

## Research Article

# Effects of Dietary *Pediococcus acidilactici* and Isomaltooligosaccharide on Growth Performance, Immunity, and Antioxidant Defense in Juvenile Common Carp

Milad Maniat,<sup>1</sup> Amir Parviz Salati ,<sup>1</sup> Nasim Zanguee,<sup>1</sup> Seyed Mohammad Mousavi ,<sup>1</sup> and Seyed Hossein Hoseinifar <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Fisheries, Faculty of Marine Natural Resources, Khorramshahr University of Marine Science and Technology, Khorramshahr, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Department of Fisheries, Faculty of Natural Resources, Gorgan University of Agricultural Science and Natural Resources, Gorgan, Iran

Correspondence should be addressed to Amir Parviz Salati; [salatia@gmail.com](mailto:salatia@gmail.com)

Received 6 November 2022; Revised 11 January 2023; Accepted 2 February 2023; Published 14 February 2023

Academic Editor: Mohammed El Basuini

Copyright © 2023 Milad Maniat et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The aim of this study was to investigate the synbiotic effects of *Pediococcus acidilactici* (PA) and isomaltooligosaccharide (IMO) on the performance of juvenile common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*). A total of 360 fish ( $17.22 \pm 0.19$  g) were randomly divided into six groups with three replicates of 20 fish each. The trial continued for 8 weeks. The control group was fed only basal diet; PA was fed basal diet supplemented with 1 g/kg ( $10^{10}$  CFU/kg) PA, IMO5 (5 g/kg IMO), IMO10 (10 g/kg IMO), PA-IMO5 (1 g/kg PA and 5 g/kg IMO), and PA-IMO10 (1 g/kg PA and 10 g/kg IMO). The results indicated that the diet containing 1 g/kg PA and 5 g/kg IMO significantly increased the fish growth performance and decreased the feed conversion ratio ( $p < 0.05$ ). Overall, blood biochemical parameters, serum (lysozyme, complements C3 and C4) and mucosal (protein, total immunoglobulin, and lysozyme) immune responses, and antioxidant defense of fish also improved in the PA-IMO5 group ( $p < 0.05$ ). Therefore, a combination of 1 g/kg ( $10^{10}$  CFU/kg) PA and 5 g/kg IMO can be recommended as a beneficial synbiotic additive and immunostimulant in juvenile common carp.

## 1. Introduction

In recent years, the culture of common carp has developed significantly. Common carp is one of the most significant species of warm-water fish, which accounts for 8.6% of the total production of farmed fish [1]. The production of common carp has increased from 3.42 million tons in 2012 to 4.24 million tons in 2020, which shows the economic importance of this species [1]. Moreover, common carp is one of the most important freshwater fish species that is widely used in scientific studies. Due to the development of intensive fish farming systems, antibiotics and different kinds of antimicrobial agents are widely used in aquaculture in order to prevent diseases and improve the growth performance, feed efficiency, immune system status, and survival rate of farmed fish [2]. However, antibi-

otics have adverse effects; they can promote microbial antibiotic resistance and cause intestinal microbial flora imbalance; furthermore, they can harm the environment and upset the balance of environmental microflora when excreted from farms and dispersed into waterways, leading to unwanted side effects on aquatic organism health [3]. Nowadays, using dietary supplements such as probiotics, prebiotics, and synbiotics is recommended to enhance the growth and health of fish species [4–7].

*Pediococcus acidilactici* (PA) is a nonpathogenic and gram-positive coccus which is a facultative anaerobic bacterium. It can grow in a wide range of environmental conditions including temperature, pH, and osmotic pressure. The rapid multiplication of this bacterium in the gastrointestinal tract causes a competitive elimination of pathogens and reduces the risk of diseases. Oral administration of this

bacterium as a probiotic significantly reduces mortality from infectious diseases and increases the survival rate [8]. Dietary supplementation of *P. acidilactici* balances the population of intestinal bacterial flora, and it also stimulates a nonspecific immune response [9]. A variety of bacteriocins are secreted by different strains of *P. acidilactici* [10, 11] which increase fish resistance to both gram-positive and gram-negative pathogenic bacteria [9]. Prebiotics are nondigestible food ingredients which improve host health by selectively stimulating the growth and activity of some beneficial intestinal bacteria [12]. Romano et al. [13] reported that a combination of dietary pregelatinized starch and isomaltooligosaccharide at 0.5% improved feed efficiency and physiological status in juvenile African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*). Moreover, Li et al. [14] confirmed the synergistic effects of dietary *Bacillus* as a probiotic isomaltooligosaccharide in shrimp.

It has been proven that the combination of prebiotics and probiotics—known as synbiotics—can lead to higher survival and growth rates of probiotic bacteria by creating synergistic effects [15]. Modanloo et al. [6] reported a positive impact of supplementation of a common carp diet with 10 g/kg galactooligosaccharide and 1 g/kg *P. acidilactici* on some mucosal or serum immune responses. Based on the results of Miao et al. [16], *P. acidilactici* supplementation ( $1 \times 10^8$  CFU/g diet), single or combined with yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) and/or  $\beta$ -glucan, can be recommended as a promising immunostimulant in prawn farming. Moreover, the combination of a low level of mannan oligosaccharides and *P. acidilactici* as a synbiotic additive can be an effective tool to potentiate European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) juveniles' growth and disease resistance when supplemented in low fishmeal and fish oil diets [7]. Furthermore, the combined effects of sodium alginate (5 or 10 g/kg) with *P. acidilactici* ( $0.9 \times 10^7$  CFU/g) caused more evident immune responses compared to the control and singular administration in Asian sea bass (*Lates calcarifer*) juveniles [17]. Therefore, the effects of dietary *P. acidilactici* as a probiotic and prebiotic isomaltooligosaccharide on growth, blood biochemical parameters, serum and mucosal immune responses, and antioxidant defense in juvenile common carp were investigated in our study.

