1. Introduction

The phenomenon of charge and parity violation which was originally discovered in the neutral kaon-system via measuring the oscillation probability of $K^0$ into $\bar{K}^0$ [1] is now well understood. It besides being a new effect, had provided the ground for further exploration not only as an independent phenomenon but also its relation with a phenomenon such as Leptogenesis [2–5], Baryogenesis [6], nature of the Higgs boson [7, 8] and Dark matter of the Universe [9–11]. The Standard-Model (SM) which is originally CP-symmetric could still allow a tiny amount of CP-violation via the quark decay were already been studied in the literature for a fixed CP-violating scale $\Lambda$. Estimates on sensitivities of the coupling strength of such interactions for 13 TeV LHC energy with $\int \mathcal{L} dt = 36.1$ fb$^{-1}$, 140 fb$^{-1}$ and for HL-LHC with 14 TeV energy with integrated luminosities of 0.3 ab$^{-1}$, 1 ab$^{-1}$, 2 ab$^{-1}$, and 3 ab$^{-1}$ are also presented for $\Lambda$ ranging between $M_W$ and 2 TeV.

Guided with the aforementioned phenomenon, in the present article we explore the possibility of a model-independent extension of the SM in the form of T-odd anomalous interactions of the top-quark with gluons in the context of top-pair production at the LHC with pre-existing data at 13 TeV center-of-mass (C.M.) energy and the forthcoming 14 TeV run for projected Luminosities of about 0.3 ab$^{-1}$, 1 a b$^{-1}$, 2 ab$^{-1}$ and 3 ab$^{-1}$ respectively.

The T-violating interactions of the top-quark have already been studied in the literature for a fixed CP-violating scale in the Refs. [15–34]; for example, CP-violation at future $e^+e^-$ collider in $t\bar{t}$ production is investigated in Ref. [15], Ref. [16] considered CP-violation due to complex top-Yukawa coupling in $e^+e^-\rightarrow h\bar{t}t$ at the future $e^+e^-$ collider, Charge-asymmetries in $b\bar{b}$ pair from top-quark decay were first analysed in Ref. [17], Ref. [18] studied the CP-violation using T-odd correlations in lepton plus jets channel, Ref. [19] explored the possibilities of CP-violation in a rare process of top decay $t\rightarrow bhc$, Ref. [20] examines the possible CP-violating effects due to one-loop corrections to the top pair production process in the complex MSSM with minimal flavor violation (MFV) at hadron colliders and Ref. [21] investigates the CP-violation in the decay of a single top-quark produced in the t-channels. Similar studies have been performed for effective anomalous CP-violating couplings for the process $\gamma\gamma\rightarrow t\bar{t}$ in Refs. [35, 36], at FLC in Ref. [37] and in the context of muon colliders in Refs. [38]. The present article explores the effect of such anomalous interactions for a wide range of CP-violating scale and
provides the LHC-sensitivities for the coupling of such interactions via the process $pp \rightarrow tt \rightarrow (bl\nu_l)(b\nu_l)$ using T-odd triple product correlations defined in Ref. [39].

Plan of the article is as follows: In Section 2 we discuss the model and possible T-odd observables for the top-pair production at the LHC and how these observables are suitable for analysing the effects of the CP-violation. Section 3 discusses the numerical procedure and results on T-odd interactions. The experimental sensitivities of the T-odd couplings are also discussed in the same section. Finally, we summarise our findings in Section 4.

2. T-Odd Observables and Top-Pair Production

CP-violation in the quark sector (except for the top-quark) faces an observational difficulty which partially lies in the fact that due to relatively larger life-time than the hadronisation scale, which is of about 140 MeV ($\approx m_{\pi^0}$, the mass of pion), quarks form bound states and thereby leave no scope for studying pure CP-violation. By being much heavier than other quarks and also much energetic than the hadronisation scale, top-quark turns out to be the only expectation to test direct CP-violation. The life-time of a top-quark is less than the time required for a quark to hadronise therefore it does not form any bound state. Consequently the dynamics of top-production and decay do not get affected by complications of non-perturbative and bound state physics and, therefore, the CP-violation effects involving top-quark will be of direct type. At hadron colliders, processes involving top-quarks have a further advantage in having larger cross-sections due to the strong interactions. This, therefore, enables us to directly investigate the effects of such interactions via the pair-production of the top-quarks and their subsequent decays into a pair of leptons and $b$-quarks.

