

Research Article

Dietary DHA Oil Supplementation Promotes Ovarian Development and Astaxanthin Deposition during the Ovarian Maturation of Chinese Mitten Crab *Eriocheir sinensis*

Xiaodong Jiang,^{1,2} Kewu Pan,¹ Yuhong Yang,² Alexander Chong Shu-Chien,^{3,4} and Xugan Wu,^{1,5,6}

¹Key Laboratory of Freshwater Aquatic Genetic Resources, Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs, Shanghai Ocean University, Shanghai 201306, China

²College of Animal Science and Technology, Northeast Agricultural University, Harbin 150036, China

³School of Biological Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Minden, 11800 Penang, Malaysia

⁴Center for Chemical Biology, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Sains@USM, Blok B No. 10, Persiaran Bukit Jambul, 11900 Bayan Lepas, Penang, Malaysia

⁵National Demonstration Center for Experimental Fisheries Science Education, Shanghai Ocean University, Shanghai 201306, China

⁶Shanghai Collaborative Innovation for Aquatic Animal Genetics and Breeding, Shanghai Ocean University, Shanghai 201306, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Xugan Wu; xgwu@shou.edu.cn

Received 3 February 2022; Accepted 11 March 2022; Published 9 April 2022

Academic Editor: Erchao Li

Copyright © 2022 Xiaodong Jiang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Astaxanthin (Axn) is an essential carotenoid for crustacean pigmentation, and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) is an important fatty acid; both play key roles in maintaining the health of many aquaculture species. The present study explored the combined effect of dietary Axn and DHA on gonadal development and carotenoid deposition in adult females of the Chinese mitten crab, Eriocheir sinensis. A 2 × 3 factorial design of experimental diets was created to contain two levels of Axn (0 mg/kg and 100 mg/kg) and three levels of DHA oil (0%, 0.33%, and 0.67%). The results showed as follows: (1) For the culture performance, dietary DHA oil significantly increased the gonadosomatic index (GSI), and Diet 2 (Axn 0% + DHA oil 0.33%) had the highest GSI among all treatments. (2) For the enzymatic indicators in the hepatopancreas and hemolymph, supplementation with 0.33% DHA oil significantly improved the antioxidant capacity (T-AOC and MDA), immunity (AKP and ACP), and health status (e.g., GPT and GOT) of E. sinensis. (3) Supplementation with 100 mg/kg Axn significantly increased redness (a*) and Axn concentration in both the ovaries and hepatopancreas, and supplementation with 0.33% or 0.67% DHA oil produced a further significant improvement in Axn concentration when the diets were supplemented with 100 mg/kg of Axn. (4) As for proximate composition, dietary Axn and DHA significantly increased the deposition of total lipids and triacylglycerol in the hepatopancreas. As expected, the crabs fed diets with DHA supplementation showed an increase in the DHA percentage and DHA/EPA ratio in the ovaries and hepatopancreas. In conclusion, dietary Axn and DHA oil had positive effects on ovarian development in E. sinensis females. The optimal combination of dietary Axn and DHA oil was determined to be approximately 100 mg/kg and 0.33%, respectively, for this species during ovarian maturation.

1. Introduction

Carotenoids are important pigments and antioxidants produced naturally by plants, bacteria, and fungi [1, 2]. Although more than 600 carotenoids have been found in nature, only a few of them can be absorbed and stored in animal tissues, among which astaxanthin (Axn) is the most well-known and well-studied carotenoid [3, 4]. Axn is one of the important carotenoids, which widely exists in plankton, arthropods, and fish species, and its positive effects on colouration have been reported in many crustacean species [5-8]. In addition to pigmentation properties, extensive studies have shown many other benefits of Axn in crustaceans, including enhanced growth performance, gonadal development, reproductive performance, antioxidant activity, immunity enhancement, and environmental stress and disease resistance [9, 10]. Although Axn is an effective carotenoid that is widely used for crustacean pigmentation, it is extremely expensive and undesirably adds to the total cost of commercial feeds [11, 12]. Moreover, even though the apparent digestibility coefficient (ADC) values for Axn in crustaceans are generally high (about 65.3-98.5%), the retention efficiencies of Axn in crustacean are considerably low, being in the range of only 14.3-26.9% for Penaeus monodon [13, 14]. Therefore, deeper levels of comprehension on absorption and deposition of Axn in crustacean animals could improve its utilisation efficiency and reduce production costs, which have become important issues in crustacean farming. As a lipid-soluble compound, the bioavailability and absorption of Axn may be influenced by the amount and type of dietary lipids, as reported with Lysmata vannamei [15] and P. monodon [13, 16].

Docosahexaenoic acid (22:6n-3, DHA) is one of the key omega-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (n-3 LC-PUFAs) required for crustacean species. Previous studies have reported the beneficial effects of dietary DHA on growth performance, SOD activity, and hypoxia stress resistance in crustacean species [17, 18]. Marine fish oil is a common source of DHA and EPA for aquafeed, but global production is unable to meet the fast-growing demands of the aquafeed industry [19]. Therefore, the search for sustainable and cost-effective alternatives to fish oil is crucial [20]. In recent decades, some GM oilseed and microorganism (e.g., microalgae and bacteria) products have been considered as promising new sources of DHA and EPA [19]. Among them, the microalgae Schizochytrium sp., a fastgrowing thraustochytrid with as much as 50% DHA in the extracted lipids [21, 22], and the DHA oil/DHA-rich meal of Schizochytrium sp. are already commercially available products [23, 24]. Previous research had reported the potential of Schizochytrium sp. products as dietary DHA supplements in shrimp farming [24–28].

The Chinese mitten crab *Eriocheir sinensis* is an economically important freshwater crab in China and is popular in the Chinese market due to its high nutritional quality and delicious flavour [29, 30]. Since the 1990s, the farming industry for *E. sinensis* has experienced rapid development after the breakthrough of commercial-scale hatchery technology [31]. Although important progress had been made in the cultural techniques of *E. sinensis* during the past 20 years, the quality of eggs and larvae produced by pondcultured *E. sinensis* broodstock is highly variable and remains a major constraint for hatchery production of this species [32, 33]. Nutrition status is known to be vital for the reproductive performance of crustacean broodstock; thus, a more comprehensive knowledge on nutritional requirements of *E. sinensis* broodstock could contribute to

the improvement of larval quality and cost-effective hatchery production [32-34]. Despite the importance of Axn [7, 35] and LC-PUFAs [33, 36, 37] for ovarian development of E. sinensis had been demonstrated in previous studies, their combined effects on E. sinensis remain unclear. Moreover, the combined effects of dietary Axn and LC-PUFAs on crustaceans have been demonstrated in several species, including the hermaphroditic shrimp Lysmata wurdemanni [38], L. vannamei [39], and the giant tiger prawn P. monodon [40]. Considering that DHA is one of the key n-3 LC-PUFAs required for crustacean species as inspired by previous studies, this study was conducted to investigate the combined effect of dietary Axn and DHA on adult female E. sinensis regarding ovarian development, antioxidant capacity, colour parameters, carotenoid concentration, and biochemical composition during the ovarian maturation stage. These results are expected to lead to a better estimation of optimal levels of Axn and DHA supplementation on gonad development in E. sinensis, as well as potentially reveal the possible interactive functions of Axn and DHA, which may not be expressed in a single factor study.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Diet Formulation and Preparation. As shown in Table 1, six experimental diets were formulated to contain two levels of Axn supplementation (0 mg/kg and 100 mg/kg) and three levels of DHA oil supplementation (0%, 0.33%, and 0.67%) in a factorial design (2×3). The supplementation level of dietary Axn and DHA oil in experimental diets were determined based on published studies that have evaluated the optimal levels of these two nutrients in *E. sinensis* diets [18, 41].

Synthetic Axn (purity = 10%) donated by DSM (China) Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China) was used as the Axn source, and the DHA oil extracted from marine algae Schizochytrium limacinum (containing 45% DHA) was provided by Qingdao Keyuan Marine Biochemistry Co., Ltd. (Qingdao, China). Dry feed ingredients were smashed to pass a 180 μ m sieve using a grinder (WF-30B, Jiangyin Dachuang Mechanical Manufacture Co., Ltd., Jiangsu Province, China). All dry ingredients were thoroughly blended with a commercial mixer (XHS-50, Ningbo Beilun Thermal Machinery Manufacturing Co., Ltd., Zhejiang Province, China). The lipid ingredients were then blended in slowly until a homogenous mixture was obtained and water was added to form a moist dough. Mixtures were then extruded into sinking pellets (3.5 mm in diameter) by a single-screw extruder (DSE30, Jinan Dingrun Machinery Co., Ltd., Shandong Province, China). The pellets were dried at room temperature using a dehumidifier (GE DRY C20 C, General Electric Co., Ltd., USA) for 48 h. To avoid the loss of Axn and DHA in feeds, the experimental diets were stored at -20°C until use. The formulation of experimental diets is shown in Table 1, and the proximate composition and carotenoid content of experimental diets are shown in Supplementary Table 1.

2.2. Experimental Design and Feeding Trial. The culture experiment was run in 18 polyethylene (PE) tanks (diameter \times height = 108 \times 120 cm) with a recycling system

Items	Diet-1 Axn 0 + DHA oil 0	Diet-2 Axn 0 + DHA oil 0.33	Diet-3 Axn 0 + DHA oil 0.67	Diet-4 Axn 100+ DHA oil 0	Diet-5 Axn 100+ DHA oil 0.33	Diet-6 Axn 100+ DHA oil 0.67
Soybean meal	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00
Rapeseed meal	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00
Peanut meal	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00
Fish meal	22.00	22.00	22.00	22.00	22.00	22.00
Fish soluble	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00
Brewer yeast	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Wheat flour	15.55	15.55	15.55	15.55	15.55	15.55
CMC	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
Soy lecithin	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Fish oil	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
Rapeseed oil	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50
Soybean oil	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50
Cholesterol	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40
Choline chloride	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40
Vitamin premix ^a	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40
Mineral premix ^b	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
$Ca(H_2PO_4)_2$	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20
Taurine	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
Cellulose	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.38	0.38	0.38
Glycerol trioleate	1.00	0.67	0.33	1.00	0.67	0.33
Astaxanthin (10%) ^c	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.12	0.12
DHA oil (45%) ^d	0.00	0.33	0.67	0.00	0.33	0.67

TABLE 1: Formulations of the experimental diets (%).

^aVitamin premix (per kg diet): vitamin A, 13125 IU; vitamin D₃, 5250 IU; vitamin E, 140 IU; vitamin K₃, 14 mg; vitamin B₁, 21 mg; vitamin B₂, 33.6 mg; vitamin B₆, 28.7 mg; vitamin B₁₂, 0.07 mg; biotin, 0.28 mg; D-calcium pantothenate, 77 mg; folic acid, 7 mg; nicotinamide, 175 mg; vitamin C, 490 mg; inositol, 595 mg; ethoxyquin, 1.75 mg. ^bMineral premix (per kg diet): FeSO₄, 45 mg; ZnSO₄, 215 mg; CuSO₄, 90 mg; MnSO₄, 35 mg; Na₂SeO₃, 1 mg; CoSO₄, 5 mg; Ca (IO₃)₂, 1.5 mg. ^cSynthetic astaxanthin, provided by DSM (China) Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China. ^dProvided by Qingdao Keyuan Marine Biochemistry Co., Ltd., Qingdao, China.

at the Chongming Research Station of Shanghai Ocean University. In late August 2019, 400 adult females were randomly selected from an earthen pond at the station and transported to indoor PE tanks for acclimation. The initial body weight of experimental crabs was set within the range of 70 g to 90 g, which is the typical weight of adult female crabs that have recently completed puberty moulting. The maturation of adult crabs was determined based on their secondary sex characteristics as descript by Wu et al. [42]. After 5 days of domestication in the tank, lively and whole crabs were selected for subsequent culture. Each dietary treatment had triplicate tanks with a density of 16 females per tank, which almost approached the highest density at which the normal growth of adult crabs may be guaranteed. Artificial water plants (30 cm tall) were set at the bottom of the tanks as crab shelters, and the coverage of artificial plants was set at approximately 50% in each tank. The experimental water maintained a fixed level of 50 cm in each tank. Filtered water was supplied to the tanks at a flow rate of 3 L/ min, and all tanks were aerated continuously with air stones to maintain a 5 mg/mL higher dissolved oxygen (DO) concentration. Fluorescent lamps provided light with a photoperiod of 12 L/12 D. During the culture trial, the crabs were fed daily at a rate of 3% of their body weight, and all tanks were siphoned daily to remove excreta and uneaten diets. Throughout the experiment, the pH and ammonia-N and nitrite concentrations of the water were 7.5-8.2, 0.2- $0.4 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$, and 0.05-0.15 mg $\cdot \text{L}^{-1}$, respectively, which are suitable for the growth of adult *E. sinensis* [43]. The experiment lasted for 70 days and finished on November 10, 2019.

2.3. Growth Data and Sample Collection. On day 35 of the feeding test, three females were randomly selected from each tank after 24 h of fasting, and their weights were measured

with a digital balance (precision = 0.01 g, JY202, Shanghai Puchun Measure Instrument Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China). Thereafter, the ovaries and hepatopancreas were dissected with 10 cm ophthalmic forceps and 10 cm surgical scissors, and the dissected tissues were weighed to calculate gonadosomatic index (GSI) and hepatosomatic index (HSI). Similarly, on day 70 of feeding test, three crabs from each tank were randomly selected to measure their body weights. Approximately, 2 mL of hemolymph was collected from the base of the crab's third walking limb by inserting a syringe needle (maximum capacity 1 mL), and the collected hemolymph was then stored in 2 mL tubes at -80°C until use. The crabs were then dissected to get the ovaries and hepatopancreas, while the muscles in the rest of the body were carefully removed and weighed. The carapace, muscles, hepatopancreas, and ovaries of each crab were stored at -40°C for subsequent biochemical analyses and measurements. The weight gain rate (WGR), GSI, HSI, and survival were calculated with the following formulae:

$$\begin{split} & \text{WGR} (\%) = 100 \times \frac{(\text{Final individual weight} - \text{Initial individual weight})}{\text{Initial individual weight}}.\\ & \text{GSI} (\%) = 100 \times \frac{\text{Gonad wet weight}}{\text{Body wet weight}}.\\ & \text{HSI} (\%) = 100 \times \frac{\text{Hepatopancreas wet weight}}{\text{Body wet weight}}.\\ & \text{Survival} (\%) = 100 \times \frac{\text{final crab number}}{(\text{initial crab number} - \text{the number of crabs sampled on day 35})}. \end{split}$$

2.4. Antioxidant Capacity and Non-specific Immunity. The thawed hemolymph samples were homogenised with a IKA micro homogeniser (T10B, IKA Co., Germany) and were centrifuged at 10,000 rpm at 4°C for 20 min. The supernatant was collected and stored at -40°C in a refrigerator for the next measurement. About 0.1 g of hepatopancreas from each crab was weighed and added to the saline solution at a ratio of 1:5 (w/v) and then were homogenised with an IKA homogeniser in a 2 mL centrifuge tube. Homogenate was centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatant was collected and stored at -40°C for next analysis. The same volume of supernatant phases from each sample from the same replicate tank was pooled and mixed before subsequent analysis.

The levels of total antioxidant capacity (T-AOC, No. A015-2-1), glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px, No. A005-1-2), malondialdehyde (MDA, No. A003-1-1), superoxide dismutase (SOD, No. A001-1-2), catalase (CAT, No. A015-2-1), alkaline phosphatase (AKP, No. A059-1-1), acid phosphatase (ACP, No. A060-1), glutamic pyruvic transaminase (GPT, No. C009-1), and glutamic oxalacetic transaminase (GOT, No. C010-1) in the hepatopancreas and hemolymph were analysed with a spectrophotometer and corresponding detection kits (Nanjing Jiancheng Biological Product, China). The levels of T-AOC, GSH-Px, MDA, SOD, CAT, AKP, ACP, GPT, and GOT were analysed according to the manufacturer's guidelines, and 50, 50, 50, 40, 25, 50, 15, 15, 20, and 20 μ L of supernatant were used for each determination with the wavelengths of 520, 412, 410, 532, 550, 520, 520, 520, 505, and 505 nm, respectively.

