

Research Article

The Success of Treatment Free Remission in Chronic Myeloid Leukaemia in Clinical Practice: A Single-Centre Retrospective Experience from South Africa

Siddeeq Hoosen (b),^{1,2,3} Irene Mackraj (b),¹ and Nadine Rapiti (b)^{1,2,3}

¹University of KwaZulu-Natal, School of Laboratory Medicine and Health Sciences, Durban, South Africa ²National Health Laboratory Service, IALCH, Department of Haematology, Durban, South Africa ³King Edward VIII Hospital, Department of Clinical Haematology, Durban, South Africa

Correspondence should be addressed to Siddeeq Hoosen; siddeeq.hoosen@gmail.com

Received 13 December 2022; Revised 7 July 2023; Accepted 15 July 2023; Published 28 July 2023

Academic Editor: Manishekhar Kumar

Copyright © 2023 Siddeeq Hoosen et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Introduction. Chronic myeloid leukaemia (CML) management has evolved from a disease once considered to be incurable just over 2 decades ago to that of one of a "functional cure" as defined by the sustained molecular response on stopping tyrosine kinase inhibitor(TKI) therapy. The next goal of CML management has been treatment-free remission (TFR). The past 4 years have seen much international data on TFR attempts in CML in clinical practice. However, Africa as a continent has lagged behind the rest of the world, in keeping up with the latest trends in CML management, and so this study aims to address this gap by assessing the outcome of TFR in CML in a single centre in South Africa (SA). *Methods*. We conducted a retrospective cohort study in 12 CML patients in the chronic phase to assess the success of TKI discontinuation. The patients were treated in King Edward VIII Hospital (KEH), a tertiary, academic hospital in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa, and the study period was from June 2020 to May 2022. Patients included had to have been on TKI therapy for a minimum of 5 years and achieved a deep molecular response (DMR) for a minimum period of 3 years. *Results*. The overall TFR cohort showed a success rate of 75% at a median follow-up of 12 months. All patients who failed TFR regained DMR after retreatment with TKI, with no disease progression reported. The only factor influencing the success of TFR was the total period of TKI therapy. *Conclusion*. Despite our study having a small cohort of patients, this study demonstrated that TFR in CML is an attainable goal, even in a resource-limited setting.

1. Introduction

The advances in the diagnosis of chronic myeloid leukaemia (CML) have led to the development of precision medicine for CML patients. The discovery of specific genetic mutations using various molecular laboratory techniques has improved diagnostic accuracy and timing [1]. This allows for directed therapy and closer disease monitoring, paving the way for earlier interventions and also the development of standardised guidelines for disease management.

CML is one of the common myeloproliferative disorders. CML was previously noted to have a triphasic disease course with an average life expectancy of around 5 to 7 years [2]. The discovery of the *BCR-ABL1* gene rearrangement [3–5] led to the development of targeted therapy with tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) therapy [6]. This treatment significantly improved the overall survival (OS) of CML patients and raised the possibility of disease cure [7].

Signal transduction inhibitor 571 (STI571), known better today as Imatinib (Aka GlivecTM), a TKI, was the first targeted therapeutic drug developed for CML management [8]. Imatinib binds to the BCR-ABL1 kinase domain, which is in an inactive conformation, in a pocket designated for the ATP-binding site. This binding prevents the transfer of a phosphate group to tyrosine on the protein substrate and the consequent activation of the phosphorylated protein. As

a result, leukaemic cell death is promoted due to proliferative signals being prevented from reaching the nucleus [9]. Therapeutic milestones in CML are gauged against specific molecular levels over a defined timeline, to assess optimal response and identify potential risk of treatment failure [10]. Real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RQ-PCR) expressed as a BCR-ABL1 percentage (%) is the preferred method to monitor molecular response to TKI therapy.

Imatinib revolutionised CML patient survival [11]. However, a number of patients did not respond to first-line therapy with Imatinib [12]. Subsequently, five more drugs (Nilotinib, Dasatinib, Bosutinib, Ponatinib, and Asciminib) were approved for the management of CML [13, 14]. Additionally, the long-term adverse events to TKI therapy were recognised within the first decade of follow-up, hence the need to minimise TKI therapy exposure arose [15]. This knowledge led to the next step of precision management of CML patients in the form of TKI discontinuation, better known as treatment-free remission(TFR) [16–20].

Trials conducted on TFR in CML patients have met with measured success. These have led to the development of TFR management guidelines being incorporated into clinical practice [21–26]. Patients attaining a good molecular response to TKI therapy are candidates for TFR. For a patient to be considered for a TFR trial, a deep molecular response (DMR), defined as a BCR-ABL1 \leq 0.01% (log 4 reduction in BCR-ABL1 transcripts, referred to as MR4) or \leq 0.0032% (log 4.5 reduction in BCR-ABL1 transcripts, referred to MR4.5), is the primary target [21–25]. For DMR testing to be recognised and for patients to thus qualify for TFR, PCR testing for BCR-ABL1 requires an international standardised (IS) endorsed laboratory, with a turn-around time of a minimum of 1 month [24].

Other important criteria for attempting a trial of TFR include baseline chronic phase of the disease, an overall minimum period on TKI therapy of 5 years, a sustained DMR for a minimum of 2-3 years, absence of a mutation and a typical BCR-ABL1 transcript (e13a2 or e14a2) at diagnosis [21–25]. Regular testing in the initial phase of follow-up, as well as a motivated patient, are also mandatory requirements. TFR is thus fast becoming a goal of therapy in CML management [27], for those individuals who meet the predefined targets or criteria for TFR.

