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#### Abstract

We study 3-dimensional compact and simply connected trans-Sasakian manifolds and find necessary and sufficient conditions under which these manifolds are homothetic to Sasakian manifolds. The first two results deal with finding necessary and sufficient conditions on a compact and simply connected trans-Sasakian manifold to be homothetic to an Einstein Sasakian manifold and in the third result deals with finding necessary and sufficient condition on a compact and simply connected trans-Sasakian manifold to be homothetic to a Sasakian manifold.


## 1. Introduction

It is well known that for an almost contact metric manifold ( $M, F, \zeta, \eta, g$ ) (cf. [1]), the product $\bar{M}=M \times R$ has an almost complex structure $J$, which with product metric $\bar{g}$ makes ( $\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ an almost Hermitian manifold. The properties of the almost Hermitian manifold $(\bar{M}, J, \bar{g})$ control the properties of the almost contact metric manifold ( $M, F, \zeta, \eta, g$ ) and provide several structures on $M$ such as a Sasakian structure and a quasi-Sasakian structure (cf. [1-3]). There are known sixteen different types of structures on $(\bar{M}, J, \bar{g})$ (cf. [4]), and using the structure in the class $\mathscr{W}_{4}$ on $(\bar{M}, J, g)$, a structure $(F, \zeta, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ was introduced on $M$, which is called transSasakian structure (cf. [5]), that generalizes Sasakian structure, Kenmotsu structure, and cosymplectic structure on a contact metric manifold (cf. [2, 3]), where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ being the real functions defined on $M$.

Recall that a trans-Sasakian manifold $(M, F, \zeta, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ is called a trans-Sasakain manifold of type $(\alpha, \beta)$, and transSasakian manifolds of type $(0,0),(\alpha, 0)$, and $(0, \beta)$ are called a cosymplectic, a $\alpha$-Sasakian, and a $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifolds, respectively. It is on account of a result proved in [6] that a trans-Sasakian manifold of dimension five or greater than
five reduces to a cosymplectic manifold, a $\alpha$-Sasakian manifold, or a $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold, so there is an emphasis on studying three-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifolds.

Among other questions, finding conditions under which a compact 3-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold ( $M, F, \zeta$ $, \eta, g)$ is homothetic to a Sasakian manifold is of prime importance. The geometry of 3-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold is also important owing to Thurston's conjecture (cf. [7]), and fetching conditions on a 3-dimensional transSasakian manifold ( $M, F, \zeta, \eta, g$ ) in matching it among Thurston's eight geometries becomes more interesting. It is worth noting that in Thurston's eight geometries, the first place is occupied by the spherical geometry $S^{3}$.

In ([8-13]), the authors have studied compact 3dimensional trans-Sasakian manifolds with some suitable restrictions on functions $\alpha$ and $\beta$ appearing in the definition of a trans-Sasakian manifold for getting conditions under which a trans-Sasakian manifold is homothetic to a Sasakian manifold. In particular, it is known that a 3-dimensional compact simply connected trans-Sasakian manifold ( $M, F$, $\zeta, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ satisfying Poisson equations $\Delta \alpha=\beta$ and $\Delta \alpha=$ $\alpha^{2} \beta$, respectively, is necessarily homothetic to a Sasakian manifold (cf. [10]).

An interesting work on 3-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifolds is found in $[14,15]$, where the authors have considered other aspects in Thurston's eight geometries. In [10], it is asked whether the function $\beta$ on a 3 -dimensional compact trans-Sasakian manifold ( $M, F, \zeta, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta$ ) satisfying $\operatorname{grad} \beta=\zeta(\beta) \zeta$ necessitates the trans-Sasakian manifold to be homothetic to a Sasakian manifold. In [15], it is shown that this question has negative answer.

