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Effects of Antarctic Krill Products on Feed Intake, Growth Performance, Fillet Quality, and Health in Salmonids
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The interest for krill-based ingredients for aquaculture feed applications has increased steadily in recent years. For decades, there has been a heavy reliance on the limited sources of fishmeal and fish oil in the salmonid aquaculture industry. Further growth in farming of carnivorous fish is dependent on new feed resources becoming available. The only unexploited marine resources of significant biomass are found at lower trophic levels, of which the Antarctic krill (Euphausia superba) has a high potential. Apart from being the biggest single species biomass, Antarctic krill is also rich in nutrients, such as omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids, phospholipids, astaxanthin, vitamins, and minerals. This makes Antarctic krill a high-quality source of health-beneficial lipids and proteins. The present article provides an overview of the documented benefits of feeding salmonids (Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) and rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss)) with krill products (krill meal, krill oil, and krill hydrolysate), focusing on growth performance (feed intake, growth rate, and feed conversion), fillet quality, slaughter yield, and health benefits in terms of reducing fat accumulation in liver and intestinal tissues. Besides, the article discusses possible future studies, to widen the knowledge on krill benefits in salmonids and to unravel the underlying mechanisms.

1. What Is Krill

Krill constitute a group of marine, pelagic crustaceans. They belong to the order Euphausiacea, in which about 85 species have been recorded [1]. Antarctic krill (Euphausia superba) is one of the most abundant species on earth, with an estimated biomass of around 500 million tons [2]. They are shrimp-like in appearance, with an average body length of only 5 cm, with big black eyes and a reddish, semitransparent shell. Krill plays a key role in the marine food chain in the Antarctic Ocean, and hence, krill harvesting is highly regulated by the Commission for the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Resources (CCAMLR) [3]. Only a certain section, i.e., area encompassing the Antarctic Peninsula, called area 48, is allowed for commercial krill harvesting with an annual sustainable harvesting quota, limited to 1% of the total estimated biomass in this area. However, the commercial harvesting is even lower than the quota permitted, representing less than 0.5% of the unexploited biomass. These strict regulations of Antarctic krill harvesting have led to an increase in its biomass over the years, from 60.3 million tons measured in 2000 to 62.6 million in 2018/19 according to the findings from CCAMLR [4]. Besides Antarctic krill, Euphausia pacifica is the commercially harvested krill species, from the Pacific Ocean (off the coast of Japan and off the coast of British Columbia, Canada). The small-scale harvesting also occurs on other North Pacific krill species such as Euphausia nana and Thysanoessa inermis, from the Japanese coast, and Thysanoessa raschi and Meganyctiphanes norvegica, in the Gulf of St. Lawrence, Canada, respectively [5].

Considerable innovation has been displayed over the years to improve the harvesting and processing technology, as well as to produce different Antarctic krill products such as freeze-dried krill concentrate, krill hydrolysate, and protein concentrate [6]. During the early stages of product development from Antarctic krill, the primary focus was human consumption. The possibility for using krill as a feed
ingredient for fish started in the late 1970s and early 1980s, which was nicely reviewed by [7] in an attempt to explore krill’s nutrient values, such as high palatability, and its ability to enhance feed intake and increase growth performance in salmonids. Later, the expansion of aquaculture led to a steady increase in the use of krill in feeds, as a replacement of limited marine resources such as fish meal (FM) and fish oil (FO). In addition, the usage of these limited resources for direct human consumption, as well as for livestock, and their fluctuating prices serve as the main driving forces for the aquaculture industry to look for more sustainable, alternative marine ingredients. The sustainability and the nutritional values (phospholipids, omega-3 fatty acids, high-quality marine protein, and astaxanthin—serving the dual role of natural antioxidant and a carotenoid source) of krill meal (KM) along with high palatability were some of the attractive features for both the farmers and aquaculture industry to test the inclusion of KM in salmonid feeds. The interest in KM has steadily increased over the years, with the number of studies increasing accordingly in the scientific literature, covering aspects to test the effects on growth performance, fillet quality, robustness in terms of organ health, and ability to cope with stress such as seawater transfer stress (Table 1). The studies conducted are mainly focused on the seawater phase, which is the most challenging phase in the production cycle of salmonids, covering the stages from seawater transfer and grower to slaughter phases. This review is aimed at providing an updated knowledge on the usage of krill in salmonid aquaculture diets (Table 1, Figure 1).

2. Nutrient Composition of Different Krill Products

Several products that are produced from Antarctic krill can be used in aquaculture feed formulations. KM is prepared from an extract of ground whole Antarctic krill that is cooked and dried, resulting in a brownish-orange powder containing around 60% protein with a nutritionally well-balanced amino acid profile (Table 2).

KM is a sustainable source of protein, n-3 phospholipids (PL), feed attractants, and astaxanthin [8]. It has a proximate composition that is similar to FM with an almost identical amino acid profile. The lipid fraction of KM contains a high proportion of polar lipids, as well as a high content of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs), sterols (mainly cholesterol), and astaxanthin esters [9]. The combination of feed attractants and nutrients explains the growth-enhancing effects of KM.

Koil (KO) is extracted from KM and contains only the lipid fraction. It is rich in omega-3 PL, choline, and astaxanthin. Phosphatidylcholine (PC) is the main PL (>80%) in KO.

Krill hydrolysate (KH) is prepared from an aqueous extract of KM that is cooked and cooled, followed by enzymatic hydrolysis. The water-soluble protein fraction is then extracted and concentrated after removing the solids and fat. KH contains high levels of free amino acids, such as alanine, proline, arginine, glutamine, leucine, and glycine, known to have an appetite regulatory function in fish [10].

3. Effects of Krill on Feed Intake and Growth Performance

3.1. *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Rainbow Trout). KM has been the most commonly used krill product in aquaculture industry for various fish species (marine, freshwater, and salmonids). A decent documentation on the effects of partial substitution of FM with KM (7-30% of the diet) on growth and performance in salmonids is summarized in Table 1.