This is especially so, in view of the growing concern about the long-term adverse effects of TKI therapy [15]. Trials conducted thus far have described numerous variables that have a trend towards predicting successful TFR, with the most consistent being a sustained DMR and the cumulative period of TKI therapy [28, 29].

A number of smaller, single-centre studies on CML TFR [30–35] have also been reported over the years. The study numbers for patients undergoing TFR as per international and institutional guidelines were modest, ranging between 4 and 25 patients. Most of these studies report the percentage of treated CML patients at the respective institutes qualifying for TFR between 5 and 20%. The TFR success rates ranged between 56 and 72% across these studies (Table 1).

There is little data published about CML in South Africa (SA) and Africa [36–43], and no study to our knowledge, documenting the practicality and safety of TFR in a SA cohort. This study aims to contribute local data from SA on the evolving management of CML, specifically TFR.

2. Patients and Method

A retrospective study was designed to analyse CML patients at King Edward VIII Hospital (KEH) haematology unit attempting TFR. These patients had confirmed balanced genetic translocation, t(9;22)(q34;q11.2)according to the World Health Organisation (WHO) classification [44] and were in chronic phase at the time of diagnosis. The patients had also been on TKI therapy for at least 5 years and achieved a DMR for a minimum period of 3 years for first generation TKI and 2 years for second generation TKIs. Patients meeting these criteria as per European LeukemiaNet (ELN) guideline followed at KEH, as shown in Table 2. [22], attempted TKI discontinuation.

Patients attempting TFR were followed up for a minimum time period of 6 months as the greatest risk for failure of TFR is documented within this first 6-month period [45]. The information for analysis was collected from June 2020 up to May 2022. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committees of the University of KwaZulu-Natal (protocol number BREC/00004222/2022). The research was conducted according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Defining the TFR success rate from TKI treatment discontinuation for KEH CML patients was the primary endpoint of the study. The secondary endpoint included factors that might predict a successful TFR trial.

Information collected on each of the patients, apart from demographic details, included exposure to interferon alpha (IFN α), specific TKI treatment at the time of discontinuation, reasons for discontinuation, total TKI treatment duration and molecular response, time to DMR, and period of DMR. The Sokal score, which is a prognostic score in CML, was calculated with an assessment of the patient's age, spleen size, platelet count, and peripheral blood myeloblast count at diagnosis [46]. The presenting symptoms and signs, as well as CML stage and cytogenetics, were also documented. Patients' full blood count (FBC) and differential counts, urea and electrolytes, and RQ-PCR measurement of BCR-ABL1 transcripts according to the IS ratio were also documented at baseline and during the follow-up period of treatment discontinuation. Documentation of withdrawal symptoms after TKI discontinuation, in the form of musculoskeletal pain, was recorded. Other information of interest was whether patients who failed TFR therapy were able to attain a second successful DMR after reinitiating TKI therapy. Failure of TFR was defined as a loss of major molecular response (MMR). This TFR failure was a laboratory diagnosis, with a BCR-ABL1 transcript of >0.1%, and no accompanying clinical signs.

Kong et al. WCI [30]	Cerveira et al. POI [31]	McMullan et al. BCC [32]	Benjamini et al. MDAH [33]	Lino et al. YPCH [34]	Jumaa et al. BCOH [35]
206	Not reported	105	Not reported	85	Not reported
25	25	21	35(8)	21 (4)	18
12.1%	N/A	20%	16%	5%	N/A
N/A	100		96 [8-136]	68 [22–161]	93
N/A	41		63 [1-106]	44 [16–96]	37
42 [15–154]	24		16 [2-106]	32 [18-69]	24
56%	58%		59%	67%	72%
2.7 [2.7–24]	5 [4-9]		3.5 [1-32]	5 [1-6]	9 [3-12]
	5 [2-10]		6 [2–18]	3	ю
I: tyrosine kinase in ital; MDAH: MD /	hibitor; DMR: deep mo Anderson Houston; YPC	lecular response; WCI: Wi CH: Yamanashi Prefectural	nship Cancer Institute; PO . Central Hospital; No.: nu	JI: Portuguese Oncolog umber; pt: patient.	y Institute; BCOH:
1 5 4 7	WCI [30] 206 25 12.1% N/A N/A N/A 2 [15-154] 56% 7 [2.7-24] 7 [2.7-24] 36% 13, MDAH: MD	WCI [30] POI [31] 206 Not reported 25 25 12.1% N/A N/A 100 N/A 100 N/A 100 N/A 20 N/A 100 N/A 24 2 24 56% 58% 56% 58% 56% 58% 56% 512-10] tyrosine kinase inhibitor; DMR: dep mo tyrosine kinase inhibitor; DMR: dep mo	WCI [30] POI [31] BCC [32] 206 Not reported 105 25 25 21 12.1% N/A 20% N/A 100 21 N/A 41 20% S6% 58% 58% 56% 58% 58% 7 [2.7-24] 5 [4-9] 5 [2-10] tyrosine kinase inhibitor; DMR: deep molecular response; WCI: Wi 3, MDAH: MD Anderson Houston; YPCH: Yamanashi Prefectural	WCI [30] POI [31] BCC [32] MDAH [33] 206 Not reported 105 Not reported 25 25 21 35 (8) 12.1% N/A 20% 16% N/A 20% 96 [8-136] N/A 100 20% 96 [8-136] N/A 100 20% 96 [8-136] 2 15-154] 24 59% 56% 58% 59% 59% 56% 58% 59% 59% 7 [2.7-24] 5 [4-9] 3.5 7 [2.7-24] 5 [4-9] 3.5 7 [2.7-24] 5 [4-9] 3.5 7 [2.7-24] 5 [4-9] 6 [2-132] 7 [2.7-24] 5 [4-9] 6 [2-132] 7 [2.7-24] 5 [4-9] 6 [2-18] 7 [2.7-24] 5 [4-9] 6 [2	WCI [30]POI [31]BCC [32]MDAH [33]YPCH [34]206Not reported105Not reported8525252135 (8)21 (4)12.1%N/A20%16%5%12.1%N/A20%96 [8-136]68 [22-161]N/A10096 [8-136]68 [22-161]67%N/A4163 [1-106]44 [16-96]16 [2-106]N/A2458%59%67%56%58%59%67%67%7[2.7-24]5 [4-9]3.5 [1-32]5 [1-6]75 [2-10]3.5 [1-32]5 [1-6]3tyrosine kinase inhibitor; DMR: deep molecular response; WCI: Winship Cancer Institute; POI: Portuguese Oncologtyrosine kinase inhibitor; DMR: deep molecular response; WCI: Winship Cancer Institute; POI: Portuguese Oncologtyrosine kinase inhibitor; DMR: deep molecular response; WCI: Winship Cancer Institute; POI: Portuguese Oncologtyrosine kinase inhibitor; DMR: deep molecular response; WCI: Winship Cancer Institute; POI: Portuguese Oncolog

