1. Introduction

Infertility, defined as being unable to conceive after 1 year of regular unprotected sexual intercourse, impacts around 15% of newly married couples [1]. It was noted that male causative factors account for almost 50% of these cases [2]. While comprehensive prevalence data on male infertility are not readily available, it remains a significant global issue affecting 72.4 million people [3]. Moreover, emerging research indicates that male infertility could be linked to an increased risk of developing chronic medical conditions [4]. Additionally, men with abnormal semen parameters may face a higher susceptibility to malignancy [5]. Even more concerning is the mounting evidence of declining semen parameters worldwide [6, 7]. Infertility exerts a remarkable and adverse impact on various aspects of the life of an individual, including psychological well-being and the dynamics of sexual and interpersonal relationships among couples. Additionally, fertility treatments, while offering hope, often introduce additional stress, and pressure on infertile couples. As a result, infertility has emerged as a critical concern both in terms of public health and social implications worldwide [8, 9].

The causes of male infertility are complex and encompass a wide range of risk factors including age [10], body mass index [11], smoking [12], alcohol [13], varicocele [14],...
mobile phone usage [15], and nutritional elements [16]. The relationship between male infertility and vitamin D has been increasingly investigated. The steroid hormone, vitamin D, mainly plays a role in maintaining bone health by mediating calcium and phosphate metabolism [17, 18]. In addition to its well-established role in bone health, vitamin D has recently attracted much attention for its effect on the male reproductive system. Various animal experiments have revealed that vitamin D supplementation can restore fertility in vitamin D deficient rats [19]. Furthermore, vitamin D receptors and metabolic enzymes are present in the reproductive system of males. The presence of these elements suggests that vitamin D may exert a crucial role in the reproductive system of males [20].

Male reproductive function is mainly assessed through semen examination. Numerous experiments have explored the potential effect of vitamin D levels in the serum on male fertility. Some of the data documented that the quality of the sperm was positively linked to vitamin D levels. For instance, various investigations reported that men who were deficient in serum vitamin D showed a reduced sperm concentration and total sperm count and abnormal progressive motility, total motility, and sperm morphology [19, 21, 22]. Nonetheless, some studies were unable to find any impacts of vitamin D levels on semen parameters [23, 24]. The correlation of serum vitamin D with male fertility was further assessed through a recent meta-analysis [25]. Nonetheless, the limited studies conducted and the inappropriate assessment of the study quality suggest that these findings should be interpreted with caution. Despite the limitations of the previous meta-analysis, the importance of serum vitamin D in male fertility has garnered considerable interest, leading to a growing body of research in this area. As more studies have been published, both shortcomings and advancements have been identified in the existing literature. In light of the increasing interest and the need for further evidence to guide clinical applications, a comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis was conducted. The primary objective of this research was to provide more clarity on the association of vitamin D serum levels with semen quality in males.

2. Methods

2.1. Search Strategy. The following databases: Web of Science, Embase, PubMed, Scopus, and the Cochrane Library, were searched up to December 1st, 2022 to retrieve relevant articles. Google Scholar was investigated as well. The following search strategy was run in PubMed and tailored to each database when necessary: “vitamin D” OR “25-OH-Vitamin D” OR “vitamin D” OR “cholecalciferol” OR “ergocalciferol” OR “calcitriol” OR “alphacalcidol” AND “fertility” OR “infertility” OR “male fertility” OR “male infertility” OR “asthenozoospermia” OR “oligozoospermia” OR “oligoasthenozoospermia” OR “oligoasthenoteratozoospermia” OR “teratozoospermia” OR “semen quality” OR “sperm quality” OR “sperm analysis” OR “sperm volume” OR “sperm count” OR “sperm concentration” OR “sperm motility” OR “sperm morphology.” To ensure a more comprehensive analysis, unpublished and gray literature was searched using Google Scholar and World Health Organization databases. The references of suitable articles were examined to determine other eligible publications. The search for relevant literature was executed with no language restriction. The search and collection processes of relevant literature were performed by two independent authors (FL and TFY). The PICO method was used: Population (P) = Men with serum vitamin D level, Intervention (I) = Serum vitamin D deficiency, Comparison (C) = Men with normal serum vitamin D level, and Outcome (O) = The results of male fertility status and sperm parameters. The inclusion criteria were: (1) the study investigated the presence of any possible link between vitamin D and male fertility, (2) semen analysis was undertaken according to the WHO criteria, (3) it was an observational study (cross-sectional and case–control study), and (4) the participants in the study were male adults. The exclusion criteria were: (1) the study could not extract relevant data and (2) it was a duplicated study.