Early studies were often performed on rainbow trout as a model fish. Some of these studies showed that KM could partially replace FM, and that the partial replacement led to increased growth [11, 12]. However, few studies found no effect or negative effects on growth [13, 14]. These differences might be due to the variations in the experimental setup, feed compositions, developmental stage of rainbow trout, length of the feeding trial, water temperature, etc. as shown in the studies by Wei et al. [15] and Yoshitomi et al. [16], which are described in more detail in the following paragraphs. The effect of full FM replacement was somewhat contradictory, as some studies demonstrated that KM was fully interchangeable with FM [17, 18], while other studies showed reduced growth [13, 14]. These early studies with very high KM inclusion levels were, however, not followed up, due to two potential reasons: partly because of KM at very high inclusion levels is too expensive to compete with the other, cheaper marine lipid and protein sources and partly because it was noted that the high fluoride content in krill could influence the suitability of the fish produced for human consumption [19]. The latter problem was, however, resolved, when it was shown that fluoride was primarily deposited in nonedible parts of the fish such as bone and scales [20]. For example, a study that has shown benefits with a 60% KM inclusion observed an increased body weight (450 g) and size of rainbow trout, during a feeding period of 60 days, when compared to the 34% FM control group. Both the FM and KM diets were isonitrogenous. However, there were variations in the fatty acid profile of the two diets, with higher total n-3 PUFA in KM (18.0% of total fatty acid) in comparison to FM (13.17% of total fatty acid). It was observed that the KM diet increased the body weight and size and decreased the perivisceral fat and visceral weight indices. Besides, the KM diet provided a high-quality product from the nutritional point of view because it improved the fatty acid profile, with more n-3 fatty acids and less n-6 fatty acids [21].

Interestingly, in a recent study on rainbow trout (102 g) in seawater, it was observed that high inclusion levels of KM (15, 30, 60, and 100%) as a FM replacement significantly increased the growth (final body weight and specific growth rate (SGR)) and feed intake after 8 weeks of feeding [15]. These results were in contrast to a study by Yoshitomi et al. [16], where partial replacement of FM with 7 and 15% KM did not affect the growth performance, whereas 30% KM led to reduced growth in comparison to the FM control [16]. The differences in growth performance results
Table 1: Overview of studies showing effects of krill on salmonid performance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Initial weight</th>
<th>Diets</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Main krill effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Julshamn, Malde, Bjorvatn, &amp; Krogedal, [25]</td>
<td>410 g Atlantic salmon</td>
<td>0, 10, 20, and 30% KM</td>
<td>84 days</td>
<td>High tolerance to dietary fluoride with 350 mg/kg diet KM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ringø et al. [93]</td>
<td>105 g Atlantic salmon</td>
<td>58.9% FM 32% FM+32% KM</td>
<td>46 days</td>
<td>↑ Aerobic and facultative aerobic bacteria colonizing the hindgut Dietary KM affected the adherent hindgut microbiota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moren et al. [106]</td>
<td>412 g Atlantic salmon</td>
<td>0, 28.1, 30.3, and 34.8%KM</td>
<td>100 days</td>
<td>↑ Specific growth rate ↓ Copper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olsen et al. [26]</td>
<td>500 g Atlantic salmon</td>
<td>0% KM+60% FM 13.5% KM+48% FM 27% KM+36% FM 40% KM+24% FM 54% KM+12% FM 68% KM+0% FM</td>
<td>140 days</td>
<td>↑ Growth performance ↑ Feed conversion rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yoshitomi, Aoki, Oshima, &amp; Hata, [16]</td>
<td>5.5 g rainbow trout</td>
<td>0% KM 7% KM 15% KM 30% KM</td>
<td>92 days</td>
<td>30% KM: ↓ Growth ↓ Feed intake ↓ Specific growth rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suontama, Kiessling, Melle, Waagbø, &amp; Olsen, [27]</td>
<td>412 g Atlantic salmon</td>
<td>FM Northern krill (15, 30, and 46%) Antarctic krill (28%) Amphipod (35%)</td>
<td>160 days</td>
<td>↑ Specific growth rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yoshitomi, Aoki, Oshima, &amp; Hata, [16]</td>
<td>5.5 g rainbow trout</td>
<td>0, 4.5, 8.9, and 17.9% KM</td>
<td>92 days</td>
<td>Krill protein had a nutritional value equivalent to fish protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hansen et al. [24]</td>
<td>550 g Atlantic salmon</td>
<td>High-quality FM Partially deshelled KM (75.2%) Whole KM (68.9%)</td>
<td>100 days</td>
<td>↑ Growth performance ↓ Lipid digestibility ↑ Fluoride in faeces ↓ Plasma cholesterol ↓ Body weight ↓ Bile acid concentration in the pylorus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hansen et al. [30]</td>
<td>546 g Atlantic salmon</td>
<td>0, 12.3, 25.7, 38.3, and 59.8% of partially deshelled KM</td>
<td>100 days</td>
<td>↑ Body weight and size ↓ Perivisceral fat ↓ Visceral weight indices ↑ Omega-3 fatty acids in fillet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roncarati et al. [21]</td>
<td>453 g rainbow trout</td>
<td>Control KM (60%)</td>
<td>60 days</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al. [10]</td>
<td>61 g rainbow trout</td>
<td>FM Pea protein conc. Potato protein conc. Canola protein conc. Soy protein conc. All diets supplemented with 5% krill products (3.5% KM and 1.5% krill water solubles)</td>
<td>72 days</td>
<td>Rainbow trout could utilize diets with &gt;95% of the protein from plant protein conc. supplemented with essential amino acids, KM, and the water-soluble fraction of krill as feed attractant, without lowered feed intake or growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kousoulaki et al. [10]</td>
<td>132 g Atlantic salmon</td>
<td>Medium FM Low FM Low krill hydrolysate (1.9%) High krill hydrolysate (3.8%) Choline chloride Free amino acid</td>
<td>42 days</td>
<td>↑ Feed intake ↑ Growth performance ↑ Appetite-stimulating effects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Initial weight</th>
<th>Diets</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Main krill effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>De Santis, Taylor, Martinez-Rubio, Boltana, &amp; Tocher, [44]</td>
<td>Fry and parr Atlantic salmon</td>
<td>Krill oil or soybean lecithin supplying phospholipid at 2.6, 3.2, 3.6, and 4.2% of diet</td>
<td>Until 10 g of weight</td>
<td>↑ Growth performance No steatosis in the intestine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hatlen et al. [28]</td>
<td>104 g and 5527 g Atlantic salmon</td>
<td>0% KM+30% FM 10% KM+20% FM 0% KM+52% FM 7.5% KM+46% FM 15% KM+40% FM</td>
<td>42 and 91 days</td>
<td>↑ Growth performance ↑ Feed intake ↓ Fat content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kousoulaki et al. 2018</td>
<td>130.3 g Atlantic salmon</td>
<td>Arg Arg+Ala+Pro+Leu+Phe Arg+Ala+Pro+Leu+Phe+nu Arg+Ala+Pro+Leu+Phe+nu+rest FAA as in krill hydrolysate</td>
<td>83 days</td>
<td>↑ Feed intake ↑ Growth performance ↓ Liver lipid levels ↑ Appetite-regulating neuropeptide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wei et al. [15]</td>
<td>102 g rainbow trout</td>
<td>51% FM KM (8.5, 17.1, 34.2, and 57%)</td>
<td>56 days</td>
<td>↑ Growth and performance ↑ Muscle pigmentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jalili et al. [108]</td>
<td>Fry Atlantic salmon</td>
<td>25% FM 17.6% FM+7.4% KM 26% FM+23% soya 26% FM+0.03 bactocell 26% FM+0.05% sodium butyrate</td>
<td>84 days</td>
<td>Altered gene expression profile of immune genes in head kidney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mørkøre et al. [52]</td>
<td>2.3 kg Atlantic salmon</td>
<td>15% FM+12% FO 12% KM+5% FM+8% FO</td>
<td>84 days</td>
<td>↑ Fillet yield ↓ Gaping ↑ Condition factor ↑ Pigmentation ↑ Firmness of the muscle ↓ Liver coloration score ↓ Fat deposition around the heart ↑ Improved gut health ↑ Compact collagen structure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: KM: krill meal; FM: fish meal; FO: fish oil; Arg: arginine; Ala: alanine; Pro: proline; Leu: leucine; Phe: phenylalanine; FAA: free amino acids; nu: nucleotides. KM inclusion levels are provided at % of diet.