CML.
in
remission
treatment-free
on
studies
e-centre
sing
Small
÷
TABLE

Advances in Hematology

Mandatory test results	 (i) CML in first CP only (data are lacking outside this setting) (ii) Motivated patient with structured communication (iii) Access to high-quality quantitative PCR using the international scale (IS) (iv) Rapid turn-around of PCR (v) Patient's agreement to more frequent monitoring after stopping treatment: monthly for the first 6 months, every 2 months for months 7–12, and every 3 months thereafter
Minimal (stop allowed)	 (i) First-line therapy or second-line, if intolerance was the only reason for changing TKI (ii) Typical e13a2 or e14a2 BCR-ABL1 transcripts (iii) Duration of TKI therapy >5 years (>4 years for 2G-TKI) (iv) Duration of DMR (MR4 or better) > 2 years (v) No prior treatment failure
Optimal (stop recommended for consideration)	(i) Duration of TKI therapy >5 years(ii) Duration of DMR >3 years if MR4

TABLE 2: European LeukemiaNet requirements for tyrosine kinase inhibitor discontinuation [22].

CML: chronic myeloid leukaemia; CP: chronic phase; PCR: polymerase chain reaction; TKI: tyrosine kinase inhibitor; DMR: deep molecular response; MR4: molecular response with log 4 reduction in BCR/ABL transcripts.

2.1. Response Definitions. The patients were monitored with routine RQ-PCR for the BCR-ABL1 transcripts in an IS-accredited laboratory. Molecular responses of the RQ-PCR were expressed as a percentage. According to the ELN 2020 guidelines [22], complete cytogenetic response (CCyR) was defined as a PCR <1%, MMR as a PCR <0.1%, and a DMR as a PCR <0.01% (MR4) or <0.0032% (MR4.5). In the study cohort, the results of patients attempting TFR were monitored monthly (clinically and with molecular testing) for the first 6 months, and 2 monthly for the remainder of the first year of the TFR trial and 3 monthly beyond a year.

2.2. Statistical Analysis. The data were analysed using STATA software, version 17 (Statistics/Data Analysis, StataCorp LLC, College Station, Texas, United States), with numerical data reported as medians and ranges, and categorical data expressed in percentages and frequencies. Continuous variables included age at diagnosis, total duration on TKI, and duration of DMR and were analysed using a two-sample Wilcoxon rank-sum (Mann–Whitney) test. Other variables, including Sokal score, exposure to IFN α , and withdrawal symptoms, were analysed using Fisher's exact method.

2.3. Results

2.3.1. Patients. The total number of CML patients being treated in KEH at the time of the study was 206. The number of patients with CML qualifying for the TFR trial at the time of the study was 20 (10%), as shown in Figure 1.

The mean period of follow-up of the overall cohort was 13 months (SD 4.3). During the study period, 12 patients met the study inclusion criteria and had discontinued TKI therapy as per standard treatment guidelines (STG) at KEH. All 12 patients attempted TFR due to a shared decision (agreement between treating physician and patient to discontinue TKI therapy), with four of these patients having treatment-associated toxicity in addition.

The median age at disease diagnosis and at the time of discontinuation was 51 years (range 29–66) and 63 years (range 44–82), respectively, for patients attempting TFR. The patient characteristics, presenting clinical and haematological features, are reported in Table 3.

The most common symptoms that patients presented with at CML diagnosis were bleeding sequelae (33%), abdominal distension (25%), and early satiety accompanied by loss of weight (17%). Two patients presented with hyperviscosity, with one patient requiring leukapheresis. An incidental finding of CML, during routine testing, was noted in 33% of patients. All patients attempting TFR were in the chronic phase at diagnosis, with no additional cytogenetic abnormalities noted.

Of the patients attempting TFR, 11 of 12 patients (92%) were on Imatinib and one on second-line therapy with Nilotinib. This patient was on second-line treatment due to poor molecular response to front-lineImatinib therapy. Two patients were HIV positive; both remained treatment-free up to the end of the study follow-up period.

