

## Research Article

# Search for Anomalous Quartic $ZZ\gamma\gamma$ Couplings in Photon-Photon Collisions

M. Köksal,<sup>1</sup> V. Ari,<sup>2</sup> and A. Senol<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Optical Engineering, Cumhuriyet University, 58140 Sivas, Turkey

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Ankara University, 06100 Ankara, Turkey

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, Abant İzzet Baysal University, 14280 Bolu, Turkey

Correspondence should be addressed to A. Senol; [abdulkadirsenol@gmail.com](mailto:abdulkadirsenol@gmail.com)

Received 16 June 2016; Revised 23 September 2016; Accepted 18 October 2016

Academic Editor: Edward Sarkisyan-Grinbaum

Copyright © 2016 M. Köksal et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The publication of this article was funded by SCOAP<sup>3</sup>.

The self-couplings of the electroweak gauge bosons are completely specified by the non-Abelian gauge nature of the Standard Model (SM). The direct study of these couplings provides a significant opportunity to test the validity of the SM and the existence of new physics beyond the SM up to the high energy scale. For this reason, we investigate the potential of the processes  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow ZZ$ ,  $e^- \gamma \rightarrow e^- \gamma^* \gamma \rightarrow e^- ZZ$ , and  $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ \gamma^* \gamma^* e^- \rightarrow e^+ ZZ e^-$  to examine the anomalous quartic couplings of  $ZZ\gamma\gamma$  vertex at the Compact Linear Collider (CLIC) with center-of-mass energy 3 TeV. We calculate 95% confidence level sensitivities on the dimension-8 parameters with various values of the integrated luminosity. We show that the best bounds on the anomalous  $f_{M2}/\Lambda^4$ ,  $f_{M3}/\Lambda^4$ ,  $f_{T0}/\Lambda^4$ , and  $f_{T9}/\Lambda^4$  couplings arising from  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow ZZ$  process among those three processes at center-of-mass energy of 3 TeV and integrated luminosity of  $L_{\text{int}} = 2000 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  are found to be  $[-3.30; 3.30] \times 10^{-3} \text{ TeV}^{-4}$ ,  $[-1.20; 1.20] \times 10^{-2} \text{ TeV}^{-4}$ ,  $[-3.40; 3.40] \times 10^{-3} \text{ TeV}^{-4}$ , and  $[-1.80; 1.80] \times 10^{-3} \text{ TeV}^{-4}$ , respectively.

## 1. Introduction

The SM of particle physics has been tested with a lot of different experiments for decades and it is proven to be extremely successful. In addition, the discovery of all the particles predicted by the SM has been completed together with the ultimate discovery of the approximately 125 GeV Higgs boson in 2012 at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) [1, 2]. However, we need new physics beyond the SM to find answers to some fundamental questions, such as the strong CP problem, neutrino oscillations, and matter-antimatter asymmetry in the universe. The self-interactions of electroweak gauge bosons are important and more sensitive for new physics beyond the SM. The structure of gauge boson self-interactions is completely determined by the non-Abelian  $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$  gauge symmetry in the SM. Contributions to these interactions, beyond those coming from the SM, will be a supporting evidence of probable new physics. It can be examined in a model independent way via the effective Lagrangian approach. Such an approach is parameterized by

high-dimensional operators which induce anomalous quartic gauge couplings that modify the interactions between the electroweak gauge bosons.

In writing effective operators associated with genuinely quartic couplings we employ the formalism of [3, 4]. Imposing global  $SU(2)_L$  symmetry and local  $U(1)_Y$  symmetry, dimension-6 effective Lagrangian for the  $ZZ\gamma\gamma$  coupling is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_c, \\ \mathcal{L}_0 &= \frac{-\pi\alpha}{4} \frac{a_0}{\Lambda^2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} W_\alpha^{(i)} W^{(i)\alpha}, \\ \mathcal{L}_c &= \frac{-\pi\alpha}{4} \frac{a_c}{\Lambda^2} F_{\mu\alpha} F^{\mu\beta} W^{(i)\alpha} W_\beta^{(i)}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where  $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$  is the tensor for electromagnetic field tensor,  $a_{0,c}$  are the dimensionless anomalous quartic coupling constants, and  $\Lambda$  is a mass-dimension parameter associated with the scale of new physics.

The anomalous quartic gauge couplings come out also from dimension-8 operators. There are three classes of operators containing either covariant derivatives of Higgs doublet ( $D_\mu\Phi$ ) only, or two field strength tensors and two  $D_\mu\Phi$ , or field strength tensors only. The first class operators contain anomalous quartic gauge couplings involving only massive vector boson. We will not examine them since these operators contain only quartic  $W^+W^-W^+W^-$ ,  $W^+W^-ZZ$ , and  $ZZZZ$  interactions. In the second class, eight anomalous quartic gauge boson couplings are given by [5–7]

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{M0} &= \frac{f_{M0}}{\Lambda^4} \text{Tr} [W_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu}] \times [(D_\beta\Phi)^\dagger D^\beta\Phi], \\ \mathcal{L}_{M1} &= \frac{f_{M1}}{\Lambda^4} \text{Tr} [W_{\mu\nu} W^{\nu\beta}] \times [(D_\beta\Phi)^\dagger D^\mu\Phi], \\ \mathcal{L}_{M2} &= \frac{f_{M2}}{\Lambda^4} [B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}] \times [(D_\beta\Phi)^\dagger D^\beta\Phi], \\ \mathcal{L}_{M3} &= \frac{f_{M3}}{\Lambda^4} [B_{\mu\nu} B^{\nu\beta}] \times [(D_\beta\Phi)^\dagger D^\mu\Phi], \\ \mathcal{L}_{M4} &= \frac{f_{M4}}{\Lambda^4} [(D_\mu\Phi)^\dagger W_{\beta\nu} D^\mu\Phi] \times B^{\beta\nu}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{M5} &= \frac{f_{M5}}{\Lambda^4} [(D_\mu\Phi)^\dagger W_{\beta\nu} D^\nu\Phi] \times B^{\beta\mu}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{M6} &= \frac{f_{M6}}{\Lambda^4} [(D_\mu\Phi)^\dagger W_{\beta\nu} W^{\beta\nu} D^\mu\Phi], \\ \mathcal{L}_{M7} &= \frac{f_{M7}}{\Lambda^4} [(D_\mu\Phi)^\dagger W_{\beta\nu} W^{\beta\mu} D^\nu\Phi],\end{aligned}\quad (2)$$

where the field strength tensor of the  $SU(2)$  ( $W_{\mu\nu}$ ) and  $U(1)$  ( $B_{\mu\nu}$ ) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}W_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{i}{2} g \tau^i (\partial_\mu W_\nu^i - \partial_\nu W_\mu^i + g \epsilon_{ijk} W_\mu^j W_\nu^k), \\ B_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{i}{2} g' (\partial_\mu B_\nu - \partial_\nu B_\mu).\end{aligned}\quad (3)$$