2.5. Carotenoids and Colour Parameters. The ovaries, hepatopancreas, and carapaces of each crab were freeze-dried and ground separately before carotenoid extraction, and the same tissues of three crabs in each test tank were pooled as the composite sample for extraction. The total carotenoids in all samples were extracted with acetone and determined by the ultraviolet-visible spectrophotometer (T6 New Century, Beijing Purkinje General Instrument Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) at 470 nm wavelength [44]. The esterified carotenoids were first hydrolysed by enzymatic hydrolysis [45], and then, the Axn, lutein, zeaxanthin, canthaxanthin, and β -carotene were analysed by an Agilent high-performance liquid chromatograph (HPLC) using an Agilent 1260 HPLC system (Agilent Technologies Inc., CA, USA). The HPLC system was equipped with a YMC Carotenoid C30 column $(4.6 \times 150 \text{ mm}, \text{ diameter of packing} = 3 \,\mu\text{m}, \text{ YMC Co., Ltd.,}$ Kyoto, Japan). The gradient mobile phases of the Agilent 1260 HPLC system consisted of A and B, in which mobile phase A consisted of methyl alcohol: methyl tert-butyl ether: formic acid (3:2:0.01, v/v/v), and mobile phase B was a mixture of alcohol:triethylamine (100:0.04, v/v). The five carotenoids were identified and quantified based on commercially available standards, and the detailed steps of carotenoid analysis used in this experiment were described in Long et al. [7].

The ovaries, hepatopancreas, and carapace of experimental crabs were freeze-dried and ground separately before colour parameter measurement. The colour values of samples were quantified in CIE2000 L*a*b* colour space by a colorimeter (CR400, Konica Minolta, Tokyo, Japan) and the values of L*, a*, and b* representing lightness, redness, and yellowness, respectively [46]. The colour parameters were measured in nine carbs from each feed treatment (3 crabs/replicate). Six relatively smooth points were selected on the tissue surface to measure colour values, and the results were expressed as the average value for each crab.

2.6. Biochemical Analysis. The same crab tissues from each experimental tank were pooled as a composite sample before the biochemical analysis. The analyses of moisture (No. 950-46), crude protein (No. 2001-11), and ash (No. 920-153) in the muscle, hepatopancreas, and ovaries were performed according to the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC) procedures [47]. The total lipids were extracted with chloroform:methanol (2:1, v/v) according to Folch et al. [48].

The lipid classes were quantified using an Iatroscan MK-6s TLC-FID analyser (Iatron Laboratories Inc., Tokyo, Japan) according to the method described by Wu et al. [49]. The developing solvent system used was hexane:diethyl ether: formic acid (42:28:0.3, v/v/v). Thereafter, lipid classes were detected with a hydrogen flame ionisation detector (FID) under the conditions of hydrogen 160 mL/ min and air 2 L/min, and the thin-layer chromatogram was processed with the Chormstar software. Lipid classes in crab tissues were quantified as total phospholipids (PL, including phosphatidylcholine phosphatidylethanolamine phosphatidylinositol and other phospholipids), triacylglycerol (TG), free fatty acids (FFA), and cholesterol (CHO). The level of each lipid class was expressed as milligrams of lipid class per gram of wet tissue (mg/g).

For the fatty acid analysis, the total lipid concentration of the samples was esterified by boiling 14% boron trifluoride/ methanol (w/w), and the fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) were extracted with hexane [33]. The FAMEs were analysed using an Agilent 7890B GC/5977A gas chromatograph-mass spectrometer (GC-MS) with an Omegawax-320 fused silica capillary column ($30 \text{ m} \times 0.32 \text{ mm} \times 0.25 \mu \text{m}$, Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA). The injector and detector temperatures were maintained at 260°C. The column temperature was initially set at 40°C, then increased at a rate of 10°C min⁻¹ to 170°C and held for 1 min, then further increased at a rate of 2°C min⁻¹ to 220°C, which would hold 1 min. It was ulteriorly increased at a rate of 2°C min⁻¹ to the final temperature of 230°C and held for 30 min until all FAMEs had been eluted. The peaks were determined by comparing retention times with Supelco-37 component FAME standard mixture (CRM47885, Sigma-Aldrich Co., St. Louis, MO, USA), and individual fatty acids were quantified with reference to internal standard. Fatty acid composition was expressed as the percentage of each fatty acid in total fatty acid content.

2.7. Statistical Analysis. The SPSS 26.0 software was used for statistical analyses. All data were expressed as means with pooled standard deviation (SD) values, and homogeneity of data variance was analysed using Levene's test. One-way ANOVA was used to statistical analysis of all experimental data, and Tukey's multiple range test was used to determine differences. When homogeneity of variances was not achieved, the data were test using the Kruskal-Wallis H nonparametric test, followed by the Games-Howell nonparametric multiple comparison test. The interactive effects of dietary Axn and DHA on experimental data of adult female crab (E. sinensis) were studied by two-way variance analysis. For all statistical analyses, the samples from each tank were treated as one experimental unit, and the data of all indices for each tank (replicate) were considered as the mean values for statistical analyses. Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Gonadal Development and Proximate Composition. Table 2 shows the WGR, GSI, and HSI for the six dietary treatments. At the first sampling point (day 35), no individual or interactive effect of Axn or DHA on gonad development of adult *E. sinensis* was observed. At the second sampling point (day 70), 0.33% DHA oil (Diets 2 and 5) caused significant increases in *E. sinensis* GSI, with the highest value (P < 0.05) obtained in Diet 2 treatment. The WGR, HSI, and final survival did not show any significant difference among treatments at day 70.

Table 3 shows the proximate composition of crabs fed the six diets. Significant differences within ovaries were detectable only in the ash content, which was significantly higher in Diet 3 (Axn 0 + DHA oil 0.67%) than in Diets 1, 4, and 5. The most significant differences were observed in the hepatopancreas, in which lipid contents were significantly lower but moisture contents were significantly higher in the control (Diet 1) treatment. Moreover, 100 mg/kg Axn and 0.33% DHA oil (Diet 5) significantly increased the ash content in the hepatopancreas (P < 0.05). Dietary Axn and DHA had a slight effect on the proximate composition of *E. sinensis* muscle (P > 0.05).

3.2. Antioxidant Capacity and Non-specific Immune Indices. Table 4 presents the antioxidant indices of adult female *E.* sinensis. Supplementation with 100 mg/kg Axn (Diets 4-6) significantly increased T-AOC levels in both hepatopancreas and hemolymph (P < 0.05). Dietary supplementation with 0.33% DHA oil (Diets 2 and 5) significantly increased hepatosomatic T-AOC levels, but T-AOC levels significantly decreased with the further increase of DHA oil supplementation from 0.33% to 0.67%. On the contrary, crabs fed diets supplemented with 0.33% DHA oil had significantly lower MDA levels in the hemolymph, whereas higher DHA oil levels (0.67%) dramatically increased MDA levels in the hemolymph (P < 0.05). Dietary Axn and DHA, as well as their interaction, had no significant effect on the SOD activities in both hepatopancreas and hemolymph.

Table 5 presents the nonspecific immune indices and physiological status of *E. sinensis* fed different diets. In the three Axn-control treatments (Diets 1-3), hepatosomatic GPT and GOT levels showed a "high-low-high" trend with dietary DHA supplementation only, but they showed a decreasing trend with dietary DHA supplemented diets (Diets 4-6). Supplementation with 100 mg/kg Axn significantly increased ACP activities but significantly decreased GPT and GOT activities in the hemolymph (P < 0.05). Significant interactions between Axn and DHA were found on ACP, AKP, and GPT levels in the hemolymph.

3.3. Colour Parameters and Carotenoid Composition. Figures 1–3 show the colour parameters of *E. sinensis* individuals who were fed six experimental diets. After 70 days of feeding, 100 mg/kg Axn significantly decreased the lightness (L*) and brightness (b*) of the ovaries but significantly increased their redness (a*) (P < 0.05). Regarding the influence of DHA on ovarian colour, the redness (a*) of the ovaries showed an increasing trend with DHA oil supplementation from 0% to 0.67% with no significantly increased the redness (a*) of the hepatopancreas, whereas 0.67% DHA oil significantly increased the lightness (L*) and brightness (b*) of the hepatopancreas in Axn-control treatments (P < 0.05). Colour parameters of the carapace showed no significant differences among treatments (Figure 3, P > 0.05).

The carotenoid content of *E. sinensis* is shown in Table 6. The contents of total carotenoid, Axn, and zeaxanthin in the ovaries markedly increased after feeding diets containing 100 mg/kg Axn (P < 0.05). The effect of dietary DHA was also noted for Axn deposition in the ovaries, while the Axn and total carotenoid contents showed an increasing trend with dietary DHA supplementation. In the hepatopancreas, the contents of total carotenoid, Axn, and zeaxanthin were

ltems	Diet-1 AXI 0+DO 0	Diet-2 Axn 0 + DO 0.33	Diet-3 Axn 0 + DO 0.67	Diet-4 Axn 100 + DO 0	Diet-5 Axn 100 + DO 0.33	Diet-6 Axn 100 + DO 0.67	SD	Axn	DO DO	Axn×DO
0 day										
Body weight (g)	74.33	76.59	75.55	76.43	74.29	75.81	0.89	0.383	0.504	0.361
35 days										
Body weight (g)	78.53	81.07	79.46	80.95	78.47	80.21	0.97	0.502	0.455	0.576
WGR (%)	5.66	5.85	5.18	5.91	5.62	5.81	1.10	0.577	0.388	0.221
GSI (%)	6.69	6.56	6.74	6.74	7.30	7.66	0.68	0.173	0.617	0.502
(%) ISH	7.95	8.01	8.45	7.49	7.95	7.87	0.61	0.286	0.339	0.440
70 days										
Body weight (g)	78.33	80.61	79.41	80.73	78.44	80.33	0.66	0.354	0.077	0.229
WGR (%)	5.39	5.25	5.11	5.63	5.59	5.96	1.32	0.721	0.557	0.703
GSI (%)	$8.24^{\rm a}$	10.25^{b}	9.08^{ab}	9.44^{ab}	9.93^{b}	9.33^{ab}	0.84	0.316	0.040	0.212
(%) ISH	5.26	5.73	5.60	5.23	5.78	5.11	0.48	0.392	0.102	0.233
Survival (%)	75.56	84.44	82.22	80.00	84.44	82.22	8.55	0.950	0.235	0.593

TABLE 2: Effects of dietary astaxanthin (Axn) and DHA oil (DO) on weight gain rate (WGR), gonadosomatic index (GSI), hepatosomatic index (HSI), and survival of adult female *E.* sinensis (n = 3).

TABLE 3: Effects	of dietary astaxan	thin (Axn) and D	HA oil (DO) on pro	oximate compositio	on (% wet weight) of	ovaries, hepatopancre	as, and mu	scle of adult	female <i>E. sin</i>	insis $(n = 3)$.
Items	Diet-1 Axn 0 + DO 0	Diet-2 Axn 0 + DO 0.33	Diet-3 Axn 0 + DO 0.67	Diet-4 Axn 100 + DO 0	Diet-5 Axn 100+DO 0.33	Diet-6 Axn 100 + DO 0.67	SD	Axn	$_{ m DO}^{P}$	Axn × DO
Ovaries										
Moisture	52.63	50.66	50.03	50.75	50.50	51.74	1.40	0.396	0.665	0.115
Protein	29.81	30.70	30.87	30.94	31.46	30.39	1.07	0.452	0.434	0.600
Lipid	15.57	16.38	16.84	16.36	15.72	15.45	0.68	0.208	0.155	0.006
Ash	2.66^{a}	3.55^{ab}	4.44^{b}	2.36^{a}	2.41^{a}	3.66 ^{ab}	0.48	0.442	0.224	0.002
Hepatopancreas										
Moisture	76.25 ^b	65.56^{a}	69.72 ^a	68.07^{a}	66.12 ^a	69.34^{a}	3.37	0.634	0.037	0.031
Protein	11.68	11.80	12.49	11.18	10.30	10.48	1.30	0.478	0.248	0.382
Lipid	6.50^{a}	12.80 ^b	12.98 ^b	11.69 ^b	11.95 ^b	11.65 ^b	2.74	0.529	0.435	0.024
Ash	2.30^{a}	3.04^{ab}	2.74^{ab}	3.34^{ab}	$3.46^{\rm b}$	2.64^{ab}	0.39	0.788	0.012	0.225
Muscle										
Moisture	80.79	80.04	79.27	80.72	80.11	81.03	1.36	0.442	0.693	0.129
Protein	15.87	15.13	16.69	15.61	16.86	16.16	1.01	0.063	0.523	0.946
Lipid	1.04	1.04	1.08	1.07	1.03	1.05	0.10	0.823	0.420	0.263
Ash	1.49	1.42	1.53	1.47	1.53	1.41	0.07	0.331	0.080	0.690
All data are expresse	ed as means with pc	oled standard deviat	ion (SD). Values in th	ne same row without	a common letter are sig	spificantly different $(P < P)$	0.05, one-wa	y ANOVA).		

Dić										
Items 0.	iet-1 Axn)+DO 0	Diet-2 Axn 0 + DO 0.33	Diet-3 Axn 0 + DO 0.67	Diet-4 Axn 100 + DO 0	Diet-5 Axn 100 + DO 0.33	Diet-6 Axn 100 + DO 0.67	SD	Axn	P DO	Axn × DO
Hepatopancreas										
T-AOC (U/mg protein)	4.04^{a}	7.19^{bc}	4.04^{a}	5.52^{ab}	10.45^{d}	8.13°	1.02	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
GSH-Px (U/mg protein)	9.98 ^b	11.14^{bc}	9.93 ^b	8.74^{a}	11.83 ^c	11.29 ^c	0.82	0.417	<0.001	0.008
MDA (nmol/mg protein)	1.85^{a}	1.36^{a}	1.60^{a}	1.54^{a}	1.48^{a}	2.35 ^b	0.33	0.115	0.003	0.003
SOD (U/mg protein)	10.34	10.17	8.96	9.62	10.89	11.64	1.64	0.157	0.769	0.098
CAT (U/mg protein)	2.72^{a}	3.83^{ab}	2.93^{ab}	4.39°	6.22 ^d	4.51 ^c	0.96	<0.001	0.003	0.525
Hemolymph										
T-AOC (U/mL)	8.23^{a}	8.81^{a}	8.76^{a}	9.30^{bc}	10.61^{cd}	11.07^{d}	0.77	<0.001	0.019	0.301
GSH-Px (U/mL) 4	49.83 ^{ab}	56.13°	53.48^{bc}	45.92^{a}	53.62^{bc}	48.00^{a}	2.56	0.001	<0.001	0.538
MDA (nmol/mL)	6.11 ^{bc}	3.49^{a}	5.24^{b}	6.43 ^c	3.02^{a}	5.11^{b}	0.77	0.469	<0.001	0.686
SOD (U/mL)	42.71	37.12	37.40	41.07	41.36	38.66	4.46	0.453	0.172	0.384
CAT (U/mL)	8.92	8.96	8.02	8.36	10.30	10.39	09.0	0.178	0.551	0.287

