Experimental Study on Mechanical Behavior of Lean Cemented Sand and Gravel Material in Unloading and Reloading Paths
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Lean cemented sand and gravel (LCSG) materials are subjected to unloading-loading when an LCSG dam is opened for water drainage and then refilled or a roadbed base is subjected to repeated wheel loads. To investigate the behavior of the LCSG materials under loading-unloading, previous studies utilized the complete loading triaxial test. In contrast, in this study, the consolidated drained triaxial tests in the unloading and reloading paths for materials with cementing agent contents of 60 and 100 kg/m³ under different confining pressures, for which each curve generates three loading-unloading cycles, were applied to investigate the unloading and reloading mechanical behavior. Experimental results indicated that the unloading and reloading behavior of the LCSG materials produced stress-strain curves exhibiting a crescent-shaped hysteresis loop, which differs from that exhibited by coarse-grained soil. Although the shape of the crescent-like hysteresis loop was preserved as stress levels increasing, it gradually expanded. Compared with that of the typical triaxial test, the cohesive force and the increasing internal friction angle increased. Further, as the confining pressure increased, the crescent-like hysteresis loops tapered, shear strength increased linearly, and the modulus of resilience increased nonlinearly; the latter’s rate of change, however, decreased. The change in volumetric strain was small during unloading as the stress level changed.

1. Introduction

With the increasing speed and scale of urbanization, the demand for stone materials in the construction of real estate, municipal, transportation, and water conservancy infrastructure has increased sharply, leading to the rapid rise and growth of the stone quarrying market; mountain excavations have become widespread. The ceaseless mining has had a negative impact on the ecology of mountainous areas, and a large quantity of waste stone materials is produced. To reduce the ecological damage and facilitate the disposal of the existing abandoned stone, research has been conducted to discover alternative uses for the abandoned stone. One way was adding a small amount of cementing agent content, typically less than 120 kg/m³ in density, into the abandoned stone to form a material called lean cemented sand and gravel (LCSG) material. LCSG material is a type of concrete with low cementing agent content that can be used for dams, roads, and other construction projects [1, 2]. This can effectively reduce the construction waste and thus decrease the negative impact of this waste on the environment. However, at present, the application of LCSG material is still in the preliminary stage of exploration, and its applicability in engineering remains to be investigated.

The determination of the mechanical properties of LCSG materials is helpful for the structural calculation of practical
projects. The properties are generally investigated through mechanical tests. Sun et al. conducted experimental studies on the compressive and flexural properties of LCSG materials [3, 4]. Further, they reported reference values for the material components, such as the cementing agent content, sand content, water-binder ratio, and aggregate gradation of LCSG materials. These studies systematically analyzed the strength characteristics and deformation modulus of LCSG materials under unconfined pressure. However, LCSG materials are used in engineering applications involving complex stress conditions, such as those experienced by dams or roadbed bases. Therefore, some scholars have performed static triaxial tests, which are typically used in geotechnical engineering, to study the mechanical properties of this type of roller-compacted concrete with low cementing agent content. Through triaxial tests on LCSG materials, Wu et al. [5] analyzed the peak strength, initial modulus, and other mechanical properties at different curing ages and confining pressures. Through triaxial shear tests, Younes et al. analyzed the characteristics of their stress-strain curve, including the strength, initial elastic modulus, and dilatancy by changing the confining pressure and cementing agent content [6–10]. Although there have been some reports of static triaxial shear tests on LCSG materials, most of these tests were carried out under complete loading conditions. Further, those research results do not reflect the unloading and loading mechanical properties of LCSG materials in real-life projects such as an LCSG dam that is opened for water drainage and then refilled or a roadbed base that is subjected to repeated wheel loads.