## 2. Material and Methods

**2.1. Experimental Diets.** *Pediococcus acidilactici* (PA, lyophilized form,  $1 \times 10^{13}$  CFU/kg) and isomaltooligosaccharide (IMO) were provided by Takgene Co. (Tehran, Iran) and Orafti Co. (Belgium), respectively. A commercially available common carp diet (Faradaneh Co., Shahrekord, Iran) was used as the basal diet [17, 18]. The proximate composition of the commercial (basal) diet consisted of 35% crude protein, 6% crude lipid, 5% crude fiber, 9% ash, and 1.25% phosphorus. Briefly, the basal diet was well mixed with PA and/or IMO and the required amount of distilled water was added to the mixture. Then, the dough was passed through a meat grinder and the strings were dried at room temperature. The diets were packed into plastic bags and kept at 4°C until feeding.

**2.2. Fish and Experimental Design.** The experiment was conducted at Khorramshahr University of Marine Science and Technology (Khorramshahr, Iran) in accordance with the ethics and animal care committee of this university. Juvenile common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) were obtained from a local fish farm (Khorramshahr, Iran) and transported to the Fisheries Wet Laboratory. After being disinfected with sodium chloride (2% for 15 min), the fish were transferred to two 2000 l circular tanks and kept for two weeks in order to acclimatize. The fish were fed with the aforementioned commercial (basal) diet twice a day (09:00 and 16:00 h) to apparent satiation. After acclimatization, a total of 360 fish with an initial weight of  $17.22 \pm 0.19$  g were divided into eighteen 300 l fiberglass circular tanks (20 fish per tank) in a completely randomized design consisting of six treatments. Each treatment was performed in 3 replications. In order to prepare the experimental diets, PA and IMO were added to the aforementioned commercial (basal) diet as follows: control (diet) group (0 g/kg PA and 0 g/kg IMO), PA (1 g/kg ( $10^{10}$  CFU/kg) PA), IMO5 (5 g/kg IMO), IMO10 (10 g/kg IMO), PA-IMO5 (1 g/kg PA and 5 g/kg IMO), and PA-IMO10 (1 g/kg PA and 10 g/kg IMO).

Fish were hand-fed the experimental diets for 8 weeks twice a day (09:00 and 16:00 h) to apparent satiation. Any uneaten food was collected 30 min after each meal, dried at 70°C, and then weighed to calculate the feed conversion ratio. All tanks were aerated using air stones connected to an air pump, and water exchange at a level of 30% of the total volume was performed daily. The light condition was 12:12 h (light/dark). A portable apparatus (Hach HQ40d, Loveland, Colorado, USA) was used to measure water temperature, dissolved oxygen, and pH. The water quality was monitored daily during the trial, and the ranges were as follows: temperature,  $26.39 \pm 0.68$ °C; dissolved oxygen,  $7.78 \pm 0.53$  mg/l; and pH,  $7.14 \pm 0.21$ . No critical level was recorded for  $\text{NH}_3$ .

**2.3. Growth Performance.** After 8 weeks of rearing, fish were starved for 24 h. Then, all fish were anesthetized using clove powder (200 mg/l) and weighed individually. The weight gain (WG), specific growth rate (SGR), feed conversion ratio (FCR), and survival rate were calculated for each replicate based on the standard formulas [19].

**2.4. Blood and Mucus Sampling.** At the end of the feeding trial, after 24 h of food deprivation, blood samples were randomly collected by nonheparinized syringes from the caudal vein of 3 fish per tank and centrifuged at  $5000 \times g$  for 10 min at 4°C [19]. The fish were anesthetized with clove powder (200 mg/l) prior to sampling. The serum was divided into two parts for antioxidant defense analysis and immunological tests and kept at -80°C until analysis. Also, fish mucus was collected as described by Ross et al. [20]. Briefly, 3 fish from each tank were put in a plastic bag containing 2 ml of 50 mM sodium chloride. Plastic bags containing fish were shaken for 2 min, and then the fish were removed from the bags. The collected mucus in the bag was centrifuged at  $3000 \times g$  for 10 min at 4°C, and then the supernatant was removed and stored at -80°C [21].

**2.5. Biochemical and Immunological Analysis.** Serum biochemical parameters including glucose, cholesterol, triglycerides, protein, albumin, high-density lipoproteins (HDL), and low-density lipoproteins (LDL) were analyzed by a biochemical autoanalyzer (Mindray, China) using Pars Azmoon commercial kits (Karaj, Iran) as described by the company.

Serum lysozyme activity was measured by the method recommended by Ellis [22] with some modifications. 200  $\mu$ l of 0.2 mg/ml suspension of *Micrococcus luteus* in 0.05 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH = 6.2) was mixed with 10  $\mu$ l of serum samples, and absorption of samples was read for 5 min consequently at 530 nm. PBS was used as blank. Each unit of enzyme activity was calculated as the amount of enzyme that reduces the absorption by 0.001 per min per ml of serum. Serum lysozyme activity was calculated in terms of  $\mu$ g/ml. In order to measure the activity of C3 and C4, the commercial kits (Pars Azmoon, Iran) were used as described by the company.

Mucus total protein was measured by the method described by Lowry et al. [23]. Measurement of total immunoglobulin (total Ig) was performed based on measurement of the total protein content of mucus before and after precipitation of immunoglobulin using a 12% polyethylene glycol solution. The difference between the protein before and after precipitation was considered total immunoglobulin [24]. Lysozyme activity was also measured as described above.

**2.6. Antioxidant Enzyme Analysis.** Catalase (CAT, E.C. 1.11.1.6) activity was measured in serum by the decrease in absorbance at 240 nm ( $e = 40 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) using 50 mM  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  as substrate [25]. Superoxide dismutase (SOD, E.C. 1.15.1.1) activity was measured at 550 nm as the degree of inhibition of cytochrome c reduction by  $\text{O}_2^-$  generated by the xanthine oxidase/hypoxanthine system, according to McCord and Fridovich [26]. The activity of glutathione peroxidase (GPx) was evaluated with a GPx detection kit (Randox, Crumlin, UK) according to the manufacturer's instruction manual and Noguchi et al. [27]. The total amount of lipid peroxidation was assayed by the content of malondialdehyde (MDA) as described by Buege and Aust [28]. Briefly, one volume of serum was mixed with two volumes of a stock solution of 15% w/v trichloroacetic acid, 0.375% w/v thiobarbituric acid, and 0.25 M hydrochloric acid thoroughly. The solution was kept in a boiling water bath for 15 min. After cooling, the precipitate was removed by centrifugation at  $1000 \times g$  for 10 min. The absorbance of the clear supernatant was determined at 535 nm.