Here,  $\tau^i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) are the  $SU(2)$  generators,  $g = e/\sin\theta_W$ ,  $g' = g/\cos\theta_W$ ,  $e$  is the unit of electric charge, and  $\theta_W$  is the Weinberg angle. The dimension-6 operators can be expressed simply in terms of dimension-8 operators due to their similar Lorentz structures. The following expressions show the relations between the  $f_{M_i}$  couplings for the  $ZZ\gamma\gamma$  vertex and  $a_0$  and the  $a_c$  couplings, needed to compare with the LEP results:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{f_{M0}}{\Lambda^4} &= \frac{a_0}{\Lambda^2} \frac{1}{g^2 v^2}, \\ \frac{f_{M1}}{\Lambda^4} &= -\frac{a_c}{\Lambda^2} \frac{1}{g^2 v^2}, \\ \frac{f_{M2}}{\Lambda^4} &= \frac{a_0}{\Lambda^2} \frac{2}{g^2 v^2},\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{f_{M3}}{\Lambda^4} = -\frac{a_c}{\Lambda^2} \frac{2}{g^2 v^2},$$

$$\frac{f_{M4}}{\Lambda^4} = \pm \frac{a_0}{\Lambda^2} \frac{1}{g^2 v^2},$$

$$\frac{f_{M5}}{\Lambda^4} = \pm \frac{a_c}{\Lambda^2} \frac{2}{g^2 v^2},$$

$$\frac{f_{M6}}{\Lambda^4} = \frac{a_0}{\Lambda^2} \frac{2}{g^2 v^2},$$

$$\frac{f_{M7}}{\Lambda^4} = \frac{a_c}{\Lambda^2} \frac{2}{g^2 v^2}.$$

(4)

The operators containing four field strength tensors lead to quartic anomalous couplings are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{T0} &= \frac{f_{T0}}{\Lambda^4} \text{Tr} [W_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu}] \times \text{Tr} [W_{\alpha\beta} W^{\alpha\beta}], \\ \mathcal{L}_{T1} &= \frac{f_{T1}}{\Lambda^4} \text{Tr} [W_{\alpha\nu} W^{\mu\beta}] \times \text{Tr} [W_{\mu\beta} W^{\alpha\nu}], \\ \mathcal{L}_{T2} &= \frac{f_{T2}}{\Lambda^4} \text{Tr} [W_{\alpha\mu} W^{\mu\beta}] \times \text{Tr} [W_{\beta\nu} W^{\nu\alpha}], \\ \mathcal{L}_{T5} &= \frac{f_{T5}}{\Lambda^4} \text{Tr} [W_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu}] \times B_{\alpha\beta} B^{\alpha\beta}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{T6} &= \frac{f_{T6}}{\Lambda^4} \text{Tr} [W_{\alpha\nu} W^{\mu\beta}] \times B_{\mu\beta} B^{\alpha\nu}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{T7} &= \frac{f_{T7}}{\Lambda^4} \text{Tr} [W_{\alpha\mu} W^{\mu\beta}] \times B_{\beta\nu} B^{\nu\alpha}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{T8} &= \frac{f_{T8}}{\Lambda^4} [B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} B_{\alpha\beta} B^{\alpha\beta}], \\ \mathcal{L}_{T9} &= \frac{f_{T9}}{\Lambda^4} [B_{\alpha\mu} B^{\mu\beta} B_{\beta\nu} B^{\nu\alpha}],\end{aligned}\quad (5)$$

where  $f_{T0}$ ,  $f_{T1}$ ,  $f_{T2}$ ,  $f_{T5}$ ,  $f_{T6}$ ,  $f_{T7}$ ,  $f_{T8}$ , and  $f_{T9}$  are dimensionless parameters which have no dimension-6 analogue.

The experimental 95% CL bounds on dimension-6  $ZZ\gamma\gamma$  couplings at the LEP by OPAL collaboration through the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z\gamma\gamma \rightarrow q\bar{q}\gamma\gamma$  are [8]

$$\begin{aligned}-0.007 \text{ GeV}^{-2} &< \frac{a_0}{\Lambda^2} < 0.023 \text{ GeV}^{-2}, \\ -0.029 \text{ GeV}^{-2} &< \frac{a_c}{\Lambda^2} < 0.029 \text{ GeV}^{-2}.\end{aligned}\quad (6)$$

The 95% CL one-dimensional bounds on dimension-8 parameters at the LHC by ATLAS collaboration through  $q\bar{q} \rightarrow Z\gamma\gamma$  with an integrated luminosity of  $20.3 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  at  $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$  [9] are

$$\begin{aligned}-1.6 \times 10^4 \text{ TeV}^{-4} &< \frac{f_{M2}}{\Lambda^4} < 1.6 \times 10^4 \text{ TeV}^{-4}, \\ -2.9 \times 10^4 \text{ TeV}^{-4} &< \frac{f_{M3}}{\Lambda^4} < 2.7 \times 10^4 \text{ TeV}^{-4},\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
-0.86 \times 10^2 \text{ TeV}^{-4} &< \frac{f_{T0}}{\Lambda^4} < 1.03 \times 10^2 \text{ TeV}^{-4}, \\
-0.69 \times 10^3 \text{ TeV}^{-4} &< \frac{f_{T5}}{\Lambda^4} < 0.68 \times 10^3 \text{ TeV}^{-4}, \\
-0.74 \times 10^4 \text{ TeV}^{-4} &< \frac{f_{T9}}{\Lambda^4} < 0.74 \times 10^4 \text{ TeV}^{-4}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{7}$$

In the literature, the anomalous quartic  $ZZ\gamma\gamma$  couplings have been performed with Monte-Carlo studies at the linear  $e^+e^-$  colliders via the processes  $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z\gamma\gamma$  [10, 11],  $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZZ\gamma$  [12],  $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}\gamma\gamma$  [13],  $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+\gamma^*e^- \rightarrow e^+ZZe^-$  [14],  $e\gamma \rightarrow ZZe$  [15],  $e\gamma \rightarrow Z\gamma e$  [16],  $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+\gamma^*\gamma^*e^- \rightarrow e^+ZZe^-$  [14], and  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow WWZ$  [17]. For the hadron colliders, studies have been done on anomalous quartic  $ZZ\gamma\gamma$  couplings via the processes  $p\bar{p} \rightarrow Z\gamma\gamma$  [18],  $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell\ell$  [19],  $pp \rightarrow p\gamma^*\gamma^*p \rightarrow pZZp$  [20–23],  $pp \rightarrow p\gamma^*p \rightarrow pZZqX$  [24],  $pp \rightarrow p\gamma^*p \rightarrow p\gamma ZqX$  [25], and  $pp \rightarrow qq\ell\ell$  [26].

