

Research Article

Effects of Dietary Protein and Lipid Levels in Practical Formulation on Growth, Feed Utilization, Body Composition, and Serum Biochemical Parameters of Growing Rockfish Sebastes schlegeli

Peiyu Li^(D),¹ Zhidong Song^(D),¹ Long Huang^(D),² Yongzhi Sun^(D),¹ Yuming Sun^(D),³ Xiaoyan Wang^(D),¹ and Lu Li^(D)

¹Yantai Key Laboratory of Quality and Safety Control and Deep Processing of Marine Food, Shandong Marine Resource and Environment Research Institute, Yantai 264006, China ²Yantai Zhulin Human Resources Service Co. Ltd, Yantai 264006, China ³Shandong Shengsuo Feed Technology Co. Ltd, Yantai 265500, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Zhidong Song; szd892@126.com

Received 18 May 2023; Revised 7 July 2023; Accepted 18 July 2023; Published 8 August 2023

Academic Editor: Houguo Xu

Copyright © 2023 Peiyu Li et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

A 3×2 factorial experiment (protein levels, 42%, 46%, 50%; lipid levels, 9%, 12%) with three replicates was conducted in a circulating water system to investigate the effects of dietary protein and lipid levels on growth, feed utilization, body composition, and serum biochemical parameters of growing rockfish Sebastes schlegeli (initial weight, 29.98 ± 0.10 g). After an 8 weeks feeding trial, growth performance in terms of final body weight, percent weight gain, and specific growth rate increased with the increase of dietary protein level when fish fed diets containing a consistent level of dietary lipid. The feed conversion rate and daily feed intake were significantly affected by dietary protein and lipid levels, and decreased as dietary protein level increased from 42% to 46% or dietary lipid level increased from 9% to 12% (P<0.05). Survival rate, viscerosomatic index, and hepatosomatic index were unaffected by dietary protein level (P > 0.05), but significantly increased with the increase of dietary lipid level (P < 0.05). On the contrary, condition factor was unaffected by dietary lipid level (P > 0.05), but significantly increased with dietary protein level increasing up to 46% (P < 0.05). The moisture contents of muscle and liver significantly decreased, but the whole-body crude lipid content, the crude protein and lipid contents of muscle increased as dietary protein or lipid level increased (P < 0.05). The contents of isoleucine, leucine, histidine, glycine, alanine of muscle, as well as the proportions of C14:0, C20:1, and C22:1n-9 in total fatty acids were higher in fish fed diets containing 12% lipid than those fed 9% lipid (P<0.05), while C18:1n-9 and C18:2n-6 followed an opposite trend. The contents of phenylalanine, lysine, and tyrosine as well as the proportions of C18:0, C18:2n-6, C22:1n-9, and C22: 6n-3 in total fatty acids decreased with the increase of dietary protein level (P < 0.05). Serum cholesterol and low-density lipoproteins increased significantly with dietary protein or lipid levels increasing, but TG concentration was elevated significantly in fish fed diets containing 12% lipid. Considering the present results in terms of growth and feed utilization, the suitable protein and lipid levels in diet for growing rockfish were 46% and 12%, respectively.

1. Introduction

Dietary protein and lipid are two expensive macronutrients in fish aquafeeds affecting fish growth performance and feed cost [1]. Due to the poor carbohydrate utilization by fish, especially by carnivorous fish, the energy needed for growth and metabolism is mainly provided by dietary protein and lipid [2]. Without adequate alternative energy sources (lipid) to meet energy demands in feed, some of the dietary protein consumed have to be degraded to support the energy demands for tissue synthesis and metabolism, resulting in a high protein requirement. Lipid has more than twice as many calories per gram as carbohydrate and protein [3]. Therefore, sufficient lipid sources are supplemented in the feed to meet general energy requirement, allowing fish to direct the maximum level of available dietary protein to growth. This is defined as protein-sparing effect of lipid, which is beneficial to reduce feed cost and nitrogenous waste output in fish farming [4]. In recent years, some research findings have evidenced that diets with appropriate protein and lipid levels are performing well in terms of fish growth and feed utilization, while a sparing effect on protein by increased dietary lipid has also been found in several fish [5–7]. However, most commercial feeds containing relatively high level of protein and lipid are applied in offshore cage farming, resulting in feed waste, and potential environmental pollution. Moreover, dietary protein and lipid levels also affect tissue lipid accumulation, health status, and basal metabolism, and consequently influence fish survival.