**2.7. Statistical Analysis and Calculations.** All data were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard error (mean  $\pm$  SE). The normality of the data and homogeneity of variance were checked by the Shapiro-Wilk and Levene tests, respectively. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare between treatments, and its significance was determined by the Duncan posttest ( $p < 0.05$ ). The tests were performed in SPSS software version 23. The following parameters were also calculated: weight gain (%) =  $100 \times (\text{final weight} - \text{initial weight})/\text{initial weight}$ ; specific growth rate (SGR, %/day) =  $100 \times (\ln \text{ final}$

weight -  $\ln \text{ initial weight})/\text{day}$ ; feed conversion ratio (FCR, g/g) =  $\text{dry feed intake}/(\text{final weight} - \text{initial weight})$ ; and survival rate (%) =  $100 \times \text{final number of fish}/\text{initial number of fish}$ .

### 3. Results

**3.1. Growth Performance and Feed Utilization.** The growth performance and nutrition indices of treatments are shown in Table 1. There were no significant differences in the fish survival rate among treatments at the end of the experiment ( $p > 0.05$ ). Growth indices including the final weight, weight gain, and specific growth rate were significantly higher in the PA-IMO5 treatment compared to the control and other treatments ( $p < 0.05$ ). However, these indices were significantly lower in the IMO10 treatment (containing 10 g/kg IMO) compared to the other groups ( $p < 0.05$ ). The feed conversion ratio in the PA-IMO5 treatment was significantly lower than that in the other groups ( $p < 0.05$ ), but in the IMO10 treatment, it was significantly higher than that in the other groups ( $p < 0.05$ ).

**3.2. Serum Biochemical Parameters.** Serum biochemical parameters of fish fed with PA and IMO are shown in Table 2. Serum protein and albumin values in the PA-IMO5 treatment were significantly higher than those in the control and other groups ( $p < 0.05$ ). Serum globulin values in the PA and PA-IMO5 treatments were significantly higher than those in the other groups ( $p < 0.05$ ). Serum cholesterol, LDL, and glucose levels were significantly lower in the PA and PA-IMO5 treatments ( $p < 0.05$ ), but there were no significant differences in serum triglycerides and HDL levels between the experimental groups ( $p > 0.05$ ).

**3.3. Serum and Mucosal Immune Parameters.** The highest serum lysozyme activity was seen in the PA-IMO5 treatment ( $p < 0.05$ , Table 3). The serum C3 value in the PA-IMO5 and PA-IMO10 treatments was significantly higher compared to that in the other groups ( $p < 0.05$ ). The serum C4 value showed a similar pattern as its higher value was seen in the PA-IMO5 and PA-IMO10 treatments, while its lower amount was recorded in the IMO10 group ( $p < 0.05$ ).

The effects of adding PA and IMO to the diet on mucosal immune parameters are summarized in Table 4. The total protein content of mucus was highest in the PA-IMO5 treatment compared to the other experimental groups ( $p < 0.05$ ), while its lowest amount was observed in the control and IMO10 treatments ( $p < 0.05$ ). Mucus total Ig levels were significantly higher in the PA and PA-IMO5 treatments ( $p < 0.05$ ). The highest mucus lysozyme activity was observed in the PA-IMO5 treatment ( $p < 0.05$ ).

**3.4. Antioxidant Enzyme Activity.** Serum antioxidant enzyme activity showed a similar pattern in the experimental groups (Table 5). Their activity was lowest in the PA-IMO5 and PA-IMO10 treatments ( $p < 0.05$ ). The serum MDA content showed a similar pattern, with its lowest value seen in the PA-IMO5 and PA-IMO10 treatments ( $p < 0.05$ ).

TABLE 1: Growth parameters of common carp fed different levels of *Pediococcus acidilactici* (PA) and isomaltooligosaccharide (IMO) after 8 weeks.

	Control	PA	Experimental diets			
			IMO5	IMO10	PA-IMO5	PA-IMO10
Initial average weight (g)	17.14 ± 0.74 <sup>ns</sup>	17.20 ± 0.82	17.13 ± 0.13	17.25 ± 0.72	17.30 ± 0.70	17.33 ± 0.78
Final average weight (g)	32.69 ± 0.95 <sup>b</sup>	35.08 ± 0.95 <sup>c</sup>	33.59 ± 0.67 <sup>bc</sup>	29.91 ± 0.70 <sup>a</sup>	37.37 ± 1.14 <sup>d</sup>	32.90 ± 0.82 <sup>b</sup>
WG (%)	90.83 ± 6.96 <sup>bc</sup>	104.10 ± 4.39 <sup>cd</sup>	96.26 ± 5.37 <sup>bc</sup>	73.50 ± 4.57 <sup>a</sup>	116.40 ± 9.15 <sup>d</sup>	90.33 ± 10.17 <sup>b</sup>
SGR (%/day)	1.15 ± 0.06 <sup>b</sup>	1.27 ± 0.04 <sup>bc</sup>	1.20 ± 0.05 <sup>b</sup>	0.98 ± 0.04 <sup>a</sup>	1.38 ± 0.07 <sup>c</sup>	1.15 ± 0.09 <sup>b</sup>
FCR	1.92 ± 0.05 <sup>ab</sup>	1.78 ± 0.13 <sup>ab</sup>	1.87 ± 0.18 <sup>ab</sup>	2.24 ± 0.10 <sup>c</sup>	1.71 ± 0.06 <sup>a</sup>	1.98 ± 0.12 <sup>b</sup>
Survival (%)	97.33 ± 2.30 <sup>ns</sup>	98.66 ± 1.33	96.00 ± 4.00	96.00 ± 6.92	98.66 ± 2.30	94.66 ± 2.30

Data expressed as mean ± SE. Different letters in each row indicate a significant difference between the experimental groups ( $p < 0.05$ ,  $n = 3$ ). WG: weight gain; SGR: specific growth rate; FCR: feed conversion ratio.