2.2. Data Extraction. The analysis was carried out with reference to the PRISMA 2020 Checklist [26]. The protocol was registered with PROSPERO (CRD42022327583). Two of the authors (FL, TFY) independently reviewed the articles and extracted the data appropriately as per the criteria set for the inclusion and exclusion of data. Any discrepancies were dealt with via arbitration by a third reviewer (JJQ). The information below of every article was examined: first author, year of publication, date of sample collection, location, sample size, age of participants, abstinence time, study design, health status, and quality assessment score.

2.3. Quality Assessment. Independent assessments of the quality of the filtered articles were executed through two reviewers (FL, TFY) as per the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Critical Appraisal Checklist [27]. The resolution of any disagreement via arbitration was by a third reviewer (JJQ). The checklist for cross-sectional studies comprised eight questions, and that for case–control studies comprised 10 questions. A response of “clear” to each question received two points, while a response of “unclear” received one point. No point was given if a question was not answered. Scores of <30%, 30%–70%, and >70% were categorized as low, moderate, and high quality, respectively.

2.4. Statistical Analysis. Microsoft Excel 2007 (Microsoft®) was used for data collection and recording, and meta-analysis was conducted through STATA (STATA Corp. LLC, version 12). The weighted mean difference (WMD) and corresponding 95% confidence interval (95% CI) with a random effect model were undertaken to evaluate the association of vitamin D in the serum with male fertility. The variation in the level of vitamin D among fertile and infertile male participants as well as the association between these vitamin levels and the quality of sperm (volume, total counts, concentration, motility, progressive motility, and morphology) were assessed. The statistic $I^2$ test was employed to evaluate heterogeneity, with the respective low, moderate, and high heterogeneity defined as an $I^2$ index of 25%, 50%, and 75% [28]. Subgroup analysis was performed if the heterogeneity was high. The stability of the resulting data was assessed through
sensitivity analyses by utilizing the leave-one-out method. This process involves the exclusion of each study from the analysis one at a time. Egger’s and Begg’s tests were employed to test potential publication bias.

3. Results

3.1. Study Selection. In total, 3,604 studies were initially included through a systematic exploration of the databases. After removing duplicate studies, 1,173 studies were reviewed by initially assessing the title and abstract. Among these, 34 reports were considered eligible for a review of the full text. After evaluation of the full texts, 10 papers were excluded (3 studies were unsuitable for extracting relevant data, 5 were clinical trials, 1 was a letter, and 1 was an editorial article). Finally, 24 studies that conformed to the specific criteria were subjected to systematic review and meta-analysis. A diagrammatic presentation of PRISMA illustrating the selection of the included articles is shown in Figure 1.

3.2. Characteristics of the Included Studies. In total, 24 articles satisfied the set criteria for this review and meta-analysis and comprised 7,138 participants. Among the 24 articles, 18 were cross-sectional (75%) [19, 20, 22, 29–43], and 6 were case–control studies (25%) [21, 44–48]. Furthermore, 4 studies were from Denmark [20, 31, 32, 46], 4 from Iran [29, 30, 36, 44], 4 from China [22, 33, 39, 42], 2 from Turkey [43, 47], 2 from Pakistan [19, 48], and the remaining 8 were from Iraq [45], the USA [37], Jordan [21], Spain [41], Brazil [34], Egypt [38], India [40], and Italy [35]. The participants were all fertile in 3 of the studies [20, 31, 37], subfertile in 11 [19, 29, 30, 32–34, 36, 39–41, 43], and both fertile and infertile in 10 studies [21, 22, 35, 38, 42, 44–48]. Among the articles, the study quality was determined to be high for 15 articles [20, 21, 29, 31–34, 36, 37, 39, 41–44, 47] and nine studies were of moderate quality [19, 22, 30, 35, 38, 40, 45, 46, 48] according to the JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist. Supplementary 1 presents a summary of the included articles.

