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Unsteady electrohydrodynamic hybrid nanofluid (Al2O3-Cu/H2O) past a convective heat stretched/shrinked sheet is examined. A stagnation point fluid flow with velocity slip constrains and heat source or sink is deliberated. The combined set of PDEs is translated into ODEs by including approved similarity transformations. HAM is applied for the solution to the obtained nonlinear system. The magnetic input factor, Prandtl number, electric field factor, Eckert number, heat source factor, and unstable factor are the governing parameters. The impact of these factors on the temperature and velocity profiles features of the problem is considered with explanation. Intensiﬁcation in values of electric and magnetic field parameters enhanced the heat transfer rate. The greater Prandtl number lessens the temperature. Ampliﬁcation in temperature is perceived for Eckert parameter. The heat transferred rate of hybrid nanofluid in the entire domain increases as the heat source increases, while the heat sink has the opposite eﬀect. Skin friction and Nusselt number is increased for increasing values of magnetic ﬁeld parameters. It is also noted that Nusselt number lessens for raising in Pr, E, and Ec. Furthermore, it is eminent that the hybrid nanofluid possesses better result compared to the nanofluid.

1. Introduction

The ability of nanofluids to improve heat transfer performance in a range of industrial applications due to the substantial raise in thermal conductivity of the resulting fluid has piqued interest in recent years. A liquid in which nanosized metallic or nonmetallic components are suspended is defined as this new class of fluids. By dispersing a composite nanopowder or various types of nanoparticles in the hybrid nano- and base fluids, which are a continuation of nanofluids, can be created. An enhanced nanofluid having two distinct nanoparticles scattered in the base fluid is called a hybrid nanofluid.

Many researchers have been interested in studying heat source in a hybrid nanofluid in recent years because of its ability to boost heat transfer rates when compared to ordinary nanofluid. As a result, most heat transferring uses, such as transformer cooling, electronic cooling, and coolant in machines, have used hybrid nanofluid as the heat source fluid. Nanofluid is an eminent as a high heat transferred when compared to other fluids. The hybrid nanofluid, on the other hand, is researched in this study to strengthen the rate of heat source of the standard nanofluid. Several scientists used numerical methods to explore the heat transport and the boundary layering flow of a hybrid nanofluid. The researchers in [1] studied the flow of a hybrid nanofluid past...
a stretched sheet by considering Cu-Al2O3 nanoparticles with magnetic impacts. Newtonian heated condition was examined by these researchers with the 3-D flow [2]. The rate of heat transferring of hybrid nanofluid was found to be greater than that of standard nanofluid. Hayat and Nadeem [3] considered the rotating flow of hybrid nanofluid which consisted of Ag-CuO/water. The authors in [4] conceptualize and investigate the flow of hybrid nanofluid over an unsteady stagnation point convective heat stretch/shrink surface including the velocity slip impact on heat transfer. Using the Buongiorno model, Daniel et al. [5] analyzed and interrogated mixed convection electrico MHD flow and heat source caused nano-fluid over a permeable stretched surface. Xie et al. [6] worked out on the friction coefficient and wear volume of hybrid nanoparticles to determine their tribological properties of the SiO2/Mo S2. When compared to pure nano-fluids, they found that hybrid nano-fluids have a smaller friction coefficient and wear volume. The authors in [7] scrutinized the impact of nanoparticle concentration and temperature distribution on rheological performance of (Fe3O4-Cu/EG) hybrid nano-fluid.

Sadikolaei et al. [8] examined the thermophysical possessions of (TiO2-Cu/H2O) with a shape factor with Lorentz forces. Hussian et al. [9] considered hybrid nano-fluid containing (Al2O3-Cu/H2O) and proved excellent results in the circumstance of their assumptions. They calculated numerical solutions using the finite element scheme and analyzed the impact of various input factors on the hybrid nano-fluids. Magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) has recently gotten prominent attention due to its wide range of applications in geophysics, petroleum, chemical technology, environmental, engineering, and many other branches of science and technology. The Lorentz force which is also known as the drag force produced through the magnetic field that is used by MHD. Waqas et al. [10] investigated non-Newtonian liquid MHD mixed convection flow of fluid on stretched and non-linear surface. Furthermore, the impact of magnetic field heat transferred of nano-fluids in microchannels was discussed by the researchers in their research [11]. Other researchers also worked out in this area and produced a significant work in the field [12–14].