Einstein Sasakian manifolds are very important due to their geometric importance (cf. [16]). In this paper, in our first two results, we find necessary and sufficient conditions on a compact simply connected 3-dimensional transSasakian manifold ( $M, F, \zeta, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta$ ) to be homothetic to an Einstein Sasakian manifold, and in the third, we find a necessary and sufficient condition on a compact simply connected 3-dimensional trans-Sasakian $(M, F, \zeta, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ to be homothetic to a Sasakian manifold.

In the first result, we consider a compact and simply connected trans-Sasakian manifold ( $M, F, \zeta, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta$ ) of positive constant scalar curvature $\tau$, the function $\beta$ satisfying Fischer-Marsden equation shows that the functions $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are related to $\tau$ by the inequality $\beta\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}-\tau / 4\right) \geq 0$, and the Ricci operator $Q$ satisfying Codazzi-type equation with respect to vector field $\zeta$ necessarily implies that $(M, F, \zeta, \eta$, $g, \alpha, \beta$ ) is homothetic to an Einstein Sasakian manifold. In the second result, we show that a compact simply connected trans-Sasakian manifold with function $\alpha$ constant along the integral curves of $\zeta$, scalar curvature $\tau$ satisfying the inequality $\alpha\left(6 \alpha^{2}-\tau\right) \geq 0$, and the Ricci operator $Q$ satisfying Codazzi-type equation with respect to vector field $\zeta$ necessarily imply that $(M, F, \zeta, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ is homothetic to an Einstein Sasakian manifold. Finally, in the last result, we show that on a compact and simply connected trans-Sasakian manifold, the function $\beta$ satisfies the differential inequality $\zeta\left(\beta^{2}\right) \leq-2 \beta^{3}$, and vector fields $(\nabla Q)(\operatorname{grad} \alpha, \zeta), \zeta$ are orthogonal, which necessarily imply that $(M, F, \zeta, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ is homothetic to a Sasakian manifold, where the covariant derivative $(\nabla Q)(U, \zeta)=\nabla_{U} Q \zeta-Q\left(\nabla_{U} \zeta\right)$ for a smooth vector field $U$ on $M$.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $(M, F, \zeta, \eta, g)$ be an almost contact metric manifold $\operatorname{dim} M=3$, where $F$ being a $(1,1)$-tensor field, $\zeta$ a unit vector field, and $\eta$ smooth 1 -form dual to $\zeta$ with respect to the Riemannian metric $g$ satisfying
then $(M, F, \zeta, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ is said to be a trans-Sasakian manifold, where $(\nabla F)\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)=\nabla_{U_{1}} F U_{2}-F\left(\nabla_{U_{1}} U_{2}\right), U_{1}, U_{2} \in$ $\Gamma(T M)$, and $\nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection with respect to the metric $g$ (cf. [8-15]). Using equations (1) and (2), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{U} \zeta=-\alpha F(U)+\beta(U-\eta(U) \zeta), \quad U \in \Gamma(T M) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the Ricci tensor Ric of a Riemannian manifold ( $M, g)$, the Ricci operator $Q$ is defined by $\operatorname{Ric}\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)=g($ $\left.Q U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$ and $U_{1}, U_{2} \in \Gamma(T M)$. We have the following for a 3-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold ( $M, F, \zeta, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta$ ):

$$
\begin{gather*}
\zeta(\alpha)=-2 \alpha \beta  \tag{4}\\
Q \zeta=F(\operatorname{grad} \alpha)-\operatorname{grad} \beta+2\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right) \zeta-\zeta(\beta) \zeta . \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that equation (3) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div} \zeta=2 \beta \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using this equation together with equation (4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}\left(\alpha^{k} \zeta\right)=k \alpha^{k-1} \zeta(\alpha)+\alpha^{k} \operatorname{div} \zeta=-2 k \alpha^{k} \beta+2 \alpha^{k} \beta=-2(k-1) \alpha^{k} \beta \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, on compact 3-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold ( $M, F, \zeta, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta$ ), using equation (6) and the above equation, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{M} \beta=0 \\
\int_{M} \alpha^{k} \beta=0 \text { for } k \neq 1 \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now, we state the following result of Okumura.
Theorem 1. [17] Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold. If $M$ admits a Killing vector field $\zeta$ of constant length satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{2}\left(\nabla_{U_{1}} \nabla_{U_{2}} \zeta-\nabla_{\nabla_{U_{1}} U_{2}} \zeta\right)=g\left(U_{2}, \zeta\right) U_{1}-g\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right) \zeta \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for nonzero constant $c$ and any vector fields $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$, then $M$ is homothetic to a Sasakian manifold.