Rockfish is an economically important marine carnivorous fish, widely distributed in Japan, Korea, and northeast coast of China [8]. In recent years, its wild population has declined rapidly in some areas because of overfishing [9]. However, rising fish consumption has led to increased focus on production of fish in cages. Rockfish is a suitable species for offshore cage culture and stock enhancement for its high growth rate, disease resistance, and cold tolerance. Because of its economic and ecological importance, efforts have been made to improve the productivity of rockfish, including seed production, nutrition regulation, vaccine development, and so on. The recent research on nutritional regulation mainly focuses on dietary macronutrients requirements, especially protein (54.0%, [10]) and lipid (17.3%, [11]). In addition, an early study reported that the optimum protein and lipid levels for growth and feed utilization of rockfish fry were 50% and 15%, 45% and 19%, pointing to the obvious protein-sparing effect of lipid [12]. Small rockfish with an initial weight of less than 3.0 g were used in the above experiments. In China, however, large-size fries (>30 g) are preferred for offshore cage culture due to the excellent environmental adaptability and high survival. Dietary protein and lipid requirements are influenced by fish size, environment, and feed formulation. Up to now, there were no reports regarding to the proportion optimization of dietary protein and lipid for large-size rockfish fries. Thus, further research is required for the development of rockfish feed with an optimal balance between protein and lipid contents, achieving an efficient use of dietary protein. The aim of this study is to obtain an economically acceptable formula with an optimal proportion of protein and lipid, by investigating the effects of different dietary protein and lipid levels on growth, feed utilization, body composition, and serum biochemical parameters.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Experimental Diets. Six experimental diets were formulated in a 3×2 factorial design to include three protein levels (42%, 46%, and 50%) and two lipid levels (9% and 12%), producing P/E ratios in the range of 21.99–27.07 mg protein kJ⁻¹ (Table 1). Fishmeal, soybean meal hydrolysate, and soybean protein concentrate were used as the main protein sources and incorporated in a fixed proportion to ensure the same amino acid pattern in all diets. Fish oil was used

as the single lipid source for energy. Crystalline methionine was added in all test diets to avoid methionine deficiency. The solid ingredients were ground with a grinder to pass through a 60 mesh sieve. The trace components were mixed by gradually expanding. All ingredients were thoroughly mixed in a feed mixer, and then fish oil and distilled water were added and mixed to homogeneity. The mixtures were then extruded into 3.0 mm pellets with a double-screw extruder machine (G-250, machine factory of South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, China). All pellets were placed in a forced ventilation oven at 60°C and airdried to approximately 6% moisture. Dried diets were sealed in plastic bags and stored at -20° C until used. The formula and proximate composition of the experimental diets are shown in Table 1. Dietary amino acids compositions and fatty acids proportions in total fatty acids are shown in Table 2 and Table 3, respectively.

2.2. The Feeding Trial Management. The feeding trial was conducted in a recirculating aquaculture system in Dongying Experimental Base of Shandong Marine Resource and Environment Research Institute (Yantai, China). Rockfish were purchased from a commercial fish farm (Weihai, China). Prior to the start of the experiment, fish were fed a commercial diet for 2 weeks and acclimated to the experimental conditions. Thereafter, 540 rockfish with similar sizes (initial average weight, 29.98 ± 0.10 g) were randomly assigned to 18 fiber glasstanks (L-100 cm, W-50 cm, H-80 cm) with a density of 30 fish per tank. Each experimental diet was fed randomly to triplicate tanks of fish. All fish were fed two times daily (8:00 and 16:00) to apparent satiation, and the feed intake was recorded. During the 56 days trial, water temperature was maintained at $17^{\circ}C \pm 1$, pH between 7.0 and 7.5, salinity 27.0 ± 1.00 , unionized ammonia nitrogen $<0.05 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$, and dissolved oxygen >5.0 mg/L. The water quality parameters were monitored periodically.