Figure 1: Effects of krill products on growth and robustness of salmonids.
between Yoshitomi et al. [16] and Wei et al. [15] could be attributed to the different water phases, where the feeding trials were conducted. In Wei et al. [15], the feeding trial was carried out with seawater rainbow trout, whereas the trial from Yoshitomi et al. [16] was conducted with freshwater rainbow trout. The fluoride from KM deposits in the bones as the fish need to accumulate minerals in the body during freshwater phase. So, the extra amount of fluoride gets deposited in bones. On the other hand, in seawater, fish excrete the extra amount of minerals in order to adjust osmotic pressure. For salmonids, high fluoride levels are suggested to decrease the growth due to its accumulation in bones, which probably distorts growth, and hence, the allowed concentrations of fluoride in the complete fish feed are prescribed at levels not more than 350 mg/kg [22]. The increased accumulation of fluoride from KM in the freshwater phase may reduce the growth, possibly explaining the results from Yoshitomi et al. [16]. This scenario was further confirmed in a later study by Yoshitomi et al. [23], where the 58% FM was completely replaced with low-fluoride KM (LFK) prepared by removing the krill exoskeleton. The aim was to decrease the fluoride content of KM (870 ppm) by approximately one-fourth in LFK (230 ppm), by removing the exoskeletons from dried whole krill [23, 24]. No negative effect on the growth performance of freshwater rainbow trout was observed with different doses of the LFK (7, 15, 30, 46, and 100%) in comparison to the control FM [23]. These findings suggest that fluoride from KM is not deposited in the skeleton of seawater rainbow trout, allowing for higher KM inclusion levels in seawater than in freshwater diets. However, due to the limitation of cost with very high inclusion levels such as 60-100% KM, 10-15% inclusion levels would be more feasible for the seawater phase in comparison to inclusion levels of 7-10% for the freshwater phase for a feeding period of at least 8-10 weeks.

3.2. *Salmo salar* (Atlantic Salmon). In more recent years, studies have also been conducted with Atlantic salmon, to determine the benefits of KM inclusion in diets (Table 1). Most of these studies found an increase in feed intake and growth performance with a partial substitution of FM with KM. For example, the study by Julshamn et al. [25] was conducted with three doses of KM (10, 20, and 30%) and compared the growth performance with a control FM (58.6%) diet. Only control FM and the highest KM diets (30% + 32.9%) were isonitrogenous (46% protein) and were fed to fish with an average weight of 500 g for 84 days. It was observed that feeding with 10, 20, and 30% KM led to an increase in weight, and the weight gain was almost 30% higher in the groups receiving the highest levels of KM than the FM control group [25]. In addition, the SGR and condition factors were higher for the fish fed with 20 and 30% KM when compared to the control FM group.