TABLE 2: Blood biochemical parameters of common carp fed different levels of *Pediococcus acidilactici* (PA) and isomaltooligosaccharide (IMO) after 8 weeks.

	Control	PA	Experimental diets			
			IMO5	IMO10	PA-IMO5	PA-IMO10
Protein (g/dl)	4.35 ± 0.23 <sup>ab</sup>	4.65 ± 0.20 <sup>bc</sup>	4.45 ± 0.16 <sup>ab</sup>	4.21 ± 0.11 <sup>a</sup>	4.81 ± 0.16 <sup>c</sup>	4.47 ± 0.17 <sup>ab</sup>
Albumin (g/dl)	1.28 ± 0.13 <sup>ab</sup>	1.35 ± 0.17 <sup>ab</sup>	1.26 ± 0.11 <sup>a</sup>	1.23 ± 0.05 <sup>a</sup>	1.49 ± 0.07 <sup>b</sup>	1.25 ± 0.09 <sup>a</sup>
Globulin (g/dl)	3.07 ± 0.10 <sup>ab</sup>	3.30 ± 0.08 <sup>b</sup>	3.19 ± 0.05 <sup>ab</sup>	2.98 ± 0.17 <sup>a</sup>	3.32 ± 0.23 <sup>b</sup>	3.22 ± 0.09 <sup>ab</sup>
Albumin/globulin	0.41 ± 0.03 <sup>ns</sup>	0.41 ± 0.05	0.39 ± 0.03	0.41 ± 0.04	0.45 ± 0.05	0.39 ± 0.02
Cholesterol (mg/dl)	144.2 ± 16.4 <sup>ab</sup>	136.8 ± 13.3 <sup>a</sup>	149.7 ± 17.5 <sup>abc</sup>	164.1 ± 14.7 <sup>bc</sup>	136.1 ± 11.3 <sup>a</sup>	171.5 ± 8.8 <sup>c</sup>
Triglycerides (mg/dl)	279.2 ± 40.9 <sup>ns</sup>	258.2 ± 7.3	292.8 ± 12.9	329.1 ± 47.6	255.5 ± 41.04	319.8 ± 56.2
HDL	27.96 ± 2.40 <sup>ns</sup>	30.13 ± 2.85	28.08 ± 1.62	27.16 ± 1.72	31.85 ± 3.70	27.38 ± 2.93
LDL	32.40 ± 3.45 <sup>b</sup>	17.48 ± 1.86 <sup>a</sup>	30.90 ± 3.97 <sup>b</sup>	34.87 ± 2.96 <sup>b</sup>	15.49 ± 1.48 <sup>a</sup>	27.00 ± 7.87 <sup>b</sup>
Glucose (mg/dl)	74.83 ± 3.07 <sup>b</sup>	62.77 ± 2.12 <sup>a</sup>	74.13 ± 3.66 <sup>b</sup>	77.36 ± 3.68 <sup>b</sup>	63.78 ± 4.66 <sup>a</sup>	75.41 ± 4.06 <sup>b</sup>

Data expressed as mean ± SE. Different letters in each row indicate a significant difference between the experimental groups ( $p < 0.05$ ,  $n = 3$ ). HDL: high-density lipoproteins; LDL: low-density lipoproteins.

TABLE 3: Blood immune parameters of common carp fed different levels of *Pediococcus acidilactici* (PA) and isomaltooligosaccharide (IMO) after 8 weeks.

	Control	PA	Experimental diets			
			IMO5	IMO10	PA-IMO5	PA-IMO10
Lysozyme (units/ml)	18.67 ± 0.62 <sup>b</sup>	21.73 ± 0.51 <sup>c</sup>	18.64 ± 0.61 <sup>b</sup>	17.50 ± 0.53 <sup>a</sup>	23.52 ± 0.57 <sup>d</sup>	18.48 ± 0.47 <sup>ab</sup>
Complement C3 (mg/dl)	8.21 ± 0.15 <sup>a</sup>	8.34 ± 0.08 <sup>a</sup>	8.23 ± 0.24 <sup>a</sup>	8.03 ± 0.17 <sup>a</sup>	9.29 ± 0.25 <sup>b</sup>	9.31 ± 0.14 <sup>b</sup>
Complement C4 (mg/dl)	2.33 ± 0.14 <sup>ab</sup>	2.46 ± 0.13 <sup>bc</sup>	2.28 ± 0.17 <sup>ab</sup>	2.08 ± 0.07 <sup>a</sup>	2.68 ± 0.10 <sup>c</sup>	2.60 ± 0.17 <sup>c</sup>

Data expressed as mean ± SE. Different letters in each row indicate a significant difference between the experimental groups ( $p < 0.05$ ,  $n = 3$ ).

TABLE 4: Mucosal immune parameters of common carp fed different levels of *Pediococcus acidilactici* (PA) and isomaltooligosaccharide (IMO) after 8 weeks.

	Control	PA	Experimental diets			
			IMO5	IMO10	PA-IMO5	PA-IMO10
Protein (mg/ml)	12.44 ± 0.17 <sup>a</sup>	20.28 ± 0.18 <sup>c</sup>	16.58 ± 0.50 <sup>b</sup>	12.53 ± 0.34 <sup>a</sup>	23.54 ± 0.43 <sup>d</sup>	16.53 ± 0.46 <sup>b</sup>
Total Ig (mg/ml)	4.64 ± 0.51 <sup>a</sup>	9.73 ± 0.33 <sup>d</sup>	7.51 ± 0.42 <sup>c</sup>	5.32 ± 0.29 <sup>a</sup>	10.14 ± 0.30 <sup>d</sup>	6.45 ± 0.47 <sup>b</sup>
Lysozyme activity (U/ml)	8.40 ± 0.35 <sup>a</sup>	9.53 ± 0.44 <sup>b</sup>	8.47 ± 0.31 <sup>a</sup>	8.38 ± 0.35 <sup>a</sup>	10.54 ± 0.40 <sup>c</sup>	9.23 ± 0.18 <sup>b</sup>

Data expressed as mean ± SE. Different letters in each row indicate a significant difference between the experimental groups ( $p < 0.05$ ,  $n = 3$ ). Total Ig: total immunoglobulin.