Our study of finding CP-violation is based on estimating asymmetries through CP-violating observables. CP-odd observables can be formed using T-odd correlations which may not necessarily be CP-odd instead these could be CP-even as well and T-odd is not for time-reversal here, rather, it represents naive T-odd [40].

The chromo-electric dipole moment (CEDM) of the top-quark causes the CP-violation in the top-pair production vertex. In the presence of T-odd interactions of the top-quark with gluon, the SM Lagrangian could be modified for the $tt$ production process by the following interaction term [41]:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = -i g \frac{d_g}{2} \left( \frac{d_g}{\Lambda} \right) \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 G^{\mu\nu} t,$$  \quad (1)

with $g$, the strong coupling constant, $G^{\mu\nu}$ the gluon field-strength tensor, $d_g$ and $\Lambda$ being the interaction strength and energy scale of the CP-violation respectively and $\sigma_{\mu\nu} = 2i[\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu]$. 

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Experimental values of Standard Model input parameters [49].}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline
SM parameter & Experimental value \\
\hline
$m_b (m_t)$ & 4.7 ± 0.06 GeV \\
m_t & 173.0 ± 0.4 GeV \\
$M_W$ & 80.387 ± 0.02 GeV \\
$s^0_{\mu} (M_Z)$ & 0.118 ± 0.001 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}
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These would clearly have a significant contribution to the top-pair production processes at hadron colliders, particularly for colliders alike LHC where the fusion of gluons emerging from the colliding protons makes about 90% contribution, the rest being the annihilation of light-partons of opposite charges. A schematic representation of various parton-level processes describing the production of t¯t at the LHC where the modification occurs due to the presence of additional T-odd interactions given by Eq. (1) is shown in Figure 1. The first four diagrams of Figure 1 represent the production of t¯t pairs through gg fusion and the last one is via q̅q annihilation. The first three diagrams of Figure 1 are present in the SM as well, the fourth diagram which is absent in the SM represents the effective t¯tgg vertex and is the expandable SM. It is also worthwhile to mention that as the semileptonic decay of the top (anti-top) takes place due to weak-interactions, the branching ratio of the top-quark will remain intact as of the SM.

At first, we start our calculation with the T-odd correlations induced by anomalous top-quark couplings defined in the following equations:

\[ \mathcal{L}_1 = e(p_b, p_{\bar{b}}, p_{\tau}, p_{\bar{\tau}}) \]
\[ \mathcal{L}_2 = \bar{q} \cdot (p_{\tau} - p_{\bar{\tau}}) e(p_{\tau}, p_{\bar{\tau}}, p_b + p_{\bar{b}}, \bar{q}) \]
\[ \mathcal{L}_3 = \bar{q} \cdot (p_{\tau} - p_{\bar{\tau}}) e(p_b, p_{\bar{b}}, p_{\tau}, p_{\bar{\tau}}, \bar{q}) \]
\[ \mathcal{L}_4 = e(P, p_b - p_{\bar{b}}, p_{\tau}, p_{\bar{\tau}}) \]
\[ \mathcal{L}_5 = e(p_b + p_{\bar{b}}, p_{\tau} + p_{\bar{\tau}}, p_b + p_{\bar{b}}, p_{\tau} - p_{\bar{\tau}}), \]

where in the above expressions \( e(a, b, c, d) = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} a^{\mu} b^{\nu} c^{\rho} d^{\sigma} \) with \( \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \) being the Levi-Civita symbol of rank 4 which is completely anti-symmetric with \( \epsilon_{0123} = 1 \) and \( p_b (p_{\bar{b}}), p_{\tau} (p_{\bar{\tau}}) \) represent the four-momenta of b(\( \bar{b})\) -quark, lepton (anti-lepton) respectively. \( P \) is the sum of four-momenta of b-quark, lepton, anti-\( \bar{b} \)-quark and anti-lepton and \( \bar{q} \) is the difference of two-beam four momenta, defined as

\[ P = p_b + \bar{p}_{\tau} + p_{\bar{b}} + \bar{p}_{\tau}, \]
\[ \bar{q} = P_1 - P_2. \]