3.3. Assessment of Serum Vitamin D Levels and Fertility. The 15 high-quality studies (8 cross-sectional and 7 case-control studies) comprised a total of 2,981 participants and documented the association of vitamin D levels with fertility. Pooled results retrieved from these data implied remarkably diminished levels of vitamin D in infertile individuals in comparison with fertile individuals (WMD = 7.06; 95% CI = 2.51–11.62; P = 0.002) and the heterogeneity of this result was high ($I^2 = 98.5\%, P < 0.001$) (Supplementary 2).

Subgroup analysis as per the quality of the selected studies (high quality vs. moderate) was executed. The resulting data indicated the presence of remarkably lowered levels of vitamin D in infertile individuals compared with fertile individuals in both high-quality studies (WMD = 2.58; 95%...
CI = 0.79–4.37; \( P = 0.005 \)) with moderate heterogeneity \( (I^2 = 58.9\% , \ P = 0.033) \) and in studies of moderate quality \( \text{WMD} = 10.25; \ 95\% \ CI = 5.27–15.23; \ P<0.001 \) with high heterogeneity \( (I^2 = 98.1\% , \ P < 0.001) \) (Supplementary 2).

A lack of publication bias was revealed by Begg’s test \( (P = 0.692) \), whereas Egger’s test reported the opposite \( (P = 0.001) \). Upon applying the trim-and-fill technique, no articles were corrected and added to the articles originally included. This indicates that, despite the potential presence of publication bias, the overall analysis results remained unchanged \( (\text{WMD} = 7.06; \ 95\% \ CI = 2.51–11.62; \ P = 0.002) \) and unaffected after the trim-and-fill method.

3.4. Examining the Relationship between Serum Vitamin D Levels and Sperm Volume. Eight studies, all cross-sectional studies, with a total of 4,116 participants, suggested the relationship between vitamin D levels and sperm volume. The pooled results suggested that these two elements lacked any remarkable correlation \( (\text{WMD} = 0.17; \ 95\% \ CI = -0.00–0.34; \ P = 0.50) \). However, it is important to note that there was evidence of heterogeneity among the included research. \( (I^2 = 63.0\% , \ P = 0.008) \) (Supplementary 2). Begg’s test \( (P = 0.063) \) and Egger’s test \( (P = 0.140) \) reported the absence of publication bias.

3.5. Examining the Relationship between Sperm Concentration and Serum Vitamin D Levels. Thirteen studies, including 11 cross-sectional and two case–control studies, with 4,954 participants, reported the impact of serum vitamin D levels on sperm concentration. Pooled results suggested remarkably lower levels of vitamin D in infertile individuals in comparison with fertile individuals \( \text{WMD} = 8.54; \ 95\% \ CI = 4.01–13.06; \ P<0.001 \). Additionally, the analysis was indicative of the presence of heterogeneity \( (I^2 = 57.0\% , \ P = 0.006) \) (Supplementary 2).

Begg’s test showed no evidence of publication bias \( (P = 0.855) \), however, Egger’s test yielded the opposite results \( (P = 0.018) \). The trim-and-fill method was utilized, and five articles were corrected and added to the originally included articles. The results of the original 13 papers \( \text{WMD} = 8.54; \ 95\% \ CI = 4.01–13.06; \ P<0.001 \) and the corrected 18 papers \( \text{WMD} = 6.03; \ 95\% \ CI = 1.95–10.12; \ P = 0.004 \) were both confirmed to be statistically significant. The presence of publication bias in the study did not alter the overall conclusion, as both the original and corrected results demonstrated a remarkable relationship between vitamin D serum levels and the outcome.

3.6. Assessing the Relationship between Total Sperm Count and Serum Vitamin D Levels. Eight studies, all cross-sectional studies, with overall 4,172 participants, reported a relationship between the level of vitamin D and total sperm counts. Pooled results suggested that the deficiency of vitamin D in the serum of men significantly reduced the total sperm count \( \text{WMD} = 14.43; \ 95\% \ CI = 1.30–27.55; \ P = 0.031 \) and the data were indicative of the presence of heterogeneity \( (I^2 = 66.4\% , \ P = 0.004) \) (Supplementary 2). An absence of publication bias was revealed by Begg’s test \( (P = 0.711) \) and Egger’s test \( (P = 0.419) \).