2.3. Sample Collections. At the end of the feeding trial, fish in each tank were starved for 24 hr. The total number and final weight (FW) of rockfish in each tank were measured. Fifteen fish were randomly taken from each tank and anesthetized with MS-222 (3-aminobenzoic acid ethyl ester methanesulfonate, 45 mg/L) prior to sampling. Five out of 15 fish were used for body composition analysis. Other 10 fish were individually measured for body weight and length, collected blood with a syringe from the caudal vein, and then were dissected for viscera, liver, and muscle. Blood samples were centrifuged at 4,000 g under 4°C for 10 min (centrifugeCT15RE; Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan). The serum was separated and stored at -80° C until analysis of serum biochemical parameters. All tissue samples were frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80° C for the analysis of proximate composition.

2.4. *Growth Calculation*. The growth parameters and diet utilization were calculated according to the following formulas:

Weight gain rate (WGR, %) = (final weight - initial weight) (g)/initial weight (g) × 100;

Aquaculture Nutrition

	*	-	*			
Ta and diameter			Experime	ntal diets		
Ingredients	P50L12	P50L9	P46L12	P46L9	P42L12	P42L9
Fish meal ¹	36	36	33	33	30	30
Hydrolyzed soybean meal ²	12	12	11	11	10	10
Soybean protein concentrate ³	27	27	24.75	24.75	22.5	22.5
Microcrystalline cellulose ⁴	3.69	6.69	9.75	12.75	15.81	18.81
Fish oil ⁵	8.14	5.14	8.33	5.33	8.52	5.52
α -starch ⁶	9	9	9	9	9	9
Vitaminpremix ⁷	1	1	1	1	1	1
Mineral premix ⁸	1	1	1	1	1	1
Antioxidant ⁹	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
Choline chloride ¹⁰	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Betaine ¹¹	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Soybean lecithin ¹²	1	1	1	1	1	1
Methionine ¹³	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100
Proximate composition						
Crude protein	50.65	50.38	46.34	46.59	42.70	42.61
Crude lipid	11.85	9.26	11.93	8.61	11.48	8.86
Crude ash	13.56	13.52	12.46	12.51	11.55	11.59
Digestible energy (kJ/g)	18.96	18.05	18.47	17.52	17.80	17.12
$P/E (mg kJ^{-1})$	26.28	27.07	24.02	25.06	21.99	22.80

TABLE 1: Formulation and proximate composition of the experimental diets (% dry basis).

¹Crude protein, 62.53%; crude lipid, 8.5%; Tongri Food Co., Ltd., Tsingdao, China. ²Hydrolyzed soybean meal was obtained using soybean meal (SBM) as a substrate according to the method of Song et al. [22], crude protein, 49.15%, crude lipid, 1.16%; protein solubility, 52.26% (molecular mass < 1,000 Da, 25.05%; 3,000–5,000 Da, 16.15%; >5,000 Da, 11.06%). ³Crude protein, 63.47%; crude lipid, 0.8%; Shandong Changrun Biology Co., Ltd., Linyi, China. ⁴Carbohydrate, 98%–99%; Linghu Xinwang Chemical Co., Ltd., Huzhou, China. ⁵Rongcheng Litai Fishmeal Co., Ltd., Rongcheng, China. ⁶Shandong Baisheng Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Yanzhou, China. ⁷Vitamin premix (mg/kg or IU/kg diet): vitamin A 7,500.00 IU, vitamin D 1,500.00 IU, vitamin E 60.00 mg, vitamin K3 18.00 mg, vitamin B1 12.00 mg; vitamin B2 12.00 mg, vitamin B12 0.10 mg, pantothenate acid 48.00 mg, niacin 90.00 mg, folic acid 3.70 mg, D-biotin 0.20 mg, pyridoxine 60.00 mg, and vitamin C 310.00 mg. ⁸Mineral premix (mg/kg diet): Zn 35.00 mg, Mn 21.00 mg, Cu 8.30 mg, Fe 23.00 mg, Co 1.20 mg, I 1.00 mg, and Se 0.30 mg. ⁹Ethoxyquin; Weifang Jiayijia Bio-tech Co., Ltd., Weifang, China. ¹⁰Henan Jin Yuan Biological Technology Co., Ltd., Zhengzhou, China. ¹¹Shandong Longxing Biological Engineering Co., Ltd., Jinan, China. ¹²Shandong Longxing Biological Engineering Co., Ltd., Jinan, China. ¹³Jiangxi Baiying Biological Technology Co., Ltd., Nanchang, China.