TABLE 5: Blood antioxidant enzyme activity and MDA value of common carp fed different levels of *Pediococcus acidilactici* (PA) and isomaltooligosaccharide (IMO) after 8 weeks.

	Experimental diets					
	Control	PA	IMO5	IMO10	PA-IMO5	PA-IMO10
CAT (units/ml)	4.29 ± 0.27 <sup>b</sup>	4.16 ± 0.41 <sup>b</sup>	4.25 ± 0.38 <sup>b</sup>	3.52 ± 0.32 <sup>b</sup>	3.09 ± 0.18 <sup>a</sup>	3.13 ± 0.25 <sup>a</sup>
SOD (units/ml)	32.31 ± 2.35 <sup>b</sup>	32.15 ± 3.15 <sup>b</sup>	33.64 ± 2.81 <sup>b</sup>	34.51 ± 3.03 <sup>b</sup>	25.49 ± 1.76 <sup>a</sup>	27.09 ± 2.25 <sup>a</sup>
GPx (munits/ml)	25.39 ± 2.68 <sup>b</sup>	26.71 ± 3.17 <sup>b</sup>	25.63 ± 2.54 <sup>b</sup>	27.48 ± 2.91 <sup>b</sup>	21.55 ± 2.27 <sup>a</sup>	20.59 ± 2.37 <sup>a</sup>
MDA (mM/ml)	95.15 ± 6.82 <sup>b</sup>	96.23 ± 7.41 <sup>b</sup>	99.71 ± 7.19 <sup>b</sup>	97.96 ± 8.34 <sup>b</sup>	88.66 ± 6.22 <sup>a</sup>	86.27 ± 6.51 <sup>a</sup>

Data expressed as mean ± SE. Different letters in each row indicate a significant difference between the experimental groups ( $p < 0.05$ ,  $n = 3$ ). CAT: catalase; SOD: superoxide dismutase; GPx: glutathione peroxidase; MDA: malondialdehyde.

#### 4. Discussion

Our findings showed that adding 1 g/kg PA and 5 g/kg IMO to the diet (PA-IMO5 treatment) significantly increased the final weight, weight gain, and specific growth rate of common carp compared to the control and other groups, which may be attributed to an elevated digestibility of the prebiotic or the enhancement of colonization of the probiotic [29]. The feed conversion ratio was also significantly lower in the PA-IMO5 treatment than in the other groups. Similarly, the highest growth performance was reported in juvenile common carp fed the combination of PA and raffinose (synbiotic) [5]. However, growth indices were significantly lower in the IMO10 treatment (containing 10 g/kg IMO) compared to the other groups, possibly because of the inappropriate dose of IMO for fermentation by probiotics [17].

There is a lot of research reporting the positive effects of PA alone or in combination with prebiotics on growth performance and nutrition indices in different fish species [7, 30, 31]. European sea bass fed dietary PA as a probiotic had significantly higher growth parameters and villus height compared to the control [32]. Besides, probiotics alter the bacterial community in support of improved water quality and subsequently fish growth performance [33]. Moreover, Romano et al. [13] reported that the FCR tended to be lower for juvenile African catfish fed the pregelatinized starch and pregelatinized starch plus isomaltooligosaccharide diets. Dietary pregelatinized starch and isomaltooligosaccharide may enhance available energy for the fish [13]. The positive impact of dietary IMO on fish growth performance is related to its gut mucosa protective function and better nutrient absorption [7]. Prebiotics increase the population of beneficial intestinal bacteria, especially *Bifidobacterium* and lactic acid bacteria. Due to the fermentative condition and acid production in the host intestine, intestinal pH decreases, and therefore, the activity of harmful and pathogenic bacteria is inhibited and the uptake of minerals in the lumen increases [12]. Our results showed that the combination of probiotics (PA) and prebiotics (IMO) as a synbiotic led to an improvement of the growth performance of common carp. This improvement may be due to the effects of probiotic and prebiotic compounds in modifying the intestinal microbial flora [34]. Beneficial bacteria of the gastrointestinal tract have been shown to facilitate the process of digestion and absorption of food and increase its nutritional value, as well as reduce energy consumption by producing

enzymes and metabolic substances [35]. The positive effects of synbiotic compounds that have been reported in rainbow trout [36], Japanese flounder [37], common carp [5], and European sea bass [7] support our findings.

A strong innate immune response is related to increasing values of proteins, such as albumin and globulin, which represent the major proteins in serum [38]. In our study, serum protein and albumin values in the PA-IMO5 treatment were significantly higher than those in the control and other groups. Also, serum globulin values in the PA and PA-IMO5 treatments were significantly higher than those in the other groups, indicating that PA or PA in combination with 5 g/kg IMO diets can act as immunostimulants [14, 16].

In this study, a hypocholesterolemia effect was seen after feeding PA or PA-IMO5 diet and the lowest serum cholesterol and LDL levels were recorded in the PA or synbiotic (PA-IMO5) group. These findings confirmed that dietary PA or PA in combination with 5 g/kg IMO can improve the lipid metabolism of juvenile common carp. Serum triglycerides and HDL values were not affected by the experimental diets. Data on the effects of synbiotics on lipid metabolism in fish are contradictory. In Japanese flounder (*Paralichthys olivaceus*), dietary probiotics, prebiotics, and synbiotics decreased triglycerides and LDL but had no effect on cholesterol and HDL [37]. Triglyceride-lowering effects of dietary *Pediococcus pentosaceus* were also reported in common carp, while cholesterol and glucose values did not change following the application of *P. pentosaceus* compared to the control treatment [39]. Moreover, serum glucose levels were significantly lower in the PA and PA-IMO5 treatments. Thus, the PA and PA-IMO5 diets can prevent the increase in glucose values caused by stress.