$$
F^{2}=-I+\eta \otimes \zeta, F(\zeta)=0, \eta \circ F=0, g\left(F U_{1}, F U_{2}\right)=g\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)-\eta\left(U_{1}\right) \eta\left(U_{2}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{1}, U_{2} \in \Gamma(T M) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma(T M)$ is the space of smooth sections of the tangent bundle TM (cf. [1]). If there exist functions $\alpha$ and $\beta$ on an almost contact metric manifold $(M, F, \zeta, \eta, g)$ satisfying
$(\nabla F)\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)=\alpha\left(g\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right) \zeta-\eta\left(U_{2}\right) U_{1}\right)+\beta\left(g\left(F U_{1}, U_{2}\right) \zeta-\eta\left(U_{2}\right) F U_{1}\right)$,

For a smooth function $h$ on the Riemannian manifold ( $M, g)$, then the operator $A_{h}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{h}(U)=\nabla_{U} \operatorname{grad} h, \quad U \in \Gamma(T M) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the Hessian operator of $h$, and it is a symmetric operator. Moreover, the Hessian $\operatorname{Hess}(h)$ of $h$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hess}(h)\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)=g\left(A_{h}\left(U_{1}\right), U_{2}\right), \quad U_{1}, U_{2} \in \Gamma(T M) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Laplace operator $\Delta$ on $(M, g)$ is defined by $\Delta h=$ $\operatorname{div}(\operatorname{grad} h)$, and we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta h=\operatorname{tr} A_{h} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fischer-Marsden differential equation on a Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ is (cf. [18])

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\Delta h) g+h \operatorname{Ric}=\operatorname{Hess}(h) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Trans-Sasakian Manifolds Homothetic to Einstein Sasakian Manifolds

In this section, we find necessary and sufficient conditions for a compact and simply connected 3-dimensional transSasakian manifold ( $M, F, \zeta, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta$ ) to be homothetic to an Einstein Sasakian manifold.

Theorem 2. A compact and simply connected 3-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold $(M, F, \zeta, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ with positive constant scalar curvature $\tau$ and the function $\beta$ a solution of Fischer-Marsden equation satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}-\frac{\tau}{4}\right) \geq 0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