8

0 + DO $0.4DO$ $0 + DO$ 0.33 $100 + DO$ 0.33 $100 + DO$ Axn DO	Items	Diet-1 Axn	Diet-2 Axn	Diet-3 Axn	Diet-4 Axn	Diet-5 Axn	Diet-6 Axn	SD		P	
HepatopancreasAKP (U/mg protein) 57.28 61.47 49.32 46.22 57.26 54.82 10.95 0.410 0.216 ACP (U/mg protein) 5.48^{ab} 6.54^{b} 4.38^{bc} 5.02^{cd} 5.63^{ab} 6.28^{ab} 0.85 0.172 0.391 GPT (U/mg protein) 5.23^{cd} 4.38^{bc} 5.02^{cd} 5.86^{d} 3.65^{ab} 0.60 0.016 0.016 0.001 GOT (U/mg protein) 5.23^{cd} 4.38^{bc} 5.02^{cd} 5.86^{d} 3.65^{ab} 3.02^{a} 0.60 0.016 0.001 GOT (U/mg protein) 4.39^{b} 2.53^{a} 4.10^{b} 4.07^{b} 2.10^{a} 1.66^{a} 0.70 0.001 0.004 Henolymph 1.51^{a} 1.60^{ab} 1.69^{ab} 2.52^{cb} 2.08^{bc} 1.36^{a} 0.28 0.002 0.006 ACP (U/100 mL) 1.51^{a} 1.60^{ab} 1.69^{ab} 2.52^{ab} 4.07^{c} 3.01^{b} 3.09^{b} 0.44 <0.001 0.048 ACP (U/100 mL) 2.23^{a} 2.99^{b} 7.32^{bc} 3.10^{a} 1.82^{a} 0.28 0.002 0.006 0.016 ACP (U/100 mL) 1.954^{b} 18.99^{b} 10.00^{a} 1.82^{a} 1.77^{a} 0.84 <0.001 0.016 ACP (U/100 L) 1.954^{b} 18.99^{b} 10.00^{a} 1.371^{a} 1.74^{a} 0.84 <0.001 0.001 ACP (U/100 L) 1.954^{b} $1.8.99^{b}$		0 + DO 0	0 + DO 0.33	0 + DO 0.67	100 + DO 0	100 + DO 0.33	100 + DO 0.67		Axn	DO	AXN×DU
AKP (U/mg protein)57.28 61.47 49.32 46.22 57.26 54.82 10.95 0.410 0.216 ACP (U/mg protein) 5.48^{ab} 6.54^{b} 4.83^{a} 6.27^{ab} 5.3^{ab} 5.3^{ab} 0.85 0.172 0.391 GPT (U/mg protein) 5.23^{ad} 4.38^{bc} 5.02^{cd} 5.02^{cd} 5.86^{d} 3.65^{ab} 3.02^{a} 0.60 0.016 <0.001 GOT (U/mg protein) 4.39^{b} 2.53^{a} 4.10^{b} 4.07^{b} 2.10^{a} 1.66^{a} 0.70 <0.001 0.004 Henolymph1.51^{a} 1.60^{ab} 1.69^{ab} 2.52^{cd} 2.52^{b} 4.07^{c} 2.08^{bc} 1.35^{a} 0.70 <0.001 0.006 <0.001 AKP (U/100 mL) 1.51^{a} 1.60^{ab} 2.52^{ab} 4.07^{c} 3.01^{b} 3.09^{b} 0.44 <0.001 0.048 ACP (U/100 mL) 2.23^{a} 2.19^{a} 2.52^{ab} 4.07^{c} 3.01^{b} 3.09^{b} 0.44 <0.001 0.048 GPT (U/mL) 8.87^{c} 5.99^{b} 7.32^{bc} 3.10^{a} 1.82^{a} 1.77^{a} 1.77^{a} 0.84 <0.001 0.048 GOT (U/mL) 19.54^{b} 18.99^{b} 19.98^{b} 10.00^{a} 13.71^{a} 0.84 <0.001 0.414 GOT (U/mL) 19.54^{b} 19.98^{b} 10.00^{a} 13.71^{a} 0.84 <0.001 0.001 0.414	Hepatopancreas										
ACP (U/mg protein) 5.48^{ab} 6.54^{b} 4.83^{a} 6.27^{ab} 5.63^{ab} 5.28^{ab} 0.85 0.172 0.391 GPT (U/mg protein) 5.23^{cd} 4.38^{bc} 5.02^{cd} 5.86^{d} 3.65^{ab} 3.02^{a} 0.60 0.016 <0.001 GOT (U/mg protein) 4.39^{b} 2.53^{a} 4.10^{b} 4.07^{b} 2.10^{a} 1.66^{a} 0.60 0.016 <0.001 Hemolymph 1.51^{a} 1.60^{ab} 1.69^{ab} 2.52^{c} 2.08^{bc} 1.35^{a} 0.28 0.002 0.006 $<$ ACP (U/100 mL) 2.23^{a} 2.19^{a} 2.52^{ab} 4.07^{c} 3.01^{b} 3.09^{b} 0.44 <0.001 0.048 ACP (U/100 mL) 2.23^{a} 2.19^{a} 2.52^{ab} 4.07^{c} 3.01^{b} 3.09^{b} 0.44 <0.001 0.048 GPT (U/mL) 8.87^{c} 5.99^{b} 7.32^{bc} 3.10^{a} 1.82^{a} 1.77^{a} 1.77^{a} 0.79 <0.001 GOT (U/mL) 19.54^{b} 18.99^{b} 10.00^{a} 10.00^{a} 13.71^{a} 12.14^{a} <0.001 <0.001 Act (U/mL) 19.54^{b} 18.99^{b} 10.00^{a} 10.00^{a} 13.71^{a} 12.14^{a} <0.001 <0.001 Act (U/mL) 19.54^{b} 18.99^{b} 10.00^{a} 10.00^{a} 13.71^{a} 12.14^{a} <0.001 <0.001 Act (U/mL) 19.54^{b} 18.99^{b} 10.00^{a} 10.00^{a}	AKP (U/mg protein)	57.28	61.47	49.32	46.22	57.26	54.82	10.95	0.410	0.216	0.238
GPT (U/mg protein) 5.23^{cd} 4.38^{bc} 5.02^{cd} 5.86^{d} 3.65^{ab} 3.02^{a} 0.60 0.016 <0.01 GOT (U/mg protein) 4.39^{b} 2.53^{a} 4.10^{b} 4.07^{b} 2.10^{a} 1.66^{a} 0.70 <0.001 0.046 HenolymphAFP (U/100 mL) 1.51^{a} 1.60^{ab} 1.69^{ab} 2.52^{c} 2.08^{bc} 1.35^{a} 0.28 0.002 0.006 ACP (U/100 mL) 2.23^{a} 2.19^{a} 2.52^{ab} 4.07^{c} 3.01^{b} 3.09^{b} 0.44 <0.001 0.048 ACP (U/100 mL) 2.23^{a} 2.19^{a} 2.52^{ab} 4.07^{c} 3.01^{b} 3.09^{b} 0.44 <0.001 0.048 ACP (U/100 mL) 2.23^{a} 2.19^{a} 2.52^{ab} 4.07^{c} 3.01^{b} 3.09^{b} 0.44 <0.001 0.048 ACP (U/100 L) 8.87^{c} 5.99^{b} 10.00^{a} 1.82^{a} 1.77^{a} 1.77^{a} 1.77^{a} 0.44 <0.001 GOT (U/mL) 19.54^{b} 18.99^{b} 10.00^{a} 10.00^{a} 13.71^{a} 0.84 <0.001 <0.001 All data are expressed as means with pooled standard deviation (SD). Values in the same row without a common letter are significantly different ($P < 0.05$, one-way ANOVA). AKP: alkaline proper	ACP (U/mg protein)	5.48^{ab}	6.54^{b}	4.83^{a}	6.27^{ab}	5.63^{ab}	6.28^{ab}	0.85	0.172	0.391	0.015
GOT (U/mg protein) 4.39 ^b 2.53 ^a 4.10 ^b 4.07 ^b 2.10 ^a 1.66^{a} 0.70 <0.01 0.04 Henolymph AKP (U/100 mL) 1.51^{a} 1.60^{ab} 1.69^{ab} 2.52^{ab} 4.07^{c} 2.08^{bc} 1.37^{a} 0.28 0.002 0.006 \sim ACP (U/100 mL) 2.23^{a} 2.19^{a} 2.52^{ab} 4.07^{c} 3.01^{b} 3.09^{b} 0.44 <0.001 0.048 ACP (U/101 mL) 8.87^{c} 5.99^{b} 7.32^{bc} 3.10^{a} 1.82^{a} 1.77^{a} 1.79 <0.001 <0.01 GOT (U/mL) 19.54^{b} 18.99^{b} 19.00^{a} $1.3.71^{a}$ 12.14^{a} 0.84 <0.001 <0.01	GPT (U/mg protein)	5.23^{cd}	$4.38^{ m bc}$	5.02^{cd}	5.86^{d}	3.65^{ab}	3.02^{a}	09.0	0.016	<0.001	0.002
Hemolymph AKP (U/100 mL) 1.51^a 1.60^{ab} 1.69^{ab} 2.52^c 2.08^{bc} 1.35^a 0.28 0.002 0.006 \circ ACP (U/100 mL) 2.23^a 2.19^a 2.52^{ab} 4.07^c 3.01^b 3.09^b 0.44 <0.001 0.048 GPT (U/mL) 8.87^c 5.99^b 7.32^{bc} 3.10^a 1.82^a 1.77^a 1.77 2.001 $o.041$ GOT (U/mL) 19.54^b 18.99^b 19.00^a 13.71^a 12.14^a 0.84 <0.001 <0.011	GOT (U/mg protein)	4.39^{b}	2.53^{a}	4.10^{b}	$4.07^{\rm b}$	2.10^{a}	1.66^{a}	0.70	<0.001	0.004	0.285
AKP (U/100 mL) 1.51 ^a 1.60 ^{ab} 1.69 ^{ab} 2.52 ^c 2.08^{bc} 1.35 ^a 0.28 0.002 0.006 ~ 1006 ~ 1000 ~ 10000 ~ 1000	Hemolymph										
ACP (U/100 mL) 2.23^a 2.19^a 2.52^{ab} 4.07^c 3.01^b 3.09^b 0.44 <0.001 0.048 GPT (U/mL) 8.87^c 5.99^b 7.32^{bc} 3.10^a 1.82^a 1.77^a 1.79 <0.001 <0.01 GOT (U/mL) 19.54^b 18.99^b 19.98^b 10.00^a 13.71^a 12.14^a 0.84 <0.001 <0.414 All data are expressed as means with pooled standard deviation (SD). Values in the same row without a common letter are significantly different ($P < 0.05$, one-way ANOVA). AKP: alkaline phosphat	AKP (U/100 mL)	1.51^{a}	1.60^{ab}	1.69^{ab}	2.52 ^c	2.08^{bc}	1.35^{a}	0.28	0.002	0.006	<0.001
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ACP (U/100 mL)	$2.23^{\rm a}$	2.19^{a}	2.52^{ab}	4.07^{c}	3.01^{b}	3.09^{b}	0.44	<0.001	0.048	0.016
	GPT (U/mL)	8.87 ^c	5.99 ^b	$7.32^{\rm bc}$	3.10^{a}	1.82^{a}	1.77^{a}	1.79	<0.001	<0.001	0.002
All data are expressed as means with pooled standard deviation (SD). Values in the same row without a common letter are significantly different (P < 0.05, one-way ANOVA). AKP: alkaline phosphat	GOT (U/mL)	19.54^{b}	18.99 ^b	19.98 ^b	10.00^{a}	13.71 ^a	12.14^{a}	0.84	<0.001	0.414	0.259
acid nhoenhataee. CDT: alutamic transaminaee. CDT: alutamic avalacatic transaminaea	All data are expressed as mea	ns with pooled stan	dard deviation (SD).	Values in the same	row without a com	unon letter are signific:	antly different $(P < 0.05)$	5, one-way A	NOVA). AKI	: alkaline pho	sphatase; ACP:



FIGURE 1: Effects of dietary astaxanthin (Axn) and DHA oil (DO) on ovary coloration of adult female *E. sinensis* (n = 3). The data are expressed as the means ± standard deviation (SD). Bars with "*" mean significant difference (P < 0.05): (a) lightness; (b) redness; (c) yellowness.



FIGURE 2: Effects of dietary astaxanthin (Axn) and DHA oil (DO) on hepatopancreas coloration of adult female *E. sinensis* (n = 3). The data are expressed as the means ± standard deviation (SD). Bars with "*" mean significant difference (P < 0.05): (a) lightness; (b) redness; (c) yellowness.



FIGURE 3: Effects of dietary astaxanthin (Axn) and DHA oil (DO) on carapace coloration of adult female *E. sinensis* (n = 3). The data are expressed as the means ± standard deviation (SD): (a) lightness; (b) redness; (c) yellowness.

Items (mg/kg)	Diet-1 Axn 0 + DO 0	Diet-2 Axn 0 + DO 0.33	Diet-3 Axn 0 + DO 0.67	Diet-4 Axn 100 + DO 0	Diet-5 Axn 100 + DO 0.33	Diet-6 Axn 100 + DO 0.67	SD	Axn	DO DO	Axn × DO
Ovaries										
Total carotenoids	257.95^{a}	338.56^{a}	338.84^{a}	494.04^{b}	538.14^{b}	558.25 ^b	45.55	<0.001	0.059	0.824
Astaxanthin	43.77^{a}	47.07^{a}	53.96^{a}	266.29 ^b	300.89 ^b	379.44 ^c	23.09	<0.001	0.059	0.824
Lutein	3.62	4.21	3.40	4.07	3.47	4.55	0.70	0.429	0.941	0.130
Zeaxanthin	11.59^{a}	11.91^{a}	11.11^{a}	14.37^{b}	14.64^{b}	15.40^{b}	1.06	<0.001	0.878	0.417
eta-Carotene	49.21	52.21	41.02	44.95	44.16	40.92	9.97	0.392	0.422	0.788
Hepatopancreas										
Total carotenoids	57.06^{a}	73.84^{a}	84.37^{a}	188.90^{b}	211.11 ^b	$228.20^{\rm b}$	18.48	<0.001	0.033	0.865
Astaxanthin	2.26^{a}	2.36^{a}	2.50^{a}	13.11^{b}	16.20°	18.28°	0.97	<0.001	0.002	0.004
eta-Carotene	26.73^{a}	34.39^{a}	39.90^{a}	82.86 ^b	91.72 ^b	106.04^{b}	11.34	<0.001	0.101	0.782
Carapace										
Total carotenoids	20.39	25.97	34.78	25.11	30.30	33.34	7.65	0.495	0.074	0.743
Astaxanthin	10.09	12.86	13.17	15.03	17.67	20.68	3.95	0.045	0.036	0.866
Lutein	1.70	1.81	1.70	1.94	2.07	2.29	0.37	0.067	0.724	0.686
Zeaxanthin	1.54	1.44	1.27	2.81	2.74	2.41	1.21	0.073	0.902	0.994
eta-Carotene	1.82	1.55	0.73	1.28	0.46	1.85	0.44	0.551	0.290	0.016

			•	•)	1				
Items	Diet-1 Axn 0 + DO 0	Diet-2 Axn 0 + DO 0.33	Diet-3 Axn 0 + DO 0.67	Diet-4 Axn 100 + DO 0	Diet-5 Axn 100 + DO 0.33	Diet-6 Axn 100 + DO 0.67	SD	Axn	P DO	Axn×DO
Ovaries										
Triacylglycerol	69.71	74.09	74.78	78.18	73.59	68.22	4.73	0.880	0.759	0.165
Free fatty acids	1.78	2.93	1.38	1.76	0.49	1.41	1.19	0.267	0.896	0.289
Cholesterol	1.89	3.35	3.22	2.00	1.16	1.64	1.24	0.160	0.888	0.509
Phospholipids	81.48	81.03	84.46	83.79	80.14	72.75	4.92	0.266	0.551	0.168
Hepatopancreas										
Triacylglycerol	55.01^{a}	115.13 ^c	116.61 ^c	98.00 ^b	104.92^{b}	$103.45^{\rm b}$	2.57	0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Free fatty acids	0.43^{a}	0.70^{ab}	1.09^{ab}	1.44^{b}	0.27^{a}	0.25^{a}	0.35	0.700	0.281	0.012
Cholesterol	0.23	0.72	0.57	0.55	0.69	0.36	0.31	0.886	0.311	0.456
Phospholipids	8.71	9.95	10.14	12.98	11.23	11.32	2.66	0.224	0.993	0.721
Muscle										
Triacylglycerol	1.19	1.26	1.31	1.29	1.26	1.35	0.07	0.437	0.410	0.805
Free fatty acids	0.98	1.02	1.02	1.01	1.00	1.04	0.07	0.898	0.788	0.902
Cholesterol	0.54	0.62	0.56	0.52	0.53	0.53	0.06	0.203	0.601	0.644
Phospholipids	7.61	7.33	7.88	7.89	7.53	7.57	0.10	0.574	0.032	0.049
All data are expressed as	means with poole	d standard deviation	(SD). Values in the si	ame row without a	common letter are signi	ificantly different $(P < 0)$.05, one-way	V ANOVA).		

also significantly higher in the three Axn-supplemented diets (Diets 4-6), in which feeding 0.33% and 0.67% DHA oil (Diets 5-6) produced a further significant improvement in hepatosomatic Axn concentration compared to the treatment supplemented with Axn only (Diet 4). Carotenoid concentration in the carapace did not differ significantly among all treatments (P > 0.05).

3.4. Lipid Class and Fatty Acid Composition. The main lipid class compositions based on the wet weight of the tissues are shown in Table 7. TG is the major lipid class in the hepatopancreas (85-90%), and its contents were significantly higher in Diets 2-6 treatments, with a significant increase from dietary DHA supplementation (P < 0.05). Dietary variables had no markable effect on lipid class composition of the ovaries and muscle. For lipid class compositions based on the total lipid class of tissues, a significant difference was observed only in the hepatosomatic TG composition, which was significantly higher in DHA-supplemented treatments, regardless of the presence of dietary Axn supplementation (Supplementary Table 2).

Table 8 shows the fatty acid composition and percentage in the ovaries. No significant difference was detected in the percentages of saturated fatty acids (SFAs) among all treatments. Within mono-unsaturated fatty acids (MUFAs), the percentages of C16:1n7, C18:1n7, and Σ MUFAs showed a decreasing trend with DHA oil supplementation from 0% to 0.67%, while supplementation with 100 mg/kg Axn significantly decreased the percentages of C18:1n7 and Σ MUFAs in the ovaries (P < 0.05). Fewer significant differences occurred in polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs). The percentage of C20:4n6 showed a "low-high-low" pattern with the increase in dietary DHA supplementation, and C22:6n3 percentage was significantly higher in the crabs fed diets supplemented with 0.33% and 0.67% DHA oil (P < 0.05).