In the present study, the highest lysozyme activity in serum and mucus was observed in the PA-IMO5 treatment. The serum C3 value in the synbiotic treatments was highest. The serum C4 value showed a similar pattern as its higher value was seen in the synbiotic treatments, while its lower amount was recorded in the IMO10 group. The total protein content of mucus was also highest in the PA-IMO5 treatment compared to the other experimental groups, while its lowest amount was observed in the control and IMO10 treatments. In shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*), while the impact of 0.2% isomaltooligosaccharide individually was negligible, 0.2% isomaltooligosaccharide in combination with  $10^8$  CFU/g diet *Bacillus* as a probiotic had significantly positive effects on immune parameters and disease

resistance [14]. In the study of Modanloo et al. [6], single or combined administration of galactooligosaccharide and PA significantly enhanced mucus lysozyme activity in common carp fingerlings, with the highest elevation recorded in the probiotic and synbiotic groups. Mucus total Ig levels were significantly higher in the PA and PA-IMO5 treatments in our study. In the study of Hoseinifar et al. [5], probiotics (PA) or PA in combination with 2 g raffinose/kg diet significantly enhanced skin mucus total Ig and protein values compared to the control and prebiotic groups. According to Mohammadi et al. [40], dietary pistachio hull-derived polysaccharide and *P. acidilactici* improved skin mucus and blood immune responses in Nile tilapia. It could be concluded that PA improves the absorption of food in the digestive system [41], which is due to the richness and high diversity of gastrointestinal tract microbial flora, resulting in improved immune system function [42]. In our study, the enhanced immune responses may be related to higher immune ability and general health following dietary supplementation with PA and IMO. It is reported that synbiotics can stimulate immune responses by short-chain fatty acids, which may play a role as an energy source for intestinal epithelial cells and also as a messenger between gut microbiota and immune function through transcriptional pathways [43]. Besides, prebiotics and probiotics may directly induce the bacterial activity of skin lymphoid tissues, modulate the transcription of immune genes, and defend the epidermal skin cells during the pathogenic challenge [44, 45].

Normally, reactive oxygen species (ROS) produced during aerobic metabolism can destroy the cell walls and tissues of the organisms and lead to the weakness or death of the organisms [46]. A positive relationship between health status and antioxidant defense of organisms had been reported [47]. CAT, SOD, and GPx are important antioxidant enzymes that can remove excessive free radicals, reducing the toxicity of ROS. Serum CAT, SOD, and GPx activities were lowest in the synbiotic treatments in our study. However, despite the lower values of antioxidant enzymes, serum MDA contents were also significantly decreased in the synbiotic treatments, suggesting that synbiotic diets can decrease lipid peroxidation and improve the oxidative status in common carp. Hoseinifar et al. [48] had reported similar results in rainbow trout fed galactooligosaccharide and PA. A positive aspect of using probiotics in diets is the improvement of antioxidant defense mechanisms [49]. Also, dietary PA improved the antioxidant defense of *Litopenaeus stylirostris* during the *Vibrio nigripulchritudo* challenge [50]. In rainbow trout fed the prebiotic, probiotic, and synbiotic diets, an increase in antioxidant enzyme activity had been linked to the effects of these dietary supplements on the translation process and/or posttranslational process of this antioxidant enzyme gene expression [51].

In conclusion, our study demonstrated that the combined use of 1 g/kg ( $10^{10}$  CFU/kg) *P. acidilactici* and 5 g/kg isomaltooligosaccharide in the diet improved growth performance, feed utilization, blood biochemical parameters, serum (lysozyme, complements C3 and C4) and mucosal (protein, total Ig, and lysozyme) immune responses, and antioxidant defense in fish. Therefore, the combined admin-

istration of 1 g/kg ( $10^{10}$  CFU/kg) PA and 5 g/kg IMO can be recommended as a beneficial synbiotic additive and immunostimulant in juvenile common carp. In future studies, a similar experiment should be supplemented by a challenge test. Detection of intestinal flora and histological observations can also be suggested.

## Data Availability

There is no data available for sharing.

## Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## Authors' Contributions

The contributions of the authors involved in this study are as follows: Milad Maniat: experimental design and early draft; Nasim Zanguee: experimental design and final draft; Amir Parviz Salati: antioxidant analysis; Seyed Mohammad Mousavi: biochemical analysis; and Seyed Hossein Hoseinifar: data analysis.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Khorramshahr University of Marine Science and Technology (grant number 225). Also, the authors wish to thank Takgene Co. (Tehran, Iran) for providing the probiotic.

## References

- [1] FAO, *The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2022. Towards Blue Transformation*, FAO organization, Rome, FAO, 2022.
- [2] R. H. Hamdan, T. L. Peng, B. L. Ong et al., *Antibiotics resistance of Vibrio spp. isolated from diseased seabass and tilapia in cage culture*, Proceeding of International Seminar on Livestock Production and Veterinary Technology, Indonesia, 2016.
- [3] S. B. Chakraborty and C. Hancz, "Application of phytochemicals as immunostimulant, antipathogenic and antistress agents in finfish culture," *Reviews in Aquaculture*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 103–119, 2011.
- [4] S. Denev, Y. Staykov, R. Moutafchieva, and G. Beev, "Microbial ecology of the gastrointestinal tract of fish and the potential application of probiotics and prebiotics in finfish aquaculture," *International Aquatic Research*, vol. 1, 2009.
- [5] S. H. Hoseinifar, M. Hosseini, H. Paknejad et al., "Enhanced mucosal immune responses, immune related genes and growth performance in common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) juveniles fed dietary *Pediococcus acidilactici* MA18/5M and raffinose," *Developmental & Comparative Immunology*, vol. 94, pp. 59–65, 2019.
- [6] M. Modanloo, S. Soltanian, M. Akhlaghi, and S. H. Hoseinifar, "The effects of single or combined administration of galactooligosaccharide and *Pediococcus acidilactici* on cutaneous mucus immune parameters, humoral immune responses and immune related genes expression in common carp (*Cyprinus*