2.3.2. Treatment-Free Remission and Relapse. The overall TFR cohort showed a success rate of 75% (9 out of 12) at a median follow-up of 12 months (range [8–23]) (Figure 2). Successful TFR was defined as maintenance of MMR. There was only one patient that was on a second-generation TKI (Nilotinib), who had a successful TFR trial at 10 months of follow-up. This patient was on TKI therapy for a period of 184 months, total time in DMR of 33 months, and the time to DMR was 61 months.

Failed TFR referred to patients who lost MMR. The characteristics of the patients who failed TFR are detailed in Table 4. Of the three patients (25%) who failed TFR, all were female, and all lost MMR within the first 6 months of commencing the TFR trial. Despite the strict TFR Standard Treatment Guideline (STG) implementation, one of the patients only recommenced treatment 2 months after the loss of CCyR. She regained DMR within 6 months of TKI recommencement. The other two patients lost MMR and



FIGURE 1: Flowchart depicting chronic myeloid leukaemia (CML) patients qualifying for treatment-free remission (TFR).

	Overall group	Successful	Unsuccessful
Number	12	9	3
Median age at diagnosis in years (range)	51 (29–66)	50 (29-66)	53 (45-59)
Median age at discontinuation in years (range)	63 (44–82)	63 (44–82)	61(52-67)
Gender, n (%)			
Males	3 (25)	3 (33)	0
Female	9 (75)	6 (67)	3 (100)
Sokal score, n (%)			
Low	6 (50)	5 (56)	1 (33)
Intermediate	1 (8)	1 (11)	N/A
High	3 (25)	1 (11)	2 (67)
Indeterminate	2 (17)	2 (22)	N/A
Median time of follow-up in months (range)	12 (8–23)	12 (8–23)	12 (11–14)
TKI at discontinuation, \hat{n} (%)			
Imatinib	11 (92)	8 (89)	3 (100)
Nilotinib	1 (8)	1 (11)	0
Reasons for TKI discontinuation, n (%)			
Shared*	12 (100)	9 (75)	3 (25)
Toxicity	4 (33)	2 (17)	2 (17)
Median total duration of TKI in months (range)	133 (87–192)	137 (87–192)	96 (87-97)
Median time to DMR in months (range) overall	39 (13-89)	42 (13-89)	28 (13-54)
MR4 $(n-2)$	72 (54–89)	89	54
MR4.5 $(n-10)$	32 (13-61)	36 (13-61)	15 (13–17)
Median duration of DMR in months (range) overall	87 (33–148)	99 (33–148)	70 (43-82)
MR4 (<i>n</i> – 2)	40 (37-43)	37	43
MR4 5 $(n - 10)$	95 (33-148)	99 (33-148)	76(70-82)

TABLE 3: Profile of patients attempting treatment-free remission.

TKI: tyrosine kinase inhibitor; DMR: deep molecular response; TFR: treatment-free remission; shared decision*: agreement between treating physician and patient to discontinue TKI therapy.

regained DMR within four months, upon recommencement of treatment.

Upon embarking on TFR trial, there were improvements in all haematological laboratory parameters compared to baseline values over time (Figure 3) in all patients. Improvements in haemoglobin (p = 0.02) at 3 months, WCC (p = 0.001) at 6 months, platelets (p = 0.03) at 6 months, and absolute lymphocyte count (ALC) (p = 0.60) at 6 months were noted in patients with successful TFR. There was a notable drop in all four parameters with the recommencement of TKI in the failed TFR cohort. Changes in the ALC among patients who had a successful TFR showed



▲ denotes time frame for TFR failure

FIGURE 2: Kaplan–Meier estimates of TFR after TKI discontinuation in 12 patients with chronic myeloid leukaemia attempting TFR. The estimated survival without loss of major molecular response (failed TFR) at 12 months (media follow-up) was 75% for patients attempting TFR. TFR: Treatment-free remission; TKI: tyrosine kinase inhibitor.

TABLE 4: Characteristics of patients failing treatment-free remission.

Parameter		Overall	Patient 1	Patient 2	Patient 3
TFR failure, n^* (%)		3* (25)	_	_	_
Follow-up after TKI discontinuation in median [range]	1 months,	13 [9–14]	9	13	14
Time to molecular relapse in months, m	edian [range]	3 [3-4]	3	4	3
Time to recommencement of TKI therapy in months, median [range] Time to MMR after molecular relapse in months, median [range] Time to DMR after failed TFR in months, median [range]		2 [1-2]	1	2	2
		1 [1-3]	1	1	3
		4 [2-6]	2	4	6
Response at last follow-up, n (%)	MR4.0	1 (33)			X
	MR4.5	2 (67)	X	X	

TKI: tyrosine kinase inhibitor; DMR: deep molecular response; MR4.5: \geq 4.5-log reduction in BCR-ABL1 transcripts from baseline; MR4.0: \geq 4.0-log reduction in BCR-ABL1 transcripts from baseline; MMR: major molecular response. *Total TFR cohort = 12 patients.

a trend towards significance (p = 0.06), as opposed to patients who failed TFR (p = 0.60) and who had no significant change in ALC. There was no incidence of disease transformation in the study population.

2.4. Factors Influencing TFR. The results for the various factors predicting TFR successes are shown in Table 5. The median total period of TKI therapy in the successful group and unsuccessful group was 137 months (range 87–192) and 96 months (range 87–97), respectively, which differed significantly (Mann–Whitney U = 27, p < 0.05 two-tailed). The rest of the parameters showed no significance in terms of predicting outcome.