## 2. Photon Colliders

The LHC may not be an ideal platform to study new physics beyond the SM because of remnants arising from the strong interactions. On the other hand, the linear colliders usually supply a cleaner environment with respect to the hadron colliders. The CLIC is one of the most popular linear collider designs, and it will operate in three different center-of-mass energy stages. Probable operating scenarios of CLIC are planned with an integrated luminosity of  $500 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  at 0.35 TeV,  $1500 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  at 1.4 TeV, and  $2000 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  at 3 TeV collision energy [27]. Having high luminosity and energy is extremely significant in terms of new physics research. Particularly, the anomalous quartic gauge couplings are described by means of high-dimensional effective Lagrangian which have very strong energy dependence. For this reason, the sensitivity to the anomalous couplings increases with energy much faster than the sensitivity to the SM ones, and they can be measured with better precision. Also, the  $e^-e^+$  colliders are more likely to produce three or more massive gauge bosons in the final states of the studying processes. As a result, these colliders will provide an occasion to investigate the anomalous quartic gauge boson couplings.

The expected design of the future linear collider will include operation also in  $e\gamma$  and  $\gamma\gamma$  modes. In  $e\gamma$  and  $\gamma\gamma$  processes, real photon beams can be generated by converting the incoming  $e^-$  and  $e^+$  beams into photon beams through the Compton backscattering mechanism. The maximum collision energy is expected to be 80% for  $\gamma\gamma$  collision and 90% for  $e\gamma$  collision of the original  $e^+e^-$  collision energy. However, the expected luminosities are 15% for  $\gamma\gamma$  collision and 39% for  $e\gamma$  collision of the  $e^+e^-$  luminosities [28]. Also when using directly the lepton beams, quasi-real photons will be radiated at the interaction allowing for processes like  $e\gamma^*$ ,  $\gamma\gamma^*$ , and  $\gamma^*\gamma^*$  to occur [29–34]. Alternatively, a  $\gamma^*$  photon emitted from either of the incoming leptons can interact with a laser photon backscattered from the other lepton beam, and the subprocess  $\gamma\gamma^* \rightarrow ZZ$  can take place. Hence, we calculate the process  $e\gamma \rightarrow e\gamma^*\gamma \rightarrow eZZ$  by integrating the




FIGURE 1: Tree-level Feynman diagrams for the processes (a)  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow ZZ$ , (b)  $e^- \gamma \rightarrow e^- \gamma^* \gamma \rightarrow e^- ZZ$ , and (c)  $e^+ \gamma^* \gamma^* e^- \rightarrow e^+ ZZ e^-$ .

cross section for the subprocess  $\gamma\gamma^* \rightarrow ZZ$  over the  $\gamma^*$  flux. Furthermore,  $\gamma^*$  photons emitted from both lepton beams can collide with each other and the subprocess  $\gamma^*\gamma^* \rightarrow ZZ$  can be produced, and the cross section for the full process  $ee \rightarrow e\gamma^*\gamma^*e \rightarrow eZZe$  is calculated by integrating the cross section for the subprocess  $\gamma^*\gamma^* \rightarrow ZZ$  over both  $\gamma^*$  fluxes. The quasi-real  $\gamma^*$  flux in  $\gamma\gamma^*$  and  $\gamma^*\gamma^*$  collisions is defined by the Weizsacker-Williams approximation (WWA). In the WWA, the electroproduction processes include a small angle of charged particle scattering. The virtuality of  $\gamma^*$  photons emitted by the scattering particle is very small. Hence, they are supposed to be almost real. There is a possibility to reduce the process of electroproduction to the photoproduction described by the following photon spectrum [32]:

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x, Q_{\max}) &= \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \left\{ \left[ 1 + (1-x)^2 \right] \log \frac{Q_{\max}}{Q_{\min}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2m_e x^2 \left[ \frac{1}{Q_{\min}} - \frac{1}{Q_{\max}} \right] \right\},
\end{aligned}
\tag{8}$$

where  $m_e$  is mass of the scattering particle,  $Q_{\min} = m_e^2 x / (1-x)$ , and  $x = E_\gamma / E$ .  $E_\gamma$  and  $E$  are energy of photon and energy of scattered electron (positron), respectively. Many examples of investigation of possible new physics beyond the SM through photon-induced processes using the WWA are available in the literature [24, 35–61].

## 3. ZZ Production at $\gamma\gamma$ , $\gamma^*\gamma^*$ , and $\gamma^*\gamma$ Collisions

In this section we will display the differential cross sections by considering the contributions of all three types of collisions separately,  $\gamma\gamma$ ,  $\gamma^*\gamma^*$ , and  $\gamma^*\gamma$ , for the  $ZZ$  productions through the process  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow ZZ$  and the subprocesses  $\gamma^*\gamma^* \rightarrow ZZ$  and  $\gamma\gamma^* \rightarrow ZZ$ . The representative leading order Feynman diagrams of these process are given in Figure 1. The dimension-8 anomalous interaction vertices in (2) and (5) are implemented in FeynRules [62] and passed to MadGraph 5 [63] framework by means of the UFO model [64].

**3.1.  $\gamma\gamma$  Collision.** The total cross section for the process  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow ZZ$  has been calculated by using real photon spectrum produced by Compton backscattering of laser beam off the high energy electron beam. We show the total cross section of the process  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow ZZ$  depending on the dimension-8 anomalous couplings  $f_{M2}/\Lambda^4$ ,  $f_{M3}/\Lambda^4$ ,  $f_{T0}/\Lambda^4$ , and  $f_{T9}/\Lambda^4$  for  $\sqrt{s} = 3 \text{ TeV}$  in Figure 2. In addition to these, the total cross sections

TABLE 1: The total cross sections as function of anomalous  $f_{M2}/\Lambda^4$ ,  $f_{M3}/\Lambda^4$ ,  $f_{T0}/\Lambda^4$ , and  $f_{T9}/\Lambda^4$  couplings assuming  $\Lambda = 1$  TeV for the processes  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow ZZ$ ,  $e^- \gamma \rightarrow e^- \gamma^* \gamma \rightarrow e^- ZZ$ , and  $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ \gamma^* \gamma^* e^- \rightarrow e^+ ZZ e^-$  at CLIC with  $\sqrt{s} = 3$  TeV.