Scientists are interested in the stretching surface because of its numerous uses in engineering domains such as glass blowing, polymer extrusion, fast spray, wire drawing, quenching in metal foundries, and microelectronics cooling. The theoretical boundary layer flows over stretched sheet has been scrutinized by Crane [15]. Different authors investigated the exponentially stretching sheet [16–19], the main applications in technology and industry. In everyday life and industrial challenges, the exponentially shrunk/stretch sheet is often used with fluid flow and heat transmission. The article by Magyari and Keller [20] appears to be the first to investigate at the fluid boundary layer flow on an exponentially stretched sheet. The exponential similarity variables were used by Mushtaq et al. [21] to turn the controlling PDEs into ODEs. Furthermore, the researchers in [22] interrogated the mixed convective flow of nano-fluid and obtained excellent result and find out the influence of input parameter in the flow. The MHD fluid flow for the stagnation point was created by Rahman et al. [23], who employed exponential similarity variables to generate ODEs.

Fluid movement near a solid surface’s stagnation area is explained by the stagnation point flow. Hiemenz [24] was the first to inspect stagnation-point flow problem in a flowing fluid over a fixed surface. Homann [25] then enlarged to a 3-D stagnation-point flow problem in the axis symmetric condition. The researcher in [26] reported a stagnation fluid flow in a diminishing surface. According to him, the presence of a stagnation fluid flow velocity can constrain the vorticity to maintain the flow, eliminating the need for suction on the shrunked sheet. Many academics have studied numerous influences on flow behavior by extending the stagnation-point flow problem. This problem is further investigated by Bachok et al. [27] without and with heat transferred where the authors discovered the decreasing case’s solutions are no unique and that increasing the melting parameter reduces the heat source rate at the solid-fluid interface. In 1992, Liao [28] discovered that this method was a faster technique to get approximated solution and that it was a better fit for solving nonlinear problems. Several researchers contributed to find the solution through a homotopy analysis scheme (HAM) [29–32]. The reader can further study about heat transfer through nano-fluid flow in Refs. [33–40].

The target of this work is to look into the combined impact of magnetic field, heat generation/absorption, electric field, and velocity slip parameter for unstable stagnation-point flow of electrical conducting hybrid nano-fluids with the velocity slip input factor. In addition to this, we will focus on the stability of the system. As a result of the aforementioned issues, the researchers decided to interrogate the unstable stagnation-point flow towards a convective heated stretched or shrunked surface in alumina–copper/water (Al2O3-Cu/H2O) to see how velocity slip affects heat transfer. To decrease the independent variables in governing equations resulting from mathematical modelling, a suitable set of dimensionless variables is utilised. By applying the homotopy method, an analytical solution has been computed.

2. Mathematical Formulation

This research considers the unstable 2-D stagnation point flow of a hybrid system (Al2O3-Cu/H2O) nano-fluid over a convective heated stretched or shrunked surface with the impact of velocity slip. In our formulation, the term \( u_s(x,t) = bx/(1-ct) \) is the stretched/shrunked velocity in which \( b \) represent a fix association with shrunked \( (b < 0) \) and stretched \( (b > 0) \). We have the following cases while \( c \) denotes the unsteadiness problem and the velocity of the free stream is defined by \( u_s(x,t) = ax/(1-ct) \). Here, \( a > 0 \) indicates the power of the stagnation flow. \( T_1 \) and \( T_0 \) are the ambient temperature and the reference temperature, respectively. Further, heat is provided to it with some specific temperature given by \( T_f(x,t) = T_1 - T_0(ax^2/2u)(1-ct)^{-3/2} \) which provided a heat transferred coefficient, denoted by \( h_f \). Then, the governing equation of [4] with all our
Assumptions is as follows:

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\mu}{\rho} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{\mu_{nf}}{\rho_{nf}} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\sigma_{nf}}{\rho_{nf}} \left( E_n B_0 - B_0^2 u \right),
\]

\[
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = \frac{k_{nf}}{(\rho c_p)_{nf}} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\sigma_{nf}}{(\rho c_p)_{nf}} (uB_0 - E_y)^2 + \frac{Q_0}{(\rho c_p)_{nf}} (T - T_\infty),
\]

where \( u \) and \( v \) indicated the velocity components along the \( x \)-axis and along the \( y \)-axis, respectively, while the dynamic viscosity is indicated by \( \mu_{nf} \), \( T \) is the \( Al_2O_3 \)-Cu/\( H_2O \) temperature, \( \rho_{nf} \) is the density of \( Al_2O_3 \)-Cu/\( H_2O \), \( k_{nf} \) is the conductivity of thermal/heat of \( Al_2O_3 \)-Cu/\( H_2O \), and \( (\rho c_p)_{nf} \) is the \( Al_2O_3 \)-Cu/\( H_2O \) heat capacity.

\[
u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = 0, -k_{nf} T_y = h_f (T_f - T) \text{ at } y = 0, \]

\[
u \rightarrow u_v(x, t), T \rightarrow T_\infty \text{ at } y \rightarrow \infty.
\]

The above given Equation (4) show the Bcs, together with the partial slip for velocity component, the velocity slip factor is \( H_1 = H(1 - ct)^{1/2} \), where the initial value of the velocity slip parameter has been denoted by \( H \). The properties of copper (Cu) thermophysical along with water (H2O) nanoparticles and with aluminum oxide (Al2O3) have been presented in Table 1. In the meantime, the thermophysical properties of hybrid nanofluid were published in Table 2. All the related input factors and symbols are represented in the nomenclature with detailed description.

To simplify the abovementioned problem represented in Equations (1)–(3) along with condition (4), we take the following similarity transformations [4]:

\[
\psi = \left( \frac{a v}{1 - ct} \right)^{1/2} x f(\eta), \theta(\eta) = \frac{T - T_\infty}{T_f - T_\infty}, \eta = \left( \frac{a}{v(1 - ct)} \right)^{1/2} y.
\]

In the above, \( \eta \) is the similarity variable and \( u = \partial \psi / \partial y \) and \( v = -\partial \psi / \partial x \) are satisfied through the stream function \( \psi \); thus, we get the following:

\[
u = \frac{ax}{1 - ct} f'(\eta), v = -\left( \frac{av}{1 - ct} \right)^{1/2} f(\eta).
\]

In the case, when the similarity variables (5) and (6) are employed to (2) and (3), they are converted to the following equations:

\[
\frac{\mu_{nf}/\mu_f}{\rho_{nf}/\rho_f} f'' + 2 f'' - f'^2 + 1 - \varepsilon \left( f' - \frac{1}{2} \eta f'' \right) - 1 + \frac{\sigma_{nf}/\sigma_f}{\rho_{nf}/\rho_f} M (E - f') = 0,
\]

\[
\frac{1}{Pr (\rho c_p)_{nf}(\rho c_p)_{f}} \left( \frac{\theta'' + \eta f' \theta' - 2 f' \theta + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} (\eta \theta' + 3 \theta) + \frac{\sigma_{nf}/\sigma_f}{(\rho c_p)_{nf}(\rho c_p)_{f}} M Ec (f' - E) + \frac{Q(\rho c_p)_{f}}{(\rho c_p)_{nf}} \theta = 0.\right.
\]

After that, the beginning and boundary constrain (4) becomes

\[
f(0) = 0, f'(0) = \lambda + \gamma f''(0) - k_{nf}/k_f \theta'(0) = Bi[1 - \theta(0)],
\]

\[
f'(\eta) \rightarrow 1, \theta(\eta) \rightarrow 0, \text{ while } \eta \rightarrow \infty,
\]