3. Discussion

The approach to CML management, with planned lifelong TKI therapy due to improved OS [7], has changed over the last 4 years. Numerous TFR trials have been undertaken in clinical practice globally [30–35] since the publication of treatment guidelines [21–25]. However, there is little data on CML from South Africa, and even less on TFR [38–41].

Although small, this single-centre study reaffirmed that TFR is safe, with no disease progression and a TFR success rate of 75% at 12 months of follow-up. Whilst the success rate is superior to those described in landmark studies [16-20] as well as other single-centre studies (Table 1), the follow-up period was notably shorter in our study, at most half the time. Another recently published, single-centre study reported in Kuwait by Alhuraiji et al. [47] also noted a high TFR success rate (74%), which they attributed to a prolonged period of DMR (>5 years). Despite the rate of TFR success being 75% at 12 months, and the risk of failing TFR being significantly less after 6 months [24], late relapses have been reported [48]. So the relatively shorter period of study follow-up, as well as small numbers in our study, may be confounders to the true long-term rate of TFR success in our CML population and may likely change with time.



FIGURE 3: (a–d) Depicting changes in haematological parameters ((a) Hb: haemoglobin; (b) WCC: white cell count; (c) platelets; and (d) ALC: absolute lymphocyte count) in patients attempting TFR, at time points 0, 3, 6, and 9 months. Blue lines depict patients with successful TFR, and red lines depict failed TFR attempt. Green arrow depicts mean period of recommencement of TKI in the failed TFR group. TFR: treatment-free remission; TKI: tyrosine kinase inhibitor.

The percentage of patients, who qualified for TFR, in our study (10%), was significantly less compared to the theoretical percentage (40%), as described by Goldberg and Hamarman of patients who would be eligible for TFR on first-line treatment [27]. The latter theoretical estimate, however, is not a true reflection of real-world data. The percentage reported (5–20%) across the globe in the single-centre studies [30–35] is a more realistic expectation for CMLpatients qualifying for TFR.

Of the biological factors as potential positive predictors of TFR success [29] from those reported in other studies, the only factor that had statistical significance, in our study, was the total time on TKI therapy [17, 18]. The median total time on TKI for our cohort was almost 1.5 to 4-fold more than the recommended minimum total period on TKI as per guidelines before discontinuation of TKI [21–25], which may also be the reason for the higher rate of success in our cohort. The cumulative period on TKI has been shown to be one of two strong predictors of TFR success [17, 18, 47]. In a study recently presented at ASCO by the MD Anderson group, Haddad et al. [49] noted higher rates of success (79%) for patients who remained in DMR for more than 5 years, which was not noted to be significant in our analysis. All other factors conferring influence on outcomes described in previous studies were not considered significant viz. Sokal score [16], withdrawal symptoms [17], older age [19], and prior exposure to IFN alpha [16]. Our study mirrored the latter study findings, with none of the aforementioned variables exhibiting any statistically significant influence on TFR success in our KEH cohort as well.

The changes noted in haematological parameters were assessed and appeared to show a general increase among

Number	Successful TFR $n = 9$	Failed TFR $n = 3$	<i>p</i> value
Age at diagnosis in years, median [range] [†]	50 [29-66]	53 [45-59]	0.60
Total duration on TKI in months [†] , median [range]	137 [87–192]	96 [87–97]	0.02
Duration of DMR in months [†] , median [range]	99 [83-113]	70 [43-82]	0.16
Sokal score, n (%)*			0.50
Low	5 (56)	1 (33)	
Intermediate	1 (11)	N/A	
High	1 (11)	2 (67)	
Indeterminate	2 (22)	N/A	
Exposure to IFN α , <i>n</i> (%)*			0.90
No	7 (78)	3 (100)	
Yes	2 (22)	0 (0)	
Withdrawal symptoms, n (%)*			0.50
No	3 (33)	2 (67)	
Yes	6 (67)	1 (33)	

TABLE 5: Factors predicting treatment-free response outcome.

[†]Two-sample Wilcoxon rank-sum (Mann–Whitney) test; *Fisher's exact; IQR: interquartile range; MR: deep molecular remission; IFNα: interferon alpha; TKI: tyrosine kinase inhibitor.

both the group of successful and unsuccessful patients undergoing TFR. An interesting difference was, however noted, related to the ALC. This ALC appeared to show a trend towards significance in successful TFR patients, as opposed to patients who failed TFR (p = 0.60). The latter may potentially be an indicator of the increased NK cell subsets described, by Imagawa et al. in the Dasatinib Discontinuation study (DADI) trial [20] and the Spanish study by Vigón et al. [50]. Further studies to verify NK cell subsets influence over successful TFR were reported by Hseih [51] and Irani et al. [52] in two separate studies. The ALC, which in addition to enumerating B- and T-lymphocytes, also includes NK cells, and the higher NK cells may predict successful TFR in CML. This should ideally be evaluated prospectively to determine whether ALC can be adopted as a surrogate biomarker to predict TFR success for patients undergoing TFR and whether it may be a quicker and more cost-effective method of predicting successful outcomes.

The one patient who failed TFR attempt, and subsequently regained DMR, resumed treatment beyond the advised period of reinitiation (with loss of CCyR) raises the question as to whether the stringency in monitoring in CML TFR can potentially be relaxed. Jumaa et al. [35] performed PCR's on their 18-patient cohort only 3 monthly within the first 6 months but reported no adverse events in the form of disease resistance or transformation for those patients who failed TFR. The latter study had a low patient number and probably will require a larger prospective randomised controlled trial before suggestions can be made for adjustment to the current TFR guidelines.