Modes	Total cross sections (pb)			
$\gamma\gamma$	$31.0 f_{M2}^2$	$2.21 f_{M3}^2$	$28.36 f_{T0}^2$	$103.5 f_{T9}^2$
$e\gamma$	$1.96 f_{M2}^2$	$0.14 f_{M3}^2$	$1.80 f_{T0}^2$	$6.60 f_{T9}^2$
$e^+ e^-$	$1.24 \times 10^{-1} f_{M2}^2$	$8.86 \times 10^{-3} f_{M3}^2$	$1.14 \times 10^{-1} f_{T0}^2$	$4.19 \times 10^{-1} f_{T9}^2$




FIGURE 2: The total cross sections as function of anomalous  $f_{M2}/\Lambda^4$ ,  $f_{M3}/\Lambda^4$ ,  $f_{T0}/\Lambda^4$ , and  $f_{T9}/\Lambda^4$  couplings for the process  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow ZZ$  at CLIC with  $\sqrt{s} = 3$  TeV.




FIGURE 3: The total cross sections as function of anomalous  $f_{M2}/\Lambda^4$ ,  $f_{M3}/\Lambda^4$ ,  $f_{T0}/\Lambda^4$ , and  $f_{T9}/\Lambda^4$  couplings for the process  $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ \gamma^* \gamma^* e^- \rightarrow e^+ ZZ e^-$  at CLIC with  $\sqrt{s} = 3$  TeV.

as function of anomalous quartic couplings assuming  $\Lambda = 1$  TeV are given in Table 1. In Figure 2, the cross sections depending on the anomalous quartic gauge couplings were obtained by varying only one of the anomalous couplings at a time while the others were fixed to zero. From these figures we can see that the contribution comes from  $f_{T9}/\Lambda^4$  coupling to the cross section which grows rapidly while  $f_{M2}/\Lambda^4$ ,  $f_{M3}/\Lambda^4$ , and  $f_{T0}/\Lambda^4$  couplings are slowly varying. Hence the bounds on  $f_{T9}/\Lambda^4$  coupling are expected to be more sensitive in accordance with  $f_{M2}/\Lambda^4$ ,  $f_{M3}/\Lambda^4$ , and  $f_{T0}/\Lambda^4$ . Similarly, sensitivities on  $f_{M2}/\Lambda^4$  and  $f_{T0}/\Lambda^4$  couplings are expected to be more restrictive than sensitivities on  $f_{M3}/\Lambda^4$ .

**3.2.  $\gamma^* \gamma^*$  Collision.** The  $\gamma^* \gamma^* \rightarrow ZZ$  is generated via the quasi-real photons emitted from both lepton beams collision with each other and participates as a subprocess in the main process  $e^- e^+ \rightarrow e^- \gamma^* \gamma^* e^+ \rightarrow e^- ZZ e^+$ . When calculating the total cross sections for this process, we take into account the equivalent photon approximation structure function using the improved Weizsaecker-Williams formula which is embedded in MadGraph. The total cross sections of the process as a function of  $f_{M2}/\Lambda^4$ ,  $f_{M3}/\Lambda^4$ ,  $f_{T0}/\Lambda^4$ , and  $f_{T9}/\Lambda^4$  for  $\sqrt{s} = 3$  TeV are given in Figure 3 and tabulated in Table 1 assuming  $\Lambda = 1$  TeV.

**3.3.  $\gamma\gamma^*$  Collision.** One of the operating mode of the conventional  $e^+ e^-$  machine is the  $e\gamma$  mode. This mode includes  $\gamma\gamma^*$

collision of a Weiszacker-Williams photon ( $\gamma^*$ ) emitted from the incoming leptons and the laser backscattered photon ( $\gamma$ ). Thus, the reaction  $\gamma\gamma^* \rightarrow ZZ$  participates as a subprocess in the main process  $e^- \gamma \rightarrow e^- \gamma^* \gamma \rightarrow e^- ZZ$ . In Figure 4, we plot the total cross section of the process  $e^- \gamma \rightarrow e^- \gamma^* \gamma \rightarrow e^- ZZ$  as a function of dimension-8 couplings for  $\sqrt{s} = 3$  TeV. Also, the total cross sections as function of anomalous quartic couplings assuming  $\Lambda = 1$  TeV are given in Table 1.

#### 4. Bounds on Anomalous Quartic Couplings

The SM cross section of the processes  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow ZZ$ ,  $e^- \gamma \rightarrow e^- \gamma^* \gamma \rightarrow e^- ZZ$ , and  $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ \gamma^* \gamma^* e^- \rightarrow e^+ ZZ e^-$  is quite small, because the process  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow ZZ$  and the subprocesses  $\gamma^* \gamma \rightarrow ZZ$  and  $\gamma^* \gamma^* \rightarrow ZZ$  are not allowed at the tree level. They are only allowed at loop level and can be neglected. On the other hand, as stated in [65], the SM background and their interference contributions of the examined processes may be important for low center-of-mass energies such as 0.35 TeV and 1.4 TeV. However, the effect of the one-loop SM cross section at  $\sqrt{s} = 3$  TeV of these processes is expected to give relatively small contributions and it can be neglected. For this reason, we analysis anomalous  $ZZ\gamma\gamma$  quartic couplings only at  $\sqrt{s} = 3$  TeV for three processes. Therefore, in the course of statistical analysis, the bounds of all anomalous quartic couplings at 95% CL are calculated using the Poisson statistics test since the number of SM background events of




FIGURE 4: The total cross sections as function of anomalous  $f_{M2}/\Lambda^4$ ,  $f_{M3}/\Lambda^4$ ,  $f_{T0}/\Lambda^4$ , and  $f_{T9}/\Lambda^4$  couplings for the process  $e^- \gamma^* \gamma \rightarrow e^- ZZ$  at CLIC with  $\sqrt{s} = 3$  TeV.

the examined processes is expected to be negligible events for the various values of the luminosities at  $\sqrt{s} = 3$  TeV. In this case, the upper bounds of number of events  $N_{\text{up}}$  at the 95% CL can be calculated from the following formula:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N_{\text{obs}}} P_{\text{Poisson}}(N_{\text{up}}; k) = 1 - \text{CL}, \quad (9)$$

where  $N_{\text{obs}}$  is the number of observed events and the value of  $N_{\text{up}}$  can be obtained with respect to the value of the number of observed events. For calculating the limits on anomalous quartic gauge couplings in case there is no signal,  $N_{\text{obs}} = 0$ , and then  $N_{\text{up}}$  is always 3, for 95% CL. This upper limit on the number of events is translated, in each case separately, to an upper/lower limit on the anomalous quartic gauge couplings, using the cross section dependence on the anomalous quartic gauge couplings at the corresponding energy and multiplying the cross section by the branching ratio for leptonic  $Z$  decays and by the corresponding luminosity. The bounds at 95% CL on these couplings at the CLIC with  $\sqrt{s} = 3$  TeV for various integrated luminosities are shown in Figures 5–7 for the examined processes. Here we consider that only one of the anomalous couplings changes at any time.