Understanding the unloading and reloading properties of LCSG materials would help to improve the reliability of the stress and deformation results for the unloading and reloading processes of LCSG dams and roadbed bases. Many studies have been carried out on clay, coarse-grained soil, or similar cementing materials, and some unloading and reloading properties of those materials have been acquired. Liu et al. [11] used an ultrasonic test system to deform and fracture coal rock and adopted cyclic loading and unloading tests to analyze the change in the amplitude, dominant frequency, and velocity. Zhang et al. [12] conducted cyclic loading-unloading triaxial tests of sandstones under different confining pressures to reveal the energy conversion mechanism from rock deformation to failure and establish energy conversion equations in different stress-strain stages. Li et al. [13] studied the mechanical properties of the sand in unloading and reloading paths and observed shrinkage of the sand during unloading. Xu et al. [14] investigated the loading-unloading shear behavior of three types of earth materials with different clay contents under different relative humidity levels and confining pressures. They showed that the mechanical characteristics of the Earth materials have a strong dependence on the ambient relative humidity at which the samples are conditioned and on the clay content. Zhu et al. [15, 16] conducted conventional triaxial loading and unloading tests on a variety of coarse-grained soils and analyzed the mechanical properties of coarse-grained soils under unloading-reloading conditions. Zhao et al. [17] studied the effect of loading-unloading paths on the shear strength and deformation of intact and completely decomposed granite soil samples collected from deep areas around subway tunnels using the triaxial consolidated drained test along a multistage loading-unloading path. They found that the coarse-grained soils experienced carrier shrinkage during unloading and the carrier shrinkage increased with increasing stress levels. Yang et al. [18] revealed the influence of the unloading and reloading path on the strength characteristics, deformation modulus, and other mechanical properties of polyurethane foam-reinforced rockfill materials by conducting triaxial tests on weathered granite soil under different unloading and reloading times. They used a medium triaxial shear apparatus to test the resilience modulus and analyzed the deformation characteristics of the materials under the unloading and reloading process; furthermore, they revealed the variation law of the deformation modulus. Xu et al. [19] explored the mechanical behavior and damage characteristics of frozen soil under different moisture contents by completing triaxial loading-unloading cycle tests. Xiao et al. [20] performed loading and unloading triaxial tests to study the failure strength and the failure modes of a rock-like material containing a preexisting fissure.

Nevertheless, research on the mechanical properties of LCSG materials under triaxial unloading and reloading is rare. Currently, the mechanical properties of the clay or coarse-grained soils in the unloading-loading path, including the modulus of resilience, are directly used for finite element calculations involving LCSG dams [21, 22].

However, owing to the material composition, including the cementing agent content and particle size of the LCSG material, the mechanical properties can be different from those of the materials characterized in these studies. This affects the reliability of the stress and deformation results obtained for LCSG dams or roadbed bases.

Thus, this study carried out unloading and reloading triaxial tests of LCSG materials with cementing agent contents of 60 and 100 kg/m³ under confining pressures of 300, 600, 900, and 1200 kPa. Based on the results of these tests, the triaxial stress-strain loading and unloading curves and volumetric strain-axial strain curves under different cementing agent contents and confining pressures were analyzed. Moreover, peak strength, modulus of resilience, and volume contraction or expansion during unloading and loading of LCSG materials were studied.

2. Materials and Methods

To investigate the mechanical behavior of LCSG material subjected to unloading and reloading under different confining pressures and stress levels, two different cementing agent contents (specifically, 60 and 100 kg/m³, which are commonly used for LCSG dams) were considered.

2.1. Materials and Test Specimens. The raw components of the LCSG material used in this study were medium-sized sand, crushed stone, water, and Portland cement, which were the same as those used in previous static triaxial tests of
LCSG [8, 9]. The specific gravity of the medium-sized sand was 2.62 with a fineness modulus of 2.48 and a bulk density of 1450 kg/m³. The specific gravity, bulk density, water content, and clay content of the crushed stone were 2.71, 1650 kg/m³, 0.01%, and 0.01%, respectively. The Portland cement was obtained from Anhui Digang Hailuo Cement Co., Ltd. The fineness of the cement was 2.26%; the contents of SO₃ and MgO in the cement, which are harmful mineral contaminants, were 2.56% and 1.78%, respectively, and did not exceed the prescribed content levels. The mix proportions of each group of LCSG specimens are given in Table 1 [8, 9]. The mix materials are shown in Figure 1.