Crabs fed diets supplemented with 100 mg/kg Axn (Diet 4-6) had comparatively lower percentages of C18:0 and Σ SFAs in their hepatopancreas (Table 9). For MUFAs, dietary Axn and DHA noticeably decreased C16:1n7 percentage in the hepatopancreas, and the highest percentage was observed in Diet 5 treatment. In contrast, Axn and DHA supplementation increased C18:1n7 percentage, and the lowest percentage was found in Diet 6 treatment. Most differences were observed in the PUFAs. Dietary Axn or DHA significantly decreased C18:2n6 percentages, and Diet 5 treatment yielded a significantly higher C20:2n6 percentage than other five treatments (P < 0.05). Moreover, C22:6n3 and Σ PUFAs percentages showed an increasing trend with DHA supplementation, regardless of the presence of dietary Axn supplementation. As for the indices describing combinations of PUFAs, Σ n-3PUFAs and Σ LC-PUFAs showed an increasing trend with DHA supplementation, and dietary supplementation with Axn and DHA significantly increased Σ n-6PUFA and DHA/EPA levels in the hepatopancreas (P < 0.05).

Table 10 shows the fatty acid composition and percentage within the muscle. Within SFAs, the percentages of C16:0, C18:0, and Σ SFA were all significantly higher in Diet 1 treatment. The significant difference within MUFAs was only observed in C18:1n7 which significantly decreased with the increase in dietary DHA supplementation. For differences within PUFAs, Diet 6 had a significantly higher C20:4n6 percentage than Diet 3, but significantly lower C22:5n3 percentage than Diet 4 (P < 0.05). Dietary DHA supplementation contributed to higher percentages of C22:6n3 and Σ PUFAs, but no significant difference was detected (P > 0.05).

4. Discussion

GSI is a reliable indicator for evaluating the ovarian development of female E. sinensis [50, 51]. Supplementation with 0.33% DHA oil significantly increased the GSI of female crabs in this study, and the demand for DHA during ovarian development may stem from the need for maternal DHA during vitellogenesis and yolk formulation [34, 52]. Dietary Axn had no apparent effects on GSI among treatments, agreed with previous studies that have reported in E. sinensis [7, 35] and P. trituberculatus [53]. Crabs used for feeding trial in present study had completed their final molt (puberty molt); therefore, they only had approximately 5.5% of GSI after the 70-day indoor culture. Hepatopancreas is an important organ for both absorption and storage of consumed lipids [54]. Although HSI exhibited no significant difference in present study, Axn and DHA both significantly increased lipid and ash contents in the hepatopancreas. The promotion of nutrient accumulation by dietary Axn agreed with previous research on swimming crab P. trituberculatus that hepatopancreatic lipid content was significantly elevated by 40-80 mg/kg Axn [42]. A possible explanation for this increase in hepatopancreatic lipid content could be that the oxidative stress and energy expenditure were reduced by deposited Axn [7].

Axn is characterised by its antioxidant properties arising from its unique molecular structure that quenches singlet oxygen and free radicals [55, 56]. In this study, supplementation with 100 mg/kg Axn significantly increased T-AOC, AKP, and ACP levels in the tissues, demonstrating a positive effect on antioxidant status and immune response of fed crabs. GPT and GOT are important amino acid transaminases that play central roles in protein metabolism and immunity [57]. The activity of GPT and GOT is sensitive indicators of the physiological status of hepatocytes, and the high levels of GPT and GOT in the serum suggest the possible pathology (i.e., inflammation, degeneration, and necrosis) in the liver or hepatopancreas [58]. The supplementation of 100 mg/kg Axn markedly decreased GOT and GPT levels in the hemolymph of present study, indicating that Axn supplementation has a protective effect against hepatopancreatic damage and cell death in E. sinensis, which could be explained by the antioxidant capability and immunity preservation characteristics of dietary Axn [45].

There are inconsistent reports on the effects of dietary n-3 PUFAs on antioxidant capability of different aquatic animals. Some experiments showed the protection of n-3 PUFAs against oxidative stress [59, 60], while others reported lipid peroxidation induced by dietary n-3 PUFAs supplementation [61, 62]. In this study, regardless of the

Cl4:0 0.57 0.56 0.60 Cl4:0 10.35 9.17 9.65 Cl6:0 2.84 2.65 2.78 Σ SFA 14.51 13.35 13.94 Σ SFA 14.51 13.35 2.78 Σ SFA 14.51 13.35 2.78 Σ Cl6: $1n7$ 3.08^c 2.47^{ab} 2.55^{ab} Cl6: $1n7$ 3.08^c 2.47^{ab} 2.55^{ab} Cl8: $1n9$ 18.31 15.91 16.95 Cl8: $1n9$ 18.31 15.91 16.95 Cl8: $1n7$ 2.97^b 2.87^{ab} 2.72^{ab} Cl8: $1n7$ 2.97^b 2.87^{ab} 2.72^{ab} Cl8: $1n7$ 2.97^b 2.87^{ab} 1.26^b Cl8: $1n7$ 2.97^b 2.87^{ab} 2.72^{ab} Cl8: $1n7$ 2.97^b 2.87^{ab} 2.72^{ab} Cl8: $1n7$ 2.59^b $2.2.88^{ab}$ 1.26^b Cl8: $3n3$ 0.53 0.47 0.49 Cl8: $3n3$ 0.53 0.47 0.49 Cl8: $3n3$ 0.53 0.83^a 0.86^c Cl8: $3n3$ 0.53 0.83^a 0.86^c Cl8: $3n3$ 0.53 0.87^a 0.102^b Cl8: $3n3$ 0.53 0.87^a 0.121^b Cl8: $3n3$ 0.53 0.87^a 0.86^c Cl8: $3n3$ 0.55^c 2.21^a 4.10^c Cl9: $3n4^b$ 2.21^a 4.10^c $2.2.49^c$ Cl9: 75^c 2.100 $2.2.66^c$ $2.2.49^c$ Cl9: 75^c <t< th=""><th>0 + DO 0.67 100 + DO (</th><th>Diet-5 Axn 100 + DO 0.33</th><th>Diet-6 Axn 100 + DO 0.67</th><th>SD</th><th>Axn</th><th>DO DO</th><th>Axn × DO</th></t<>	0 + DO 0.67 100 + DO (Diet-5 Axn 100 + DO 0.33	Diet-6 Axn 100 + DO 0.67	SD	Axn	DO DO	Axn × DO
C16:010.359.179.65C18:0 2.84 2.65 2.78 C18:0 2.84 2.65 2.78 Σ SFA 14.51 13.35 13.94 C16:1n7 3.08^c 2.47^{ab} 2.55^{ab} C18:1n9 18.31 15.91 16.95 C18:1n9 18.31 15.91 16.95 C18:1n7 2.97^{b} 2.87^{ab} 2.72^{ab} C18:1n7 2.97^{b} 2.87^{ab} 2.72^{ab} C18:1n7 2.97^{b} 2.87^{ab} 1.24^{b} C18:1n7 2.97^{b} 2.87^{ab} 2.72^{ab} C18:1n7 2.99^{b} 2.87^{ab} 1.25^{b} C20:1n9 1.24^{b} 1.18^{b} 1.25^{b} C18:3n3 0.53 0.47 0.49 C18:3n3 0.53 0.47 0.49 C18:3n3 0.53 0.83 0.86 C20:2n6 0.93 0.83 0.86 C20:5n3 4.17 4.50 4.12 C20:5n3 4.17 4.50 4.12 C20:5n3 4.17 4.50 4.12 C20:5n3 2.21^{a} 4.10^{c} 3.61^{bc} C20:5n3 2.21^{a} 4.10^{c} 3.61^{bc} C20:5n3 2.21^{a} 4.10^{c} 3.61^{bc} C20:5n3 2.21^{a} 4.10^{c} 3.61^{bc} C20:5n3 2.21^{a} 4.10^{c} 3.61^{c} C20:5n3 2.21^{a} 4.10^{c} 3.61^{c} C20:5n3 2.21^{a} 0.64 </td <td>0.60 0.57</td> <td>0.55</td> <td>0.56</td> <td>0.04</td> <td>0.706</td> <td>0.881</td> <td>0.929</td>	0.60 0.57	0.55	0.56	0.04	0.706	0.881	0.929
C18:0 2.84 2.65 2.78 Σ SFA14.5113.3513.94 Σ SFA14.5113.3513.94 $C16:1n7$ 3.08^c 2.47^{ab} 2.55^{ab} C18:1n918.3115.9116.95C18:1n7 2.97^b 2.87^{ab} 2.72^{ab} C18:1n7 2.97^b 2.87^{ab} 2.72^{ab} C18:1n7 2.97^b 2.87^{ab} 2.72^{ab} C18:1n7 2.97^b 2.87^{ab} 2.72^{ab} C18:1n7 2.97^b 2.87^{ab} 2.72^{ab} C20:1n9 1.24^b 1.18^b 1.25^b Σ MUFA 25.99^b 2.88^{ab} 2.72^{ab} C18:2n6 1.223 11.40 12.13 C18:3n3 0.53 0.47 0.49 C18:3n3 0.53 0.47 0.49 C18:3n3 0.53 0.83 0.86 C20:2n6 0.93^a 0.83 0.86 C20:5n3 4.17 4.50 4.12 C20:5n3 4.17 4.50 4.12^c C20:5n3 4.10^c $2.2.66$ $2.2.49$ Σ PUFA 21.10 22.66 22.49 Σ n-3PUFA 7.53 10.00 9.05 Σ n-6PUFA $1.4.16$ 13.58 14.16 Σ n-6PUFA 14.16 13.58 14.16 Σ n-6PUFA 8.27 10.72 9.80	9.65 9.68	9.32	9.54	0.40	0.587	0.314	0.691
Σ SFA14.5113.3513.94C16:1n7 3.08^{c} 2.47^{ab} 2.55^{ab} C16:1n7 3.08^{c} 2.47^{ab} 2.55^{ab} C18:1n9 18.31 15.91 16.95 C18:1n7 2.97^{b} 2.87^{ab} 2.72^{ab} C18:1n7 2.97^{b} 2.87^{ab} 2.72^{ab} C18:1n7 2.97^{b} 2.87^{ab} 2.72^{ab} C18:1n9 1.24^{b} 1.18^{b} 1.25^{b} Σ MUFA 25.99^{b} 2.88^{ab} 2.72^{ab} C18:2n6 12.23 11.40 12.13 C18:3n3 0.53 0.47 0.49 C18:3n3 0.53 0.47 0.49 C18:3n3 0.53 0.47 0.49 C18:3n3 0.53 0.47 0.49 C20:2n6 0.93^{a} 0.83^{a} 0.86 C20:5n3 4.17 4.50 4.12 C20:5n3 4.17 4.50 4.12 C20:5n3 2.21^{a} 4.10^{c} 3.61^{bc} Σ PUFA 21.10 22.66 22.49 Σ n-3PUFA 7.53 10.00 9.05 Σ n-6PUFA 14.16 13.58 14.16 Σ n-6PUFA 8.27 10.72 9.80	2.78 2.87	2.53	2.61	0.12	0.466	0.238	0.748
C16:1n7 3.08^c 2.47^{ab} 2.55^{ab} C18:1n918.3115.9116.95C18:1n7 2.97^b 2.87^{ab} 2.72^{ab} C18:1n7 2.97^b 2.87^{ab} 2.72^{ab} C18:1n7 2.97^b 2.87^{ab} 2.72^{ab} C20:1n9 1.24^b 1.18^b 1.25^b Σ MUFA 25.99^b 2.87^{ab} 2.72^{ab} C20:1n9 1.24^b 1.18^b 1.25^b Σ MUFA 25.99^b 22.88^{ab} 2.73^{ab} C18:3n3 0.53 0.47 0.49 C18:3n3 0.53 0.83 0.86 C20:4n6 0.99^a 1.21^{bc} 1.02^{b} C20:5n3 4.17 4.50 4.12 C20:5n3 4.17 4.50 4.12 C20:5n3 2.21^{a} 4.10^{c} 3.61^{bc} Σ PUFA $2.1.10$ 22.66 22.49 Σ n-3PUFA 7.53 10.00 9.05 Σ n-6PUFA 14.16 13.58 14.16 Σ LC-PUFA 8.27 10.72 9.80	13.94 13.80	13.45	13.45	0.46	0.489	0.516	0.81
C18:1n918.3115.9116.95C18:1n7 2.97^b 2.87^{ab} 2.72^{ab} C20:1n9 1.24^b 1.18^b 1.25^b C20:1n9 1.24^b 1.18^b 1.25^b Σ MUFA 25.99^b 2.88^{ab} $2.3.85^{ab}$ Σ S MUFA 25.99^b 22.88^{ab} 23.85^{ab} Σ C18:2n6 12.23 11.40 12.13 C18:3n3 0.53 0.47 0.49 C18:3n3 0.53 0.83 0.86 C20:2n6 0.93 0.83 0.86 C20:5n3 4.17 4.50 4.12 C20:5n3 4.17 4.50 4.12 C20:5n3 2.21^a 4.10^c 3.61^{bc} Σ PUFA 2.110 22.66 22.49 Σ n-3PUFA 7.53 10.00 9.05 Σ n-6PUFA 14.16 13.58 14.16 Σ n-6PUFA 8.27 10.72 9.80	2.55 ^{ab} 2.82 ^{bc}	$2.64^{\rm bc}$	2.10^{a}	0.12	0.163	0.005	0.140
C18:1n7 2.97^b 2.87^{ab} 2.72^{ab} C20:1n9 1.24^b 1.18^b 1.25^b Σ MUFA 25.99^b 22.88^{ab} 23.85^{ab} Σ MUFA 25.99^b 22.88^{ab} 23.85^{ab} C18:2n6 12.23 11.40 12.13 C18:3n3 0.53 0.47 0.49 C18:3n3 0.53 0.47 0.49 C18:3n3 0.53 0.47 0.49 C18:3n3 0.53 0.47 0.49 C20:2n6 0.93 0.83 0.86 C20:5n3 4.17 4.50 4.12 C20:5n3 4.17 4.50 4.12 C20:5n3 2.21^a 4.10^c 3.61^{bc} Σ PUFA $2.1.10$ 22.66 22.49 Σ n-3PUFA 7.53 10.00 9.05 Σ n-6PUFA 14.16 13.58 14.16 Σ LC-PUFA 8.27 10.72 9.80	16.95 17.00	16.43	16.08	0.59	0.400	0.196	0.509
C20:In9 1.24^{b} 1.18^{b} 1.25^{b} Σ MUFA 25.99^{b} 22.88^{ab} 1.25^{ab} Σ MUFA 25.99^{b} 22.88^{ab} 23.85^{ab} $C18:3n3$ 0.53 0.47 0.49 $C18:3n3$ 0.53 0.83 0.86 $C20:2n6$ 0.93 0.83 0.86 $C20:5n3$ 4.17 4.50 4.12 $C20:5n3$ 4.17 4.50 4.12 $C20:5n3$ 4.17 4.50 4.12 $C20:5n3$ 2.21^{a} 4.10^{c} 3.61^{bc} $C20:5n3$ 2.21^{a} 4.10^{c} 3.61^{bc} $C22:6n3$ 2.21^{a} 4.10^{c} 3.61^{bc} Σ PUFA 21.10 22.66 22.49 Σ n-3PUFA 7.53 10.00 9.05 Σ n-6PUFA 14.16 13.58 14.16 Σ LC-PUFA 8.27 10.72 9.80	2.72 ^{ab} 2.79 ^{ab}	2.46^{ab}	2.18^{a}	0.16	0.047	0.142	0.677
Σ MUFA $25.99^{\rm b}$ $22.88^{\rm ab}$ $23.85^{\rm ab}$ Cl8:2n612.2311.4012.13Cl8:3n30.530.470.49Cl8:3n30.530.830.86Cl8:3n460.930.830.86C20:4n60.930.830.86C20:5n34.174.504.12C20:5n34.174.504.12C20:5n32.21^{\rm a}4.10^{\rm c}3.61^{\rm bc}C20:5n32.21^{\rm a}4.10^{\rm c}2.2.49C22:6n32.21.1022.6622.49 Σ PUFA7.5310.009.05 Σ n-3PUFA7.5310.009.05 Σ n-6PUFA8.2710.729.80	$1.25^{\rm b}$ $1.30^{\rm b}$	0.62^{a}	$0.74^{\rm a}$	0.08	0.004	0.021	0.033
C18:2n612.2311.4012.13C18:3n30.530.470.49C18:3n30.530.870.49C20:2n60.930.830.86C20:5n34.174.504.12C20:5n34.174.504.12C20:5n32.21 ^a 4.10 ^c 3.61 ^{bc} C20:5n32.21 ^a 4.10 ^c 3.61 ^{bc} C20:5n32.21 ^a 4.10 ^c 22.49C22:6n32.1.1022.6622.49 Σ n-3PUFA7.5310.009.05 Σ n-6PUFA14.1613.5814.16 Σ LC-PUFA8.2710.729.80	23.85 ^{ab} 24.36 ^{ab}	22.54^{a}	21.45^{a}	0.76	060.0	0.041	0.561
C18:3n3 0.53 0.47 0.49 C20:2n6 0.93 0.83 0.86 C20:4n6 0.89^a 1.21^{bc} 1.02^{b} C20:5n3 4.17 4.50 4.12 C20:5n3 2.21^a 4.10^c 3.61^{bc} C22:6n3 $2.2.66$ $2.2.49$ C22:6n3 $2.1.10$ 22.66 $2.2.49$ C1:10 $2.2.66$ $2.2.49$ C1:11 $2.2.66$ $2.2.49$ C1:12 $2.2.66$ $2.2.49$ C1:11 $2.2.66$ $2.2.49$ C1:12 $2.2.66$ $2.2.49$ <t< td=""><td>12.13 12.48</td><td>11.64</td><td>11.54</td><td>0.38</td><td>0.935</td><td>0.253</td><td>0.573</td></t<>	12.13 12.48	11.64	11.54	0.38	0.935	0.253	0.573
C20:2n6 0.93 0.83 0.86 C20:4n6 0.89^{a} 1.21^{bc} 1.02^{b} C20:5n3 4.17 4.50 4.12 C20:5n3 2.21^{a} 4.10^{c} 3.61^{bc} C22:6n3 $2.2.1^{a}$ 4.10^{c} 3.61^{bc} Σ PUFA 21.10 22.66 22.49 Σ n-3PUFA 7.53 10.00 9.05 Σ n-6PUFA 14.16 13.58 14.16 Σ LC-PUFA 8.27 10.72 9.80	0.49 0.51	0.56	0.39	0.07	0.890	0.630	0.631
C20:4n6 0.89^a 1.21^{bc} 1.02^b C20:5n3 4.17 4.50 4.12 C20:5n3 2.21^a 4.10^c 3.61^{bc} C22:6n3 2.21^a 4.10^c 3.61^{bc} Σ PUFA 21.10 22.66 22.49 Σ n-3PUFA 7.53 10.00 9.05 Σ n-6PUFA 14.16 13.58 14.16 Σ LC-PUFA 8.27 10.72 9.80	0.86 0.62	0.96	0.94	0.12	0.847	0.861	0.658
C20:5n3 4.17 4.50 4.12 C22:6n3 2.21 ^a 4.10 ^c 3.61 ^{bc} Σ PUFA 21.10 22.66 3.61 ^{bc} Σ PUFA 21.10 22.66 22.49 Σ n-3PUFA 7.53 10.00 9.05 Σ n-6PUFA 14.16 13.58 14.16 Σ LC-PUFA 8.27 10.72 9.80	$1.02^{\rm b}$ $0.96^{\rm ab}$	1.30°	0.88^{a}	0.07	0.926	0.009	0.388
C22:6n3 2.21 ^a 4.10 ^c 3.61 ^{bc} Σ PUFA 21.10 22.66 22.49 Σ n-3PUFA 7.53 10.00 9.05 Σ n-6PUFA 14.16 13.58 14.16 Σ LC-PUFA 8.27 10.72 9.80	4.12 4.09	4.66	4.19	0.38	0.889	0.500	0.961
Σ PUFA 21.10 22.66 22.49 Σ n-3PUFA 7.53 10.00 9.05 Σ n-6PUFA 14.16 13.58 14.16 Σ LC-PUFA 8.27 10.72 9.80	3.61 ^{bc} 2.71 ^{ab}	3.76^{bc}	4.07^{c}	0.26	0.521	0.007	0.515
Σ n-3PUFA 7.53 10.00 9.05 Σ n-6PUFA 14.16 13.58 14.16 Σ LC-PUFA 8.27 10.72 9.80	22.49 21.78	23.05	22.23	0.87	0.755	0.422	0.886
Σ n-6PUFA 14.16 13.58 14.16 Σ LC-PUFA 8.27 10.72 9.80	9.05 8.35	10.13	9.46	0.59	0.512	0.084	0.92
Σ LC-PUFA 8.27 10.72 9.80	14.16 14.43	13.96	13.56	0.44	0.969	0.594	0.588
	9.80 8.47	10.83	10.14	0.58	0.735	0.033	0.987
DHA/EPA 0.53 ^a 0.91 ^c 0.86 ^c	$0.86^{\rm c}$ $0.66^{\rm ab}$	$0.82^{ m bc}$	0.98°	0.03	0.255	0.008	0.084
All data are expressed as means with pooled standard deviation (SD). Fatty acids less tha one-way ANOVA). Σ SFA: total saturated fatty acids; Σ MUFA: total monounsaturated fit n-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids; Σ LC-PUFA: total long chain polyunsaturated fatty acid	ion (SD). Fatty acids less than 0.3% we FA: total monounsaturated fatty acids: in polyunsaturated fatty acids.	re not listed in the table. Val S PUFA: total polyunsaturat	ues in the same row wit ed fatty acids; ∑ n-3PUF	hout a commc A: total n-3 pc	on letter are sig olyunsaturated	gnificantly diff fatty acids; Σ	erent (<i>P</i> < 0.05, n-6PUFA: total