Similarly, in another study by Olsen et al. [26], five different doses of KM (20, 40, 60, 80, and 100%) as a partial or full replacement of FM (59.4%) in diets for Atlantic salmon (average weight 500 g) was given for 140 days. All the diets had similar levels of protein ranging between 44.6 and 45.5%, and they contained the same level of soybean

---

**Table 2: Typical nutrient composition of krill meal.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutrient</th>
<th>Values (%)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fat</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phospholipids</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphatidylcholine</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astaxanthin</td>
<td>124 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choline</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water-soluble peptide</td>
<td>More than 50% are &lt;200 Da</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chitin</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main amino acids</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspartic acid</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutamic acid</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysine</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucine</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arginine</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histidine</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alanine</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main minerals (mg/kg)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td>19600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus</td>
<td>14200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium</td>
<td>4820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iodine</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMAO</td>
<td>142 mg N/100 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main vitamins (mg/100 g)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin E</td>
<td>9360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin A</td>
<td>1250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin D3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleotides/nucleosides</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMP</td>
<td>1752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP</td>
<td>1374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inosine</td>
<td>798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADP</td>
<td>419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoxanthine</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The values are obtained from the analysis of a limited number of krill meal batches. Abbreviations: EPA: eicosapentaenoic acid; DHA: docosahexaenoic acid; IMP: inosine 5’-monophosphate; AMP: adenosine monophosphate; ADP: adenosine diphosphate; TMAO: trimethylamine N-oxide.
lecinthin of 5%. The replacement of FM with 20-60% KM gave increased growth during the first 10 weeks of feeding, while no growth difference was observed during the last 69 days of feeding. Salmon that were fed 20 and 40% KM performed significantly better (SGR 0.92 and 0.88, respectively) than the control group (0.71). However, only a non-significant increase in growth was observed with 60% KM inclusion, when compared to the control FM group. The results from these studies were confirmed by a trial conducted by Suontama et al. [27], with KM diets that were used as partial replacement of FM (20-60% FM replacement) given to Atlantic salmon (average weight 412 g) for 160 days. All diets were isonitrogenous (46% protein), isolipidic (25%), and isocaloric (24 MJ/kg). Replacing 20-60% of FM with KM gave an increased growth rate in Atlantic salmon smolts and isocaloric (24 MJ/kg). Replacing 20-60% of FM with KM and growth depression faced by Atlantic salmon during the transfer phase. The stimulating effect of low KM inclusion (7.5 and 15%) on feed intake and growth performance of Atlantic salmon in two trials in seawater cages [28]. The results of the above-mentioned studies suggest that KM (20-40%) as a partial FM replacement enhances the growth performance of Atlantic salmon (average initial weight between 400-500 g) for feeding periods between 100 and 150 days.

In addition to the high cost, the direct protein replacement of FM by KM beyond 40% may have negative effects on the growth performance. This led to the testing of low inclusion levels, for example, in a study conducted by Hatlen et al. [28], with low inclusion levels of KM. In this study, the authors determined the effect of low KM inclusions (7.5 and 15%) on feed intake and growth performance of Atlantic salmon in different trials. The feeding trial was conducted with Atlantic salmon smolts for 100 days, in terms of fish weight and SGR (0.87 in FM vs. 0.91 in KM). The results from the above-mentioned studies suggest that KM (20-40%) as a partial FM replacement gives increased growth during the first 10 weeks of feeding. Salmon that were fed 20 and 40% KM performed significantly better (SGR 0.92 and 0.88, respectively) than the control group (0.71). However, only a non-significant increase in growth was observed with 60% KM inclusion, when compared to the control FM group. The results from these studies were confirmed by a trial conducted by Suontama et al. [27], with KM diets that were used as partial replacement of FM (20-60% FM replacement) given to Atlantic salmon (average weight 412 g) for 160 days. All diets were isonitrogenous (46% protein), isolipidic (25%), and isocaloric (24 MJ/kg). Replacing 20-60% of FM with KM gave increased growth during the first 10 weeks of feeding, while no growth difference was observed during the last 69 days of feeding. Salmon that were fed 20 and 40% KM performed significantly better (SGR 0.92 and 0.88, respectively) than the control group (0.71). However, only a non-significant increase in growth was observed with 60% KM inclusion, when compared to the control FM group. The results from these studies were confirmed by a trial conducted by Suontama et al. [27], with KM diets that were used as partial replacement of FM (20-60% FM replacement) given to Atlantic salmon (average weight 412 g) for 160 days. All diets were isonitrogenous (46% protein), isolipidic (25%), and isocaloric (24 MJ/kg). Replacing 20-60% of FM with KM gave an increased growth rate in Atlantic salmon smolts and isocaloric (24 MJ/kg). Replacing 20-60% of FM with KM and growth depression faced by Atlantic salmon during the transfer phase. The stimulating effect of low KM inclusion (7.5 and 15%) on feed intake and growth performance of Atlantic salmon in two trials in seawater cages [28]. The results of the above-mentioned studies suggest that KM (20-40%) as a partial FM replacement enhances the growth performance of Atlantic salmon (average initial weight between 400-500 g) for feeding periods between 100 and 150 days.

In addition to the high cost, the direct protein replacement of FM by KM beyond 40% may have negative effects on the growth performance. This led to the testing of low inclusion levels, for example, in a study conducted by Hatlen et al. [28], with low inclusion levels of KM. In this study, the authors determined the effect of low KM inclusions (7.5 and 15%) on feed intake and growth performance of Atlantic salmon in two trials in seawater cages [28]. The low KM inclusion levels were balanced with other protein sources like soybean meal, corn, wheat, sunflower meal, and rapeseed protein. The first feeding trial was conducted with smolts (104 g) immediately after their transfer to seawater for 13 weeks. The rationale for choosing the transfer phase was to determine the effect of KM inclusion on the feed and growth depression faced by Atlantic salmon during the first few weeks after seawater transfer. The stimulating effect of KM led to significant increases in feed intake and growth rates, and the salmon smolts gained 29 and 40% more weight when fed 7.5 and 15% KM, respectively [28].

In the second trial by Hatlen et al. [28], 10% KM inclusion was used as a partial replacement of FM in large salmon (5500 g) as a preslaughter diet for 10 weeks. A significant increase in yield in KM (23% weight gain), when compared to the control 30% FM diet (20.4% weight gain), was observed in the salmon fed with 10% KM. In addition to the improved growth rates, the KM-fed salmon had better quality assessments, in terms of lower intra-abdominal fat. Besides, a tendency to lower visceral fat contents was observed in the KM-fed salmon. Excess visceral fat in salmon is associated with large economic losses to the primary processing industry due to decreased slaughter yield. The results suggested that KM may stimulate growth of body length and contribute to more efficient utilization of the feed energy for protein growth rather than fat deposition. Considering the underlying mechanisms, one explanation for the leaner tissues in salmon fed the KM diet may be a stimulated β-oxidation and thereby a higher utilization of fatty acids. This scenario has been described in KO studies in rodents. For example, Batetta et al. [29] demonstrated that KO inclusion in the diets of obese rodents was effective in significantly reducing the triglyceride (TG) levels in the liver and heart tissues in comparison to the control high-fat diet, as well as the FO-supplemented diet. Besides, an effect of KO on endocannabinoid levels as a contributing factor for lower fat accumulation in the liver has been suggested by Batetta et al. [29]. Likewise, it is conceivable that similar mechanisms work across species, and KM/KO leads to lower fat deposition and enhanced fatty acid oxidation also in fish, resulting in leaner tissues. However, further studies are warranted for confirmation.