TABLE 2: Amino acids compositio	ns of the experin	nental diets (% d	ry basis).
---------------------------------	-------------------	-------------------	------------

T 1. ,			Experime	ntal diets		
Ingredients	P50L12	P50L9	P46L12	P46L9	P42L12	P42L9
Threonine	1.95	2.05	1.84	1.81	1.69	1.61
Valine	2.48	2.49	2.26	2.21	2.01	2.05
Methionine	1.54	1.52	1.42	1.47	1.31	1.37
Isoleucine	2.25	2.24	2.06	2.14	1.85	1.88
Leucine	3.61	3.69	3.32	3.32	3.09	3.05
Phenylalanine	2.24	2.27	2.01	2.01	1.79	1.81
Lysine	3.25	3.19	2.94	2.91	2.72	2.65
Histidine	1.13	1.18	1.01	1.01	0.99	0.92
Arginine	3.38	3.45	3.18	3.19	2.87	2.81
Essential amino acids	21.26	21.58	19.54	19.57	17.82	17.65
Proline	1.74	1.73	1.59	1.64	1.45	1.42
Tyrosine	1.62	1.65	1.49	1.42	1.35	1.41
Serine	1.84	1.79	1.69	1.65	1.53	1.49
Glutamic acid	9.74	9.68	8.92	8.95	8.11	8.34
Glycine	2.86	2.89	2.62	2.67	2.38	2.32
Alanine	2.99	3.05	2.74	2.69	2.49	2.47
Cysteine	0.64	0.62	0.59	0.58	0.54	0.52
Aspartic acid	5.09	4.95	4.67	4.63	4.24	4.18
Nonessential amino acids	26.52	26.36	24.31	24.23	22.09	22.15
Total amino acids	47.78	47.94	43.85	43.8	39.91	39.8

TABLE 3: Fatty acids proportions of the experimental diets (% total fatty acids).

T 1: (Experime	ntal diets		
Ingredients	P50L12	P50L9	P46L12	P46L9	P42L12	P42L9
C14:0	7.43	7.49	7.48	7.38	7.34	7.42
C16:0	17.44	17.48	17.31	17.37	17.34	17.46
C18:0	4.08	4.02	3.98	3.91	4.05	3.95
Total saturated fatty acids	34.59	34.55	34.62	34.68	34.67	34.52
C16:1	10.42	10.52	10.58	10.54	10.48	10.57
C18:1n-9c	11.62	11.68	11.57	11.51	11.59	11.68
C20:1	1.53	1.55	1.67	1.65	1.69	1.51
C22:1n-9	1.28	1.19	1.25	1.28	1.17	1.11
Total monounsaturated fatty acids	24.82	24.89	24.99	24.97	24.85	24.80
C18:2n-6c	1.27	1.22	1.15	1.18	1.22	1.24
C20:4n-6	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.09	0.10
C20:5n-3	16.88	16.97	16.99	17.12	17.01	17.08
C22:6n-3	8.85	8.84	8.75	8.76	8.82	8.75
Total polyunsaturated fatty acids	27	27.03	26.89	27.06	27.05	27.07