Patients undertaking TFR under non stringent conditions were not included in the analysis of our study. A few CML patients on TKIs from KEH underwent TFR trial under non stringent conditions. One patient attempted TFR because of pregnancy and the risk posed to the foetus by TKI therapy (Dasatinib) in pregnancy, despite having a mutation (Y253H—resistant to Imatinib and Nilotinib). A mutation is considered a contraindication to attempting TFR in CML [21–25], as the risk for clonal selection and disease progression is high [53]. This patient had achieved a DMR at the time of discontinuing TKI, but failed TFR trial, and lost CCyR off TKI after 6 months of discontinuing TKI. Postpartum, she regained DMR after 3 months of reinitiating TKI (Dasatinib) and remained in DMR after 13 months of follow-up. A small retrospective analysis, by Claudiani et al. involving patients with kinase domain mutations (KDM) [54], did not report any adverse events during TFR, and a 50% TFR success rate. The latter analysis did concede that patients with a KDM may attempt TFR due to significant treatment-related toxicity. However, this needs a larger prospective trial to assess TFR probability and safety in this cohort. The second patient did not follow-up (5 PCR tests only) strictly according to the institutional guidelines, due to defaulting, but remained in DMR after 20 months' followup. The last patient attempted TFR after being in DMR for only 6 months and 24 months of the total period on TKI therapy (since diagnosis), attempted TFR of her own accord, and failed TFR attempt at 6 months. She regained DMR after 6 months of recommencement of TKI therapy.

There can be no compromise to patient care or patients undertaking TFR beyond currently stipulated guidelines, as highlighted by the latter two patients. Non stringent TFR attempts may be offered to patients under exceptional circumstances, e.g., pregnancy or due to significant toxicities secondary to long-term TKI use. Should patients attempt TFR for indications beyond the current guidelines, they should carefully weigh-up the risk vs the benefit, as well as be counselled about compliance with strict PCR monitoring. The responses for these non-prescribed TFR case reports, may however, add to the current indication for CML TFR.

4. Conclusion

The goalpost of CML has been repositioned, with TFR being incorporated into mainstream clinical practice. No definitive guidelines can be drawn from our study due to the small patient numbers. A larger prospective multicentre study needs to be performed in Africa, as this may impact dramatically on disease burden and economic benefit to both patients and the healthcare systems. The latter requires adequate molecular monitoring and prompt reinitiation of treatment. This study has shown this goal of TFR to be potentially attainable in Africa and paves the way for further prospective study.

Data Availability

The data supporting the current study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Disclosure

This article is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study being reported; no important aspects of the study have been omitted; and any discrepancies from the study as planned have been explained.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge assistance from Catherine Connoly and Dr. Muhammad Kathrada for assistance with the statistical analysis.

References

- P. Krzyszczyk, A. Acevedo, E. J. Davidoff et al., "The growing role of precision and personalized medicine for cancer treatment," *Technology*, vol. 6, pp. 79–100, 2018.
- [2] J. F. Apperley, "Chronic myeloid leukaemia," *The Lancet*, vol. 385, no. 9976, pp. 1447–1459, 2015.
- [3] P. C. Nowell and D. A. Hungerford, "A minute chromosome in human chronic granulocytic leukemia," *Science*, vol. 132, p. 1497, 1960.
- [4] J. D. Rowley, "A new consistent chromosomal abnormality in chronic myelogenous leukaemia identified by Quinacrine fluorescence and Giemsa staining," *Nature*, vol. 243, no. 5405, pp. 290–293, 1973.
- [5] G. Q. Daley, R. A. Van Etten, and D. Baltimore, "Induction of chronic myelogenous leukemia in mice by the P210bcr/abl-Gene of the philadelphia chromosome," *Science*, vol. 247, no. 4944, pp. 824–830, 1990.
- [6] E. Buchdunger, J. Zimmermann, H. Mett et al., "Inhibition of the Abl protein-tyrosine kinase in vitro and in vivo by a 2phenylaminopyrimidine derivative," *Cancer Research*, vol. 56, pp. 100–104, 1996.
- [7] M. Deininger, S. O'Brien, F. Guilhot et al., "International randomized study of interferon vs STI571 (IRIS) 8-year follow up: sustained survival and low risk for progression or events in patients with newly diagnosed chronic myeloid leukemia in

chronic phase (CML-CP) treated with imatinib," *Blood*, vol. 114, no. Suppl. 1, 2009.