_	
S	
.13	
й	
e	
Ξ.	
S	
r	
щ	
(۵	
-1	
5	
H	
ല	
±	
n	
p	
а	
÷	
0	
S	
j,	
Ξ.	
g	
~	
0	
بە	
4	
+	
ц	
· -	
id	
Ö	
а	
≻	
Ξ÷.	
a,	
Ę,	
ta	
ö	
÷	
Ē	
0	
<u></u>	
्रे	
\sim	
ц	
0	
Ξ.	
.12	
õ	
ā	
Ē	
8	
0	
· •	
Э	
acic	
, acid	
ty acid	
utty acid	
fatty acid	
n fatty acid	
on fatty acid	
on fatty acic	
) on fatty acid	
O) on fatty acid	
OO) on fatty acid	
(DO) on fatty acid	
l (DO) on fatty acid	
oil (DO) on fatty acid	
oil (DO) on fatty acid	
A oil (DO) on fatty acid	
IA oil (DO) on fatty acid	
HA oil (DO) on fatty acid	
DHA oil (DO) on fatty acid	
DHA oil (DO) on fatty acid	
d DHA oil (DO) on fatty acid	
nd DHA oil (DO) on fatty acic	
and DHA oil (DO) on fatty acic	
) and DHA oil (DO) on fatty acic	
n) and DHA oil (DO) on fatty acic	
xn) and DHA oil (DO) on fatty acic	
Axn) and DHA oil (DO) on fatty acic	
(Axn) and DHA oil (DO) on fatty acic	
n (Axn) and DHA oil (DO) on fatty acic	
in (Axn) and DHA oil (DO) on fatty acid	
hin (Axn) and DHA oil (DO) on fatty acid	
nthin (Axn) and DHA oil (DO) on fatty acid	
anthin (Axn) and DHA oil (DO) on fatty acid	
xanthin (Axn) and DHA oil (DO) on fatty acid	
axanthin (Axn) and DHA oil (DO) on fatty acid	
staxanthin (Axn) and DHA oil (DO) on fatty acid	
astaxanthin (Axn) and DHA oil (DO) on fatty acid	
v astaxanthin (Axn) and DHA oil (DO) on fatty acid	
ry astaxanthin (Axn) and DHA oil (DO) on fatty acid	
ary astaxanthin (Axn) and DHA oil (DO) on fatty acid	
etary astaxanthin (Axn) and DHA oil (DO) on fatty acic	
lietary astaxanthin (Axn) and DHA oil (DO) on fatty acid	
dietary astaxanthin (Axn) and DHA oil (DO) on fatty acid	
of dietary astaxanthin (Axn) and DHA oil (DO) on fatty acid	
of dietary astaxanthin (Axn) and DHA oil (DO) on fatty acid	
s of dietary astaxanthin (Axn) and DHA oil (DO) on fatty acid	
cts of dietary astaxanthin (Axn) and DHA oil (DO) on fatty acid	
ects of dietary astaxanthin (Axn) and DHA oil (DO) on fatty acid	
ffects of dietary astaxanthin (Axn) and DHA oil (DO) on fatty acid	
Effects of dietary astaxanthin (Axn) and DHA oil (DO) on fatty acid	
: Effects of dietary astaxanthin (Axn) and DHA oil (DO) on fatty acid	
8: Effects of dietary astaxanthin (Axn) and DHA oil (DO) on fatty acid	
E 8: Effects of dietary astaxanthin (Axn) and DHA oil (DO) on fatty acid	
LE 8: Effects of dietary astaxanthin (Axn) and DHA oil (DO) on fatty acid	
BLE 8: Effects of dietary astaxanthin (Axn) and DHA oil (DO) on fatty acid	
ABLE 8: Effects of dietary astaxanthin (Axn) and DHA oil (DO) on fatty acid	
TABLE 8: Effects of dietary astaxanthin (Axn) and DHA oil (DO) on fatty acid	