Similar to what has been observed in rainbow trout studies, the total substitution of FM in salmon diets with whole KM (925 g/kg) led to reduced growth [24]. But when the krill was deshelled and partially deshelled KM (PDKM, 964 g/kg) was added, then no reduction in growth was seen. This suggests that compounds in the shell, such as chitin, fluoride, or ash, are responsible for the growth depression with very high inclusion levels of KM. Indeed, Hansen et al. [24] found an elevation in bone fluoride when whole KM was fed, reaching 18.4 mg/kg dry weight, whereas the fluoride levels in the bone tissue were similar between PDKM (7.27 mg/kg dry weight) and control FM (8.64 mg/kg dry weight) diets. On the contrary, Julshamn et al. [25] found no difference in bone fluoride levels between KM (30% KM and 32.9% FM) and control FM (58.6%) diets. The bone fluoride values were 6.9 and 6.5 mg/kg for the KM and FM diets, respectively. Interestingly, a 29% increase in weight gain in the salmon fed with the KM diet (SGR = 0.81%) compared to the control FM (SGR = 0.64%) diet was observed [25]. Similarly, a trial with Atlantic salmon smolts (546 g) with different inclusion levels of PDKM as a replacement of FM found a growth enhancement with all KM inclusion levels in the first 36 days of the trial. Based on the results, an inclusion level of 40% PDKM was suggested for an optimal growth [30]. In addition to increased growth, the lipid digestibility was found to increase with increasing levels of PDKM (97.1%) in comparison to the control FM diet (93.2%), with a parallel decline in starch digestibility (60.5% for PDKM vs. 74.3% for the FM diet). The increase in lipid digestibility could be due to the higher levels of PL in the diets with PDKM. The TG/PL ratio in the residual lipid content in FM is about 2:1 of total lipids [31]. This led Hansen et al. (2011) to suggest that the FM control and the highest PDKM diet contained approximately 5 and 15% PL of total lipids, respectively. The amount of PL in Antarctic krill has been reported to be between 41 and 54% of the total lipid content [32]. PL act as surfactants in the intestine during lipid digestion and may thus improve lipid emulsification and increase lipid digestibility in fish [31, 33].

Lower growth performance in salmonids, with very high KM inclusions, may also be related to increased content of indigestible compounds such as chitin. Chitin has the ability to form ionic bonds with lipid and bile so that they escape hydrolysis by lipases leading to lower lipid absorption [34]. This complements well with the studies, where very high KM inclusions (80 and 100%) have been shown to have poor feed conversions along with lower lipid digestibility and
increased fecal moisture content [26]. Moreover, reduced growth with increasing dietary addition of pure chitin was seen in salmon, resulting in fish fed diets with 0 or 1% chitin achieving a higher final weight than those fed 2 or 5% chitin [35].

The cited studies provide decent documentation for a positive effect of partial substitution of FM with KM (7-30% of the diet) on the growth rate in different life stages of Atlantic salmon, while at higher inclusions (60-100%) growth was negatively affected. The increased feed intake seems to be associated with a positive effect on growth in many studies. Feed attractant properties of KM are due to the high proportion of water-soluble components, free amino acids (such as alanine, proline, arginine, glutamine, leucine, and glycine), short peptides, nucleotides, and trimethylamine N-oxide (TMAO), which are known to have appetite regulative functions in fish [10, 36]. Free amino acid mixes, nucleotides, and choline chloride or betaine are often used as feeding stimulants at the early life stages of aquatic organisms. However, it could be the combination with the nutritional benefits of KM (n-3 fatty acids, PL, astaxanthin, and the well-balanced amino acid profile) that leads to enhanced growth. For example, the major PL present in krill products is PC [37]. Choline, as part of PC, is essential for de novo synthesis of PL, betaine, and the neurotransmitter acetylcholine. Choline deficiency results in liver dysfunction in different species [38] [39, 40]. Fish fed choline-deficient diets demonstrated reduced feed intake, growth, and survival [41]. Recently, it has been revealed that dietary choline prevents excessive lipid accumulation in the intestine and is essential for Atlantic salmon in seawater [38].

In addition to KM, KH and KO have been used to some extent in aquaculture. There is limited scientific literature available on KH. For example, in a trial with Atlantic salmon, Kousoulaki et al. [10] tested the addition of 1.9 and 3.8% KH to a high-plant protein diet with 3% FM (Table 1). This study showed that KH supplementation had a positive effect on feed intake with a 16% increase in the 3.8% KH diet group when compared to the control group. A similar positive effect on growth performance with both inclusion levels of KH was also observed [10]. Oikawa and March [42] reported improvements in feed intake and growth in three short-term trials (19-30 d) with juvenile rainbow trout when KH was added [42]. In a recent study, it has been demonstrated that KM is already highly hydrolyzed, with >75% peptides below 1 kDa, which is one of the main factors for enhancing the feed intake and as a result the growth performance with KM inclusion [43]. It would be interesting to determine if hydrolyzing KM completely would further increase these effects and a direct comparison of KM and KH on feed intake and growth performance is warranted.