- carpio*) fingerlings,” *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, vol. 70, pp. 391–397, 2017.
- [7] S. Torrecillas, F. Rivero-Ramírez, M. S. Izquierdo et al., “Feeding European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) juveniles with a functional synbiotic additive (mannan oligosaccharides and *Pediococcus acidilactici*): an effective tool to reduce low fish-meal and fish oil gut health effects?,” *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, vol. 81, pp. 10–20, 2018.
- [8] F. J. Gatesoupe, “The use of probiotics in aquaculture,” *Aquaculture*, vol. 180, no. 1-2, pp. 147–165, 1999.
- [9] R. M. W. Ferguson, D. L. Merrifield, G. M. Harper et al., “The effect of *Pediococcus acidilactici* on the gut microbiota and immune status of on-growing red tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*),” *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, vol. 109, no. 3, pp. 851–862, 2010.
- [10] S. Anastasiadou, M. Papagianni, G. Filiouis, I. Ambrosiadis, and P. Koidis, “Pediocin SA-1, an antimicrobial peptide from *Pediococcus acidilactici* NRRL B5627: production conditions, purification and characterization,” *Bioresource Technology*, vol. 99, no. 13, pp. 5384–5390, 2008.
- [11] A. K. Bhunia, M. C. Johnson, B. Ray, and E. L. Belden, “Antigenic property of pediocin AcH produced by *Pediococcus acidilactici* H,” *Journal of Applied Bacteriology*, vol. 69, no. 2, pp. 211–215, 1990.
- [12] E. Ringø, R. E. Olsen, T. Gifstad et al., “Prebiotics in aquaculture: a review,” *Aquaculture Nutrition*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 117–136, 2010.
- [13] N. Romano, N. Kanmani, M. Ebrahimi et al., “Combination of dietary pre-gelatinized starch and isomaltooligosaccharides improved pellet characteristics, subsequent feeding efficiencies and physiological status in African catfish, *Clarias gariepinus*, juveniles,” *Aquaculture*, vol. 484, pp. 293–302, 2018.
- [14] J. Li, B. Tan, and K. Mai, “Dietary probiotic *Bacillus* OJ and isomaltooligosaccharides influence the intestine microbial populations, immune responses and resistance to white spot syndrome virus in shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*),” *Aquaculture*, vol. 291, no. 1-2, pp. 35–40, 2009.
- [15] O. O. Adebola, O. Corcoran, and W. A. Morgan, “Synbiotics: the impact of potential prebiotics inulin, lactulose and lactobionic acid on the survival and growth of lactobacilli probiotics,” *Journal of Functional Foods*, vol. 10, pp. 75–84, 2014.
- [16] S. Miao, B. Han, C. Zhao et al., “Effects of dietary *Pediococcus acidilactici* GY2 single or combined with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and/or  $\beta$ -glucan on the growth, innate immunity response and disease resistance of *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*,” *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, vol. 98, pp. 68–76, 2020.
- [17] G. Ashouri, N. Mahboobi Soofiani, S. H. Hoseinifar et al., “Combined effects of dietary low molecular weight sodium alginate and *Pediococcus acidilactici* MA18/5M on growth performance, haematological and innate immune responses of Asian sea bass (*Lates calcalifer*) juveniles,” *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, vol. 79, pp. 34–41, 2018.
- [18] M. Naderi, S. Keyvanshokoo, A. Ghaedi, and A. P. Salati, “Interactive effects of dietary Nano selenium and vitamin E on growth, haematology, innate immune responses, antioxidant status and muscle composition of rainbow trout under high rearing density,” *Aquaculture Nutrition*, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 1156–1168, 2019.
- [19] H. Adineh, M. Naderi, H. Jafaryan, M. Khademi Hamidi, M. Yousefi, and E. Ahmadifar, “Effect of stocking density and dietary protein level in biofloc system on the growth, digestive and antioxidant enzyme activities, health, and resistance to acute crowding stress in juvenile common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*),” *Aquaculture Nutrition*, vol. 2022, article 9344478, 12 pages, 2022.
- [20] N. W. Ross, K. J. Firth, A. Wang, J. F. Burka, and S. C. Johnson, “Changes in hydrolytic enzyme activities of naïve Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar* skin mucus due to infection with the salmon louse *Lepeophtheirus salmonis* and cortisol implantation,” *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 43–51, 2000.
- [21] S. Subramanian, S. MacKinnon, and N. A. Ross, “Comparative study on innate immune parameters in the epidermal mucus of various fish species,” *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B: Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, vol. 148, pp. 256–263, 2007.
- [22] A. E. Ellis, “Serum antiproteases in fish and lysozyme assays,” in *Techniques in Fish Immunology*, J. S. Stolen, T. C. Fletcher, D. P. Anderson, B. S. Roberson, and W. B. Muiswinkel, Eds., pp. 95–103, SOS Publications, Fair Haven, NJ, 1990.
- [23] O. H. Lowry, N. J. Rosebrough, A. L. Farr, and R. J. Randall, “Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent,” *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 193, pp. 265–275, 1951.
- [24] A. K. Siwicki and D. P. Anderson, “Disease Diagnosis and Prevention Methods,” in *FAO-Project GCP/INT/526/JPN*, A. K. Siwicki, D. P. Anderson, and J. Waluga, Eds., pp. 105–111, IFI, Olsztyn, 1993.
- [25] H. Aebi, “[13] Catalase *in vitro*,” *Methods in Enzymology*, vol. 105, pp. 121–126, 1984.
- [26] J. M. McCord and I. Fridovich, “Superoxide dismutase: an enzymic function for erythrocuprein (hemocuprein),” *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 244, no. 22, pp. 6049–6055, 1969.
- [27] T. Noguchi, A. H. Cantor, and M. L. Scott, “Mode of action of selenium and vitamin E in prevention of exudative diathesis in chicks,” *The Journal of Nutrition*, vol. 103, no. 10, pp. 1502–1511, 1973.
- [28] J. A. Buege and S. D. Aust, “[30] Microsomal lipid peroxidation,” *Methods in Enzymology*, vol. 52, pp. 302–310, 1978.
- [29] T.-G. Huynh, Y.-L. Shiu, T.-P. Nguyen, Q.-P. Truong, J.-C. Chen, and C.-H. Liu, “Current applications, selection, and possible mechanisms of actions of synbiotics in improving the growth and health status in aquaculture: a review,” *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, vol. 64, pp. 367–382, 2017.
- [30] M. Mohammadi Arani, A. P. Salati, S. Keyvanshokoo, and O. Safari, “The effect of *Pediococcus acidilactici* on mucosal immune responses, growth, and reproductive performance in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*),” *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 153–162, 2021.
- [31] A. R. Valipour, N. Hamed Shahraiki, and H. Abdollahpour Biria, “Effects of probiotic (*Pediococcus acidilactici*) on growth and survival of kutum (*Rutilus kutum*) fingerlings,” *Iranian Journal of Fisheries Sciences*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 35–46, 2018.
- [32] E.-S. H. Eissa, E. S. Baghdady, A. Y. Gaafar et al., “Assessing the influence of dietary *Pediococcus acidilactici* probiotic supplementation in the feed of European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax* L.) (Linnaeus, 1758) on farm water quality, growth, feed utilization, survival rate, body composition, blood biochemical parameters, and intestinal histology,” *Aquaculture Nutrition*, vol. 2022, article 5841220, 11 pages, 2022.
- [33] J. L. Balcázar, I. De Blas, I. Ruiz-Zarzuola, D. Cunningham, D. Vendrell, and J. L. Múzquiz, “The role of probiotics in aquaculture,” *Veterinary Microbiology*, vol. 114, no. 3-4, pp. 173–186, 2006.