Specific growth rate (SGR, %/d) = (Ln final weight - Ln initial weight)/days of experiment × 100;

Feed conversion ratio (FCR) = dry feed intake (g)/weight gain (g);

Daily feed intake (DFI, %/d) = dry weight of consumed feed (g)/((initial weight + final weight)/2 ×days) × 100;

Protein efficiency ratio (PER) = weight gain (g)/ingested protein (g);

Hepatosomatic index (HSI, %) = hepatopancreas weight (g)/whole body weight (g) × 100;

Viscerosomatic index (VSI, %) = viscera weight (g)/whole body weight (g) × 100;

Condition factor (CF, g/cm^3) = whole body weight (g)/body length (cm)³;

Survival rate (SR, %) = final amount of fish/initial amount of fish \times 100.

2.5. Proximate Composition Analysis. Proximate compositions of diets, muscle, liver, and whole body were analyzed according to the standard methods of Official Analytical Chemists [13]. Moisture content was determined by drying the samples to a constant weight in an oven (105°C). Crude protein ($N \times 6.25$) was determined using the Kjeldahl method after an acid digestion. Crude lipid was analyzed by the ether extraction method using the Soxtec System HT. Crude ash was determined using a muffle furnace (Linder/blue M1100, Thermo Fisher Scientific Co., Ltd., China) at 550°C for 6 hr. Total energy was measured with an automatic bomb calorimeter (IKA C6000, Aika Instrument and Equipment Co., Ltd., Guangzhou). Amino acids compositions of muscle and diets were analyzed using HCl [14]. In brief, the hydrolysis (6 mol/L HCl) of the samples was performed in Pyrex microcapillary tubes (Pierce Chemical Company, Rockford, IL, USA) under vacuum and heated at temperatures (110°C) for 22 hr. After hydrolysis, the samples were filtered using Spartan-HPLC 13 mm syringe filters ($0.45 \,\mu$ m, 30 mm; Schleicher and Schuell, Dassel, Germany) and analyzed by an automatic amino acid analyzer (Hitachi L-8900, Japan). Fatty acids were analyzed according to the method of Metcalfe et al. [15]. In brief, total lipids were extracted using hexane as a solvent, and then hydrogen chloride methanol solution (acetyl chloride: methyl alcohol = 1:10) was added to saponify total lipids and derivatized them into fatty acid methyl esters at 80°C under the catalysis of K_2CO_3 . These fatty acid methyl esters were analyzed with gas chromatography (GC-2010, Hitachi, Japan) and were identified by comparison of their retention times with known standards (Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA).

2.6. Activity Analyses of Serum Biochemical Indices. Serum total protein (TP) was determined using Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250 dye-binding technique of Bradford [16]. Triglycerides (TGs) were analyzed using glycerol dehydrogenase and a water-soluble formazan dye according to the methods of Kawano et al. [17]. Cholesterol (CHO) was analyzed using enzymatic colorimetric method of Robinet et al. [18] by calculating the difference between the total and free cholesterol contents. Albumin (ALB) and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) were analyzed using the commercial kits purchased from Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute (Nanjing, Jiangsu, China). Albumin (ALB) colorimetric assay was based on the selective interaction between bromocresol green and albumin forming a chromophore that could be detected at 620 nm. High-density lipoprotein (HDL) measurement used sulfated alpha-cyclodextrin in the presence of Mg²⁺, which formed complexes with apoB-containing lipoproteins, and polyethylene glycol-coupled cholesteryl esterase and cholesterol oxidase. LDL-cholesterol was calculated from measured values of total cholesterol, triglycerides, and HDL-cholesterol according to the relationship: (LDL-chol) = (total chol)-(HDL-chol)-(TG)/5. The activities of aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and alanine transaminase (ALT) were also determined using the commercial kits. One unit of AST is the amount of enzyme that will generate 1.0 mol of glutamate per minute at pH 8.0, 37°C. One

unit of ALT is defined as the amount of enzyme that generates 1.0 mol of pyruvate per minute at 37°C.