KO is a richer source of PC when compared to soy lecithin. Besides, KO has a high proportion of n-3 PUFAs, whereas soy lecithin is rich in n-6 PUFAs. PC is the main PL present in KO and accounts for >80% PL. Soybean lecithin, on the other hand, contains around 62% total PL, which includes 45% PC. In addition, soy lecithin contains 5% TG. Contrary to KO, soy lecithin does not contain EPA and DHA [44]. Soy lecithin is rich in n-6 fatty acid linoleic acid (40.2%) and contains oleic acid (10.6%), which is an n-9 monosaturated fatty acid and the n-3 fatty acid linolenic acid (2%). KO on the other hand is rich in n-3 PUFAs, with an average of 12% EPA and 6% DHA (Table 2). Their direct comparison has been studied by Taylor et al. [45] in Atlantic salmon in the fry stage. The authors found that mortality and prevalence of vertebral deformities decreased with increasing dietary PL. The highest growth was achieved in fish fed krill PL at 2.6% and in fish fed soy lecithin at 3.6%. The study further demonstrated that KO was more efficient than soy lecithin in reducing intestinal steatosis. Interestingly, similar results have been observed in marine fish species [46], where it was found that inclusion of KO improved larval sea bream growth in terms of weight and length, enhanced hepatic utilization of dietary lipids, and reduced the incidence of enterocyte injuries, in comparison to soy lecithin [46].

Based on the above-mentioned studies, deshelled KM inclusion in salmonid feed is suggested to be beneficial for enhancing feed intake and growth performance of fish in both freshwater and seawater. However, considering the cost factor, 8-10% for 10-12 weeks is suggested to be sufficient to achieve growth performance benefits. In addition, deshelled KM, the main krill product available for aquaculture, is a sustainable and a palatable source of various high-quality nutrients as described in Table 2. Hence, KM is used as a substitute of FM not only as a protein source but also for PL, n-3 fatty acids, astaxanthin, etc.

4. Effects of Krill on Fillet Quality

Texture, firmness, and color of Atlantic salmon fillets are the major parameters that determine the consumer satisfaction [47], which are not inherently interrelated parameters [48]. Fillets with quality deviations such as gaping, soft flesh, and dark melanin spots are main causes to quality downgrading of farmed salmon, because of consumer rejection [49, 50], and lead to substantial economic losses in the salmon industry [49–51]. Loss of fillet firmness is associated with increasing percentage of soluble collagen and a decreasing percentage of insoluble collagen [52]. Collagen is the most abundant protein in intramuscular connective tissue and consists of a helical structure of three polypeptide chains. Mechanical strength of connective tissue is provided by cross-links between collagen and elastin molecules. A recent Atlantic salmon study [53] showed improved fillet firmness and integrity with KM inclusion. In the study, two test diets were compared for their efficacy on the fillet quality of preslaughter salmon (initial weight of 270 g) when given for three months. Both diets were isoproteic (35%) and isolipidic (35%), with one diet of 15% FM and 10% FO and the other diet with 12% KM, 5% FM, and 8% FO. Both these test diets were lower in FM and FO levels in comparison to the earlier published studies on salmonids [26, 28]. The authors demonstrated a reduction in gaping by supplementing salmon finishing diets with 12% KM and showed a lower presence of single α-helix, lower random coil, and lower disordered structures in collagen molecules.
of salmon fed dietary KM, which indicated a higher preservation of collagen native structure in contrast to the fish fed with FM. Taken together, these findings indicate that dietary KM has a positive effect on maintaining the native collagen structure, which is important for muscle integrity and firmness and in reducing gaping issues [53]. Moreover, the authors found a significant increase in the genes coding for different forms of myosin heavy chain. In as much, myomesin-2, which is a gene encoding a protein responsible for the elastic behavior of the myocyte [54], showed a 1.5-fold increased expression in the KM group compared with the FM group. This finding was in alignment with the findings of an earlier study, where it has been shown that soft flesh of Atlantic salmon has been associated with myocyte detachment and altered extracellular matrix protein distribution [49].

5. Effects of Krill on Pigmentation

Astaxanthin (3,3′-dihydroxy-β,β′-carotene-4,4′-dione) is a carotenoid that is synthesized by photosynthetic bacteria, algae, and yeast. Because krill eat microalgae, KM is rich in astaxanthin with most in the diesterified form, in which both hydroxyl groups are esterified with a fatty acid [55]. Synthetic astaxanthin contains only the nonesterified form with both hydroxyl groups unmodified. Besides the differences in esterification, astaxanthin exists as three different optical isomers, with mainly the 3R, 3′R optical isomer form found in KM (62-71% 3R, 3′R and 11-14% 3S, 3′R) [55] and the meso form in synthetic astaxanthin (3S, 3′S:meso:3R, 3′R = 1 : 2 : 1) [56]. The optical isomer structure is maintained intact when incorporated into flesh or skin of fish [57, 58]. But there are no indications in the literature that the different optical isomers of astaxanthin have different metabolic effects.

Carotenoids cannot be synthesized by salmonids, so they rely upon supplementation in feed to ensure redness of the flesh. Given that the distinctive color of salmon flesh is an important factor for consumer acceptability, it is standard practice in the aquaculture industry to supplement salmonid feeds with carotenoids such as astaxanthin in order to pigment their flesh to a color comparable to wild fish [59, 60]. As per EFSA, the maximum limit for synthetic astaxanthin in salmonid diets is 100 mg/kg [61]. Moreover, astaxanthin has documented effects as an antioxidant [62, 63], through singlet oxygen quenching [64, 65] and anti-inflammatory properties [66]. Astaxanthin is also a precursor for vitamin A [67] and is crucial for fertility and reproductive performance in several fish species [68-70]. In Atlantic salmon, fertility and reproductive performance was not shown to be affected by astaxanthin [71]; however, dietary astaxanthin was shown to be essential for normal growth in juvenile salmon [72].

