? This kind of decision-making problem can be solved by IFS theory. IFS theory makes it possible to define the medical information in terms of intuitionistic fuzzy sets and thereby apply the decision-making process discussed below to choose the best treatment or medicine.

Now, we describe the decision-making process in intuitionistic fuzzy sets.

Let \(M = \{M_1, M_2, M_3, \ldots, M_p\}\) be the set of \(p\) alternatives to be examined under the set of \(q\) criterion set \(N = \{N_1, N_2, N_3, \ldots, N_q\}\). Then, we take following steps to choose the best alternative.

Step 1. Constructing the decision-making matrix: let \(\mu_{ij}\) denote the degree of the alternative \(M_i\) satisfying the criteria \(N_j\) and \(\nu_{ij}\) denote the degree of the alternative \(M_i\) not satisfying the criteria \(N_j\). Then, the decision-making matrix is a \(p \times q\) matrix whose entries \(\langle x_{ij} \rangle\) are

\[
\langle \mu_{ij}, \nu_{ij} \rangle, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, p, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, q, \quad \text{where } \mu_{ij}, \nu_{ij} \in [0, 1] \text{ and } \mu_{ij} + \nu_{ij} \leq 1. \tag{30}
\]

Step 2. Computing the ideal alternative: find the ideal alternative \(M^*\) as

\[
M^* = \{\langle \mu^*_1, \nu^*_1 \rangle, \langle \mu^*_2, \nu^*_2 \rangle, \ldots, \langle \mu^*_q, \nu^*_q \rangle\}, \tag{31}
\]

where \(\mu^*_j = \max_{1 \leq i \leq p} \mu_{ij}\) and \(\nu^*_j = \min_{1 \leq i \leq q} \nu_{ij}\).

Step 3. Calculating the value of proposed divergence measure: find \(J^\alpha_{IFS} (M_i; M^*)\) using the formula
Table 1: Normalized intuitionistic fuzzy decision matrix.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$A_1$</th>
<th>$A_2$</th>
<th>$A_3$</th>
<th>$A_4$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$T_1$&lt;0.7, 0.2&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;0.8, 0.1&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;0.5, 0.3&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;0.6, 0.3&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_2$&lt;0.9, 0.1&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;0.8, 0.2&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;0.5, 0.3&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;0.8, 0.2&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_3$&lt;0.6, 0.4&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;0.5, 0.3&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;0.6, 0.3&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;0.5, 0.4&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_4$&lt;0.8, 0.1&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;0.7, 0.2&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;0.7, 0.2&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;0.8, 0.2&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Values of $j_{a}^{IFS}(T_i; M^*)$ for different values of $a$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$a$= 0.5</th>
<th>$a$= 2</th>
<th>$a$= 3</th>
<th>$a$= 4</th>
<th>$a$= 5</th>
<th>Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$j_{a}^{IFS}(T_i; M^*)$</td>
<td>0.1903</td>
<td>0.8233</td>
<td>1.4254</td>
<td>2.3755</td>
<td>6.606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$j_{a}^{IFS}(T_i; M^*)$</td>
<td>0.0605</td>
<td>0.2490</td>
<td>0.3928</td>
<td>0.5651</td>
<td>0.7817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$j_{a}^{IFS}(T_i; M^*)$</td>
<td>0.5948</td>
<td>2.8656</td>
<td>6.0969</td>
<td>13.8161</td>
<td>35.305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$j_{a}^{IFS}(T_i; M^*)$</td>
<td>0.0432</td>
<td>0.1791</td>
<td>0.2866</td>
<td>0.4209</td>
<td>0.5980</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
j_{a}^{IFS}(M_i; M^*) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{\mu_j(x_{ij}) + 1 - \nu_j(x_{ij})}{2} \right]^a \left( \frac{\mu_j(x_{ij}) + 1 - \nu_j(x_{ij})}{2} \right)^{1-a} \\
\quad + \left( \frac{\nu_j(x_{ij}) + 1 - \mu_j(x_{ij})}{2} \right)^a \left( \frac{\nu_j(x_{ij}) + 1 - \mu_j(x_{ij})}{2} \right)^{1-a} \\
\quad + \left( \frac{\mu_j(x_{ij}) + 1 - \nu_j(x_{ij})}{2} \right)^a \left( \frac{\mu_j(x_{ij}) + 1 - \nu_j(x_{ij})}{2} \right)^{1-a} \\
\quad + \left( \frac{\nu_j(x_{ij}) + 1 - \mu_j(x_{ij})}{2} \right)^a \left( \frac{\nu_j(x_{ij}) + 1 - \mu_j(x_{ij})}{2} \right)^{1-a} \right] \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \ldots, p. \tag{32}\n
\]

Step 4. Ranking the alternatives: give ranking to all the alternatives. The alternative whose $j_{a}^{IFS}(M_i; M^*)$ is minimum will be considered as the best alternative with rank 1.

We demonstrate the application of proposed divergence method in choosing the best medical treatment for the patients.

Suppose a person is suffering from anxiety. A doctor tried different treatments $T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4, T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4$ on 4 different subjects $A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4$ and noted the results of clinical trials (see Table 1).

Based on this normalized intuitionistic fuzzy decision matrix, we find the ideal alternative

\[
M^* = \langle 0.9, 0.1 \rangle, \langle 0.8, 0.1 \rangle, \langle 0.7, 0.2 \rangle, \langle 0.8, 0.2 \rangle. \tag{33}\n
Now, we calculate the value of divergence of each treatment $T_i$ from the ideal alternative $M^*$ for different values of $\alpha$.

According to Table 2, $T_4$ is the best treatment for the patients of anxiety. The order of preference of treatments is as follows:

\[
T_4 > T_2 > T_1 > T_3. \tag{34}\n
6. Conclusion

In this paper, we have proposed a new parametric intuitionistic fuzzy divergence measure for IFS with its proof of validity. The proposed measure is found to satisfy various properties and does not assume any negative value as in case of many existing divergence measures. The proposed divergence measure also has application in decision making and thereby deciding the best medical treatment for the patients. Furthermore, the parameter provides flexibility in criteria for decision making.
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