2.7. Statistical Analysis. All data were expressed as means \pm standard deviation and subjected to one- and two-way ANOVA analyses to determine whether there were significant differences due to the dietary levels of protein, lipid or the interaction. If significant differences were found (P < 0.05), Duncan's multiple range test was used to compare the mean values between individual treatment. All statistical analyses were carried out by using the SPSS program Version 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) for Windows.

3. Results

3.1. Growth and Feed Utilization. The growth performance and feed utilization were presented in Table 4. Analysis of two-way ANOVA showed FBW, WGR, and SGR increased with dietary protein level increasing from 42% to 50%, while FCR and DFI followed an opposite trend. Fish fed diets containing 46% and 50% protein had higher FBW, WGR, and SGR and lower FCR and DFI than those fed diets containing 42% protein (P < 0.05). However, there were no statistically significant differences in the parameters between 46% and 50% protein dietary treatments (P > 0.05). No difference was detected in PER among all treatments (P > 0.05). Diets containing 12% lipid significantly reduced FCR and DFI but increased significantly HIS, VSI, and SR compared to diets containing 9% lipid (P < 0.05). CF increased with increasing dietary protein level from 42% to 46% (P < 0.05). However, a further increase in dietary protein level to 50% did not support the further increase in CF (P > 0.05).

3.2. Body Composition. The proximate compositions of whole body, dorsal muscle, and liver were presented in Table 5. The crude protein contents of liver and whole body were not affected by dietary protein and lipid levels (P>0.05). The crude lipid content of liver increased with the dietary protein or lipid level increasing while the moisture contents of muscle and liver followed an opposite trend. The whole-body crude lipid content, the crude protein content, and the crude ash content of muscle were not altered as dietary protein increased from 42% to 46% (P > 0.05), but significantly increased as dietary protein increased to 50% (P < 0.05). The crude ash content of liver significantly decreased but crude protein content of muscle, and crude lipid contents of whole body, muscle, and liver increased in fish fed diets containing 12% lipid as compared to those fed diets with 9% lipid (P < 0.05).

3.3. Amino Acids Compositions and Fatty Acids Profile of Muscle. The amino acids compositions of muscle were presented in Tables 6 and 7, the contents of isoleucine, leucine, histidine, glycine, and alanine of muscle were higher in fish fed diets containing 12% lipid than those fed diets containing 9% lipid (P < 0.05). Fish fed diets containing 50% protein had higher histidine contents but lower phenylalanine and lysine contents compared to those fed diets containing 42% protein (P < 0.05). The fatty acids profile of muscle was presented in Table 8. The proportions of C16:0, C20:4n-6 (arachidonic

acid), and C20: 5n-3 (eicosapentaenoic acid) were not altered regardless of dietary protein or lipid level (P > 0.05). The C14:0, C20:1, and C22:1n-9 were increased but C18:1n-9 (oleic acid) and C18:2n-6 (linoleic acid) were decreased in fish fed diets containing 12% lipid compared to those fed diets containing 9% lipid (P < 0.05). C18:0 and C22:1n-9 decreased significantly with dietary protein level increasing but C18:1n-9 followed an opposite trend. Fish fed diets containing 42% protein had lower C16:1 proportion but higher C22:6n-3 (docosahexaenoic acid (DHA)) proportion than those fed diets containing 50% protein had lower C18:2n-6 than those fed diets containing 42% and 46% protein (P < 0.05).

3.4. Serum Parameters. As summarized in Table 9, serum AST, ALT, TP, ALB, and HDL were similar among all dietary treatments (P > 0.05). The contents of serum CHO and LDL were significantly affected by dietary protein and lipid levels (P < 0.05). Serum CHO and LDL levels increased significantly in fish fed diets with 50% protein as compared to that fed diets with 42% and 46% protein (P < 0.05) and also increased in fish fed diets containing 12% lipid than those fed diets containing 9% lipid (P < 0.05). Serum TG content was not affected by dietary protein levels (P > 0.05) but was elevated significantly as dietary lipid increased from 9% to 12% (P < 0.05).