- [8] S. G. O'Brien, F. Guilhot, R. A. Larson et al., "Imatinib compared with interferon and low-dose cytarabine for newly diagnosed chronic-phase chronic myeloid leukemia," *New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 348, no. 11, pp. 994–1004, 2003.
- [9] T. Schindler, W. Bornmann, P. Pellicena, W. T. Miller, B. Clarkson, and J. Kuriyan, "Structural mechanism for STI-571 inhibition of abelson tyrosine kinase," *Science*, vol. 289, pp. 1938–1942, 2000.
- [10] N. Shanmuganathan and T. P. Hughes, "Molecular monitoring in CML: how deep? How often? How should it influence therapy?" *Hematology American Society of Hematology Education Program*, vol. 1, pp. 168–176, 2018.
- [11] A. Hochhaus, R. A. Larson, F. Guilhot et al., "Long-term outcomes of imatinib treatment for chronic myeloid leukemia," *New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 376, pp. 917–927, 2017.
- [12] J. Pinilla-Ibarz, J. Cortes, and M. J. Mauro, "Intolerance to tyrosine kinase inhibitors in chronic myeloid leukemia: definitions and clinical implications," *Cancer*, vol. 117, pp. 688–697, 2011.
- [13] V. García-Gutiérrez and J. C. Hernández-Boluda, "Tyrosine kinase inhibitors available for chronic myeloid leukemia: efficacy and safety," *Frontiers in Oncology*, vol. 9, pp. 1–10, 2019.
- [14] D. Réa, M. J. Mauro, C. Boquimpani et al., "A phase 3, openlabel, Randomized Study of ASCIMINIB, a stamp inhibitor, vs Bosutinib in CML after 2 or more prior TKIS," *Blood*, vol. 138, pp. 2031–2041, 2021.
- [15] L. Caldemeyer, M. Dugan, J. Edwards, and L. Akard, "Longterm side effects of tyrosine kinase inhibitors in chronic myeloid leukemia," *Current hematologic malignancy reports*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 71–79, 2016.
- [16] G. Etienne, J. Guilhot, D. Rea et al., "Long-term follow-up of the French stop imatinib (STIM1) study in patients with chronic myeloid leukemia," *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 298–305, 2017.
- [17] S. E. Lee, S. Y. Choi, H. Y. Song et al., "Imatinib withdrawal syndrome and longer duration of imatinib have a close association with a lower molecular relapse after treatment discontinuation: the kid study," *Haematologica*, vol. 101, no. 6, pp. 717–723, 2016.
- [18] F. Mahon, J. Richter, J. Guilhot et al., "Cessation of tyrosine kinase inhibitors treatment in chronic myeloid leukemia patients with deep molecular response: results of the Euro-Ski Trial," *Blood*, vol. 128, no. 22, p. 787, 2016.
- [19] S. Mori, E. Vagge, P. le Coutre et al., "Age and dPCR can predict relapse in CML patients who discontinued imatinib: the ISAV study," *American Journal of Hematology*, vol. 90, pp. 910–914, 2015.
- [20] J. Imagawa, H. Tanaka, M. Okada et al., "Discontinuation of dasatinib in patients with chronic myeloid leukaemia who have maintained deep molecular response for longer than 1 Year (Dadi Trial): a multicentre phase 2 trial," *The Lancet Haematology*, vol. 2, pp. e528–e535, 2015.

- [21] M. W. Deininger, N. P. Shah, J. K. Altman et al., "Chronic myeloid leukemia, version 2.2021, NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology," *Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network*, vol. 18, no. 10, pp. 1385–1415, 2020.
- [22] A. Hochhaus, M. Baccarani, R. T. Silver et al., "European LeukemiaNet 2020 recommendations for treating chronic myeloid leukemia," *Leukemia*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 966–984, 2020.
- [23] A. Hochhaus, S. Saussele, G. Rosti et al., "Chronic myeloid leukaemia: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up," *Annals of Oncology*, vol. 28, pp. 41–51, 2017.
- [24] T. P. Hughes and D. M. Ross, "Moving treatment-free remission into mainstream clinical practice in CML," *Blood*, vol. 128, no. 1, pp. 17–23, 2016.
- [25] D. Rea, S. Ame, M. Berger et al., "Discontinuation of tyrosine kinase inhibitors in chronic myeloid leukemia: recommendations for clinical practice from the French Chronic Myeloid Leukemia Study Group," *Cancer*, vol. 124, pp. 2956–2963, 2018.
- [26] F.-X. Mahon and G. Etienne, "Deep molecular response in chronic myeloid leukemia: the new goal of therapy?" *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 310–322, 2014.
- [27] S. Goldberg and S. Hamarman, "Patients with chronic myelogenous leukemia may not want to discontinue tyrosine kinase inhibitor therapy," *Blood*, vol. 126, no. 23, p. 1584, 2015.
- [28] M. Matteao, N. I. Noguera, M. M. Trawinska, G. Martinelli, C. Cerchione, and E. Abruzzese, "Treatment free remission in chronic myeloid leukemia: lights and shadows," *Hematology Reports*, vol. 12, no. Suppl 1, pp. 24–30, 2020.
- [29] R. Stuckey, J. F. López Rodríguez, and M. T. Gómez-Casares, "Discontinuation of tyrosine kinase inhibitors in patients with chronic myeloid leukemia: a review of the biological factors associated with treatment-free remission," *Current Oncology Reports*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 415–426, 2022.
- [30] J. H. Kong, E. F. Winton, L. T. Heffner et al., "Outcomes of chronic phase chronic myeloid leukemia after treatment with multiple tyrosine kinase inhibitors," *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, vol. 9, pp. 1–11, 2020.
- [31] N. Cerveira, B. Loureiro, S. Bizarro et al., "Discontinuation of tyrosine kinase inhibitors in CML patients in real-world clinical practice at a single institution," *Bio Med Central cancer*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 1–10, 2018.
- [32] R. R. McMullan, McConvilleC, and M. F. McMullin, "Response to therapy, treatment intolerance and tyrosine kinase inhibitor cessation eligibility in a real-world cohort of chronic myeloid leukaemia patients," *Ulster Medical Journal*, vol. 88, no. 2, pp. 105–110, 2019.
- [33] O. Benjamini, H. Kantarjian, M. B. Rios et al., "Patient-driven discontinuation of tyrosine kinase inhibitors: single institution experience," *Leukemia and Lymphoma*, vol. 55, no. 12, pp. 2879–2886, 2014.
- [34] M. Lino, T. Yamamoto, and Y. Sakamoto, "Outcomes of unplanned tyrosine kinase inhibitor discontinuation in patients with chronic myeloid leukemia: retrospective analysis of real-world experience in a single institution," *Hematology*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 355–361, 2019.