As can be seen from Figure 5, the sensitivity bounds of  $f_{M2}/\Lambda^4$  and  $f_{M3}/\Lambda^4$  couplings obtained from the process  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow ZZ$  with  $\sqrt{s} = 3$  TeV and  $L_{\text{int}} = 2000 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  are calculated as  $[-3.30; 3.30] \times 10^{-3} \text{ TeV}^{-4}$  and  $[-1.20; 1.20] \times 10^{-2} \text{ TeV}^{-4}$  which are seven and six orders of magnitude better than the experimental bounds of the LHC, respectively. The expected best sensitivities on  $f_{T0}/\Lambda^4$  and  $f_{T9}/\Lambda^4$  couplings in Figure 5 are far beyond the sensitivities of the LHC. As can be seen from Table 2, when the luminosity reduction factor is taken into account, these limits become  $[-8.45; 8.45] \times 10^{-3} \text{ TeV}^{-4}$  and  $[-3.17; 3.17] \times 10^{-2} \text{ TeV}^{-4}$ , respectively. So, the sensitivity of the limits calculated using luminosity reduction factors decreases by about 2.5 times for  $\gamma\gamma$  option and 1.6 times for  $e\gamma$  option in  $e^+e^-$  collisions.




FIGURE 5: 95% CL sensitivity bounds of  $f_{M2}/\Lambda^4$ ,  $f_{M3}/\Lambda^4$ ,  $f_{T0}/\Lambda^4$ , and  $f_{T9}/\Lambda^4$  couplings for various values of integrated luminosities and  $\sqrt{s} = 3$  TeV through the process  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow ZZ$ .




FIGURE 6: 95% CL sensitivity bounds of  $f_{M2}/\Lambda^4$ ,  $f_{M3}/\Lambda^4$ ,  $f_{T0}/\Lambda^4$ , and  $f_{T9}/\Lambda^4$  couplings for various values of integrated luminosities and  $\sqrt{s} = 3$  TeV through the process  $e^- \gamma \rightarrow e^- \gamma^* \gamma \rightarrow e^- Z Z$ .

We compare our results with the best bounds obtained from the phenomenological studies of the LHC, future hadron, and linear colliders in the literature. The bounds on  $a_0/\Lambda^2$  and  $a_c/\Lambda^2$  couplings arising from dimension-6 operators have been obtained by [20, 66]. For 95% CL with integrated luminosity of  $200 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  at  $\sqrt{s} = 14$  TeV at the

TABLE 2: 95% CL sensitivity bounds of  $a_0/\Lambda^2$ ,  $a_c/\Lambda^2$ ,  $f_{M_2}/\Lambda^4$ ,  $f_{M_3}/\Lambda^4$ ,  $f_{T_0}/\Lambda^4$ , and  $f_{T_9}/\Lambda^4$  couplings for 2000 fb<sup>-1</sup> integrated luminosity and  $\sqrt{s} = 3$  TeV through the processes  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow ZZ$ ,  $e^- \gamma \rightarrow e^- \gamma^* \gamma \rightarrow e^- ZZ$ , and  $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ \gamma^* \gamma^* e^- \rightarrow e^+ ZZ e^-$ . The values in the parentheses are calculated limits using the luminosity reduction factor.

Couplings	$\gamma\gamma$ mode	$e\gamma$ mode	$e^+ e^-$ mode
$a_0/\Lambda^2$ (TeV <sup>-2</sup> )	$[-4.27; 4.27] \times 10^{-5}$ $([-1.09; 1.09] \times 10^{-4})$	$[-1.68; 1.68] \times 10^{-4}$ $([-2.69; 2.69] \times 10^{-4})$	$[-6.68; 6.68] \times 10^{-4}$
$a_c/\Lambda^2$ (TeV <sup>-2</sup> )	$[-1.55; 1.55] \times 10^{-4}$ $([-4.11; 4.11] \times 10^{-4})$	$[-6.29; 6.29] \times 10^{-4}$ $([-1.01; 1.01] \times 10^{-3})$	$[-2.46; 2.46] \times 10^{-3}$
$f_{M_2}/\Lambda^4$ (TeV <sup>-4</sup> )	$[-3.30; 3.30] \times 10^{-3}$ $([-8.45; 8.45] \times 10^{-3})$	$[-1.30; 1.30] \times 10^{-2}$ $([-2.08; 2.08] \times 10^{-2})$	$[-5.16; 5.16] \times 10^{-2}$
$f_{M_3}/\Lambda^4$ (TeV <sup>-4</sup> )	$[-1.20; 1.20] \times 10^{-2}$ $([-3.17; 3.17] \times 10^{-2})$	$[-4.86; 4.86] \times 10^{-2}$ $([-7.79; 7.79] \times 10^{-2})$	$[-1.90; 1.90] \times 10^{-1}$
$f_{T_0}/\Lambda^4$ (TeV <sup>-4</sup> )	$[-3.40; 3.40] \times 10^{-3}$ $([-8.83; 8.83] \times 10^{-3})$	$[-1.36; 1.36] \times 10^{-2}$ $([-2.17; 2.17] \times 10^{-2})$	$[-5.39; 5.39] \times 10^{-2}$
$f_{T_9}/\Lambda^4$ (TeV <sup>-4</sup> )	$[-1.80; 1.80] \times 10^{-3}$ $([-8.83; 8.83] \times 10^{-3})$	$[-7.09; 7.09] \times 10^{-3}$ $([-1.14; 1.14] \times 10^{-2})$	$[-2.81; 2.81] \times 10^{-2}$




FIGURE 7: 95% CL sensitivity bounds of  $f_{M_2}/\Lambda^4$ ,  $f_{M_3}/\Lambda^4$ ,  $f_{T_0}/\Lambda^4$ , and  $f_{T_9}/\Lambda^4$  couplings for various values of integrated luminosities and  $\sqrt{s} = 3$  TeV through the process  $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ \gamma^* \gamma^* e^- \rightarrow e^+ ZZ e^-$ .

LHC, the sensitivities on the anomalous couplings are calculated as  $[-1.1; 1.1]$  TeV<sup>-2</sup> and  $[-4.8; 4.8]$  TeV<sup>-2</sup>, respectively. However, the best sensitivities on  $a_0/\Lambda^2$  and  $a_c/\Lambda^2$  couplings for  $L_{\text{int}} = 590$  fb<sup>-1</sup> at  $\sqrt{s} = 3$  TeV at the CLIC are at the order of  $10^{-2}$  TeV<sup>-2</sup> [14]. Also, [5, 67] have investigated the couplings of dimension-8 operators at 95% CL with integrated luminosity of 300 fb<sup>-1</sup> at  $\sqrt{s} = 14$  TeV and 3000 fb<sup>-1</sup> at  $\sqrt{s} = 14$  TeV, 33 TeV, and 100 TeV at the LHC and future hadron colliders. The bounds on the couplings arising

from dimension-8 operators are given  $f_{M_2}/\Lambda^4 = 25$  TeV<sup>-4</sup> and  $f_{M_3}/\Lambda^4 = 38$  TeV<sup>-4</sup>.