Clade 0.95 1.11 1.19 0.95 1.11 1.19 0.05 0.565 Clade 1.22.8 1.22.3 1.28.4 1.19 1.2.39 0.117 0.04 0.195 Clase 0.61 0.41 0.47 0.35 0.37 0.35 0.36 0.06 Clasi 17.08 17.03 ^{be} 17.03 ^{be} 18.16 16.46 ^{be} 17.06 ^{be} 16.37 ^a 0.49 0.00 Clasin 2.35 ^a 3.12 ^{be} 2.87 ^{be} 2.46 ^{be} 2.68 ^{db} 3.24 ^c 2.99 ^{be} 0.14 0.02 Clasin 2.31 2.46 ^{be} 2.46 ^{be} 2.68 ^{db} 2.48 ^{db} 3.24 ^c 0.39 ^{ce} 0.14 0.02 Clasin 2.31 2.35 ^c 2.41 ^{db} 2.43 ^{db} 2.44 ^{db} 0.17 0.14 0.17 Clasin 2.38 ^{db} 2.31 ^{db} 2.33 ^{db} 2.31 ^{db} 2.34 ^{db} 0.34 ^{db} 0.31 ^{db} 0.31 ^{db} Clasin 2.38 ^{db} 2.49 ^{db} <th< th=""><th>5 Axn 0 0.67 SD Axn</th><th>P DO Axn×DO</th></th<>	5 Axn 0 0.67 SD Axn	P DO Axn×DO
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	10 0.05 0.565	0.050 0.761
CI80 3.10° 2.42° $2.72^{\circ b}$ 2.44° 2.35° 2.31° 0.14 0.00 ZCD0 0.61 0.41 0.47 0.33 0.37 0.35 0.08 0.064 ZFFA 17.59^{\circ c} 17.59^{\circ c} 17.59^{\circ c} 17.59^{\circ c} 0.14 0.073 0.37 0.35 0.08 0.061 ZFFA 17.59^{\circ c} 17.59^{\circ c} 18.16' 16.46^{\circ b} 17.06^{\circ b} 16.37' 0.49 0.073 C16.117 2.55' 2.51' 2.88'' 2.68''' 2.68''' 2.68''' 0.37'' 0.37 0.37 0.37 C18.117 2.51' 2.51'' 2.44'' 2.56''' 2.48''' 2.44''' 0.73''' 0.73''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''	73 0.44 0.199	0.841 0.297
C200 0.61 0.41 0.47 0.33 0.37 0.35 0.08 0.04 Σ SFA 17.99 ^{bc} 17.05 ^{bc} 18.16 ^c 16.46 ^{ab} 17.06 ^{ab} 16.37 ^a 0.49 0.017 Σ C16.1n7 2.52 ^a 3.12 ^{bc} 2.82 ^{ab} 2.68 ^{ab} 3.24 ^c 2.99 ^{bc} 0.14 0.247 C16.1n7 2.35 2.469 2.469 2.469 2.469 2.469 0.79 0.70 C18.1n9 2.357 2.31 2.469 2.469 2.469 2.469 0.79 0.70 C18.1n7 2.81 ^b 2.61 ^{ab} 2.66 ^{ab} 2.68 ^{ab} 3.24 ^c 2.99 ^{bc} 0.11 0.20 C18.1n7 2.31 3.31 3.465 3.446 3.213 3.478 3.339 0.13 0.70 C20:1n9 1.37 1.47 1.53 1.40 1.56 ^{ab} 1.40 1.56 0.14 0.24 C211n9 0.55 3.465 3.213 1.40 1.56	11 ^a 0.14 0.009	0.075 0.188
Σ SFA 17.99 ^{bc} 17.06 ^{bc} 18.16 ^c 16.46 ^{bb} 17.06 ^{ab} 16.37 ^{ab} 0.49 0.017 C16:In7 2.52 ^{abb} 3.12 ^{bc} 2.88 ^{abb} 3.24 ^{cb} 2.99 ^{bc} 0.14 0.247 C16:In7 2.55 ^{abb} 2.61 ^{abb} 2.68 ^{abb} 2.68 ^{abb} 2.49 ^{abbb} 2.34 ^{abbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbb}	35 0.08 0.064	0.651 0.441
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$37^{\rm a}$ 0.49 0.017	0.894 0.172
CI8:Inj 23.58 24.69 24.69 24.69 24.69 24.69 24.69 0.78 0.78 0.504 CI8:Inj 2.81 ^b 2.61 ^{ab} 2.66 ^{ab} 2.68 ^{ab} 2.49 ^{ab} 2.36 ^a 0.11 0.02 CI8:Inj 2.51 2.31 2.55 2.31 2.49 ^{ab} 2.36 ^a 0.11 0.02 C20:Inj9 1.37 1.47 1.53 1.40 1.56 1.63 0.13 0.170 C22:Inj9 1.37 1.47 1.53 1.40 1.56 0.15 0.79 0.79 C22:Inj9 1.57 1.47 1.53 1.40 1.56 0.15 0.17 0.09 C22:Inj9 1.52 ^a 10.07 ^b 12.00 ^b 0.87 0.87 0.87 0.73 0.01 C18:2n5 0.59 0.79 0.78 ^{ab} 0.56 ^a 0.58 ^{ab} 0.73 0.01 0.05 C18:2n5 1.52 ^{ab} 0.56 ^a 0.56 ^a 0.58 ^{ab} 0.69 ^a 0.	9 ^{bc} 0.14 0.247	0.013 0.978
CI8:In7 2.81^{b} 2.61^{ab} 2.66^{ab} 2.68^{ab} 2.49^{ab} 2.37^{a} 0.11 0.02 C20:In9 2.57 2.31 2.35 2.14 2.40 0.13 0.70 C20:In9 1.37 1.47 1.53 1.40 1.56 1.63 0.15 0.570 Z2109 1.37 1.47 1.53 1.40 1.56 1.63 0.15 0.570 Z2110 8.59^{a} 10.55^{b} 10.07^{b} 12.00^{b} 0.87 0.87 0.87 0.84 0.09 0.73 0.014 C18:D16 1.52^{a} 1.40^{a} 1.20^{a} 1.49^{a} 1.57^{a} 0.11^{b} 0.09^{c} 0.05^{c} 0.09^{c} 0.05^{c} 0.01^{c} 0.02^{c} 0.01^{c} 0.02^{c} 0.01^{c} 0.02^{c} 0.01^{c}	10 0.78 0.504	0.135 0.811
C20:1.9 2.57 2.31 2.35 2.14 2.24 2.40 0.13 0.170 C22:1.9 1.37 1.47 1.53 1.40 1.56 1.63 0.15 0.570 Z MUFA 33.31 34.65 34.46 32.13 34.78 33.30 0.19 0.73 0.17 Z MUFA 33.31 34.65 34.46 32.13 34.78 33.30 0.19 0.73 0.73 0.71 Z MUFA 33.31 34.65 34.46 32.13 34.78 33.390 0.99 0.73 0.114 0.73 0.014 C18:3n3 0.59 0.79 0.80 0.87 0.87 0.73 0.016 0.73 0.016 0.73 0.016 0.73 0.016 0.73 0.016 0.73 0.016 C18:3n3 0.59 0.73 0.73 0.73 0.73 0.105 0.73 0.016	16 ^a 0.11 0.092	0.164 0.696
C22:1191.371.471.531.401.561.630.150.570 Σ MUFA33.3134.6534.4632.1334.7833.900.990.504 Σ MUFA33.3134.6534.4632.1334.7833.900.990.504 Σ RUEA8.59 ^a 10.55 ^b 10.07 ^b 12.00 ^b 10.43 ^b 10.79 ^b 0.730.014 $C18.2n6$ 8.59 ^a 0.590.790.870.870.870.840.080.06 $C18.3n3$ 0.590.67 ^{ab} 0.78 ^{ab} 0.56 ^a 0.870.870.840.060.05 $C20.5n3$ 1.541.121.310.751.391.57 ^a 2.11 ^b 0.100.05 $C20.5n3$ 1.341.1121.310.751.390.40 ^b 0.67 ^{ab} 0.78 ^{ab} 0.83 ^{ab} 0.83 ^{ab} 0.09 $C20.5n3$ 1.341.121.310.751.391.390.020.990.56 ^a 0.59 ^b 0.59 ^b 0.53 ^b $C20.5n3$ 1.341.121.310.751.390.45 ^{ab} 0.59 ^b 0.790.200.53 ^b $C20.5n3$ 0.40 ^{ab} 0.42 ^{ab} 0.72 ^{ab} 0.33 ^{ab} 0.45 ^{ab} 0.59 ^b 0.990.200.53 ^b $C20.5n3$ 2.17 ^a 3.27 ^{bb} 3.21 ^{ab} 2.25 ^a 3.53 ^{ab} 0.41 ^b 0.290.200.53 ^b $C22.5n3$ 0.46 ^b 15.6 ^a 17.8 ^{ab} 17.8 ^{ab} 17.8 ^{ab} 17.8 ^{ab} 17.9 ^a <td>40 0.13 0.170</td> <td>0.704 0.186</td>	40 0.13 0.170	0.704 0.186
$ \begin{split} \Sigma \mbox{WUFA} & 33.31 & 34.65 & 34.46 & 32.13 & 34.78 & 33.90 & 0.99 & 0.504 \\ \mbox{C18:2n6} & 8.59^a & 10.55^b & 10.07^b & 12.00^b & 10.43^b & 10.79^b & 0.73 & 0.014 \\ \mbox{C18:3n3} & 0.59 & 0.79 & 0.80 & 0.87 & 0.87 & 0.84 & 0.08 & 0.096 \\ \mbox{C18:3n3} & 0.59 & 0.67^{ab} & 0.73 & 0.14 & 1.29^a & 1.57^a & 2.11^b & 0.10 & 0.056 \\ \mbox{C20:2n6} & 1.52^a & 1.46^a & 1.29^a & 1.49^a & 1.57^a & 2.11^b & 0.10 & 0.055 \\ \mbox{C20:5n3} & 1.34 & 1.12 & 1.31 & 0.75 & 1.39 & 0.83^{ab} & 0.83^{ab} & 0.03 & 0.553 \\ \mbox{C20:5n3} & 1.34 & 1.12 & 1.31 & 0.75 & 1.39 & 0.83^{ab} & 0.83^{ab} & 0.09 & 0.553 \\ \mbox{C20:5n3} & 0.40^{ab} & 0.42^{ab} & 0.25^a & 0.33^{ab} & 0.45^{ab} & 0.83^{ab} & 0.09 & 0.214 \\ \mbox{C22:5n3} & 0.40^{ab} & 0.42^{ab} & 0.25^a & 0.33^{ab} & 0.45^{ab} & 0.59^b & 0.09 & 0.214 \\ \mbox{C22:5n3} & 0.40^{ab} & 0.42^{ab} & 1.31 & 0.75 & 1.39 & 0.20 & 0.53 \\ \mbox{C22:5n3} & 0.40^{ab} & 0.42^{ab} & 0.25^a & 0.33^{ab} & 0.45^{ab} & 0.25^{ab} & 0.09 & 0.214 \\ \mbox{C22:5n3} & 0.40^{ab} & 0.42^{ab} & 1.31 & 0.75 & 0.20 & 0.53 \\ \mbox{C22:5n3} & 0.40^{ab} & 5.7^{b} & 5.7^{b} & 4.33^{a} & 6.42^{bc} & 7.01^{c} & 0.73 & 0.30 \\ \mbox{C22:5n3} & 0.40^{ab} & 7.13^{ab} & 6.9^{ab} & 12.4^{b} & 14.05^{b} & 12.83^{b} & 12.83^{b} & 12.83^{b} & 12.83^{b} & 12.83^{b} & 0.20^{c} & 0.73 & 0.20 \\ \mbox{C22:5n3} & 0.40^{ab} & 7.13^{ab} & 6.9^{ab} & 5.71^{b} & 5.51^{a} & 7.55^{b} & 9.10^{b} & 0.71 & 0.010 \\ \mbox{C22:5n3} & 0.44^{ab} & 7.11^{ab} & 6.9^{ab} & 5.51^{a} & 7.56^{b} & 9.10^{b} & 0.71 & 0.010 \\ \mbox{C22:5n} & 0.20$	53 0.15 0.570	0.462 0.964
CI8:2n6 8:59 ^a 10.55 ^b 10.07 ^b 12.00 ^b 10.43 ^b 10.79 ^b 0.73 0.014 CI8:3n3 0.59 0.79 0.80 0.87 0.87 0.84 0.08 0.096 CI8:3n3 0.59 0.79 0.80 0.87 0.87 0.84 0.08 0.096 CI8:3n3 0.59 0.79 0.80 0.87 0.87 0.84 0.08 0.096 C20:2n6 1.52 ^a 1.46 ^a 1.29 ^a 1.29 ^a 1.57 ^a 2.11 ^b 0.10 0.09 0.55 C20:5n3 1.34 1.12 1.31 0.75 1.39 0.33 ^{ab} 0.83 ^{ab} 0.09 0.53 C20:5n3 1.34 1.12 1.31 0.75 1.39 0.30 0.20 0.53 C20:5n3 0.40 ^{ab} 0.42 ^{ab} 0.53 ^{ab} 0.45 ^{ab} 0.59 ^b 0.20 0.53 C22:5n3 0.40 ^{ab} 0.75 ^{ab} 1.2.3 ^{ab} 0.33 ^{ab} 0.23 ^{ab} 0.40 ^b	90 0.99 0.504	0.154 0.802
C18:3n3 0.59 0.79 0.80 0.87 0.87 0.84 0.08 0.09 C20:2n6 1.52 ^a 1.46 ^a 1.29 ^a 1.49 ^a 1.57 ^a 0.11 ^b 0.10 0.005 C20:5n3 1.52 ^a 1.46 ^a 1.29 ^a 0.56 ^a 0.83 ^{ab} 0.10 0.00 C20:5n3 1.34 1.12 1.31 0.75 1.39 0.83 ^{ab} 0.09 0.553 C20:5n3 1.34 1.12 1.31 0.75 1.39 0.83 ^{ab} 0.09 0.553 C20:5n3 0.40 ^{ab} 0.67 ^{ab} 0.78 ^{ab} 0.33 ^{ab} 0.45 ^{ab} 0.20 0.673 C22:5n3 0.40 ^{ab} 0.42 ^{ab} 0.25 ^{ab} 0.33 ^{ab} 0.45 ^{ab} 0.20 0.63 C22:6n3 2.17 ^a 3.27 ^{ab} 3.21 ^{ab} 2.25 ^a 3.53 ^{ab} 4.01 ^b 0.39 0.23 C22:6n3 15.62 ^a 18.46 ^{ab} 17.85 ^{ab} 1.925 ^{ab} 2.073 ^b 0.29 0.23	79 ^b 0.73 0.014	0.042 0.128
C20:2n6 1.52^a 1.46^a 1.29^a 1.49^a 1.57^a 2.11^b 0.10 0.00 C20:4n6 0.90^b 0.67^{ab} 0.58^{ab} 0.56^a 0.83^{ab} 0.83^{ab} 0.09 0.553 C20:5n3 1.34 1.12 1.31 0.75 1.39 0.83^{ab} 0.09 0.673 C20:5n3 1.34 1.12 1.12 1.31 0.75 1.39 0.09 0.633 C22:5n3 0.40^{ab} 0.42^{ab} 0.25^a 0.33^{ab} 0.45^{ab} 0.69^b 0.09 0.633 C22:6n3 2.17^a 3.27^{ab} 1.31 0.75 1.39 0.20 0.633 C22:6n3 2.17^a 3.27^{ab} 12.8^a^{ab} 19.25^{ab} 0.79^b 0.09 0.214 C22:6n3 2.17^a 3.27^{ab} 17.85^{ab} 18.38^{ab} 19.25^{ab} 20.73^b 1.29 0.025 $\Sigma n-3PUFA$ 15.62^a 18.38^{ab} 19.25^{ab} 20.73^b 1.29 0.025 $\Sigma n-3PUFA$ 11.01^a 12.69^b 12.14^b 14.05^b 12.83^b 0.71^c 0.71^c 0.71^c $\Sigma n-6PUFA$ 11.01^a 12.69^b 12.14^b 5.51^a 7.95^b 9.10^b 0.70^c 0.71^c 0.010^c $\Sigma n-6PUFA$ 6.44^{ab} 7.11^{ab} 6.97^{ab} 5.51^a 7.95^b 9.10^b 0.71^c 0.71^c 0.71^c $\Sigma n-6PUFA$ 0.71^a 0.71^a 0.71^b 0.70^c <	34 0.08 0.096	0.353 0.509
C20:4n6 0.90^{b} 0.67^{ab} 0.78^{ab} 0.56^{a} 0.83^{ab} 0.09 0.67^{ab} 0.67^{ab} 0.56^{a} 0.83^{ab} 0.09 0.55^{a} C20:5n3 1.34 1.12 1.31 0.75 1.39 1.39 0.20 0.633 C20:5n3 0.40^{ab} 0.42^{ab} 0.25^{a} 0.33^{ab} 0.45^{ab} 0.59^{b} 0.09 0.214 C22:6n3 2.17^{a} 3.27^{ab} 3.21^{ab} 2.25^{a} 3.53^{ab} 4.01^{b} 0.39 0.223 Σ PUFA 15.62^{a} 18.46^{ab} 17.88^{ab} 19.25^{ab} 19.25^{ab} 20.73^{b} 1.29 0.025 Σ n-3PUFA 15.62^{a} 18.46^{ab} 17.88^{ab} 19.25^{ab} 19.25^{ab} 20.73^{b} 1.29 0.025 Σ n-3PUFA 11.01^{a} 12.69^{b} 12.14^{b} 14.05^{b} 19.25^{ab} 20.73^{b} 1.29 0.021 Σ n-6PUFA 11.01^{a} 12.69^{b} 12.14^{b} 14.05^{b} 12.83^{b} 13.72^{b} 0.71^{c} 0.71^{c} 0.010 Σ n-6PUFA 6.44^{ab} 7.11^{ab} 6.97^{ab} 5.51^{a} 7.95^{b} 9.10^{b} 0.70^{c} 0.71^{c} 0.010^{c} Σ LC-PUFA 6.44^{ab} 7.11^{ab} 6.97^{ab} 5.51^{a} 7.95^{b} 9.10^{b} 0.71^{c} 0.71^{c} 0.71^{c} Σ number of the set the	1 ^b 0.10 0.005	0.007 0.064
C20:5n31.341.121.310.751.391.390.200.633C22:5n3 0.40^{ab} 0.42^{ab} 0.25^{a} 0.33^{ab} 0.45^{ab} 0.59^{b} 0.09 0.14 C22:6n3 2.17^{a} 3.27^{ab} 0.23^{ab} 0.33^{ab} 0.45^{ab} 0.59^{b} 0.09 0.214 C22:6n3 2.17^{a} 3.27^{ab} 3.21^{ab} 2.25^{a} 3.53^{ab} 4.01^{b} 0.39 0.22 C22:6n3 2.17^{a} 3.27^{ab} 17.85^{ab} 17.85^{ab} 19.25^{ab} 20.73^{b} 1.29 0.02 C22:6n3 5.77^{b} 5.70^{b} 4.33^{a} 6.42^{bc} 7.01^{c} 0.73 0.301 $\Sigma n-3PUFA$ 11.01^{a} 12.69^{b} 12.14^{b} 14.05^{b} 12.83^{b} 13.72^{b} 0.71^{c} 0.701 $\Sigma n-6PUFA$ 6.44^{ab} 7.11^{ab} 6.97^{ab} 5.51^{a} 7.95^{b} 9.10^{b} 0.77 0.212 $\Sigma LC-PUFA$ 6.44^{ab} 7.11^{ab} 6.97^{ab} 5.51^{a} 7.95^{b} 9.10^{b} 0.77 0.71 0.010 $\Sigma LC-PUFA$ 6.44^{ab} 7.11^{ab} 6.97^{ab} 2.51^{a} 7.95^{b} 9.10^{b} 0.70^{c} 0.71^{c} 0.010^{c}	$3^{\rm ab}$ 0.09 0.553	0.058 0.627
C22:5n3 0.40^{ab} 0.42^{ab} 0.23^{ab} 0.33^{ab} 0.45^{ab} 0.69^{b} 0.09 0.214 C22:6n3 2.17^{a} 3.27^{ab} 3.21^{ab} 2.25^{a} 0.33^{ab} 0.46^{b} 0.39^{b} 0.09 0.213 C22:6n3 2.17^{a} 3.27^{ab} 3.21^{ab} 2.25^{a} 3.53^{ab} 4.01^{b} 0.39 0.223 Σ PUFA 15.62^{a} 18.46^{ab} 17.85^{ab} 18.38^{ab} 19.25^{ab} 20.73^{b} 1.29 0.025 Σ n-3PUFA 4.61^{ab} 5.77^{b} 5.70^{b} 4.33^{a} 6.42^{bc} 7.01^{c} 0.73 0.301 Σ n-6PUFA 11.01^{a} 12.69^{b} 12.14^{b} 14.05^{b} 12.83^{b} 13.72^{b} 0.71 0.010 Σ n-6PUFA 6.44^{ab} 7.11^{ab} 6.97^{ab} 5.51^{a} 7.95^{b} 9.10^{b} 0.70 0.70 0.70 Σ network 2.51^{a} 7.95^{b} 9.10^{b} <td>39 0.20 0.633</td> <td>0.085 0.464</td>	39 0.20 0.633	0.085 0.464
C22:6n3 2.17^a 3.27^{ab} 3.21^{ab} 2.25^a 3.53^{ab} 4.01^b 0.39 0.23 Σ PUFA 15.62^a 3.27^{ab} 17.85^{ab} 18.38^{ab} 19.25^{ab} 4.01^b 0.39 0.22 Σ PUFA 15.62^a 18.46^{ab} 17.85^{ab} 18.38^{ab} 19.25^{ab} 20.73^b 1.29 0.25 Σ n-3PUFA 4.61^{ab} 5.77^b 5.70^b 4.33^a 6.42^{bc} 7.01^c 0.73 0.301 Σ n-6PUFA 11.01^a 12.16^b 12.14^b 14.05^b 12.83^b 13.72^b 0.71 0.010 Σ n-6PUFA 6.44^{ab} 7.11^{ab} 6.97^{ab} 5.51^a 7.95^b 9.10^b 0.70 0.228 Σ LC-PUFA 6.44^{ab} 7.11^{ab} 6.97^{ab} 5.51^a 7.95^b 9.10^b 0.70 0.70 0.70 0.70 0.228	;9 ^b 0.09 0.214	0.258 0.215
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11 ^b 0.39 0.223	0.068 0.024
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	73 ^b 1.29 0.025	0.426 0.088
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11 ^c 0.73 0.301	0.171 0.061
$\Sigma \text{ IC-PUFA} \qquad 6.44^{\text{ab}} \qquad 7.11^{\text{ab}} \qquad 6.97^{\text{ab}} \qquad 5.51^{\text{a}} \qquad 7.95^{\text{b}} \qquad 9.10^{\text{b}} \qquad 0.70 \qquad 0.228$	72 ^b 0.71 0.010	0.156 0.114
	0 ^b 0.70 0.228	0.114 0.039
DHA/EFA 1.65 ⁻ 2.91 ⁻ 2.57 ⁻ 3.02 ⁻ 2.60 ⁻ 2.97 ⁻ 0.14 0.035	ى7 ^b 0.14 0.035	0.014 0.245