2.2. Test Procedure. Two groups of LCSG specimens were used, with each group containing eight specimens. The two groups of specimens were each subjected to confining pressures of 300, 600, 900, and 1200 kPa in this study. Each specimen was 700 mm high and had a diameter of 300 mm, as shown in Figure 1. The material composition, curing, and preparation methods of the specimens were the same as those of the conventional triaxial test specimens prepared using the LCSG material [8, 9]. The LCSG specimens for the unloading and reloading triaxial tests were prepared, and the tests were conducted according to the relevant regulations stated in SL237-1999 [23]. The tests were conducted using a TYD-1500 dynamic triaxial tester at Nanjing Hydraulic Research Institute, as shown in Figure 2, which has a comprehensive precision index higher than 1%. The instrument consists of five parts: hydraulic station and digital signal element, load cell, triaxial pressure chamber, pressure/volume controller, and cylinder software. The maximum confining pressure of the instrument is 4.0 MPa, and the maximum axial load is 1500 kN.

The unloading and reloading triaxial compression tests were conducted using a strain-controlled triaxial compression apparatus, and the main test procedure was as follows. The prepared specimens were covered with a rubber membrane and placed in the triaxial testing instrument. The specimens were saturated using the hydrostatic head method. The consolidation stress ratio, \( \sigma_1/\sigma_3 \), was controlled to 1.0 to consolidate the specimens under the different confining pressures of 300, 600, 900, and 1200 kPa. To ensure drained conditions, the specimens were sheared at a controlled axial deformation rate of 1 mm/min, which favored the drainage of water during the test. Unloading was initiated after loading to the set stress level; reloading to the next stress level was initiated after the deviatoric stress reached zero. Unloading refers to the gradual decrease in the axial load after the specimen was loaded to stress levels corresponding to 25%, 65%, and 80% of the peak deviatoric stress, \( q_{\text{max}} \), which was the maximum value of the deviatoric stress, \( \sigma_1 - \sigma_3 \). Table 2 summarizes the details of the loading-unloading sequences. A drastic decrease in the deviatoric stress, \( q \), after the appearance of the peak value or the collapse of the samples was considered a sign of failure. The peak deviatoric stress was recorded as the shear strength of the samples.

3. Test Results and Analysis

3.1. Stress-Strain Curves for LCSG Material in Unloading and Reloading. Two specimens were tested under the same confining pressure in the same stress path. The stress-strain curves and peak strengths between the two specimens under the same confining pressure were essentially the same. According to the average of those test results under the same confining pressure, Figure 3 shows the stress-strain curves of the LCSG material in the unloading and reloading triaxial tests.

As shown in Figure 3, for the LCSG material with a cementing agent content of 100 kg/m³, the loading part of the curves in the unloading-reloading triaxial test coincides with its stress-strain curves in the complete loading triaxial test, which is a typical triaxial test [8]. This indicates that the test results are reliable. However, the unloading curve does not coincide with the reloading curve of the LCSG material in the unloading-reloading path. A crescent-shaped hysteresis loop is formed that is slightly different from that observed for coarse-grained soils, the hysteresis loop of which is an elliptic curve [15, 16]. The main reason is that, in the case of coarse-grained soils, only plastic deformation occurs in the unloading and reloading process; however, in the LCSG material, in addition to the plastic deformation, the cementing agent of the material imparts a certain viscosity to the aggregate particles. With an increasing stress level, the shape of the crescent-like hysteresis loop remains unchanged, but its size gradually increases, which indicates that the stress level affects the viscosity of the LCSG material to a certain extent. The crescent-like hysteresis loop of the LCSG material with a cementing agent content of 100 kg/m³ is more evident and has a smaller width than that of the LCSG material with a cementing agent content of 60 kg/m³. In addition, the shear strength of the samples with a cementing agent content of 100 kg/m³ in the unloading and reloading path is higher than that in the complete loading path. The analysis results above indicate that the unloading-reloading process causes an internal hysteresis loop to occur in which the internal materials are reconstituted. Further, the external forces under the unloading-reloading process are more prominent than those in the typical triaxial test, when the LCSG material specimens are destroyed.