It is interesting to note that the aforementioned...
observables neither require reconstruction of the produced tops nor any information about the spin of the produced particles. Also, a b-jet is distinguished with a \( \bar{b} \)-jet by measuring the direction of leptons i.e. the b-jet closer to \( l^+ \) is identified as the one arising due to a \( b \)-quark whereas the other b-jet closer to \( l^- \) is identified as the one arising due to a \( \bar{b} \)-quark.

Let us now consider observable \( C_1 \) to check its CP properties [28, 39]

\[
C_1 = \epsilon(P_b, P_{\bar{b}}, P_{l^+}, P_{l^-}) \quad \text{(4)}
\]

Now \( \bar{P}_b \cdot (P_{l^+} \times P_{l^-}) = \bar{P}_b \cdot (P_{l^-} \times P_{l^+}) = -\bar{P}_b \cdot (P_{l^+} \times P_{l^-}) = \bar{P}_b \cdot (P_{l^-} \times P_{l^+}) \)

\[
\bar{P}_b \cdot (P_{l^+} \times P_{l^-}) = -\bar{P}_b \cdot (P_{l^-} \times P_{l^+}) = -\bar{P}_b \cdot (P_{l^+} \times P_{l^-}) = \bar{P}_b \cdot (P_{l^-} \times P_{l^+}).
\]

This further suggests that \( C_1 \) is indeed CP-odd. In addition to the observables discussed in Eqs. (2), we also construct the following new observables:

\[
C_6 = \epsilon(P, \bar{q}_b, P_{l^+} + P_{l^-})
\]

\[
C_7 = \epsilon(P, \bar{q}_b, P_{l^+})
\]

\[
C_8 = \epsilon(P, \bar{q}_b, P_{l^-}).
\]

The advantage of considering these additional observables lies in the fact that these require lesser information than the observables defined in Eqs. (2). For example, observable
\[ C_6 \] requires information regarding the beam direction, a lepton having a positive charge and the associated \( b \)-quark and identifying a lepton having a negative charge and the associated anti-\( b \)-quark. Observable \( C_7 \) requires information of the beam direction and leptons having a positive and negative charge. Similarly observable \( C_8 \) requires information of the beam direction, \( b \)-quark and anti-\( b \)-quark. In the next section, we will discuss the numerical simulation in detail.

### 3. Numerical Analysis

In order to perform our study, we first produced \( t\bar{t} \) pairs through the process \( pp \rightarrow t\bar{t} \) and allowed them to decay semileptonically into \( (bl^+\nu_l)(b\bar{l}^+\bar{\nu}_l) \) subsequently with the aid of MadGraph5 \[42–44\] at Leading order (LO) using the decay chain feature described in Ref. \[44\]. Later these events are interfaced to Pythia8 \[45\] for Showering Hadronization. The \( CP \)-violating interactions discussed in Eqs. (2) and (6) have been incorporated in the MadGraph5 via incorporating the Lagrangian given in Eq. (1) in FeynRules \[46\]. The events are generated with the following selection criteria:

- \( P_T(l^+) > 20 \) GeV,
- \( P_T(b, \bar{b}) > 25 \) GeV,
- \( \eta(b, \bar{b}, l^+) < 2.5 \),
- \( \Delta R(bb) > 0.4 \),
- \( \Delta R(l^+\bar{l}^-) > 0.2 \),
- \( \Delta R(bl) > 0.4 \),
- \( E_T > 30 \) GeV.

The experimental values of the input parameters considered in our study are presented in Table 1, the renormalisation and factorisation scale has been set to 91.188 GeV and the parton distribution functions had been considered to be \( \text{nn23lo1} \) \[47, 48\].