- [34] A. S. Mahious, J. van Loo, and F. Liefbrig, *Inulin and oligofructose in aquaculture: a review*, Aquaculture Europe, 2007.
- [35] C. L. Daniels, D. L. Merrifield, D. P. Boothroyd, S. J. Davies, J. R. Factor, and K. E. Arnold, "Effect of dietary *Bacillus* spp. and mannan oligosaccharides (MOS) on European lobster (*Homarus gammarus* L.) larvae growth performance, gut morphology and gut microbiota," *Aquaculture*, vol. 304, no. 1-4, pp. 49–57, 2010.
- [36] Z. Mehrabi, F. Firouzbaksh, and A. Jafarpour, "Effects of dietary supplementation of synbiotic on growth performance, serum biochemical parameters and carcass composition in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) fingerlings," *Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition*, vol. 96, no. 3, pp. 474–481, 2012.
- [37] J. D. Ye, K. Wang, F. D. Li, and Y. Z. Sun, "Single or combined effects of fructo- and mannan oligosaccharide supplements and *Bacillus clausii* on the growth, feed utilization, body composition, digestive enzyme activity, innate immune response and lipid metabolism of the Japanese flounder *Paralichthys olivaceus*," *Aquaculture Nutrition*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. e902–e911, 2011.
- [38] S. V. Rama Rao, M. V. L. N. Raju, A. K. Panda, and M. R. Reddy, "Sunflower seed meal as a substitute for soybean meal in commercial broiler chicken diets," *British Poultry Science*, vol. 47, no. 5, pp. 592–598, 2006.
- [39] E. Ahmadifar, T. H. Sadegh, M. A. Dawood, M. Dadar, and N. Sheikhzadeh, "The effects of dietary *Pediococcus pentosaceus* on growth performance, hemato-immunological parameters and digestive enzyme activities of common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*)," *Aquaculture*, vol. 516, article 734656, 2020.
- [40] G. Mohammadi, A. A. Karimi, M. Hafezieh, M. A. Dawood, and H. G. Abo-Al-Ela, "Pistachio hull polysaccharide protects Nile tilapia against LPS-induced excessive inflammatory responses and oxidative stress, possibly via TLR2 and Nrf2 signaling pathways," *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, vol. 121, pp. 276–284, 2022.
- [41] L. J. Fooks and G. R. Gibson, "Probiotics as modulators of the gut flora," *British Journal of Nutrition*, vol. 88, no. S1, pp. s39–s49, 2002.
- [42] A. Abid, S. J. Davies, P. Waines et al., "Dietary synbiotic application modulates Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) intestinal microbial communities and intestinal immunity," *Fish and Shellfish Immunology*, vol. 35, no. 6, pp. 1948–1956, 2013.
- [43] R. Corrêa-Oliveira, J. L. Fachi, A. Vieira, F. T. Sato, and M. A. R. Vinolo, "Regulation of immune cell function by short-chain fatty acids," *Clinical & translational immunology*, vol. 5, no. 4, article e73, 2016.
- [44] C. M. A. Caipang and C. C. Lazado, "Nutritional impacts on fish mucosa: immunostimulants, pre-and probiotics," in *Mucosal Health in Aquaculture*, pp. 211–272, Elsevier, 2015.
- [45] C. C. Lazado and C. M. A. Caipang, "Mucosal immunity and probiotics in fish," *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 78–89, 2014.
- [46] M. Najafpour-Moghaddam, A. P. Salati, S. Keyvanshokoo, V. Yavari, and H. Pasha-Zanoosi, "Effects of dietary administration of purple coneflower on growth, hematology and non-specific immune parameters in juvenile sterlet (*Acipenser ruthenus*)," *Iranian Journal of Ichthyology*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 54–61, 2017.
- [47] R. M. Martínez-Álvarez, A. E. Morales, and A. Sanz, "Antioxidant defenses in fish: biotic and abiotic factors," *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries*, vol. 15, no. 1-2, pp. 75–88, 2005.
- [48] S. H. Hoseinifar, S. M. Hoseini, and D. Bagheri, "Effects of galactooligosaccharide and *Pediococcus acidilactici* on antioxidant defence and disease resistance of rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*," *Annals of Animal Science*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 217–227, 2017.
- [49] M. Mohammadi Arani, A. P. Salati, O. Safari, and S. Keyvanshokoo, "Dietary supplementation effects of *Pediococcus acidilactici* as probiotic on growth performance, digestive enzyme activities and immunity response in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*)," *Aquaculture Nutrition*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 854–861, 2019.
- [50] M. Castex, P. Lemaire, N. Wabete, and L. Chim, "Effect of probiotic *Pediococcus acidilactici* on antioxidant defences and oxidative stress of *Litopenaeus stylirostris* under *Vibrio nigripulchritudo* challenge," *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 622–631, 2010.
- [51] C. N. Zhang, X. F. Li, W. N. Xu et al., "Combined effects of dietary fructooligosaccharide and *Bacillus licheniformis* on innate immunity, antioxidant capability and disease resistance of triangular bream (*Megalobrama terminalis*)," *Fish and Shellfish Immunology*, vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 1380–1386, 2013.