is homothetic to an Einstein Sasakian manifold of positive scalar curvature, if and only if, the Ricci operator $Q$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\nabla Q)(U, \zeta)=(\nabla Q)(\zeta, U), \quad U \in \Gamma(T M) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Suppose $(M, F, \zeta, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ is a compact simply connected 3-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold satisfying the hypothesis. Then, equation (13) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\Delta \beta) g+\beta \operatorname{Ric}=\operatorname{Hess}(\beta) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and taking trace in above equation and using equation (12), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \beta=-\frac{\tau}{2} \beta \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that by equation (3), we have $\nabla_{\zeta} \zeta=0$, and therefore, $\operatorname{Hess}(\beta)(\zeta, \zeta)=\zeta \zeta(\beta)$. Using this equation and equation (17) in equation (16), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\tau}{2} \beta+\beta \operatorname{Ric}(\zeta, \zeta)=\zeta \zeta(\beta) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, using equation (5), we have $\operatorname{Ric}(\zeta, \zeta)=2\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right.$ $-\zeta(\beta))$. Thus, the above equation becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\tau}{2} \beta+2 \beta\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}-\zeta(\beta)\right)=\zeta \zeta(\beta) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using equation (6), we have $\operatorname{div}(\zeta(\beta) \zeta)=\zeta \zeta(\beta)+2 \beta \zeta($
$\beta$ ), and inserting it in the above equation, we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\tau}{2} \beta+2 \beta\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)=\operatorname{div}(\zeta(\beta) \zeta) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating the above equation, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} \beta\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}-\frac{\tau}{4}\right)=0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the inequality in the statement, we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}-\frac{\tau}{4}\right)=0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $M$ is simply connected, it is connected, and therefore equation (22) implies either (i) $\beta=0$ or (ii) $\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}-\tau$ $/ 4=0$. Suppose (ii) holds, then as $\tau$ is a constant, we get $\zeta$ ( $\left.\alpha^{2}\right)=\zeta\left(\beta^{2}\right)$, which in view of equation (4) implies $\beta \zeta(\beta)=$ $-2 \alpha^{2} \beta$; that is, $3 \beta^{2} \zeta(\beta)=-6 \alpha^{2} \beta^{2}$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta\left(\beta^{3}\right)=-6 \alpha^{2} \beta^{2} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using equation (6), we have $\operatorname{div}\left(\beta^{3} \zeta\right)=\zeta\left(\beta^{3}\right)+2 \beta^{4}$, and inserting it in above equation, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}\left(\beta^{3} \zeta\right)=2 \beta^{2}\left(\beta^{2}-3 \alpha^{2}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating the above equation, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} \beta^{2}\left(3 \alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)=0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, using (ii) in above integral, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} \beta^{2}\left(2 \alpha^{2}+\frac{\tau}{4}\right)=0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and since the scalar curvature $\tau>0$, through above integral, we conclude that $\beta=0$. Thus, using equations (2), (3), (4), and (5), take the forms

$$
\begin{gather*}
(\nabla F)\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)=\alpha\left(g\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right) \zeta-\eta\left(U_{2}\right) U_{1}\right)  \tag{27}\\
\nabla_{U} \zeta=-\alpha F U \\
\zeta(\alpha)=0 \\
Q \zeta=F(\operatorname{grad} \alpha)+2 \alpha^{2} \zeta \tag{28}
\end{gather*}
$$

Taking the covariant derivative in the second equation of equation (28), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\nabla Q)(U, \zeta)+Q\left(\nabla_{U} \zeta\right)=(\nabla F)(U, \operatorname{grad} \alpha)+F\left(A_{\alpha} U\right)+4 \alpha U(\alpha) \zeta+2 \alpha^{2} \nabla_{U} \zeta, \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using equation (27) in above equation, we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\nabla Q)(U, \zeta)-\alpha Q(F U)=5 \alpha U(\alpha) \zeta+F\left(A_{\alpha} U\right)-2 \alpha^{3} F U, \quad U \in \Gamma(T M) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, using the Codazzi equation type condition on $Q$ in the hypothesis, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\nabla Q)(\zeta, U)-\alpha Q(F U)=5 \alpha U(\alpha) \zeta+F\left(A_{\alpha} U\right)-2 \alpha^{3} F U, \quad U \in \Gamma(T M) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the second equation in equation (27), we compute the Lie derivative of $g$ with respect to $\zeta$ to conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(£_{\zeta} g\right)\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)=-\alpha g\left(F U_{1}, U_{2}\right)-\alpha g\left(F U_{2}, U_{1}\right)=0 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, $\zeta$ is a Killing vector field and that the flow of $\zeta$ consists of isometries of the Riemannian manifold $M$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(£_{\zeta} Q\right)(U)=0, \quad U \in \Gamma(T M) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using equation (27), we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\nabla Q)(\zeta, U)=\alpha Q(F U)-\alpha F(Q U), \quad U \in \Gamma(T M) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining the above equation with equation (31), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\alpha F(Q U)=5 \alpha U(\alpha) \zeta+F\left(A_{\alpha} U\right)-2 \alpha^{3} F U, \quad U \in \Gamma(T M) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the inner product with $\zeta$ in above equation, we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha U(\alpha)=0, \quad U \in \Gamma(T M) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that $M$ being simply connected, $\alpha \neq 0$; for if $\alpha=0$, then by equation (27), we see that $\zeta$ is parallel and that $\eta$ is closed, which implies $\eta$ is exact; that is, $\eta=d f$ for a smooth function $f$ on $M$. This implies $\zeta=\operatorname{gradf}$, and $M$ being compact, there is a point $q \in M$ such that $(\operatorname{gradf})(q)$ $=0$, and we get $\zeta(q)=0$, a contradiction to the fact that $\zeta$ is a unit vector field. Hence, $\alpha \neq 0$, and equation (36) implies $U(\alpha)=0, U \in \Gamma(T M)$; that is, $\alpha$ is a nonzero constant.