3.7. Correlation between Serum Vitamin D Levels and Sperm Motility. Twelve studies, including 11 cross-sectional studies and one case–control study, with a total of 5,267 participants, indicated that the levels of vitamin D were remarkably linked to sperm motility. Pooled results suggested that the deficiency of this vitamin in men significantly reduced sperm motility \( \text{WMD} = 6.40; \ 95\% \ CI = 3.15–9.64; \ P<0.001 \), and the heterogeneity of this result was high \( (I^2 = 84.4\% , \ P<0.001) \) (Supplementary 2).

Subgroup analysis was executed based on the mean age \((\leq 30 \text{ vs. } 30–35 \text{ vs. } >35 \text{ years})\) and the resulting data indicated that serum vitamin D deficiency remarkably reduced sperm motility in the \( <30 \text{ years} \) group \( \text{WMD} = 4.38; \ 95\% \ CI = 0.98–7.79; \ P = 0.012 \) with moderate heterogeneity \( (I^2 = 49.5\% , \ P = 0.138) \), the \( 30–35 \text{ years} \) group \( \text{WMD} = 4.47; \ 95\% \ CI = 0.61–8.33; \ P = 0.023 \) with high heterogeneity \( (I^2 = 81.1\% , \ P<0.001) \) and the \( >35 \text{ years} \) group \( \text{WMD} = 16.99; \ 95\% \ CI = 3.98–30.01; \ P = 0.011 \) with high heterogeneity \( (I^2 = 85.9\% , \ P = 0.008) \) (Supplementary 2).

Begg’s test provided no evidence for publication bias \( (P = 0.193) \), but Egger’s test reported the opposite results \( (P = 0.007) \). The trim-and-fill method was utilized, and no articles were corrected and added to the articles originally included. There was no change after the trim-and-fill method \( \text{WMD} = 6.40; \ 95\% \ CI = 3.15–9.64; \ P<0.001 \) suggesting that although this study did have publication bias, it did not affect the analysis result.

3.8. Examining the Relationship between Sperm Progressive Motility and Vitamin D Levels. Ten studies, including eight cross-sectional and two case–control studies, with 3,976 participants, assessed the association of vitamin D levels in the serum with the progressive motility of the sperm. Pooled results suggested that vitamin D deficiency in men significantly reduced sperm progressive motility \( \text{WMD} = 5.00; \ 95\% \ CI = 1.09–8.92; \ P = 0.012 \) and the heterogeneity of this result was high \( (I^2 = 84.6\% , \ P<0.001) \) (Supplementary 2).

Subgroup analysis took into account the quality of the selected studies \( \text{high vs. moderate quality} \), and the results did not indicate any correlation of the serum vitamin D levels with the sperm progressive motility in the high-quality studies \( \text{WMD} = 2.75; \ 95\% \ CI = -0.43–5.93; \ P = 0.090 \) with moderate heterogeneity \( (I^2 = 67.4\% , \ P = 0.003) \). Additionally, serum vitamin D deficiency significantly reduced sperm progressive motility in the moderate-quality studies \( \text{WMD} = 11.65; \ 95\% \ CI = 8.41–14.89; \ P<0.001 \), and the data indicated the absence of any remarkable heterogeneity \( (I^2 = 0.00\% , \ P<0.865) \) (Supplementary 2). No publication bias was documented by Begg’s test \( (P = 0.592) \) and Egger’s test \( (P = 0.130) \).

3.9. Association between Serum Vitamin D Levels and Sperm Morphology. Thirteen studies, including 11 cross-sectional and two case–control studies, with 5,370 participants, documented the influence of vitamin D levels on the morphology of sperms. Pooled results suggested that the deficiency of this vitamin in men significantly reduced normal sperm morphology \( \text{WMD} = 0.74; \ 95\% \ CI = 0.20–1.27; \ P = 0.007 \) and the data indicated the presence of heterogeneity \( (I^2 = 73.1\% , \ P<0.001) \) (Supplementary 2). No publication
bias was indicated by Begg’s test \( P = 0.583 \) and Egger’s test \( P = 0.332 \).