Where \( \varepsilon, M, E, Ec, Pr, Bi, Re_a, \lambda, \) and \( Q \) unsteadiness parameter, magnetic parameter, Eckert number, local Reynolds number in the \( x \)-axis, Biot number, Prandtl number, ratio of velocity parameter, and heat source/sink parameter.

\[
\lambda = \frac{G_r}{Re_a},
\]

\[
\varepsilon = \frac{b}{a},
\]

\[
Re_a = \frac{ax^2}{v_f},
\]

\[
Pr = \frac{v_f}{\alpha},
\]

\[
M = \frac{\sigma_{nf}^2}{\rho_{nf} a},
\]

\[
Bi = \frac{h_f}{K} \left( \frac{\sqrt{v_f}}{a} \right),
\]

\[
Ec = \frac{ax^2}{c_p \Delta T},
\]

\[
Q = \frac{Q_0 (1 - ct)^3}{ax},
\]

\[
E = \frac{E_0}{\rho_{nf} ax}.
\]
The dimensional form of the above is

\[ [R_{ex}]^{1/2}C_f = \frac{\mu_{\text{had}}}{\mu_f}f''(0), \]

\[ [R_{ex}]^{1/2}N_{ux} = -\frac{k_{\text{had}}}{k_f}\theta'(0). \]

3. Physical Quantities of Interest

For the above model, we take the coefficient of skin friction \( (c_f) \) and local Nusselt number \( (N_{ux}) \) as follows:

\[ c_f = \frac{\tau_w}{\rho u_s^2}, \]

\[ N_{ux} = \frac{xq_{ux}}{k_f(T_f - T_{co})}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (11)

In which

\[ \tau_w = \mu_{\text{had}} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)_{y=0}, \]

\[ q_{ux} = -k_{\text{had}} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right)_{y=0}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (12)

The linear operators \( L_f \) and \( L_\theta \) are specified by

\[ L_f(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 e^{-\eta} + \gamma_3 e^\eta) = 0, \quad L_\theta(\gamma_4 e^{-\eta} + \gamma_5 e^\eta) = 0. \]  \hspace{1cm} (15)

The nonlinear operators \( N_f \) and \( N_\theta \) are as follows:

\[ N_f \left[ f(\eta; \xi) \right] = \left( \frac{\mu_{\text{had}}}{\rho_{\text{had}}/\rho_f} \right) f_{\eta\eta} + \left( \frac{\sigma_{\text{had}}/\sigma_f}{\rho_{\text{had}}/\rho_f} \right) f_{\eta}, \]

\[ -\epsilon \left( f_{\eta\eta} - \frac{1}{2} f_{\eta\eta}^2 - f_{\eta} \right) - \frac{k_{\text{had}}}{k_f} \theta, \]

\[ N_\theta \left[ f(\eta; \xi), \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\theta}_\eta, \tilde{\theta}_{\eta\eta} \right] = \frac{1}{Pr} \left( \frac{\mu_{\text{had}}}{\rho_{\text{had}}/\rho_f} \right) \tilde{\theta}_\eta + \left( \frac{\sigma_{\text{had}}/\sigma_f}{\rho_{\text{had}}/\rho_f} \right) MEc \left( f_{\eta} - \tilde{f}_{\eta} \right), \]

\[ + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \left( \eta \tilde{\theta}_\eta + 3 \tilde{\theta} \right) + \frac{k_{\text{had}}}{k_f} \theta, \quad \frac{Q(\rho_{\text{had}})}{\rho_{\text{had}}} \tilde{\theta}_\eta, \quad \frac{Q(\rho_{\text{had}})}{\rho_{\text{had}}} \tilde{\theta}_{\eta\eta}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (16)

4. Solution by HAM

In this section of the paper, HAM will be used to solve Equations (7) and (8) with boundary conditions (9). Mathematica software is utilized for this purpose. The basic HAM derivation is given as follows:

\[ L_f \left( \tilde{f} \right) = \tilde{f}' - \tilde{f}'', \quad L_\theta \left( \tilde{\theta} \right) = \tilde{\theta}' - \tilde{\theta}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (14)