In order to estimate the asymmetries at the LHC, we generate \( pp \rightarrow t\bar{t} \rightarrow (bl^+\nu_l)(b\bar{l}^+\bar{\nu}_l) \) events with the aid of MadGraph5 at 13 TeV and 14 TeV LHC energies with distinctive values of coupling constant \( (d_g) \) and scale parameter \( (\Lambda) \) for the observables given in Eqs. (2) and (6). The values of coupling constant \( d_g \) and scale parameter \( \Lambda \) have been

![Figure 6: \( d_\theta \) vs. \( \Lambda \) for observable \( C_1 \) at \( \sqrt{s} = 14 \) TeV energy at LHC for an integrated luminosity of (a) 0.3 \( ab^{-1} \), (b) 1 \( ab^{-1} \), (c) 2 \( ab^{-1} \), and (d) 3 \( ab^{-1} \) respectively.](image-url)
considered from 0 to $5 \times 10^{-2}$ and $M_W$ to 2 TeV respectively where $d_y = 0$ is actually SM. The associated CP-violating asymmetry for the observables listed in Eqs. (2) and (6) is constructed using the formula:

$$A_{CP} = \frac{N(\mathcal{E}_i > 0) - N(\mathcal{E}_i < 0)}{N(\mathcal{E}_i > 0) + N(\mathcal{E}_i < 0)}, \quad (8)$$

where the numerator represents the difference between the number of events having positive and negative values of the observable whereas the denominator represents the total number of events. Clearly, for a CP-symmetric observable, $A_{CP}$ would be zero because the number of events with a positive value of observable will be equal to the number of events having positive and negative values of the observable and non-zero otherwise. The number of experimentally measured $pp \rightarrow tt \rightarrow (bl^+ \nu_l)(bf^- \nu_l)$ events at the LHC are given by

$$N^{\text{exp}} = \sigma^{\text{exp}} \times \text{Br}(t \rightarrow bl\nu) \times (b_{\text{tag}})^2 \times \varepsilon_{\text{eff}} \times \int \mathcal{L} \, dt, \quad (9)$$

where $\sigma^{\text{exp}}$ represents the experimentally measured value of the $tt$ cross-section at a given C.M. energy at the LHC, $b_{\text{tag}}$ is the $b$-tagging efficiency, $\varepsilon_{\text{eff}}$ is the efficiency of cuts and $\int \mathcal{L} \, dt$ represents the integrated luminosity at the LHC. The sensitivity for a given observable could be estimated by comparing the $A_{CP}$ corresponding to the underlying observable with the following experimental sensitivity at a given confidence level (C.L.) $n_{\text{eff}}$:

$$A_{\text{exp}} = \frac{n_{ij}}{\sqrt{N^{\text{exp}}}}. \quad (10)$$

These are discussed in Figures 2–9 for $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV and 14 TeV at the LHC. The values of asymmetries corresponding to various CP-violating observables discussed in Eqs. (2) and (6) are also presented for various values of $\Lambda$ and $d_y$. We estimate asymmetries for $d_y$ from 0 to 0.05 and $\Lambda$ between $M_W$ to 2 TeV for $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV and 14 TeV at the LHC. In Tables 2 and 3, we present asymmetries corresponding to various observables at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV and 14 TeV LHC energies. From these tables, it is clear that the asymmetries corresponding to observables $C_2$, $C_6$, $C_7$, and $C_8$ are within the limits of statistical uncertainties and therefore would not be useful to calculate CP-violation sensitivity as these are consistent with SM. However, asymmetries related to observables $C_1$, $C_3$, $C_4$, and $C_5$ are found to be non-zero at 3$\sigma$ C.L. It is, therefore, informative to discuss the asymmetries obtained for observables $C_1$, $C_3$, $C_4$, and $C_5$ in detail as these are expected to be more sensitive.
From Tables 2 and 3, it is also clear that if we fix the CP-violating scale to a certain value the asymmetries increase linearly with $\Lambda$, which supports the results in Refs. [28, 41]. Conversely, limiting the coupling $d_g$ to a constant value and increasing the value of $\Lambda$ reduces the value of the resulting asymmetries. This suggests that large CP-violation sensitivity can be achieved in two ways, either increasing $d_g$ or decreasing $\Lambda$. Furthermore, the asymmetries obtained at the $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV energy at LHC, presented in Table 3, show similar results as observed for the 13 TeV LHC energy. According to the above tables, we infer that the largest asymmetry corresponds to the observable $C_1$. The results corresponding to non-zero asymmetry could also be summarized as