Now, equation (28) gives $Q(\zeta)=2 \alpha^{2} \zeta$, and taking the covariant derivative in this equation yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\nabla Q)(U, \zeta)-\alpha Q(F U)=-2 \alpha^{3} F U \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the condition in the hypothesis and equation (34) with $\alpha \neq 0$, in above equation, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
-F(Q U)=-2 \alpha^{2} F U, \quad U \in \Gamma(T M) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Operating $F$ on above equation while using equation (1)
and $Q(\zeta)=2 \alpha^{2} \zeta$, we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q U=2 \alpha^{2} U, \quad U \in \Gamma(T M) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

This proves that $M$ is an Einstein manifold. Finally, using equation (27), with $\alpha$ a nonzero constant, we compute

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{U_{1}} \nabla_{U_{2}} \zeta-\nabla_{\nabla_{U_{1} U_{2}}} \zeta=-\alpha\left(\nabla_{U_{1}} F U_{2}-F\left(\nabla_{U_{1}} U_{2}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=-\alpha(\nabla F)\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)=\alpha^{2}\left(g\left(U_{2}, \zeta\right) U_{1}-g\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right) \zeta\right) . \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, by Theorem 1, we conclude that $M$ is homothetic to a compact simply connected Einstein Sasakian manifold of positive scalar curvature. The converse is trivial.

Theorem 3. A compact and simply connected 3-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold ( $M, F, \zeta, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta$ ) satisfying $\zeta(\alpha)$ $=0$ and the scalar curvature $\tau$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(6 \alpha^{2}-\tau\right) \geq 0 \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

is homothetic to an Einstein Sasakian manifold, if and only if, the Ricci operator $Q$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\nabla Q)(U, \zeta)=(\nabla Q)(\zeta, U), \quad U \in \Gamma(T M) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Suppose $(M, F, \zeta, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ is a compact and simply connected 3-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold satisfying the hypothesis. Then, using equation (4) and the condition $\zeta(\alpha)=0$, we get $\alpha \beta=0$. However, if $\alpha=0$, then equation (3) implies that $\eta$ is closed, and as seen in the proof of Theorem 2, we get a contradiction owing to simply connectedness of $M$. Thus, $\alpha \neq 0$, and on connected $M$, equation $\alpha \beta=0$ implies that $\beta=0$. Therefore, equations (27) and (28) hold. Now, using equation (28), we have $Q \zeta=F$ (grad $\alpha)+2 \alpha^{2} \zeta$, which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{grad} \alpha=F(Q \zeta) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking covariant derivative in above equation, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-A_{\alpha} U=(\nabla F)(U, Q \zeta)+F(\nabla Q)(U, \zeta)+F Q\left(\nabla_{U} \zeta\right), \quad U \in \Gamma(T M), \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using equation (27), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
-A_{\alpha} U=\alpha(\operatorname{Ric}(U, \zeta) \zeta-\operatorname{Ric}(\zeta, \zeta) U)+F(\nabla Q)(U, \zeta)-\alpha F Q F U, \quad U \in \Gamma(T M) . \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using condition in the hypothesis, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-A_{\alpha} U=\alpha(\operatorname{Ric}(U, \zeta) \zeta-\operatorname{Ric}(\zeta, \zeta) U)+F(\nabla Q)(\zeta, U)-\alpha F Q F U, \quad U \in \Gamma(T M) . \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, equation (27) implies that $\zeta$ is a Killing vector field, and therefore, using its outcome equation (34) as well as
equation (28), we conclude
$-A_{\alpha} U=\alpha\left(\operatorname{Ric}(U, \zeta) \zeta-2 \alpha^{2} U\right)+F(\alpha Q(F U)-\alpha F(Q U))-\alpha F Q F U, \quad U \in \Gamma(T M)$.