For Equations (7) and (8), we have shown the 0th-order system as

\[ (1 - \zeta)L_f \left[ \tilde{f}(\eta; \xi) - \tilde{f}_0(\eta) \right] = \phi_h L_f \left[ \tilde{f}(\eta; \xi) \right], \]

\[ (1 - \zeta)L_\theta \left[ \tilde{\theta}(\eta; \xi) - \tilde{\theta}_0(\eta) \right] = \phi_h L_\theta \left[ \tilde{\theta}(\eta; \xi), \tilde{f}(\eta; \xi) \right]. \]  \hspace{1cm} (17)
The BCs are:

\[ f(\eta; \zeta)\bigg|_{\eta=0} = 0, \frac{\partial f(\eta; \zeta)}{\partial \eta}\bigg|_{\eta=0} = \lambda + \gamma f_{\eta\eta}(0), f(0) = 0, \]

\[ \frac{k_n}{k_f} \frac{\partial \theta(\eta; \zeta)}{\partial \eta}\bigg|_{\eta=0} = -Bi\left(1 - \theta(0)\right), \]

\[ \frac{\partial f(\eta; \zeta)}{\partial \eta}\bigg|_{\eta=\infty} \to 1, \frac{\partial \theta(\eta; \zeta)}{\partial \eta}\bigg|_{\eta=\infty} \to 0, \] \hspace{1cm} (18)

While the embedded constraint is \( \zeta \in [0, 1], h_f \) and \( h_\theta \) are utilized to regulate the convergence. When \( \zeta = 0 \) and \( \zeta = 1 \), we have

\[ f(\eta; 1) = f(\eta), \theta(\eta; 1) = \theta(\eta), \] \hspace{1cm} (19)

After that, \( f(\eta; \zeta) \) and \( \theta(\eta; \zeta) \) are expended through Taylor’s expansion for \( \zeta = 0 \):

\[ f(\eta; \zeta) = f_0(\eta) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_n(\eta)\zeta^n, \]

\[ \theta(\eta; \zeta) = \tilde{\theta}_0(\eta) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\theta}_n(\eta)\zeta^n, \]

\[ \tilde{f}_n(\eta) = \frac{1}{n!} \frac{\partial f(\eta; \zeta)}{\partial \eta}\bigg|_{\eta=0}, \]

\[ \tilde{\theta}_n(\eta) = \frac{1}{n!} \frac{\partial \theta(\eta; \zeta)}{\partial \eta}\bigg|_{\eta=0}. \] \hspace{1cm} (20)

The BCs are

\[ \tilde{f}(0) = 0, \tilde{f}'(0) = \lambda + \gamma f''(0), \frac{k_n}{k_f} \tilde{\theta}'(0) = -Bi\left(1 - \theta(0)\right) \]

\[ \tilde{f}'(\eta) \to 0, \tilde{\theta}(\eta) \to 0, \eta \to \infty. \] \hspace{1cm} (21)

Now,

\[ \mathbf{R}^\alpha_n(\eta) = \frac{\mu_{\text{hf}}/\mu_f}{\rho_{\text{hf}}/\rho_f} f_{n+1} + 2 \sum_{j=0}^{w-1} f_{w-1-j}^{n-1} f_{j-1}^{n-1} + 1 \]

\[ -\varepsilon \left( f_{n+1}^{'2} - \frac{1}{2} \eta_{n+1}^{'} f_{n+1}^{'} - 1 \right) + \frac{\sigma_{\text{hf}}/\sigma_f}{\rho_{\text{hf}}/\rho_f} M \left( f_{n+1}^{'} - E \right), \]

with the condition

\[ \chi_n = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \leq 1, \\ 1, & \text{if } n > 1. \end{cases} \] \hspace{1cm} (22)

5. Results and Discussion

The transformed equations of concentration, energy, and momentum (7) and (8) with the boundary condition (9) are solved using the homotopy analysis technique in this work. Pr, M, E, \( \varepsilon \), Ec, and Q are some of the parameters used in the computational analysis, \( f'(\eta) \) for the different embedded parameters, such as \( E, M \), and \( \varepsilon \), are shown in Figures 1–3 to highlight the influence of these input factors on the system.