$$A_1 = 0.0023 + 119.3 \frac{d_g}{\Lambda},$$

$$A_3 = 0.0007 + 39.9 \frac{d_g}{\Lambda},$$

$$A_4 = -0.0018 - 96.1 \frac{d_g}{\Lambda},$$

$$A_5 = 0.0018 + 93.6 \frac{d_g}{\Lambda},$$

respectively for observables $C_1$, $C_3$, $C_4$, and $C_5$.

It is to be noted that for estimating the experimental uncertainties in event rates we first combined the ATLAS [50] and CMS [51] experimental uncertainties observed with 2015 and 2016 data during LHC Run II for the top pair at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV for $36.1 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ presented in Ref. [52]. In order to calculate experimental sensitivity, we first combined the ATLAS and CMS cross-sections which are as follows:

$$\sigma_{ATLAS} = 803 \pm 7(\text{stat}) \pm 27(\text{Syst}) \pm 45(\text{lumi}) \pm 12(\text{beam}) \text{ pb},$$

$$\sigma_{CMS} = 793 \pm 8(\text{stat}) \pm 38(\text{Syst}) \pm 21(\text{lumi}) \text{ pb},$$

$$\sigma_{LHC} = 798 \pm 49.25 \text{ pb}.$$

Event rates were then estimated by combining the cross-section with the luminosity, branching ratios for the $t \rightarrow b h_{\ell}$ and the $b$-tagging efficiency which is assumed to be 56%. A similar calculation has been performed for $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV with a theoretical cross-section $953.6^{+22.7+17.3}_{-22.9-17.2} \text{ pb}$ at the NNLO+NNLL level [53] for the projected integrated luminosities of the LHC of $\int \mathcal{L} dt = 0.3 \text{ ab}^{-1}$, $1 \text{ ab}^{-1}$, $2 \text{ ab}^{-1}$, and $3 \text{ ab}^{-1}$. We show the results for 13 TeV and 14 TeV C.M. energies at LHC for $d_g$ vs. $\Lambda$ at various confidence levels in Figures 2–9. We present the results for $\Lambda$ between the range...
Figure 9: $d_\gamma$ vs. $\Lambda$ for observable $C_5$ at $\sqrt{S} = 14$ TeV energy at LHC for an integrated luminosity of (a) $0.3 \text{ ab}^{-1}$, (b) $1 \text{ ab}^{-1}$, (c) $2 \text{ ab}^{-1}$, and (d) $3 \text{ ab}^{-1}$ respectively.

Table 2: Integrated asymmetries (in %) at LO for $\sqrt{S} = 13$ TeV at LHC for the process $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t} \rightarrow (b\ell^+\nu_l)(\bar{b}\ell^-\bar{\nu}_l)$ corresponding to various observables for distinct choices of $d_\gamma$ and $\Lambda$. The statistical uncertainty at the $1\sigma$ confidence level in all the results is estimated to be about $3 \times 10^{-4}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\Lambda$</th>
<th>$d_\gamma$</th>
<th>$\delta_1$</th>
<th>$\delta_2$</th>
<th>$\delta_3$</th>
<th>$\delta_4$</th>
<th>$\delta_5$</th>
<th>$\delta_6$</th>
<th>$\delta_7$</th>
<th>$\delta_8$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>SM</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$M_W$</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>-1.74</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$0.5 \text{ TeV}$</td>
<td>6.29</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>-5.11</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$1 \text{ TeV}$</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$2 \text{ TeV}$</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>-1.47</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$0.5 \text{ TeV}$</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$1 \text{ TeV}$</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$2 \text{ TeV}$</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>-0.72</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$0.5 \text{ TeV}$</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$1 \text{ TeV}$</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$2 \text{ TeV}$</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
0 to 5 TeV but we had actually performed the study in the range $M_W$ to 2 TeV.