3.10. Sensitivity Analyses. Sensitivity analyses were performed to estimate the robustness of the outcomes of this study. Results showed that all of the outcomes in this study were very stable.

4. Discussion

This research dealt with investigating the correlation of serum vitamin D levels with male fertility and sperm quality. The serum vitamin D deficiency was remarkably linked to reduced total sperm count and sperm concentration and abnormal morphology. However, no remarkable correlation was noted between the levels of vitamin D and sperm volume. It should be noted that the relationship between vitamin D levels and male fertility, sperm motility, and progressive motility needs to be considered with caution because the acquired data implied high heterogeneity or opposite results after subgroup analysis.

Many studies have focused on the possible processes governing the relationship between serum vitamin D levels and male fertility. In the human reproductive field, vitamin D receptors and metabolizing enzymes have been primarily found in the prostate, seminal vesicles, epididymis, and germ cells (esp., spermatocytes, spermatogonia, and Sertoli cells). Thus, vitamin D could be related to spermatogenesis and maturation of human spermatozoa [49–51]. As per the data acquired by Blomberg Jensen et al. [49], vitamin D is critically involved in trans-epithelial calcium transfer in the epididymis to improve the status of male reproduction. Vitamin D may improve sperm motility through elevating adenosine triphosphate synthesis via both cyclic adenosine monophosphate and the activity of the protein kinase A pathway [31, 39]. Furthermore, some studies reported a positive correlation between vitamin D and sex hormone-binding globulin [20, 52, 53] and total or free testosterone [54–56]. Hence, vitamin D may indirectly modulate the bioavailable fraction of testosterone to influence male fertility.

A meta-analysis by Arab et al. [25] indicated remarkably elevated serum levels of 25(OH)D₃, a form of vitamin D, in infertile men than in fertile men. Moreover, a significant association between serum vitamin D levels, sperm motility, and sperm progressive motility was noted as well. Our study found that serum vitamin D deficiency could also reduce sperm concentration and total sperm counts and induce abnormal sperm morphology. The current study includes seven additional newer studies with 2,572 participants compared to the previous study, suggesting that the results of our pooled quantitative assessment may be more robust and reliable than the previous report. The Newcastle–Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale (NOS) was utilized for assessing study quality in the meta-analysis by Arab et al. [25]. Although the NOS value was the best for case–control and cohort studies [57], most of the studies included were cross-sectional studies. In this meta-analysis, the JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist was adopted to examine the quality more accurately [27]. The above differences may explain the different results obtained between our study and the previous report.

The present meta-analysis has some limitations. Significant heterogeneity was observed among the selected studies. Differential inclusion and exclusion criteria, ethnicities, serum vitamin D detection method, age, body mass index, lifestyle, season, and polymorphisms in the vitamin D receptor could have contributed to this heterogeneity. Seven studies [20, 29, 31–33, 37, 41] reported analysis results after adjusting for potential confounders. However, different studies were adjusted for different confounders, and many studies included in our meta-analysis did not report any potential confounders associated with serum vitamin D levels. This led to calculations being done for only unadjusted pooled values for this meta-analysis. Hence, owing to this lack of complete data, the appropriate subgroup analysis could not be performed to identify the precise reason for heterogeneity across different studies. The sperm DNA fragmentation index (DFI) appears to be an important parameter of semen quality and has been widely used in Andrology Laboratories [58]. However, a majority of the selected literature included in this analysis did not analyze this factor. Hence, more studies on the correlation between serum vitamin D levels and DFI are needed in the future. Nonetheless, this meta-analysis provides some insights into the correlation between vitamin D levels in the serum and male fertility, which could help reproductive medicine doctors to initiate more appropriate treatment.

In conclusion, the present meta-analysis revealed the vulnerability of semen quality to serum vitamin D deficiency. However, the findings concerning the impact of serum vitamin D on male reproduction should be interpreted with caution. To strengthen the evidence base and gain a more comprehensive view of the association between vitamin D in the serum and male fertility, further investigations are warranted. It is recommended to conduct research in diverse countries to account for potential regional confounders. Additionally, evaluating all relevant semen parameters in future studies will provide a more comprehensive assessment of this process.
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