3.2. Volumetric Strain. Figure 4 shows the volumetric strain and axial strain curves of the LCSG material with cementing agent contents of 60 and 100 kg/m³ in the unloading and reloading paths under different stress levels and confining pressures, as well as the curves of the LCSG material with a cementing agent content of 100 kg/m³ in the complete loading path [9]. As shown in Figure 4, except the part after the maximum volumetric strain, the volumetric strain-axial...
strain curve in the loading stage of the LCSG material in the unloading and reloading process is basically the same as in the complete loading path; that is, it initially increases and then decreases, which indicates that the LCSG materials show initial shear shrinkage followed by shear expansion. With increasing confining pressures, their dilatancy becomes weaker, which can also be observed during the complete loading of LCSG materials with a cementing agent content of 100 kg/m³. When the cementing agent content is 60 kg/m³, the LCSG material appears to shrink in volume during the unloading process. When the confining pressure is 300 kPa, the specimen with a cementing agent content of 100 kg/m³ expands during unloading. Further, when the confining pressure is higher than 600 kPa, unloading shrinkage of the LCSG material occurs.

3.3. Peak Strength. To explore the effect of the loading and unloading paths on the shear strength, similar with the research about sandstone [24], Figure 5 gives the final failure modes of specimens for LCSG material in the loading and unloading paths. The figure shows that the each specimen has a shear strain band which is the same with the final failure modes of specimens under the typical triaxial tests.

Figure 6 shows the shear strength of LCSG materials with a cementing agent content of 60 and 100 kg/m³ in the unloading-reloading path and in the complete loading path. The shear strengths of LCSG materials with cementing agent contents of 60 and 100 kg/m³ in the unloading and reloading path are higher than those found in the complete loading triaxial test. The test data show that the relationship between the shear strength and confining pressure is linear and can be fitted in a

---

**Table 1: Details of the test specimens.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Cement (kg/m³)</th>
<th>Water-cement ratio</th>
<th>Sand (kg/m³)</th>
<th>5–10 (mm)</th>
<th>10–20 (mm)</th>
<th>20–40 (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>340.8</td>
<td>596.4</td>
<td>715.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>340.8</td>
<td>596.4</td>
<td>715.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: Multistage unloading-reloading sequences in the triaxial tests of LCSG materials with cementing agent contents of 60 and 100 kg/m³.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test condition</th>
<th>Loading type</th>
<th>s = q/q_{max}</th>
<th>σ σ₃ (kPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consolidated drained</td>
<td>Multistage unloading-reloading</td>
<td>0→0.25→0→0.65→0→0.8→0→1</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 1:** The specimen of LCSG material for the triaxial test.

**Figure 2:** TYD-1500 dynamic triaxial tester.

---
straight line; furthermore, the fitted straight line has a higher corresponding slope than that obtained for the complete loading test representing the internal friction angle of LCSG materials in the loading and unloading paths. The change in slope between the complete loading path and the unloading and reloading path is much higher than the change in the intercept. This shows that the shear strength of the LCSG material is promoted mainly by the friction angle, which is different from the increase in the shear strength of coarse-grained soil caused by the internal friction angle and cohesive force in the unloading and reloading path reported by Chu et al. [15]. A possible reason for the increase in the friction angle between particles is that bonded aggregate particles may have broken and resulted in more dislocations, which changes the direction of the load between the particles during the unloading and reloading process of the LCSG material. The relationship between the peak strength and confining pressure of consolidated sand and gravel with a single cementing agent content under unloading and reloading conditions can still be directly expressed using the classic Mohr–Coulomb criterion [8]. Although the relationship between the strength and confining pressure of recycled aggregate concrete [25], which is a material similar to LCSG material, is nonlinear, it can also be expressed as a linear relationship within a certain confining pressure range, which is similar to the relationship between the strength and confining pressure of LCSG material and reflects the rationality of the relationship in this paper.

3.4. Deformation Characteristics. The deformation moduli of the LCSG material and the carrier shrinkage during unloading are the most distinctly different characteristic indexes between the unloading and reloading tests and typical tests. This study focused on these indicators.