In Figures 2–9 the area shown in white is discarded by restricting the contribution in top-pair cross-section to be consistent with the SM within 3σ statistical errors whereas the yellow and red regions show possible $d_g - \Lambda$ space allowed at 2.5σ and 5σ respectively for the given C.M. energy and Luminosities. We have a wide range of $d_g(d_g/\Lambda)$ values at which we can observe 5σ sensitivity at 13 TeV and 14 TeV LHC energies. From the figures, we can get a rough estimate of minimum bound on $d_g$ and $\Lambda$ and can find the lower limit on $d_g(d_g/\Lambda)$ at 5σ C.L.

Finally, we calculate the exact limits on $d_g$ corresponding to the most promising observable $\mathcal{C}_1$ at $\sqrt{S} = 13$ TeV and 14 TeV energy at LHC. The experimental sensitivity at $\sqrt{S} = 13$ TeV energy at LHC is found to be 0.2% at 1σ C.L. and the similar value at 5σ C.L. would be 1.0%. This translates into the values of $|d_g/\Lambda|$ of about $\geq 0.6 \times 10^{-4}\text{GeV}^{-1}$, $0.2 \times 10^{-4}$ at 5σ C.L. at 13 TeV C.M. energy with the integrated luminosities of 36.1 fb$^{-1}$, 140 fb$^{-1}$ respectively for observable $\mathcal{C}_1$. Similarly, at 14 TeV C.M. energy at LHC the value of $|d_g/\Lambda|$ should be $\geq 0.6 \times 10^{-3}\text{GeV}^{-1}$, $0.5 \times 10^{-5}\text{GeV}^{-1}$, $0.9 \times 10^{-2}\text{GeV}^{-1}$, and $0.1 \times 10^{-4}\text{GeV}^{-1}$ at 5σ C.L. for the projected luminosities of 0.3 ab$^{-1}$, 1 ab$^{-1}$, 2 ab$^{-1}$, and 3 ab$^{-1}$ respectively. The asymmetries ($\mathcal{A}_I$) corresponding to observables ($\mathcal{C}_I$) could also be written as

$$\mathcal{A}_I = \mathcal{A}_{SM} + b_I \frac{d_g}{\Lambda},$$

where $b_I$ is defined via

$$b_I = \frac{d\mathcal{A}_I}{d(d_g/\Lambda)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

Figure 10 clearly show that asymmetries are almost zero up to $10^{-3}$ and then start increasing slowly. It shows that at large $d_g/\Lambda$, sensitivities become quite significant.

The aim of this article is to set bounds on anomalous CP-violating coupling for a situation when the effects due to such interactions are not visible by just event count, rather there could be probed through the observables considered in our study. We have presented 5σ sensitivities for 13 TeV C.M. energy at LHC with the integrated luminosities of 36.1 fb$^{-1}$, 140 fb$^{-1}$ for $\sim 19$ k, 73.5 k events per month respectively and predicted that we can achieve 5σ sensitivity at 14 TeV LHC energy with projected luminosities of 0.3 ab$^{-1}$, 1 ab$^{-1}$, 2 ab$^{-1}$, and 3 ab$^{-1}$ with $\sim 183$ k, 608 k, 1.2 M, and 1.8 M events respectively. The results obtained in our study are based only on statistical uncertainties, systematic uncertainties have not been accounted for. However since it will affect the numerator and denominator in the asymmetry almost equally and therefore it is expected that our results will remain practically unaffected due to the systematic uncertainties. The above finding is also confirmed by earlier studies on such CP asymmetries [22, 54, 55]. Also in a similar manner, although we had performed our analysis at the leading order, the K-factor due to higher-order QCD corrections will affect the denominators and numerators of all the asymmetries almost equally and will be therefore canceled and hence the asymmetries will remain unchanged against such corrections. It is important to note that our study differs from the earlier studies by taking into account full matrix-element-squared calculation for $pp \rightarrow t \bar{t} \rightarrow (bl^+\nu_l)(bl^-\bar{\nu}_l)$ with $l$ being $e$ and $\mu$. In order to probe
the effects of such interactions the earlier studies only considered the leading effects which are linear in nature. Also, we calculated the counting asymmetries in dilepton channel and used $d_g$ and $\Lambda$ as free parameters.