In Table 2, we show the best sensitivity bounds at 95% CL of  $f_{M_{2,3}}/\Lambda^4$  and  $a_{0,c}/\Lambda^2$  couplings for three processes with integrated luminosity 2000 fb<sup>-1</sup> at  $\sqrt{s} = 3$  TeV. As can be seen in Table 2, our best sensitivities on  $a_{0,c}/\Lambda^2$  couplings by examining the process  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow ZZ$  are about  $10^5$  times better than the sensitivities calculated in [20, 66]. Our bounds can set more stringent sensitivity by three orders of magnitude with respect to the best sensitivity derived from the CLIC with  $\sqrt{s} = 3$  TeV. Finally, we can understand from Table 2 that the best bounds obtained through the process  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow ZZ$  with integrated luminosity 2000 fb<sup>-1</sup> at  $\sqrt{s} = 3$  TeV improve the sensitivities of  $f_{M_2}/\Lambda^4$  and  $f_{M_3}/\Lambda^4$  couplings by up to a factor of  $10^4$  compared to [5, 67]. However, we compare our results with the sensitivities of [67] which investigates phenomenologically  $f_{T_9}/\Lambda^4$  coupling via  $pp \rightarrow ZZ + 2j \rightarrow 4l + 2j$  process at  $\sqrt{s} = 14$  (33) TeV with 300 (3000) fb<sup>-1</sup> luminosity. The bound on  $f_{T_9}/\Lambda^4$  coupling at  $\sqrt{s} = 33$  TeV with  $L_{\text{int}} = 3000$  fb<sup>-1</sup> is  $[-2.50; 2.50]$  TeV<sup>-4</sup> which is up to a factor of  $10^3$  worse than our best bound. However, it can be seen from Figure 6 that bounds on  $f_{T_9}/\Lambda^4$  coupling obtained from the process  $e^- \gamma \rightarrow e^- \gamma^* \gamma \rightarrow e^- ZZ$  are more restrictive than the bounds on  $f_{M_2}/\Lambda^4$ ,  $f_{M_3}/\Lambda^4$ , and  $f_{T_0}/\Lambda^4$  couplings. The best sensitivities obtained for four different couplings from the process  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow ZZ$  in Figure 5 are approximately an order of magnitude more restrictive with respect to the main process  $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ \gamma^* \gamma^* e^- \rightarrow e^+ ZZ e^-$  in Figure 7 which is obtained by integrating the cross section for the subprocess  $\gamma^* \gamma^* \rightarrow ZZ$  over the effective photon luminosity. Although the luminosity reduction factor is taken into account in  $\gamma\gamma$  and  $e\gamma$  collision modes, the results show that  $\gamma\gamma$  collisions give the best bounds to test anomalous quartic gauge couplings with respect to  $\gamma^* \gamma^*$  and  $\gamma\gamma^*$  collisions. Principally, the sensitivity of the processes to anomalous couplings rapidly increases with the center-of-mass energy and the luminosity.

## 5. Conclusions

CLIC is envisaged as a high energy  $e^+e^-$  collider having very clean experimental conditions and being free from strong interactions with respect to the LHC. In addition, the number of SM events vanishes for  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow ZZ$ ,  $e^-\gamma \rightarrow e^-\gamma^* \rightarrow e^-ZZ$ , and  $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+\gamma^*\gamma^*e^- \rightarrow e^+ZZe^-$  processes. Therefore, the observation of a few events at the final state of such processes would be an important sign for anomalous quartic couplings beyond the SM. For these reasons, we have estimated the improvement of sensitivity to anomalous quartic  $ZZ\gamma\gamma$  couplings with dimension-8 as function of collider energies and luminosities through the processes  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow ZZ$ ,  $e^-\gamma \rightarrow e^-\gamma^* \rightarrow e^-ZZ$ , and  $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+\gamma^*\gamma^*e^- \rightarrow e^+ZZe^-$ . As a result, the CLIC as photon-photon collider provides an ideal platform to examine anomalous quartic  $ZZ\gamma\gamma$  gauge couplings at high energies and luminosities.

## Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## References

- [1] S. Chatrchyan, V. Khachatryan, A. M. Sirunyan et al., "Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC," *Physics Letters B*, vol. 716, no. 1, pp. 30–61, 2012.
- [2] G. Aad, T. Abajyan, B. Abbott et al., "Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC," *Physics Letters B*, vol. 716, no. 1, pp. 1–29, 2012.
- [3] G. Bélanger and F. Boudjema, "Probing quartic couplings of weak bosons through three vector production at a 500 GeV NLC," *Physics Letters B*, vol. 288, no. 1-2, pp. 201–209, 1992.
- [4] G. Bélanger and F. Boudjema, " $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow W^+W^-$  and  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow ZZ$  as tests of novel quartic couplings," *Physics Letters B*, vol. 288, no. 1-2, pp. 210–220, 1992.
- [5] M. Baak, A. Blondel, A. Bodek et al., "Study of electroweak interactions at the energy frontier," <https://arxiv.org/abs/1310.6708>.
- [6] C. Degrande, O. Eboli, B. Feigl et al., "Monte Carlo tools for studies of non-standard electroweak gauge boson interactions in multi-boson processes: a snowmass white paper," <https://arxiv.org/abs/1309.7890>.
- [7] O. J. P. Eboli, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, and J. K. Mizukoshi, " $pp \rightarrow jj e^\pm \mu^\pm \nu \nu$  and  $jj e^\pm \mu^\mp \nu \nu$  at  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_{em}^6)$  and  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_{em}^4 \alpha_s^2)$  for the study of the quartic electroweak gauge boson vertex at CERN LHC," *Physical Review D*, vol. 74, no. 7, Article ID 073005, 2006.
- [8] G. Abbiendi, C. Ainsley, P. F. Åkesson et al., "Constraints on anomalous quartic gauge boson couplings from  $\nu\bar{\nu}\gamma\gamma$  and  $q\bar{q}\gamma\gamma$  events at CERN LEP2," *Physical Review D*, vol. 70, Article ID 032005, 2004.
- [9] G. Aad, B. Abbott, J. Abdallah et al., "Measurements of  $Z\gamma$  and  $Z\gamma\gamma$  production in  $pp$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV with the ATLAS detector," *Physical Review D*, vol. 93, no. 11, Article ID 112002, 41 pages, 2016.
- [10] W. J. Stirling and A. Werthenbach, "Anomalous quartic couplings in  $W^+W^-\gamma$ ,  $Z^0Z^0\gamma$  and  $Z^0\gamma\gamma$  production at present and future  $e^+e^-$  colliders," *The European Physical Journal C—Particles and Fields*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 103–110, 2000.
- [11] A. Gutiérrez-Rodríguez, C. G. Honorato, J. Montaña, and M. A. Pérez, "Limits on the quartic couplings  $Z\gamma\gamma\gamma$  and  $ZZ\gamma\gamma$  from  $e^+e^-$  colliders," *Physical Review D*, vol. 89, no. 3, Article ID 034003, 2014.
- [12] G. Belanger, F. Boudjema, Y. Kurihara, D. Perret-Gallix, and A. Semenov, "Bosonic quartic couplings at LEP2," *The European Physical Journal C*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 283–293, 2000.
- [13] G. Montagna, M. Moretti, O. Nicrosini, M. Osimo, and F. Piccinini, "Quartic anomalous couplings at LEP," *Physics Letters B*, vol. 515, no. 1-2, pp. 197–205, 2001.
- [14] M. Köksal, "Anomalous quartic  $ZZ\gamma\gamma$  couplings at the CLIC," *The European Physical Journal Plus*, vol. 130, article 75, 2015.
- [15] O. Éboli, M. González-García, and S. Novaes, "Quartic anomalous couplings in  $e\gamma$  colliders," *Nuclear Physics B*, vol. 411, no. 2-3, pp. 381–396, 1994.
- [16] S. Atağ and İ. Şahin, "Anomalous quartic  $WW\gamma\gamma$  and  $ZZ\gamma\gamma$  couplings in  $e\gamma$  collision with initial beam and final state polarizations," *Physical Review D*, vol. 75, no. 7, Article ID 073003, 2007.
- [17] O. J. Éboli, M. B. Magro, P. G. Mercadante, and S. F. Novaes, "Quartic anomalous couplings in  $\gamma\gamma$  colliders," *Physical Review D*, vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 15–21, 1995.
- [18] P. J. Dervan, A. Signer, W. J. Stirling, and A. Werthenbach, "Anomalous triple and quartic gauge boson couplings," *Journal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics*, vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 607–615, 2000.
- [19] O. J. P. Éboli, M. C. Gonzalez-García, S. M. Lietti, and S. F. Novaes, "Anomalous quartic gauge boson couplings at hadron colliders," *Physical Review D*, vol. 63, no. 7, Article ID 075008, 8 pages, 2001.
- [20] E. Chapon, C. Royon, and O. Kepka, "Anomalous quartic  $WW\gamma\gamma$ ,  $ZZ\gamma\gamma$ , and trilinear  $WW\gamma$  couplings in two-photon processes at high luminosity at the LHC," *Physical Review D*, vol. 81, no. 7, Article ID 074003, 2010.
- [21] T. Pierzchała and K. Piotrkowski, "Sensitivity to anomalous quartic gauge couplings in photon-photon interactions at the LHC," *Nuclear Physics B: Proceedings Supplements*, vol. 179-180, pp. 257–264, 2008.
- [22] R. S. Gupta, "Probing quartic neutral gauge boson couplings using diffractive photon fusion at the LHC," *Physical Review D*, vol. 85, no. 1, Article ID 014006, 2012.
- [23] J. de Favereau de Jeneret, V. Lemaître, Y. Liu et al., "High energy photon interactions at the LHC," <https://arxiv.org/abs/0908.2020>.
- [24] A. Senol, "Anomalous quartic  $WW\gamma\gamma$  and  $ZZ\gamma\gamma$  couplings in  $\gamma\gamma$  collision at the LHC," *International Journal of Modern Physics A*, vol. 29, no. 26, Article ID 1450148, 2014.
- [25] İ. Şahin and B. Şahin, "Anomalous quartic  $ZZ\gamma\gamma$  couplings in  $\gamma\gamma$  collision at the LHC," *Physical Review D*, vol. 86, no. 11, Article ID 115001, 2012.
- [26] O. J. Éboli, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, and S. M. Lietti, "Bosonic quartic couplings at CERN LHC," *Physical Review D*, vol. 69, no. 9, Article ID 095005, 2004.
- [27] H. Abramowicz, A. Abusleme, K. Afanaciev et al., "Physics at the CLIC  $e^+e^-$  linear collider—input to the Snowmass process 2013," <https://arxiv.org/abs/1307.5288>.
- [28] V. I. Telnov, "Prospects of high energy photon colliders," *Nuclear and Particle Physics Proceedings*, vol. 273–275, pp. 219–224, 2016.
- [29] I. F. Ginzburg, G. L. Kotkin, S. L. Panfil, V. G. Serbo, and V. I. Telnov, "Colliding  $\gamma e$  and  $\gamma\gamma$  beams based on single-pass  $e^+e^-$  accelerators II. Polarization effects, monochromatization