Higher values of \( E \) enhance the hybrid nanofluid velocity, as seen in Figure 1. An accelerating force is provided by an electric parameter. The stronger Lorentz force is connected with the higher value of \( E \), whereas the weaker Lorentz force is related with the lower value of \( E \). The larger Lorentz force enhances and resolves the sticky effect caused by nanoparticles in the fluid, indicating an enhancement in convective heating transferred and the thickness of the momentum barrier layer. In Figure 2, we have shown the effect of \( M \) on the hybrid nanofluid \( f'(\eta) \). In which, we observed that the thickness of the velocity and momentum boundary layers decreased as the magnetic parameter was raised. Lorentz flow is a resistive type force that occurs when a magnetic field is applied in the opposite flow direction. As a result, the fluid flow behavior becomes more resistive, resulting in a decline in \( f'(\eta) \) and a thickness boundary layer. In addition to this, we highlighted the impact of \( \varepsilon \) on the nanofluid \( f'(\eta) \) in Figure 3.

Because of the acceleration situation \( (\varepsilon > 0) \), the hybrid nanofluid flow rate is slower, and the thickness of boundary layer of momentum is thinner. For enhanced acceleration, the \( f'(\eta) \) decreases. In Figures 4–9, we illustrated the effect of Ec, \( E, Q, M, \varepsilon \), and Pr on \( \theta(\eta) \). Figure 4 shows that the thickness of the thermal boundary layer and the nanofluid temperature are increased as the kinetic energy to enthalpy ratio rises with an enhance in the Ec values. In Figures 5 and 6, the influence of heat absorption or generation on \( \theta(\eta) \) is highlighted numerically. The parameter \( \theta(\eta) \) of the fluid and the thickness of the thermal boundary layer are both increased when \( (Q > 0) \) is used.
Figure 1: Illustration of the influence of $E$ on $f'(\eta)$.

Figure 2: Illustration of the influence of $M$ on $f'(\eta)$.

Figure 3: Illustration of the influence of the $\varepsilon$ on $f'(\eta)$.

Figure 4: Illustration of the influence of $E_c$ on $\theta(\eta)$.

Figure 5: Illustration of the influence of the input factor $Q > 0$ on $\theta(\eta)$.

Figure 6: Illustration of the influence of the input factor $Q < 0$ on $\theta(\eta)$.

Figure 7: Illustration of the influence of $M$ on $\theta(\eta)$.

Figure 8: Illustration of the influence of the electric field parameter on temperature profile.
On the other hand, \( Q < 0 \) outcomes in a lower fluid temperature and a smaller thickness of the thermal boundary layer. \( Q = 0 \) denotes the lack of heat generation or absorption. Figure 7 reveals the impact of \( M \) on \( \theta(\eta) \) of the nanoﬂuids. We noticed that the transverse magnetic field increases the thickness of the thermal boundary layer. As a result, the temperature of the fluid as well as the thickness of the thermal boundary layer increased. The magnetic field acts as a strong Lorentz force, increasing the temperature of the nanoﬂuid in the boundary region. Figure 8 shows the depicts the impact of \( E \) on \( \theta(\eta) \).

The impact of \( E \) on \( \theta(\eta) \) is shown in Figure 8, which showed that the electric field acts as an accelerating force, raising the fluid flow temperature and increasing the thickness of the thermal boundary layer. A thicker, higher quantity temperature distribution within the boundary layer region of the hybrid nanoﬂuid’s vicinity is connected with a greater value of an electric field. The Prandtl number is a material property that varies depending on the fluid. From Figure 9, it reveals that as it raises in \( Pr \), \( \theta(\eta) \) declines. Moreover, the higher value of \( Pr \) implies smaller thermal diffusivity than the momentum diffusivity. As a result, the energy boundary layer thickness declines. Table 3 represents that \( C_f \) is raised when the values of \( M, \epsilon, \) and \( E \) are increased. Table 4 shows that \( Nu_x \) is increased when the values of \( M, Q \) are increased. The \( Nu_x \) is decreased, when the values of \( Pr, E, \) and \( Ec \) is increased.