4. Discussion

After 8 weeks feeding trial, the growth performance of growing rockfish in terms of SGR ranged within 1.31–1.44%/d for 29.98 g and represented a satisfactory level in comparison with previous investigations on rockfish of similar size (0.52–1.07%/d for 43.61 g, [19]; 0.21%/d for 38.0 g, [20]). However, the present growth response of growing rockfish in terms of WGR and SGR suggested dietary protein requirement of rockfish (29.98 g) was about 46%, because no further significant gains in growth performance were observed as dietary protein level increased from 46% to 50%. The predicted value was closed to the protein requirement (44%) of rockfish with initial weight of 21.9 g reported by Lee et al. [21], but much lower than those reported in previous studies for smaller rockfish (48.6%-50% for 7.3 g, 54% for 10 days larvae), indicating that larger rockfish required less dietary protein than did the smaller rockfish. In addition, soy protein hydrolysates have been proved to improve protein availability and promote growth performance of starry flounder Platichthys stellatus and turbot Scophthalmus maximus in our previous studies [22-24]. In the present study, hydrolyzed soybean meal was incorporated into the experimental diets to improve protein availability and thus might reduce dietary protein requirement.

There is some inconsistency with regard to reporting of dietary lipid requirement of rockfish. Kim et al. [11] reported rockfish larvae (10 days) required 17.3% lipid in microdiet containing 52.4%–52.9% protein to support their development. Aminikhoei et al. [25] reported that 12% lipid in diets containing 52% protein was sufficient for the growth of rockfish (1.7 g). This suggested dietary lipid requirement decreased as rockfish