In a number of studies, it has been shown that the dietary inclusion of KM imparts coloration to the flesh of salmonids. An example is Suontama et al. [27], where salmon were fed for 160 days a diet containing 28% KM, replacing 40% of the FM and providing 26 mg/kg astaxanthin esters and 1.4 mg/kg free astaxanthin [27]. This diet also contained 40 mg/kg synthetic astaxanthin, resulting in a total astaxanthin content of 67.4 mg/kg in the feed. For comparison, another group of fish received a diet containing 61.1% FM and 64 mg/kg synthetic astaxanthin. At the end of the trial, astaxanthin content in the fillets was the same between the fish receiving diets containing KM compared to the fish receiving diets containing a comparable amount of total astaxanthin (i.e., approximately 4 mg/kg tissue in both groups). The coloration of the fish flesh was also not significantly different between the two groups, supporting that KM can serve as a replacement for synthetic astaxanthin as a pigment source.

In another study in rainbow trout by Scott et al. [59], it was shown that feeding KM at a level of 29% of the diet, replacing 60% of the FM in the basal diet and providing 60 mg/kg feed of astaxanthin, resulted in an increased astaxanthin deposition in fillets when compared to trout fed a control FM-based diet. The astaxanthin levels were reported to be 7 mg/kg in the fillets from KM-fed fish and <1 mg/kg in the fillets from control fish. The increase in astaxanthin deposition was accompanied by an increase in redness of fillets from trout fed the KM compared to control fillets [59]. Hence, the observations from Suontama et al. [27] and Schott et al. (1995) indicate that KM could serve as a natural source of astaxanthin to enhance the fillet pigmentation.

The study by Roncarati et al. [21] investigated the effects on fillet pigmentation of rainbow trout by dietary inclusion of 60% KM providing 90 mg/kg astaxanthin. The control group received a diet composed of FM, wheat meal, and soybean meal supplemented with 90 mg/kg of synthetic astaxanthin. Dietary inclusion of KM resulted in astaxanthin deposition (4.3 mg/kg tissue) that was slightly, but significantly lower than in the control fish (5.4 mg/kg tissue). Accordingly, a lighter pink-red color was observed in the fillet of fish fed diets containing KM compared to a commercial diet formulated with synthetic astaxanthin [21]. Nevertheless, the authors noted that despite the lighter coloration, the amount of carotenoids and astaxanthin provided by the KM was considered to be adequate for the pigmentation of rainbow trout and that the resulting concentration of astaxanthin in the flesh under the conditions tested is within those reported in the flesh of wild salmon (i.e., 4 to 34 mg/kg; U.S. FDA, 1995). Similarly, in a study on Atlantic salmon [53], authors investigated the efficacy of two isoproteinic (35%) and isolipidic (35%) diets, FM (15%) with 12% KM, for a feeding period of three months, in enhancing fillet pigmentation. KM was found to significantly improve the overall color, with all fillets of the KM group having a SalmoFan score ≥ 25, while 13% of the FM group showed a SalmoFan score below the general acceptance level. The results of the above studies demonstrate that astaxanthin present in KM is transferred to the fillets of salmonids.

Based on the above-mentioned studies and considering the cost factor associated with KM, an inclusion level of 10-15% is suggested to enhance the fillet pigmentation in salmonids. In addition, KM contains other active substances that might be of benefit, such as vitamin E, A, and B12 [8].
6. Effects of Krill on Liver and Intestinal Health

The dietary lipid composition has been shown to affect the lipid class and fatty acid composition in salmonids [26, 45, 46, 73, 74]. Studies described that feeding diets deficient in PL or the use of terrestrial plant lipids, such as soybean lecithin and rapeseed lecithin (n-6 rich PL), which are relatively cheaper PL sources than KM and are commonly used in feeds, resulted in intestinal and liver steatosis in fish with accumulation of lipid vacuoles or droplets in enterocytes and higher lipid deposition in the hepatocytes. The observations of the above-mentioned studies suggest that dietary n-3 PL are required for the efficient export of dietary lipids from the liver and intestine [26, 45, 46, 73–75]. Besides, the dietary choline has also been shown to prevent excessive lipid accumulation in the intestine of Atlantic salmon [38]. Recently, it has been shown that a 12% KM inclusion in feed led to a reduction in liver paleness in Atlantic salmon [53]. Pale liver is associated with nutritional disorders as this organ is involved in an array of metabolic and homeostatic functions [76]. In addition to a reduction of the hepatic fat content, the authors also observed a significant reduction of fatty hearts in Atlantic salmon fed dietary KM. This indicates that the PL fraction of KM has the ability to influence lipid transport and deposition in different organs. The microarray analysis of the liver tissues, in the study by Mørkøre et al. [53], revealed a higher expression (2.4-fold higher) of cadherin-13 in the liver of fish fed KM [53]. Cadherin-13 is associated with the circulating levels of the adipocyte-secreted protein adiponectin, which has anti-inflammatory potential and plays an important role in metabolic regulation, related to the fatty liver index in humans [77]. In addition to the effects in the liver, krill PL appeared to reduce inflammation and improve gut health, with no ectopic epithelial cells and focal calcium deposits observed in intestinal tissues in KM-fed salmon in comparison to 15% FM-fed fish that showed both ectopic epithelial cells and focal calcium deposits in intestinal tissues [53]. It is known that focal accumulation of calcium deposits in necrotic tissue is related to intestinal inflammation in Atlantic salmon [78], and the presence of ectopic epithelial cells in the intestine has been associated with chronic feed-induced gut inflammation associated with plant-based ingredients [79, 80]. Taken together, KM inclusion is beneficial in lowering liver and intestinal lipids, and it seems that not only the amount of PL but also the source of PL is important in reducing the lipid accumulation in the liver and intestine. Based on the above-mentioned studies, it can be suggested that an inclusion level of 10–15% KM in salmonid diets is beneficial in enhancing intestinal health through the reduction of lipid levels.

7. Effects of Krill on Microbiota

From human medicine, it is well established that the gut microbiota plays a pivoting role for proper gut function and health relating to processes such as protection from pathogens, immune responses, nutrition, and metabolism [81–84]. Similar to that of mammals, the salmonid intestinal microbiota composition shows a spatial heterogeneity [85, 86] and can be influenced by a number of factors, such as diet [85, 87, 88], developmental stage [89], rearing environment [90], antibiotics [91], and genetics [92]. Diet is probably among the most important factors to shape the gut microbiota. Different components of dietary origin may selectively promote or suppress the growth of certain microbial clades, which in turn could induce profound effects on host health and, ultimately, its ability to combat disease. However, only a few studies to date have investigated potential relationships between diet, gut microbial community composition, and host function in salmonids [85, 93].