Items	Diet-1 Axn 0 + DO 0	Diet-2 Axn 0 + DO 0.33	Diet-3 Axn 0 + DO 0.67	Diet-4 Axn 100+DO 0	Diet-5 Axn 100 + DO 0.33	Diet-6 Axn 100 + DO 0.67	SD	Axn	$_{ m DO}^{P}$	Axn×DO
C16:0	6.61 ^b	6.64^{b}	5.96^{a}	6.01^{a}	5.95^{a}	5.92^{a}	0.14	0.009	0.091	0.176
C18:0	4.26^{b}	4.03^{ab}	3.74^{a}	4.00^{ab}	3.96^{ab}	3.93^{ab}	0.14	0.676	0.097	0.219
C20:0	0.59	0.39	0.69	0.70	0.53	0.60	0.11	0.623	0.326	0.667
C22:0	0.36	0.31	0.30	0.32	0.31	0.32	0.02	0.609	0.422	0.444
Σ SFA	12.46^{b}	11.99 ^{ab}	11.18^{a}	11.59 ^{ab}	11.30^{a}	11.29^{a}	0.21	0.086	0.081	0.290
C16:1n7	0.74	0.86	0.79	0.78	0.83	0.77	0.03	0.826	0.156	0.627
C18:1n7	1.56°	1.49^{bc}	1.36^{ab}	1.58 ^c	1.37^{ab}	1.29^{a}	0.03	0.128	0.001	0.323
C18:1n9	10.23	10.24	9.73	9.29	9.81	9.56	0.33	0.122	0.597	0.604
C20:1n9	0.62	0.59	0.74	0.58	0.69	0.71	0.04	0.791	0.055	0.294
Σ MUFA	13.29	13.32	12.73	12.36	12.82	12.45	0.36	0.129	0.552	0.757
C18:2n6	6.22	6.74	6.76	5.96	7.04	6.20	0.37	0.633	0.228	0.617
C18:3n3	0.54	0.59	0.51	0.61	0.62	0.57	0.07	0.417	0.760	0.963
C20:2n6	2.01	1.74	2.21	2.23	1.97	2.15	0.15	0.335	0.155	0.636
C20:4n6	2.23^{ab}	2.06^{ab}	1.96^{a}	2.23^{ab}	2.07^{ab}	2.49 ^b	0.11	0.121	0.402	0.120
C20:5n3	8.71	8.94	8.73	8.48	9.10	9.33	0.56	0.762	0.789	0.842
C22:5n3	0.35^{ab}	$0.28^{\rm ab}$	0.27^{ab}	0.39^{b}	$0.28^{\rm ab}$	0.26^{a}	0.03	0.796	0.028	0.778
C22:6n3	8.04	8.87	8.34	7.56	8.80	8.69	0.50	0.879	0.205	0.761
Σ PUFA	28.32	29.44	29.02	27.72	30.12	29.96	1.20	0.802	0.520	0.876
Σ n-3PUFA	17.86	18.89	18.10	17.29	19.04	19.12	0.97	0.849	0.522	0.812
Σ n-6PUFA	10.46	10.54	10.93	10.43	11.08	10.84	0.39	0.703	0.588	0.743
Σ LC-PUFA	21.56	22.11	21.75	21.14	22.46	23.19	1.00	0.647	0.613	0.741
DHA/EPA	0.93	0.99	0.96	0.90	0.97	0.93	0.03	0.313	0.196	0.989
All data are expres one-way ANOVA) n-6 polyunsaturate	ssed as means with point Σ SFA: total saturated fatty acids; Σ LC-I	ooled standard deviati ed fatty acids, Σ MUF PUFA: total long chair	on (SD). Fatty acids le A: total monounsatur n polyunsaturated fatt	ss than 0.3% were nc ited fatty acids; Σ PU y acids.	ıt listed in the table. Val FA: total polyunsaturate	ues in the same row with ed fatty acids; Σ n-3PUF.	hout a comme A: total n-3 p	on letter are si olyunsaturated	ignificantly difl I fatty acids; Σ	erent (<i>P</i> < 0.05, n-6PUFA: total

TABLE 10: Effects of dietary astaxanthin (Axn) and DHA oil (DO) on fatty acid composition (% of total fatty acid) in the muscle of adult female *E. sinensis* (*n* = 3).

presence of dietary Axn supplementation, the provision of 0.33% DHA oil produced a significant decrease in MDA content in the hemolymph, whereas a further increase in dietary DHA oil from 0.33% to 0.67% led to a significant increase in hemolymph MDA content. These results suggest that the antioxidant capacity of E. sinensis was elevated by 0.33% DHA oil, but excessive dietary DHA oil (0.67%) supplementation would increase hepatic lipid peroxidation [55, 63]. Axn is known to powerfully cleave singlet oxygen and possesses the physiological property of protecting many tissues from lipid peroxidation, especially peroxidation of PUFAs in the diet [64]. In the Diets 4-5 treatments of the present study, MDA concentration in the hepatopancreas and hemolymph both showed an apparent "high-low-high" pattern with DHA oil supplementation from 0% to 0.67%, indicating that 100 mg/kg of Axn was unable to prevent the lipid peroxidation induced by 0.67% DHA oil. A possible reason for this could be that supplementation with 0.67% DHA oil is excessive for any apparent antioxidant capacity exerted by the deposited Axn [65]. In comparison, 100 mg/kg Axn in combination with 0.33% DHA oil (Diet 5) may have more antioxidant effects, but it is difficult to estimate how much of this promising evidence is due to the direct antioxidant property of Axn or DHA, and how much is due to their synergistic promotion on *E. sinensis* health [66, 67].

Crustaceans have the capacity to store a great quantity of carotenoids or convert these carotenoids into Axn before depositing in the tissues [68, 69]. In crustaceans, the content of deposited Axn correlates well with tissue redness, with many studies reporting redness values as an intuitive predictor of tissue Axn concentrations [10, 70]. As expected, Axn concentration in the ovaries and hepatopancreas increased synchronously with tissue redness (a*) in the present study. Dietary supplementation of 30-120 mg/kg Axn was reported to raise redness and Axn concentration in the shell of juvenile E. sinensis [6] and adult E. sinensis [7, 35], but no such relationship was found in the present study. We hypothesise that these differences in E. sinensis carapace pigmentation are directly related to the different days of postpuberty moulting of the experimental crabs [14, 71]. For defence and locomotion, during the postmolt stage of crustacean animals, the exoskeleton of the new carapace hardens quickly via sclerotization and mineralisation within 24 h. Furthermore, the calcification and carotenoid recovery of the new carapace is just about finished within 96h after molting [72, 73]. The experimental crabs completed puberty molting approximately 15 days before the beginning of the growth trial in present study; thus, dietary Axn supplementation had less of a promoting effect on carapace colouration.

The retention efficiency of dietary Axn depends on many factors besides source and inclusion levels, such as the types and levels of dietary lipids, which may influence Axn bio-availability and tissue absorption [74]. In this study, DHA supplementation significantly enhanced Axn deposition in the ovaries and hepatopancreas of *E. sinensis*. The reason for the positive effects of dietary DHA on Axn deposition could be explained by the following facts: (1) Synthetic Axn is all in its free form and thus is vulnerable to oxidation, but the esterification of free Axn with LC-PUFA (monoes-

ters and diesters) may improve molecular stability and facilitate higher solubility rates in crustacean tissues [75–77]. Moreover, dietary supplementation of DHA oil facilitates the esterification of free Axn in animal tissue, which may lead to increased Axn deposition in the tissues of *E. sinensis*. (2) The hydroxyl groups present in the Axn molecule provide polar characteristics that have an affinity for the polar heads of DHA [78]. (3) DHA oil may act as an emulsifier making the digestion and absorption of Axn easier [79].

Decapod hepatopancreas and gonad are the major organs for fatty acid metabolism; therefore, they are highly influenced by dietary fatty acid profiles [80-82]. In present research, the DHA percentage and DHA/EPA ratio in the gonads and hepatopancreas significantly increased with dietary DHA supplementation. Studies have provided evidence that dietary Axn supplementation increased DHA and EPA percentages in P. trituberculatus [83] and P. monodon [84] and speculated that Axn decreased lipid peroxidation and oxidation of these fatty acids. However, when compared across treatments in this study, DHA percentage was not significantly affected by dietary Axn supplementation. These differences in DHA accumulation could be a result of specific developmental stages or different potential methodologies [14, 71]. Finally, our results showed that dietary Axn and DHA had little influence on the lipid class and fatty acid composition within the muscle, suggesting that the muscles of adult E. sinensis individuals are generally more stable than the hepatopancreas and ovaries during the ovarian maturation stage [33, 36]).

5. Conclusion

The gonad development of E. sinensis in present study demonstrated that dietary supplementation with both DHA oil and Axn significantly improved the GSI and hepatosomatic lipid content in adult females of E. sinensis. Supplementation with both 0.33% DHA oil and 100 mg/kg Axn also dramatically promoted the antioxidative capacity, nonspecific immunity, and physiological status of adult females of E. sinensis, but supplementation with 0.67% DHA oil induced negative effects on the health status of the crabs. The supplementation of 100 mg/kg Axn in the diets dramatically increased the redness (a*) and Axn concentration in both the ovaries and hepatopancreas, and supplementation with 0.33% or 0.67% DHA oil further increased Axn concentration in the tissues. Overall, present study provides clear evidence that interactions between Axn and DHA indeed occur, and the optimal combination of dietary Axn and DHA oil was around 100 mg/kg and 0.33% for female E. sinensis during gonad development stage.

Data Availability

The data could be made available on request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Authors' Contributions

Xiaodong Jiang and Kewu Pan contributed equally to this work.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by two projects (No. 31873041 and No. U1706209) from Natural Science Foundation of China and a Key R&D Program (No. 2018YFD0900100) from Ministry of Science and Technology of China. Traveling cost and student subsidies to the first authors were supported by a pilot project (D-8005-18-0036) from DSM Vitamin (Shanghai) Co., Ltd and a "Shu Guang" Project (No. 17SG50) from Shanghai Education Commission and Shanghai Education Development Foundation. Infrastructure costs were supported by the Special Fund (CARS-48) of Chinese Agriculture Research System from Ministry of Agriculture of China and the Shanghai Talents Development Fund for the Young Scientists (No. 2018100) from Shanghai Municipal Human Resources and Social Security Bureau. Xugan Wu is also supported by an Academic Fellow program in the School of Biological Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia.

Supplementary Materials

Supplementary Table 1: proximate composition and major carotenoid concentration of the experimental diets. Supplementary Table 2: effects of dietary astaxanthin (Axn) and DHA oil (DO) on lipid class composition (% total lipid class) of ovaries, hepatopancreas, and carapace of adult female *E. sinensis*. (*Supplementary Materials*)

References

- N. I. Krinsky, "Actions of carotenoids in biological systems," Annual Review of Nutrition, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 561–587, 1993.
- [2] N. I. Krinsky, "The antioxidant and biological properties of the carotenoids^a," *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, vol. 854, no. 1 TOWARDS PROLO, pp. 443–447, 1998.
- [3] A. Bricaud, A. Morel, M. Babin, K. Allali, and H. Claustre, "Variations of light absorption by suspended particles with chlorophyll a concentration in oceanic (case 1) waters: analysis and implications for bio-optical models," *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, vol. 103, no. C13, pp. 31033–31044, 1998.
- [4] K. C. Lim, F. M. Yusoff, M. Shariff, and M. S. Kamarudin, "Astaxanthin as feed supplement in aquatic animals," *Reviews in Aquaculture*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 738–773, 2018.
- [5] S. Haga, S. Uji, and T. Suzuki, "Evaluation of the effects of retinoids and carotenoids on egg quality using a microinjection system," *Aquaculture*, vol. 282, no. 1-4, pp. 111–116, 2008.
- [6] X. Jiang, L. Zu, Z. Wang, Y. Cheng, Y. Yang, and X. Wu, "Micro-algal astaxanthin could improve the antioxidant capability, immunity and ammonia resistance of juvenile Chinese mitten crab," *Eriocheir sinensis. Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, vol. 102, pp. 499–510, 2020.
- [7] X. Long, X. Wu, L. Zhao, J. Liu, and Y. Cheng, "Effects of dietary supplementation with *Haematococcus pluvialis* cell powder on coloration, ovarian development and antioxidation

capacity of adult female Chinese mitten crab, *Eriocheir sinensis*," *Aquaculture*, vol. 473, pp. 545–553, 2017.

- [8] J. Sawanboonchun, W. J. Roy, D. A. Robertson, and J. G. Bell, "The impact of dietary supplementation with astaxanthin on egg quality in Atlantic cod broodstock (*Gadus morhua*, L.)," *Aquaculture*, vol. 283, no. 1-4, pp. 97–101, 2008.
- [9] M. Jiang, H. Zhao, S. Zai, B. Shepherd, H. Wen, and D. Deng, "A defatted microalgae meal (*Haematococcus pluvialis*) as a partial protein source to replace fishmeal for feeding juvenile yellow perch *Perca flavescens*," *Journal of Applied Phycology*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 1197–1205, 2019.
- [10] N. M. Wade, J. Gabaudan, and B. D. Glencross, "A review of carotenoid utilisation and function in crustacean aquaculture," *Reviews in Aquaculture*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 141–156, 2017.
- [11] M. Olaizola and M. E. Huntley, "Recent advances in commercial production of astaxanthin from microalgae," *Biomaterials* and Bioprocessing, vol. 9, pp. 143–164, 2003.
- [12] L. Sijtsma and M. De Swaaf, "Biotechnological production and applications of the ω -3 polyunsaturated fatty acid docosahexaenoic acid," *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, vol. 64, no. 2, pp. 146–153, 2004.
- [13] J. Niu, C.-H. Li, Y.-J. Liu et al., "Dietary values of astaxanthin and canthaxanthin in *Penaeus monodon* in the presence and absence of cholesterol supplementation: effect on growth, nutrient digestibility and tissue carotenoid composition," *British Journal of Nutrition*, vol. 108, no. 1, pp. 80–91, 2012.
- [14] N. M. Wade, S. Cheers, N. Bourne, S. Irvin, D. Blyth, and B. D. Glencross, "Dietary astaxanthin levels affect colour, growth, carotenoid digestibility and the accumulation of specific carotenoid esters in the Giant Tiger Shrimp, Penaeus monodon," *Penaeus monodon. Aquaculture Research*, vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 395–406, 2017.
- [15] J. Parisenti, L. H. Beirão, M. Maraschin et al., "Pigmentation and carotenoid content of shrimp fed with *Haematococcus pluvialis* and soy lecithin," *Aquaculture Nutrition*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. e530–e535, 2011.
- [16] J. Niu, H. Wen, C. H. Li et al., "Comparison effect of dietary astaxanthin and β-carotene in the presence and absence of cholesterol supplementation on growth performance, antioxidant capacity and gene expression of *Penaeus monodon* under normoxia and hypoxia condition," *Aquaculture*, vol. 422-423, pp. 8–17, 2014.
- [17] X. Wu, Z. Yu, Y. Cheng et al., "Effect of four groups of live feeds on larval development, growth (from Z4 to Megalopa) and fatty acid composition of *Eriocheir sinensis*," *Journal of Fishery Sciences of China*, vol. 14, pp. 911–918, 2007.
- [18] Y. T. Zhao, X. G. Wu, G. L. Chang, R. J. Qiu, and Y. X. Cheng, "Effects of dietary DHA levels on growth, lipid composition and hypoxia stress of juvenile Chinese mitten crab *Eriocheir sinensis*," *Acta Hydrobiologica Sinica*, vol. 37, pp. 1133–1144, 2013.
- [19] D. R. Tocher, M. B. Betancor, M. Sprague, R. E. Olsen, and J. A. Napier, "Omega-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids, EPA and DHA: bridging the gap between supply and demand," *Nutrients*, vol. 11, no. 1, p. 89, 2019.
- [20] G. M. Turchini, B. E. Torstensen, and W. K. Ng, "Fish oil replacement in finfish nutrition," *Reviews in Aquaculture*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 10–57, 2009.
- [21] E. Ganuza, T. Benítez-Santana, E. Atalah, O. Vega-Orellana, R. Ganga, and M. Izquierdo, "Crypthecodinium cohnii and Schizochytrium sp. as potential substitutes to fisheries-

derived oils from seabream (Sparus aurata) microdiets," Aquaculture, vol. 277, no. 1-2, pp. 109–116, 2008.