We now compare our results with other relevant works. According to Ref. [41], $5\sigma$ sensitivity of $|d_g| < 0.3 \times 10^{-3}$ GeV$^{-1}$ requires 10 fb$^{-1}$ of data at 14 TeV LHC energy. The corresponding estimates are found to be $-0.8 \times 10^{-4} < d_g < 0.8 \times 10^{-4}$ for the top-quark pair production in association with two photons [56] for an integrated luminosity of 3 ab$^{-1}$ and of about $10^{-4}$ GeV$^{-1}$ in the context of $e^-e^+$ collider with a data of about 50 fb$^{-1}$ [57]. The indirect limits from the EDM measurements are found to be somewhat stringent, e.g. Ref. [58] reports that $|d_g| < 1.1 \times 10^{-5}$ GeV$^{-1}$ at 90% C.L. from the measurement of the neutron electric dipole moment.

4. Summary

We have analysed the effect of T-odd anomalous couplings of the top-quark with gluons via the top-quark pair production through their semileptonic decay modes at the LHC for $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV and 14 TeV using the T-odd observables discussed in Eqs. (2) and (6). These observables are interesting as these do not require full reconstruction of the $t \bar{t}$, rather these require the momenta of the visible final state particles which in our case are $b\ell^+$ and $b\ell^-$ pairs emerging due to decay of a top and anti-top quarks respectively. The asymmetries corresponding to the T-odd observables have been estimated using Eq. (8) and are presented in Figures 2–9 for 13 TeV and 14 TeV LHC energies. Using the largest asymmetry, $A_3$, which corresponds to the observable $\mathcal{B}_3$, we estimated the sensitivity to the CP-violating couplings for $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV energy at LHC with the integrated luminosities of $\int dt$ for the top-quark pair production in association with two photons [56] for an integrated luminosity of 3 ab$^{-1}$ and of about $10^{-4}$ GeV$^{-1}$ in the context of $e^-e^+$ collider with a data of about 50 fb$^{-1}$ [57]. The indirect limits from the EDM measurements are found to be somewhat stringent, e.g. Ref. [58] reports that $|d_g| < 1.1 \times 10^{-5}$ GeV$^{-1}$ at 90% C.L. from the measurement of the neutron electric dipole moment.
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\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
$\sqrt{s}$ (TeV) & $\int dt$ & $|d_g/\Lambda|$ (in GeV$^{-1}$) \\
& (in fb$^{-1}$) & At 3$\sigma$ C.L. & At 5$\sigma$ C.L. \\
\hline
13 & 36.1 fb$^{-1}$ & $0.29 \times 10^{-4}$ & $0.6 \times 10^{-4}$ \\
& & & & \\
14(HL-LHC) & 140 fb$^{-1}$ & $0.52 \times 10^{-5}$ & $0.2 \times 10^{-4}$ \\
& & & & \\
& 0.3 ab$^{-1}$ & $0.39 \times 10^{-5}$ & $0.6 \times 10^{-5}$ \\
& & & & \\
& 1.0 ab$^{-1}$ & $0.11 \times 10^{-4}$ & $0.5 \times 10^{-5}$ \\
& & & & \\
& 2.0 ab$^{-1}$ & $0.13 \times 10^{-4}$ & $0.9 \times 10^{-5}$ \\
& & & & \\
& 3.0 ab$^{-1}$ & $0.14 \times 10^{-4}$ & $0.1 \times 10^{-4}$ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Sensitivity to CP-violating anomalous couplings at 3$\sigma$ C.L. and 5$\sigma$ C.L. in the process $pp \rightarrow t \bar{t} \rightarrow (bl^+\nu_l)(bl^-\bar{\nu}_l)$ at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV energy at LHC with the integrated luminosities of 36.1 fb$^{-1}$ and 140 fb$^{-1}$ and HL-LHC with $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV energy with the projected luminosities of 0.3 ab$^{-1}$, 1 ab$^{-1}$, 2 ab$^{-1}$, and 3 ab$^{-1}$.}
\end{table}
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