3.4.1. Modulus of Resilience. The modulus of resilience in triaxial unloading and reloading is one of the most important characteristic indexes for geotechnical materials. The ratio of the stress increment and axial strain increment during the unloading and reloading process is used to obtain the unloading modulus, which is calculated as follows:

$$E_{ur} = \frac{\Delta \sigma_1}{\Delta \varepsilon_1} = \frac{\Delta (\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)}{\Delta \varepsilon_1}.$$ (1)
Figures 7 and 8 show that the unloading and loading curves, respectively, at each stage, can be divided into three phases: initial curve, intermediate linear, and end curve. In terms of stress, the initial and end curve phases of the LCSG material with a cementing agent content of 100 kg/m³ account for less than 10% of the total stage curve; in terms of strain,
Figure 6: Relationship between shear strength $q_{\text{max}}$ and confining pressure $\sigma_3$.  

Figure 7: LCSG material unloading curves for different confining pressures. (a) 300 kPa. (b) 600 kPa. (c) 900 kPa. (d) 1200 kPa.
they account for less than 20% of the total stage curve. Thus, it is assumed that the loading and unloading curves are mainly composed of the intermediate linear phase. In addition, the variation coefficients of the slope in the linear region of the loading and unloading curves are less than 5%. Although the slopes of the unloading and loading curves at each phase in the LCSG material with a cementing agent content of 60 kg/m³ considerably vary, the modulus of resilience follows the same trend as that of the LCSG material with a cementing agent content of 100 kg/m³ for practical applications. Referring to relevant research on stratified backfill [26], the average value of the slopes in the linear regions of the loading and unloading curves is expressed as the modulus of resilience in this study. The calculation results for the modulus of resilience of LCSG materials with cementing agent contents of 60 and 100 kg/m³ are summarized in Figure 9.

As shown in Figure 9, the confining pressure and stress level exhibit a certain influence on the deformation modulus. The deformation modulus increases with increasing confining pressures. The modulus of resilience under low confining pressures (i.e., 300 and 600 kPa) increases with increasing stress levels, whereas the modulus of resilience under high confining pressures (i.e., 900 and 1200 kPa) initially decreases and then increases with increasing stress levels. Although the modulus changes with the change in the stress level, the amplitude is less than 10%. We can infer that the average modulus value of the LCSG material under different stress levels can be considered its modulus of resilience. The results are shown in Figure 10. Figure 10 shows that the modulus of resilience, $E_{ur}$, increased nonlinearly with increasing confining pressure. This behavior is similar to that of unbound granular materials and cement-treated mixtures [27, 28], but it differs from the linear relationship between the modulus of resilience and the confining pressure of intact completely decomposed granite soils [14].

According to Figure 10, the modulus of resilience, $E_{ur}$, is calculated using the following equation, similar to the initial elastic modulus, $E_p$, in the Duncan–Chang constitutive model [18]:

\[
E_{ur} = \frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon_a} \left(1 + \frac{2a}{\varepsilon_a} + \frac{a^2}{\varepsilon_a^2}ight)
\]

Figure 8: LCSG material reloading curves for different confining pressures. (a) 300 kPa. (b) 600 kPa. (c) 900 kPa. (d) 1200 kPa.
where \( K_{ur} \) is a dimensionless parameter related to the material type, the atmospheric pressure \( P_a \) is 100 kPa, \( K_{ur}P_a \) represents the maximum modulus of resilience when the confining pressure is 100 Pa, and \( n \) represents the growth index of the maximum modulus of resilience.

However, when the confining pressure is zero, the initial modulus is zero in (2), and the result is inconsistent with the actual value of the modulus. Thus, the relationship between the initial modulus and confining pressure for the LCSG material, which was determined by Yang et al. [9], is expressed as follows:

\[
E_{ur} = K_{ur}P_a \left( \frac{\sigma_3}{P_a} \right)^n. \tag{3}
\]

According to the test results, the ratio \( N \) of the average value of the modulus of resilience, \( E_{ur} \), and initial modulus, \( E_i \), corresponding to stress levels under different confining pressures, was established. The relationship between \( N \) and the confining pressure is plotted in Figure 11. As shown in Figure 8, the value of parameter \( N \) under different confining pressures and stress levels is the same for a particular cementing agent content, and the range of \( N \) for the LCSG material with cementing agent contents of 60 and 100 kg/m\(^3\) is between 1.3 and 2.2, which is lower than that of the geotechnical materials [15, 16].