That is, on using equation (1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\alpha} U=\alpha\left(2 \alpha^{2} U-Q U\right), \quad U \in \Gamma(T M) \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \alpha=\alpha\left(6 \alpha^{2}-\tau\right) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

and integrating the above equation, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} \alpha\left(6 \alpha^{2}-\tau\right)=0 \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the condition in the hypothesis, we have $\alpha\left(6 \alpha^{2}\right.$ $-\tau)=0$, and as $\alpha \neq 0$, we conclude $\tau=6 \alpha^{2}$. Consequently, equation (49) implies that $\Delta \alpha=0$, and $M$ being compact, we conclude that $\alpha$ is a constant. Thus, $\alpha$ being nonzero constant, equation (48) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q U=2 \alpha^{2} U, \quad U \in \Gamma(T M) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

This proves that $M$ is an Einstein manifold, and using equation (27), we see that the unit Killing vector field $\zeta$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{U_{1}} \nabla_{U_{2}} \zeta-\nabla_{\nabla_{U_{1}} U_{2}} \zeta=\alpha^{2}\left(g\left(U_{2}, \zeta\right) U_{1}-g\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right) \zeta\right), \quad U_{1}, U_{2} \in \Gamma(T M) . \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, in view of Theorem 1, we conclude that $M$ is homothetic to an Einstein Sasakian manifold. The converse is trivial.

Theorem 4. A compact and simply connected 3-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold $(M, F, \zeta, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta\left(\beta^{2}\right) \leq-2 \beta^{3} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

is homothetic to a Sasakian manifold, if and only if, the vector fields $(\nabla Q)(\operatorname{grad} \alpha, \zeta)$ and $\zeta$ are orthogonal.

Proof. Suppose $(M, F, \zeta, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ is a compact and simply connected 3-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold satisfying the hypothesis. Then, using equation (5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{grad} \beta=F(\operatorname{grad} \alpha)-Q \zeta+2\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right) \zeta-\zeta(\beta) \zeta \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