- [35] A. K. Jummaa, A. A. Khalaf, H. M. Hasson, Q. M. Dawood, and Z. R. Qasim, "Treatment-free remission in chronic myeloid leukemia (CML): experience of Basra Hematology centre," *Onkologiairadioterepia*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 17–19, 2022.
- [36] P. Jacobs, H. S. King, and D. M. Dent, "Chronic granulocytic leukaemia. A 10-year experience in the black, coloured and white populations of the south-western cape province," *South African Medical Journal*, vol. 63, no. 23, pp. 879–882, 1983.
- [37] K. Hodkinson, N. Bouwer, and J. Vaughan, "South African study of blast phase chronic myeloid leukaemia: a poor prognostic outlook," *African Journal of Laboratory Medicine*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 1578–1587, 2022.
- [38] G. Sissolak, J. Badenhorst, J. Steenkamp et al., "Treatment outcomes in CML patients treated with tyrosine kinase inhibitors at a tertiary teaching hospital in South Africa," *Clinical Lymphoma, Myeloma & Leukemia*, vol. 15, no. 12, pp. 803–810, 2015.
- [39] T. B. Sikhipha, G. Joubert, C. L. Barrett, C. D. Viljoen, S. Dhar, and J. L. R. Malherbe, "Chronic myeloid leukaemia in the South African public health setting: are we reaching the European LeukemiaNet targets for frontline therapy?" South African Journal of Oncology, vol. 4, p. 1 5, 2020.
- [40] M. Patel, V. Philip, F. Fazel et al., "Human immunodeficiency virus infection and chronic myeloid leukemia," *Leukemia Research*, vol. 36, pp. 1334–1338, 2012.
- [41] V. J. Louw, L. Dreosti, P. Ruff et al., "Recommendations for the management of adult chronic myeloid leukaemia in South Africa," *South African Medical Journal*, vol. 101, no. 11, pp. 840–846, 2011.
- [42] J. Morgan, R. J. DeBoer, J. B. Bigirimana et al., "A ten-year experience of treating chronic myeloid leukemia in rural Rwanda: outcomes and insights for a changing landscape," *JCO Global Oncology*, vol. 8, Article ID 22001311, 2022.
- [43] B. Entasoltan, M. A. Bekadja, H. Touhami et al., "Outcome of frontline treatment with generic imatinib in adult patients with chronic myeloid leukemia in Algerian population: a multicenter study," *Mediterranean journal of hematology* and infectious diseases, vol. 9, Article ID e2017062, 2017.
- [44] S. H. Swerdlow, E. Campo, N. L. Harris et al., WHO Classification of Tumours of Haematopoietic and Lymphoid Tissues, Vol. 2, International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France, 4 edition, 2017.
- [45] S. Dulucq, C. Astrugue, G. Etienne, F. X. Mahon, and A. Benard, "Risk of molecular recurrence after tyrosine kinase inhibitor discontinuation in chronic myeloid leukaemia patients: a systematic review of literature with a meta-analysis of studies over the last ten years," *British Journal of Haematology*, vol. 189, no. 3, pp. 452–468, 2020.
- [46] J. E. Sokal, E. B. Cox, M. Baccarani et al., "Prognostic discrimination in good-risk chronic granulocytic leukemia," *Blood*, vol. 63, no. 4, pp. 789–799, 1984.
- [47] A. Alhuraiji, M. Hasaneen, M. Hassanein et al., "CML-415 treatment free remission (TFR) in chronic myeloid leukemiachronic phase (CML-CP), Kuwait experience," *Clinical Lymphoma, Myeloma & Leukemia*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. S297–S299, 2022.
- [48] P. Rousselot, C. Loiseau, M. Delord, J. M. Cayuela, and M. Spentchian, "Late molecular recurrences in patients with

chronic myeloid leukemia experiencing treatment-free remission," *Blood Advances*, vol. 4, no. 13, pp. 3034–3040, 2020.

- [49] F. G. Haddad, E. Jabbour, G. C. Issa et al., "Treatment-free remission (TFR) in patients with chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) following the discontinuation of tyrosine kinase inhibitors," *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, vol. 40, no. 16_suppl, p. 7050, 2022.
- [50] L. Vigón, A. Luna, M. Galán et al., "Identification of immunological parameters as predictive biomarkers of relapse in patients with chronic myeloid leukemia on treatment-free remission," *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 42–21, 2020.
- [51] Y. C. Hsieh, K. Kirschner, and M. Copland, "Improving outcomes in chronic myeloid leukemia through harnessing the immunological landscape," *Leukemia*, vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 1229–1242, 2021.
- [52] Y. D. Irani, A. Hughes, J. Clarson et al., "Successful treatmentfree remission in chronic myeloid leukaemia and its association with reduced immune suppressors and increased natural killer cells," *British Journal of Haematology*, vol. 191, no. 3, pp. 433–441, 2020.
- [53] N. H. Shahrin, C. Wadham, and S. Branford, "Defining higher-risk chronic myeloid leukemia: risk scores, genomic landscape, and prognostication," *Current Hematologic Malignancy Reports*, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 171–180, 2022.
- [54] S. Claudiani, J. F. Apperley, A. Khan, J. Khorashad, and D. Milojkovic, "Prolonged treatment-free remission in chronic myeloid leukemia patients with previous *BCR-ABL1* kinase domain mutations," *Haematologica*, vol. 105, no. 5, pp. e225–e227, 2020.