- [22] T. E. Lewis, P. D. Nichols, and T. A. McMeekin, "The biotechnological potential of thraustochytrids," *Marine Biotechnology*, vol. 1, no. 6, pp. 580–587, 1999.
- [23] M. Sprague, J. Walton, P. Campbell, F. Strachan, J. R. Dick, and J. G. Bell, "Replacement of fish oil with a DHA-rich algal meal derived from *Schizochytrium* sp. on the fatty acid and persistent organic pollutant levels in diets and flesh of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*, L.) post-smolts," *Food Chemistry*, vol. 185, pp. 413–421, 2015.
- [24] Y. Wang, M. Li, K. Filer, Y. Xue, Q. Ai, and K. Mai, "Replacement of fish oil with a DHA-rich *Schizochytrium* meal on growth performance, activities of digestive enzyme and fatty acid profile of Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) larvae," *Aquaculture Nutrition*, vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 1113–1120, 2017.
- [25] K. M. Allen, H.-M. Habte-Tsion, K. R. Thompson, K. Filer, J. H. Tidwell, and V. Kumar, "Freshwater microalgae (*Schizo-chytrium* sp.) as a substitute to fish oil for shrimp feed," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 1–10, 2019.
- [26] K. Kedmuean, J. Pongmaneerat, and P. Nopnob, "Supplemental effect of *Schizochytrium limacinum* (D. Honda and Yokochi, 1998) and fish oil in live feed on developmental period, survival rate and stress resistant of blue swimming crab (*Portunus pelagicus* Linnaeus, 1758) and mud crab (*Scylla paramamosain* Estampador, 1949) larvae," *Warasan Kan Pramong*, vol. 65, no. 6, pp. 431–449, 2012.
- [27] V. Kumar, H. M. Habte-Tsion, K. M. Allen et al., "Replacement of fish oil with Schizochytrium meal and its impacts on the growth and lipid metabolism of Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*)," *Aquaculture Nutrition*, vol. 24, no. 6, pp. 1769–1781, 2018.
- [28] S. Xie, D. Wei, B. Tan, Y. Liu, L. Tian, and J. Niu, "Schizochytrium limacinum supplementation in a low fish-meal diet improved immune response and intestinal health of juvenile *Penaeus monodon*," Frontiers in Physiology, vol. 11, p. 613, 2020.
- [29] L. Kong, C. Cai, Y. Ye et al., "Comparison of non-volatile compounds and sensory characteristics of Chinese mitten crabs (*Eriocheir sinensis*) reared in lakes and ponds: potential environmental factors," *Aquaculture*, vol. 364-365, pp. 96–102, 2012.
- [30] S. Wang, Y. He, Y. Wang et al., "Comparison of flavour qualities of three sourced *Eriocheir sinensis*," *Food Chemistry*, vol. 200, pp. 24–31, 2016.
- [31] Y. Cheng, X. Wu, X. Yang, and A. H. Hines, "Current trends in hatchery techniques and stock enhancement for Chinese mitten crab, *Eriocheir japonica sinensis*," *Reviews in Fisheries Science*, vol. 16, no. 1-3, pp. 377–384, 2008.
- [32] X. B. Wen, L. Q. Chen, Z. L. Zhou, C. X. Ai, and G. Y. Deng, "Reproduction response of Chinese mitten-handed crab (*Erio-cheir sinensis*) fed different sources of dietary lipid," *Compara-tive Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology*, vol. 131, no. 3, pp. 675–681, 2002.
- [33] X. Wu, Y. Cheng, L. Sui, C. Zeng, P. C. Southgate, and X. Yang, "Effect of dietary supplementation of phospholipids and highly unsaturated fatty acids on reproductive performance and offspring quality of Chinese mitten crab, *Eriocheir sinensis* (H. Milne-Edwards), female broodstock," *Aquaculture*, vol. 273, no. 4, pp. 602–613, 2007.

- [34] R. Wouters, P. Lavens, J. Nieto, and P. Sorgeloos, "Penaeid shrimp broodstock nutrition: an updated review on research and development," *Aquaculture*, vol. 202, no. 1-2, pp. 1–21, 2001.
- [35] N. Ma, X. Long, J. Liu et al., "Defatted *Haematococcus pluvialis* meal can enhance the coloration of adult Chinese mitten crab *Eriocheir sinensis*," *Aquaculture*, vol. 510, pp. 371–379, 2019.
- [36] L. Sui, M. Wille, Y. Cheng, and P. Sorgeloos, "The effect of dietary n-3 HUFA levels and DHA/EPA ratios on growth, survival and osmotic stress tolerance of Chinese mitten crab *Eriocheir sinensis* larvae," *Aquaculture*, vol. 273, no. 1, pp. 139–150, 2007.
- [37] L. Sui, H. Sun, X. Wu, M. Wille, Y. Cheng, and P. Sorgeloos, "Effect of dietary HUFA on tissue fatty acid composition and reproductive performance of Chinese mitten crab *Eriocheir* sinensis (H. Milne-Edwards) broodstock," Aquaculture International, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 269–282, 2011.
- [38] L. Díaz-Jiménez, M. P. Hernández-Vergara, C. I. Pérez-Rostro, and L. A. Ortega-Clemente, "The effect of astaxanthin and βcarotene inclusion in diets for growth, reproduction and pigmentation of the peppermint shrimp *Lysmata wurdemanni*," *Latin American Journal of Aquatic Research*, vol. 47, no. 3, pp. 559–567, 2019.
- [39] F. Maulana, H. Arfah, M. Istifarini, and M. Setiawati, "Supplementation of astaxanthin and vitamin E in feed on the development of gonads white shrimp broodstock *Litopenaeus vannamei* Boone 1931," *Jurnal Akuakultur Indonesia*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 124–135, 2017.
- [40] M. P. Pangantihon-Kühlmann, O. Millamena, and Y. Chern, "Effect of dietary astaxanthin and vitamin A on the reproductive performance of *Penaeus monodon* broodstock," *Aquatic Living Resources*, vol. 11, no. 6, pp. 403–409, 1998.
- [41] N. Ma, X. Long, L. Zhao, G. Chang, X. Wu, and Y. Cheng, "Effects of dietary supplementation of synthetic astaxanthin on ovarian development, coloration and antioxidant capacity of adult female Chinese mitten crab," *Eriocheir sinensis. Acta Hydrobiologica Sinica*, vol. 473, pp. 545–553, 2017.
- [42] X. Wu, J. He, X. Jiang, Q. Liu, F. Gao, and Y. Cheng, "Does the wild-caught Chinese mitten crab megalopae perform better than the hatchery-produced seed during the juvenile culture?," *Aquaculture Research*, vol. 49, no. 5, pp. 2042–2050, 2018.
- [43] J. He, X. G. Wu, J. Y. Li, Q. Huang, Z. F. Huang, and Y. X. Cheng, "Comparison of the culture performance and profitability of wild-caught and captive pond-reared Chinese mitten crab (*Eriocheir sinensis*) juveniles reared in grow-out ponds: Implications for seed selection and genetic selection programs," *Aquaculture*, vol. 434, pp. 48–56, 2014.
- [44] I. A. Johnston, R. Alderson, C. Sandham et al., "Muscle fibre density in relation to the colour and texture of smoked Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.)," *Aquaculture*, vol. 189, no. 3-4, pp. 335–349, 2000.
- [45] N. Wade, K. C. Goulter, K. J. Wilson, M. R. Hall, and B. M. Degnan, "Esterified astaxanthin levels in lobster epithelia correlate with shell colour intensity: potential role in crustacean shell colour formation," *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B: Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, vol. 141, no. 3, pp. 307–313, 2005.
- [46] K. Leon, M. F. Pedreschi, and J. Leon, "Color measurement in L * a * b * units from RGB digital images," *Food Research International*, vol. 39, no. 10, pp. 1084–1091, 2006.
- [47] AOAC, Official Methods of Analysis, Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Gait Hersburg, Maryland, USA, 2000.

- [48] J. Folch, M. Lees, and G. H. Sloane Stanley, "A simple method for the isolation and purification of total lipides from animal tissues," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 226, no. 1, pp. 497–509, 1957.
- [49] X. Wu, Y. Cheng, B. Tang et al., "Changes on lipid class and fatty acid composition of pre- and post spawning *Onchidium struma*," *Acta Zoologica Sinica*, vol. 53, pp. 1089–1100, 2007.
- [50] L. Shao, C. Wang, J. He, X. Wu, and Y. Cheng, "Meat quality of Chinese mitten crabs fattened with natural and formulated diets," *Journal of Aquatic Food Product Technology.*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 59–72, 2014.
- [51] X. Wu, Y. Cheng, L. Sui, X. Yang, T. Nan, and J. Wang, "Biochemical composition of pond-reared and lake-stocked Chinese mitten crab *Eriocheir sinensis* (H. Milne-Edwards) broodstock," *Aquaculture Research*, vol. 38, no. 14, pp. 1459– 1467, 2007.
- [52] K. J. Zhuang, L. Chen, X. C. Wang et al., "Effects of DHA/EPA ratios on odor compounds derived from Chinese mitten crab (*Eriocheir sinensis*) ovary and hepatopancreas," *Food and Fermentation Industries*, vol. 41, p. 140, 2015.
- [53] R. F. Wu, X. W. Long, W. J. Hou et al., "Effects of dietary supplementation with *Haematococcus pluvialis* powder on ovarian development, coloration, antioxidant capacity and biochemical composition of adult female swimming crab," *Portunus trituberculatus. Acta Hydrobiologica Sinica*, vol. 42, pp. 38–48, 2018.
- [54] S. Barrento, A. Marques, B. Teixeira et al., "Chemical composition, cholesterol, fatty acid and amino acid in two populations of brown crab *Cancer pagurus*: ecological and human health implications," *Journal of Food Composition and Analy*sis, vol. 23, no. 7, pp. 716–725, 2010.
- [55] B. Halliwell, "Role of free radicals in the neurodegenerative diseases," *Drugs & Aging*, vol. 18, no. 9, pp. 685–716, 2001.
- [56] Y. M. Naguib, "Antioxidant activities of astaxanthin and related carotenoids," *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, vol. 48, no. 4, pp. 1150–1154, 2000.
- [57] Y. J. Wang, Y. H. Chien, and C. H. Pan, "Effects of dietary supplementation of carotenoids on survival, growth, pigmentation, and antioxidant capacity of characins, *Hyphessobrycon callistus*," *Aquaculture*, vol. 261, no. 2, pp. 641–648, 2006.
- [58] T. Hui, M. Shi, and Y. Zhu, "Effects of selenium on antioxidant enzymes and transaminases of liver in cadmium chronic toxic *Tilapia niloticus*," *Chinese Journal of Veterinary Science*, vol. 20, pp. 264–266, 2000.
- [59] J. Puangkaew, V. Kiron, S. Satoh, and T. Watanabe, "Antioxidant defense of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) in relation to dietary n-3 highly unsaturated fatty acids and vitamin E contents," *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part* C: Toxicology & Pharmacology., vol. 140, no. 2, pp. 187–196, 2005.
- [60] R. Zuo, Q. Ai, K. Mai et al., "Effects of dietary n-3 highly unsaturated fatty acids on growth, nonspecific immunity, expression of some immune related genes and disease resistance of large yellow croaker (Larmichthys crocea) following natural infestation of parasites (Cryptocaryon irritans)," Fish & Shellfish Immunology, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 249–258, 2012.
- [61] X. C. Shi, A. Jin, J. Sun et al., "α-lipoic acid ameliorates n-3 highly-unsaturated fatty acids induced lipid peroxidation via regulating antioxidant defenses in grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idellus*)," *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, vol. 67, pp. 359–367, 2017.

- [62] P. Sun, M. Jin, L. Jiao et al., "Effects of dietary lipid level on growth, fatty acid profiles, antioxidant capacity and expression of genes involved in lipid metabolism in juvenile swimming crab, Portunus trituberculatus," *Portunus trituberculatus. British Journal of Nutrition*, vol. 123, no. 2, pp. 149–160, 2020.
- [63] D. J. Staples and D. S. Heales, "Temperature and salinity optima for growth and survival of juvenile banana prawns *Penaeus merguiensis*," *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology* and Ecology, vol. 154, no. 2, pp. 251–274, 1991.
- [64] Karppi, Rissanen, Nyyssönen et al., "Effects of astaxanthin supplementation on lipid peroxidation," *International Journal for Vitamin and Nutrition Research*, vol. 77, no. 1, pp. 3–11, 2007.
- [65] C. L. L. Saw, A. Y. Yang, Y. Guo, and A. N. T. Kong, "Astaxanthin and omega-3 fatty acids individually and in combination protect against oxidative stress via the Nrf2-ARE pathway," *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, vol. 62, pp. 869– 875, 2013.
- [66] K. Takahashi, M. Watanabe, T. Takimoto, and Y. Akiba, "Uptake and distribution of astaxanthin in several tissues and plasma lipoproteins in male broiler chickens fed a yeast (*Phaffia rhodozyma*) with a high concentration of astaxanthin," *British Poultry Science*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 133–138, 2004.
- [67] T. Takimoto, K. Takahashi, and Y. Akiba, "Effect of dietary supplementation of astaxanthin by Phaffia rhodozymaon lipid peroxidation, drug metabolism and some immunological variables in male broiler chicks fed on diets with or without oxidised fat," *British Poultry Science*, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 90–97, 2007.
- [68] A. Babin, J. Moreau, and Y. Moret, "Storage of carotenoids in crustaceans as an adaptation to modulate immunopathology and optimize immunological and life-history strategies," *BioEssays*, vol. 41, no. 11, p. 1800254, 2019.
- [69] N. M. Wade, A. Tollenaere, M. R. Hall, and B. M. Degnan, "Evolution of a novel carotenoid-binding protein responsible for crustacean shell color," *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, vol. 26, no. 8, pp. 1851–1864, 2009.
- [70] K. J. Fanning, C. Paulo, S. Pun et al., "Astaxanthin profiles and corresponding colour properties in Australian farmed black tiger prawn (*Penaeus monodon*) during frozen storage," *Aquaculture Research*, vol. 47, no. 6, pp. 1820–1831, 2016.
- [71] D. McKenzie, I. Lund, and P. B. Pedersen, "Essential fatty acids influence metabolic rate and tolerance of hypoxia in Dover sole (*Solea solea*) larvae and juveniles," *Marine Biology*, vol. 154, no. 6, pp. 1041–1051, 2008.
- [72] E. S. Chang and D. L. Mykles, "Regulation of crustacean molting: a review and our perspectives," *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, vol. 172, no. 3, pp. 323–330, 2011.
- [73] S. C. Wang, Y. J. Wei, and D. L. Shen, "Calcium and phosphorus levels in the muscle, hepatopancreas and carapace of *Eriocheir sinensis* in different stages of moulting cycle," *Journal of Fisheries of China*, vol. 27, pp. 219–224, 2003.
- [74] C. Regost, J. V. Jakobsen, and A. M. B. Rørå, "Flesh quality of raw and smoked fillets of Atlantic salmon as influenced by dietary oil sources and frozen storage," *Food Research International*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 259–271, 2004.
- [75] B. Bjerkeng and G. Berge, "Apparent digestibility coefficients and accumulation of astaxanthin E/Z isomers in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) and Atlantic halibut (*Hippoglossus hippoglossus* L.)," *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B: Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, vol. 127, no. 3, pp. 423–432, 2000.

- [76] P. Régnier, J. Bastias, V. Rodriguez-Ruiz et al., "Astaxanthin from *Haematococcus pluvialis* prevents oxidative stress on human endothelial cells without toxicity," *Marine Drugs*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 2857–2874, 2015.
- [77] T. Storebakken, M. Sørensen, B. Bjerkeng, J. Harris, P. Monahan, and S. Hiu, "Stability of astaxanthin from red yeast, *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous*, during feed processing: effects of enzymatic cell wall disruption and extrusion temperature," *Aquaculture*, vol. 231, no. 1-4, pp. 489–500, 2004.
- [78] K.-J. Yeum and R. M. Russell, "Carotenoid bioavailability and bioconversion," *Annual Review of Nutrition*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 483–504, 2002.
- [79] V. Tyssandier, B. Lyan, and P. Borel, "Main factors governing the transfer of carotenoids from emulsion lipid droplets to micelles," *Lipids*, vol. 1533, no. 3, pp. 285–292, 2001.
- [80] S. Hu, J. Wang, T. Han, X. Li, Y. Jiang, and C. Wang, "Effects of dietary DHA/EPA ratios on growth performance, survival and fatty acid composition of juvenile swimming crab (*Portunus trituberculatus*)," *Aquaculture Research*, vol. 48, no. 3, pp. 1291–1301, 2017.
- [81] E. Palacios, A. Bonilla, A. Pérez, I. S. Racotta, and R. Civera, "Influence of highly unsaturated fatty acids on the responses of white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) postlarvae to low salinity," *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology.*, vol. 299, no. 2, pp. 201–215, 2004.
- [82] X. Wen, L. Chen, Y. Ku, and K. Zhou, "Effect of feeding and lack of food on the growth, gross biochemical and fatty acid composition of juvenile crab, *Eriocheir sinensis*," *Aquaculture*, vol. 252, no. 2-4, pp. 598–607, 2006.
- [83] T. Han, X. Y. Li, Y. X. Yang, M. Yang, C. L. Wang, and J. T. Wang, "Effects of different dietary vitamin E and astaxanthin levels on growth, fatty acid composition and coloration of the juvenile swimming crab," *Portunus trituberculatus. Aquaculture Nutrition*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 1244–1254, 2018.
- [84] C. Paibulkichakul, S. Piyatiratitivorakul, P. Sorgeloos, and P. Menasveta, "Improved maturation of pond-reared, black tiger shrimp (*Penaeus monodon*) using fish oil and astaxanthin feed supplements," *Aquaculture*, vol. 282, no. 1-4, pp. 83–89, 2008.
- [85] J. Peng, W. Xiang, Q. Tang, N. Sun, F. Chen, and J. Yuan, "Comparative analysis of astaxanthin and its esters in the mutant E1 of *Haematococcus pluvialis* and other green algae by HPLC with a C30 column," *Science in China Series C: Life Sciences.*, vol. 51, no. 12, pp. 1108–1115, 2008.