and taking covariant derivative in above equation with
respect to $U \in \Gamma(T M)$, while using equation (3), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{\beta} U= & (\nabla F)(U, \operatorname{grad} \alpha)+F A_{\alpha} U-(\nabla Q)(U, \zeta)-Q(-\alpha F U+\beta U-\beta \eta(U) \zeta) \\
& +2 U\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right) \zeta+2\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)(-\alpha F U+\beta U-\beta \eta(U) \zeta) \\
& -U \zeta(\beta) \zeta-\zeta(\beta)(-\alpha F U+\beta U-\beta \eta(U) \zeta) . \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking trace in above equation and noting the following outcome of equation (2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{3}(\nabla F)\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)=2 \alpha \zeta \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a local orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$ on $M$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta \beta= & -2 \alpha \zeta(\alpha)-\frac{1}{2} \zeta(\tau)-\beta \tau+\beta \operatorname{Ric}(\zeta, \zeta)+2 \zeta\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right) \\
& +4 \beta\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)-\zeta \zeta(\beta)-2 \beta \zeta(\beta) \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used $\operatorname{Tr} F A_{\alpha}=0, \operatorname{Tr} Q F=0, \operatorname{Tr} F=0$, and well known formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{3}(\nabla Q)\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{grad} \tau \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, using equation (4) and $\operatorname{Ric}(\zeta, \zeta)=2\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}-\zeta(\beta\right.$ )) in equation (57), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \beta=-\frac{1}{2} \zeta(\tau)-\beta \tau-6 \beta^{3}+10 \alpha^{2} \beta-8 \beta \zeta(\beta)-\zeta \zeta(\beta) \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \beta=-\frac{1}{2} \zeta(\tau)-\beta \tau-6 \beta^{3}+10 \alpha^{2} \beta-\zeta\left(\zeta(\beta)+4 \beta^{2}\right) \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that on using equation (6), we have $1 / 2 \operatorname{div}(\tau \zeta)$ $=1 / 2 \zeta(\tau)+\beta \tau$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}\left(\left(\zeta(\beta)+4 \beta^{2}\right) \zeta\right)=\zeta\left(\zeta(\beta)+4 \beta^{2}\right)+2 \beta\left(\zeta(\beta)+4 \beta^{2}\right) \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting these equations in equation (60), we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \beta=-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}(\tau \zeta)-6 \beta^{3}+10 \alpha^{2} \beta+2 \beta\left(\zeta(\beta)+4 \beta^{2}\right)-\operatorname{div}\left(\left(\zeta(\beta)+4 \beta^{2}\right) \zeta\right) \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

and integrating the above equation while keeping in mind equation (8), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}\left(\zeta\left(\beta^{2}\right)+2 \beta^{3}\right)=0 \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the condition in the hypothesis, we conclude $\zeta$ (
$\left.\beta^{2}\right)=-2 \beta^{3}$ and $\beta \zeta(\beta)=-\beta^{3}$; that is, $3 \beta^{2} \zeta(\beta)=-3 \beta^{4}$. We get $\zeta\left(\beta^{3}\right)=-3 \beta^{4}$, which in view of equation (6) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}\left(\beta^{3} \zeta\right)=-\beta^{4} \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating the above equation yields $\beta=0$. Thus, equations (27) and (28) are now available to us. Taking covariant derivative in second equation of equation (28) with respect to $U \in \Gamma(T M)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\nabla Q)(U, \zeta)-\alpha Q(F U)=(\nabla F)(U, \operatorname{grad} \alpha)+F A_{\alpha} U+4 \alpha U(\alpha) \zeta-2 \alpha^{3} F U, \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used the second equation in equation (27). Now, using equation (27) and noting that $\zeta(\alpha)=0$ in above equation, we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\nabla Q)(U, \zeta)-\alpha Q(F U)=5 \alpha U(\alpha) \zeta+F A_{\alpha} U-2 \alpha^{3} F U \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the inner product with $\zeta$ in above equation, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
5 \alpha U(\alpha)=g((\nabla Q)(U, \zeta), \zeta)-\alpha g(F U, Q \zeta) \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, using equation (28), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(F U, Q \zeta)=g\left(F U, F(\operatorname{grad} \alpha)+2 \alpha^{2} \zeta\right)=U(\alpha) \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

and inserting it in equation (67), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
6 \alpha U(\alpha)=g((\nabla Q)(U, \zeta), \zeta), \quad U \in \Gamma(T M) \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $U=\operatorname{grad} \alpha$ in above equation and using the condition in the hypothesis, we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\|\operatorname{grad} \alpha\|^{2}=0 \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $M$ being compact and simply connected, $\alpha$ is not allowed to be zero. Hence, the above equation implies that $\alpha$ is nonzero constant. Thus, we have by virtue of equation (27) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{U_{1}} \nabla_{U_{2}} \zeta-\nabla_{\nabla_{U_{1}} U_{2}} \zeta=\alpha^{2}\left(g\left(U_{2}, \zeta\right) U_{1}-g\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right) \zeta\right), \quad U_{1}, U_{2} \in \Gamma(T M) \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

This proves that $M$ is homothetic to a Sasakian manifold. The converse is trivial.
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