### 6. Concluding Remarks

This study investigates the unsteady electrohydrodynamic stagnation-point fluid flow of a hybrid nanoﬂuid over a convective heat stretch or shrink sheet, taking into account the velocity slip effect on heat transferred. The effects of heat generation and absorption, as well as the electric and magnetic fields, are all taken into account. The following are the main observations of the present study:

1. \( f'(\eta) \) and \( \theta(\eta) \) raises with an enhance in the value of \( E \).
2. The magnetic factor has an inverse impact for velocity and temperature gradient.
3. A heat source raises the temperature, whereas a heat sink does the opposite.
4. The velocity and temperature are reduced at higher levels of the unsteadiness parameter.
5. The Eckert parameter shows an enhance in temperature, while \( Pr \) shows a reduction.
6. Skin friction is raised when the values of \( M, \epsilon, \) and \( E \) are augmented.
7. The Nusselt number is enlarged when the values \( M, Q \) are increased, and \( Nu_x \) is diminished, when the values of \( Pr, E, \) and \( Ec \) are enlarged.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( Ec )</th>
<th>( Q )</th>
<th>( Pr )</th>
<th>( M )</th>
<th>( E )</th>
<th>( -\frac{k_{had}}{k_f}\theta'(0) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.07386504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.17290347</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.23893104</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.30319769</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.02463073</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.93865321</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.73865302</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.09764384</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.05346068</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.12183304</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.23583931</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.30346893</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Nomenclature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$u_w$</td>
<td>Stretched/shrinking velocity (m/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u$ and $v$</td>
<td>Along $x$- and $y$-axis velocity terms (m/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(\rho c_p)_{hnf}$</td>
<td>Volumetric heat capacity of hybrid nanofluid (m$^2$K$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_1$</td>
<td>Ambient temperature (K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_f$</td>
<td>Coefficient of heat transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_{hnf}$</td>
<td>Hybrid nanofluid viscosity (kgm$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{hnf}$</td>
<td>Hybrid nanofluid thermal/heat conductivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Re_z$</td>
<td>Reynolds number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_1$</td>
<td>Velocity slip factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_i$</td>
<td>The density of the nanoparticle(kgm$^{-3}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\psi$</td>
<td>Stream function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Ec$</td>
<td>Eckert number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Pr$</td>
<td>Prandtl number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Bi$</td>
<td>Biot number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Nu_u$</td>
<td>Local Nusselt number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
<td>Ratio of velocity parameter and heat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f'$</td>
<td>Dimensionless velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v$</td>
<td>Kinematic viscosity (m$^2$s$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi$</td>
<td>Nanoparticle solid volume fraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x$, $y$</td>
<td>Plane coordinate axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_e$</td>
<td>Strength of the stagnation flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_f$</td>
<td>Basic fluid density (kgm$^{-3}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_0$</td>
<td>Reference temperature (K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_{hnf}$</td>
<td>Hybrid nanofluid density (kgm$^{-3}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_i$</td>
<td>Nanoparticle thermal conductivity (Wm$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta$</td>
<td>Similarity variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_1$</td>
<td>Initial value of the velocity slip factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_p$</td>
<td>Heat capacity constant pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_f$</td>
<td>Base fluid thermal conductivity (Wm$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon$</td>
<td>Unsteadiness parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E$</td>
<td>Electric field parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M$</td>
<td>Magnetic parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q$</td>
<td>Source/sink parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_{sj}$</td>
<td>Coefficient of skin friction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_f$</td>
<td>Dynamic viscosity (kgm$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>Temperature of fluid (K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_w$</td>
<td>Wall shear stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q_w$</td>
<td>Transportation of heat</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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