3.4.2. Unloading Carrier Shrinkage. To analyze further the unloading shrinkage of the LCSG material under various stress levels and confining pressures, the volumetric increment during unloading, \( \Delta \varepsilon_v \), is acquired as follows:

\[
\Delta \varepsilon_v = \varepsilon_{v2} - \varepsilon_{v1}, \tag{4}
\]

where \( \varepsilon_{v2} \) and \( \varepsilon_{v1} \) are the volume strain corresponding to a certain stress level in the shearing process and the volume strain corresponding to the axial deviatoric stress unloading to zero, respectively.

Based on (4), the volume of shrinkage after unloading under different confining pressures and stress levels is shown in Figure 12, where “-” indicates shrinkage and “+” indicates expansion. The unloading carrier of the LCSG material with a cementing agent content of 100 kg/m\(^3\) showed body expansion when the confining pressure was 300 kPa. In all other cases, the specimens of LCSG material with cementing agent contents of 60 and 100 kg/m\(^3\) showed body shrinkage. As in the case of polymer rockfill materials, the unloading carrier shrinkage phenomenon is observed in the LCSG material because of cemented content filling the pores among particles. It is difficult for particles to cross over to adjacent particles and rearrange, which results in the body shrinkage phenomenon. Further, with decreasing confining pressure, the sample
unloading carrier shrinkage gradually weakens, and the phenomenon of unloading carrier expansion occurs for the LCSG material specimen with a cementing agent content of 100 kg/m³. The LCSG material specimen with cementing agent content of 60 kg/m³ under different confining pressures and stress levels exhibits body shrinkage, and, with decreasing confining pressure, the sample unloading carrier shrinkage gradually weakens. Under different stress levels, the change in volumetric strain is small. In this study, $\Delta \varepsilon_v$ is assumed to be directly averaged for LCSG materials with cementing agent contents of 60 and 100 kg/m³.

4. Conclusions

In this study, loading and unloading triaxial tests of LCSG materials with cementing agent contents of 60 and 100 kg/m³ under different confining pressures (300, 600, 900, and 1200 kPa) and stress levels (0.25, 0.65, and 0.80) were carried out to investigate the resilience characteristics and change laws of the resilient modulus of LCSG materials. The following conclusions were drawn:

To ascertain the behavior of the LCSG materials under loading-unloading, the unloading and reloading triaxial tests of the materials under factors such as cementing agent
contents and confining pressures, which differ from the typical triaxial tests on the mechanical properties of the LCSG materials conducted by other experts, were adopted. The comparison between the results of the test and the typical triaxial tests also reflects the practicality of the loading-unloading triaxial tests of the LCSG materials.

The stress-stain curves of LCSG materials in the unloading and reloading path exhibit crescent-shaped hysteresis loops that differ from those of rockfill materials. With increasing confining pressure and cementing agent content, the crescent-like hysteresis loops tapered. Further, with increasing stress levels, they maintained their crescent-like shape but gradually expanded.

The friction angle and cohesion of the LCSG materials under the unloading and reloading triaxial tests were larger than those of the typical rockfill material. Compared to the cohesive force, an increase in friction angle can further promote the shear strength of LCSG materials. However, with the increase in confining pressure, the shear strength still increased linearly.

As confining pressure increased, the modulus of resilience increased nonlinearly; the modulus of resilience also increased with stress levels. The different confining pressures had minimal influence on $N$ and the ratio of modulus of resilience to the initial modulus. The modulus of resilience of LCSG materials with cementing agent contents of 60 and 100 kg/m$^3$ is approximately 1.3–2.2 times the corresponding initial modulus.

Except for the body expansion of the LCSG material under a confining pressure of 300 kPa, shrinkage occurred during unloading for the LCSG material with a cementing agent content of 100 kg/m$^3$, and, with increasing confining pressure, the body shrinkage of the specimens during the unloading process was more evident. The stress level has little effect on the volumetric increment during unloading for LCSG materials with cementing agent contents of 60 and 100 kg/m$^3$.

These results could serve as a crucial reference for numerical calculations involving LCSG dams, roadbed bases, and other reinforcement projects utilizing LCSG materials.
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