- improvement,” *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research*, vol. 219, no. 1, pp. 5–24, 1984.
- [30] S. J. Brodsky, T. Kinoshita, and H. Terazawa, “Two-photon mechanism of particle production by high-energy colliding beams,” *Physical Review D*, vol. 4, no. 5, pp. 1532–1557, 1971.
- [31] H. Terazawa, “Two-photon processes for particle production at high energies,” *Reviews of Modern Physics*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 615–662, 1973.
- [32] V. M. Budnev, I. F. Ginzburg, G. V. Meledin, and V. G. Serbo, “The two-photon particle production mechanism. Physical problems. Applications. Equivalent photon approximation,” *Physics Reports*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 181–282, 1975.
- [33] J. M. Yang, “Probing new physics from top quark FCNC processes at linear colliders: a mini review,” *Annals of Physics*, vol. 316, no. 2, pp. 529–539, 2005.
- [34] I. F. Ginzburg, “Two photon physics. Personal recollection,” <https://arxiv.org/abs/1508.06581>.
- [35] S. Atag and A. Billur, “Possibility of determining  $\tau$  lepton electromagnetic moments in  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$  process at the CERN-LHC,” *Journal of High Energy Physics*, vol. 2010, article 60, 2010.
- [36] S. Atag, S. C. Inan, and I. Sahin, “Extra dimensions in photon-induced two lepton final states at the CERN LHC,” *Physical Review D*, vol. 80, no. 7, Article ID 075009, 2009.
- [37] İ. Şahin and S. C. İnan, “Probe of unparticles at the LHC in exclusive two lepton and two photon production via photon-photon fusion,” *Journal of High Energy Physics*, vol. 2009, no. 9, p. 69, 2009.
- [38] S. C. Inan, “Exclusive excited leptons search in two lepton final states at the CERN LHC,” *Physical Review D*, vol. 81, Article ID 115002, 2010.
- [39] İ. Şahin and M. Köksal, “Search for electromagnetic properties of the neutrinos at the LHC,” *Journal of High Energy Physics*, vol. 2011, no. 3, article 100, 2011.
- [40] İ. Sahin and A. A. Billur, “Anomalous  $WW\gamma$  couplings in  $\gamma\gamma$  collision at the LHC,” *Physical Review D*, vol. 83, Article ID 035011, 2011.
- [41] M. Köksal and S. C. İnan, “Anomalous  $tq\gamma$  couplings in  $\gamma\gamma$  collision at the LHC,” *Advances in High Energy Physics*, vol. 2014, Article ID 935840, 11 pages, 2014.
- [42] M. Köksal and S. C. İnan, “Search for the anomalous interactions of up-type heavy quarks in  $\gamma\gamma$  collision at the LHC,” *Advances in High Energy Physics*, vol. 2014, Article ID 315826, 8 pages, 2014.
- [43] A. A. Billur and M. Köksal, “Probe of the electromagnetic moments of the tau lepton in  $\gamma\text{-}\gamma$  collisions at the CLIC,” *Physical Review D*, vol. 89, no. 3, Article ID 037301, 2014.
- [44] A. Senol, “ $ZZ\gamma$  and  $Z\gamma\gamma$  anomalous couplings in  $\gamma\gamma$  collision at the LHC,” *Physical Review D*, vol. 87, Article ID 073003, 2013.
- [45] İ. Şahin, A. A. Billur, S. C. İnan et al., “Probe of extra dimensions in  $\gamma q \rightarrow \gamma q$  at the LHC,” *Physical Review D*, vol. 88, no. 9, Article ID 095016, 8 pages, 2013.
- [46] S. C. İnan and A. A. Billur, “Polarized top pair production in extra dimension models via photon-photon fusion at the CERN LHC,” *Physical Review D*, vol. 84, no. 9, Article ID 095002, 9 pages, 2011.
- [47] İ. Şahin and B. Şahin, “Anomalous quartic  $ZZ\gamma\gamma$  couplings in  $\gamma\gamma$  collision at the LHC,” *Physical Review D*, vol. 86, Article ID 115001, 2012.
- [48] B. Şahin and A. A. Billur, “Anomalous  $Wtb$  couplings in  $\gamma\gamma$  collision at the LHC,” *Physical Review D*, vol. 86, no. 7, Article ID 074026, 7 pages, 2012.
- [49] A. A. Billur, “Anomalous top-gluon couplings in  $\gamma\gamma$  collision at the CERN-LHC,” *Europhysics Letters*, vol. 101, no. 2, Article ID 21001, 2013.
- [50] M. Taševský, “Diffractive physics program in ATLAS experiment,” *Nuclear Physics B: Proceedings Supplements*, vol. 179–180, pp. 187–195, 2008.
- [51] M. Tasevsky, “Measuring central exclusive processes at LHC,” <https://arxiv.org/abs/0910.5205>.
- [52] H. Sun, “Probe anomalous  $tq\gamma$  couplings through single top photoproduction at the LHC,” *Nuclear Physics B*, vol. 886, pp. 691–711, 2014.
- [53] H. Sun and C.-X. Yue, “Precise photoproduction of the charged top-pions at the LHC with forward detector acceptances,” *The European Physical Journal C*, vol. 74, no. 4, p. 2823, 2014.
- [54] H. Sun, “Dark matter searches in jet plus missing energy events in  $\gamma\gamma$  collisions at the CERN LHC,” *Physical Review D*, vol. 90, no. 3, Article ID 035018, 12 pages, 2014.
- [55] H. Sun, Y.-J. Zhou, and H.-S. Hou, “NLO QCD corrections to Single Top and W associated photoproduction at the LHC with forward detector acceptances,” *Journal of High Energy Physics*, vol. 2015, no. 2, article 064, 2015.
- [56] M. Köksal, “Analysis of excited neutrinos at the CLIC,” *International Journal of Modern Physics A*, vol. 29, no. 24, Article ID 1450138, 2014.
- [57] M. Köksal, “Study of anomalous  $WW\gamma\gamma$  coupling sensitivity at the compact linear collider,” *Modern Physics Letters A*, vol. 29, no. 34, Article ID 1450184, 14 pages, 2014.
- [58] V. Ari, A. A. Billur, S. C. İnan, and M. Köksal, “Anomalous  $WW\gamma$  couplings with beam polarization at the Compact Linear Collider,” *Nuclear Physics B*, vol. 906, pp. 211–230, 2016.
- [59] A. Gutierrez-Rodriguez, M. Köksal, and A. A. Billur, “Improved bounds on the dipole moments of the tau neutrino from high-energy  $\gamma^*e^-$  and  $\gamma^*\gamma^*$  collisions at the ILC and CLIC,” *Physical Review D*, vol. 91, no. 9, Article ID 093008, 2015.
- [60] S. C. İnan, “Dimension-six anomalous  $tq\gamma$  couplings in  $\gamma\gamma$  collision at the LHC,” *Nuclear Physics B*, vol. 897, pp. 289–301, 2015.
- [61] S. C. Inan, “Fourth generation leptons search in  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \ell^-\ell^+$  process at the CERN-LHC,” *International Journal of Modern Physics A*, vol. 26, no. 21, pp. 3605–3613, 2011.
- [62] A. Alloul, N. D. Christensen, C. Degrande, C. Duhr, and B. Fuks, “FeynRules 2.0—a complete toolbox for tree-level phenomenology,” *Computer Physics Communications*, vol. 185, no. 8, pp. 2250–2300, 2014.
- [63] J. Alwall, R. Frederix, S. Frixione et al., “The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations,” *Journal of High Energy Physics*, vol. 2014, no. 7, article 79, 2014.
- [64] C. Degrande, C. Duhr, B. Fuks, D. Grellscheid, O. Mattelaer, and T. Reiter, “UFO—the universal FeynRules output,” *Computer Physics Communications*, vol. 183, no. 6, pp. 1201–1214, 2012.
- [65] T. Diakonidis, G. J. Gounaris, and J. Layssac, “A FORTRAN code for  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow ZZ$  in SM and MSSM,” *European Physical Journal*, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 47–52, 2007.
- [66] N. Cartiglia, C. Royon, K. Akiba et al., “LHC forward physics,” Tech. Rep. CERN-PH-LPCC-2015-001, SLAC-PUB-16364, DESY-15-167, 2015.
- [67] C. Degrande, J. L. Holzbauer, S.-C. Hsu et al., “Studies of vector boson scattering and triboson production with DELPHES parametrized fast simulation for snowmass 2013,” <https://arxiv.org/abs/1309.7452>.



**Hindawi**

Submit your manuscripts at  
<http://www.hindawi.com>