Chitin may function as prebiotic and may selectively promote the growth of certain intestinal microbes, which have the potential to prevent growth and colonization of pathogenic bacteria in the digestive tract [94]. For example, chitin has been shown to have protective effects against a *Vibrio alginolyticus* infection in white shrimp [95]. Chitin is an essential component of the krill exoskeleton, and KM contains therefore around 2-4% of chitin. Ringø et al. [94] evaluated the effects of dietary chitin from KM supplementation on mucosa-associated bacteria composition in the distal intestine of Atlantic salmon [94]. The study included two test diets: one control diet contained 58.9% FM as protein source, while the other diet contained a 1:1 mix (32%: 32% of the diet) of FM and KM. The authors demonstrated that the adherent microbiota profile was modulated by KM inclusion. Only in fish fed the KM diet were no Gram-positive bacteria such as *Carnobacterium piscicola*, *Microbacterium oxydans*, *Microbacterium luteolum*, and *Staphylococcus equorum* spp. linens and the Gram-negatives *Psychrobacter spp.* and *Psychrobacter glacincola* detected. However, how these changes influence the intestinal condition and eventually the growth and health of salmon warrants further studies. Chitin is also a well-known immune stimulant. Sakai [96] demonstrated that chitin injection in rainbow trout stimulated macrophage activities and increased resistance towards *Vibrio anguillarum* infection. However, whether chitin from dietary KM supplementation could provide such resistance to rainbow trout merits further investigation.

8. Suggestions for Future Studies

The current literature lacks complete documentation on the effects of KM covering all the different developmental stages of salmonids to cover the total production cycle. Antarctic krill is a sustainable marine ingredient, with a good package of high-quality nutrients. A relevant area for future research would therefore be to include KM in sustainable diets (low FM and FO) and determine the effects on feed intake and growth performance of salmonids at different developmental stages. In addition, salmonid diets should be as low as possible in both FM and FO since the present stocks cannot sustain the increasing demands of a growing aquaculture [97]. Further, for how long the weight gained by adding dietary KM during a short period, e.g., when used in seawater transfer feeds, is preserved during the rest of the production cycle would be an interesting subject for future studies. Moreover,
studies are needed to decipher the underlying biochemical and signaling pathways involved in enhancing feed intake, growth performance, and digestibility in salmonids. It has been reported that krill extract stimulated gastric and intestinal enzyme secretion and increased protein digestibility in yellowtail [98]. However, current literature lacks similar documentation in salmonids.

There are few studies that investigated the effect of KM on digestibility. For example, Olsen et al. [26] compared the protein, lipid, and dry matter digestibility with different doses of KM (20-100%). The authors found a trend towards higher lipid digestibility at lower KM inclusion levels (20-100%) due to higher chitin levels. However, digestibility of dry matter and protein was not influenced by high KM inclusion levels [26]. On the contrary, Hatlen et al. (2017) found a decreased protein digestibility with KM (7.5 and 15%) in comparison to FM (30%), whereas no difference between lipid digestibility was observed between the KM and control FM diets [28]. However, the effect of KM on gastric and intestinal enzyme secretion is not yet documented in salmonids. Furthermore, studies are warranted to understand how KM can influence the expression of neuropeptides to regulate hunger and appetite stimulation in salmonids.

Both farmed and wild salmon have been shown to accumulate a variety of toxic pollutants, such as dioxins and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). Some of these toxic pollutants may counteract the beneficial effects of the n-3 PUFAs present in fish and may increase the risk of other diseases [99]. The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) has cited FM and FO as contributors to higher levels of PCBs and dioxins in the food chain. As per the EFSA recommendations, based on the tolerable weekly intake of PCBs and dioxins, weekly intake of salmon has decreased from 1300 g to 185 g/week for an adult individual (70 kg) and decreased from 630 g to 90 g for a child (35 kg) [100]. However, a decrease in the weekly intake of salmon would be compromising the amount of n-3 long-chain PUFAs. Hence, it would be interesting to determine if KM could be a solution to this compromise, since KM belongs to the second lowest trophic level in the marine food chain providing clean marine ingredients. Recently, a study assessed the plastic content in 26 different commercial FM products, from 11 countries, and KM from Antarctica. A wide range of plastic content was found in all the FM samples, whereas no plastic was detected in KM [101].

Another interesting aspect could be to determine the effects of KM on broodstock and egg quality. Unpredictable and variable egg quality is a major limiting factor for successful mass production of fish fry, and a lack of high-quality formulated feed for broodstock partly accounts for bad reproductive performance. It has been demonstrated that egg composition and spawning quality of gilthead sea bream are directly affected by n-3 PUFA content of broodstock diets. Inclusion of raw krill in red sea bream broodstock has been shown to improve spawning quantity and quality in terms of buoyant eggs, total hatch, and normal larvae [102]. Similarly, for Atlantic halibut, a diet for broodstock containing KM performed equally well, in terms of fecundity and fertilization rates, when compared to a diet supplemented with tuna orbital oil [103]. However, the current literature lacks such documentation with salmonids.

Further, the immunostimulant properties of KM make it a relevant functional ingredient to be tested against sea lice, as many studies have shown beneficial effects of immunostimulants, most important the beta glucans, in reducing sea lice infestation rates of Atlantic salmon, both experimentally and on farms [104, 105].

9. Conclusions

The present review summarized the documented benefits of Antarctic krill products (KM, KO, and KH) on feed intake, growth performance, fillet quality, and robustness of salmonids. Among the different krill products, KM has been the most vastly studied product with positive effects on feed intake, growth performance, fillet quality, and liver and intestinal health. More studies are needed to deepen the knowledge on the benefits of krill in salmonids and the biological pathways involved. Besides, the effect of krill products on broodstock performance and resistance towards different parasites and pathogens would merit future studies.
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