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-	- 0	,		0		
Groups	$FBW^{1}(g)$	$WGR^2(\%)$	SGR ³ (%/d)	FCR^4	DFI ⁵ (%/d)	PER ⁶	$SR^7(\%)$	$HSI^8(\%)$	$VSI^9(\%)$	$CF^{10}(g/cm^3)$
P50L12	$67.12\pm1.98^{ m b}$	$123.53\pm6.04^{\rm b}$	$1.43\pm0.04^{ m b}$	$1.23\pm0.06^{\rm a}$	$1.67\pm0.03^{ m a}$	1.70 ± 0.08	100.0 ± 0.0	$2.62\pm0.18^{ m b}$	$9.37\pm0.63^{ m b}$	$3.17\pm0.22^{ m b}$
P50L9	$67.08\pm1.85^{\mathrm{b}}$	$124.42 \pm 7.11^{ m b}$	$1.44\pm0.05^{ m b}$	$1.28\pm0.02^{\rm ab}$	$1.70\pm0.01^{\mathrm{ab}}$	1.62 ± 0.02	97.33 ± 2.31	$2.52\pm0.19^{ m ab}$	$8.63\pm0.74^{\rm a}$	$3.35\pm0.24^{ m c}$
P46L12	$65.41\pm2.01^{\mathrm{ab}}$	$117.85\pm6.87^{\mathrm{ab}}$	$1.39\pm0.06^{\mathrm{ab}}$	$1.30\pm0.12^{\mathrm{ab}}$	$1.68\pm0.06^{\mathrm{ab}}$	1.73 ± 0.16	98.67 ± 2.31	$2.68\pm0.19^{ m b}$	$9.05\pm0.72^{ m b}$	$3.40\pm0.28^{\circ}$
P46L9	$65.58 \pm 1.09^{ m ab}$	$118.88\pm3.79^{\rm ab}$	$1.40\pm0.03^{ m ab}$	$1.35\pm0.03^{ m bc}$	$1.74\pm0.01^{ m bc}$	1.64 ± 0.04	97.33 ± 2.31	$2.34\pm0.16^{\rm a}$	$8.37\pm0.57^{ m a}$	$3.17\pm0.29^{ m b}$
P42L12	$63.08\pm2.26^{\rm a}$	$110.85\pm8.37^{\rm a}$	$1.33\pm0.07^{\mathrm{a}}$	$1.36\pm0.07^{ m bc}$	$1.72\pm0.02^{ m abc}$	1.77 ± 0.10	100.0 ± 0.0	$2.53\pm0.20^{\rm ab}$	$9.29\pm0.69^{ m b}$	$3.02\pm0.29^{\mathrm{ab}}$
P42L9	$62.62\pm1.42^{\rm a}$	$108.56\pm4.29^{\mathrm{a}}$	$1.31\pm0.04^{\rm a}$	$1.45\pm0.02^{ m c}$	$1.76\pm0.02^{ m c}$	1.67 ± 0.02	97.33 ± 2.31	$2.28\pm0.18^{\rm a}$	$8.51\pm0.61^{\rm a}$	$3.02\pm0.31^{\mathrm{a}}$
Main effects										
Protein level										
50	67.10^{B}	123.97^{B}	$1.44^{\rm B}$	1.25^{A}	1.68^{A}	1.66	98.67	2.60	8.99	3.26^{B}
46	65.49^{B}	118.36^{B}	1.39^{B}	1.32^{A}	1.71^{AB}	1.69	98.00	2.53	8.72	3.28^{B}
42	62.85 ^A	109.70^{A}	1.32^{A}	1.40^{B}	1.74^{B}	1.72	98.67	2.42	8.92	3.02^{A}
Lipid level										
12	65.20	117.40	1.39	1.29^{A}	1.69^{A}	1.73	99.56^{B}	2.60^{B}	9.23^{B}	3.19
6	65.09	117.28	1.38	1.36^{B}	1.73^{B}	1.65	97.33^{A}	2.41^{A}	8.50^{A}	3.17
Two-way ANOVA (P-value)	VA (P-value)									
Protein	0.005	0.007	0.006	0.005	0.025	0.491	0.783	0.120	0.172	0.000
Lipid	0.901	0.969	0.968	0.048	0.019	0.054	0.028	0.014	0.000	0.725
Interaction	0.955	0.875	0.875	0.813	0.795	0.948	0.783	0.094	0.966	0.002
<i>Notes</i> : Values in ratio (FCR); ⁵ da	the same column wit ily feed intake (DFI,	<i>Notes:</i> Values in the same column with different superscript letters show significant difference ($P < 0.05$). ¹ Final body weight (FBW, g); ² weight gain rate (WGR, %); ³ specific growth rate (SGR, %/d); ⁴ feed conversion ratio (FCR); ⁵ daily feed intake (DFI, %/d); ⁶ protein efficiency ratio (PER); ⁷ survival rate (SR, %); ⁸ hepatosomatic index (HSI, %); ⁹ viscerosomatic index (VSI, %); and ¹⁰ condition factor (CF, g/cm ³).	letters show signific, cy ratio (PER); ⁷ sury	ant difference $(P < 0.$ vival rate $(SR, \%)$; ⁸	.05). ¹ Final body wei hepatosomatic index	ight (FBW, g); ² wei x (HSI,%); ⁹ viscero	ght gain rate (WGF somatic index (VS	(%); ³ specific growth I, $%$); and ¹⁰ condition	h rate (SGR, %/d); ⁴ on factor (CF, g/cn	^t feed conversion n ³).
					4					

rockfich . nd feed utilization of ç 5 ţ and linid levels \$ T^{ABLE} 4. Effects of different diets

		Whole body(%)	ody(%)			Muscle(%)	(%)			Liver(%)	(%)	
ednor	Moisture	Crude protein	Crude lipid	Crude ash	Moisture	Crude protein	Crude lipid	Crude ash	Moisture	Crude protein	Crude lipid	Crude ash
P50L12 ($68.75\pm0.95^{\rm a}$	16.64 ± 0.21	$10.28\pm0.95^{ m b}$	$4.11\pm0.13^{\rm a}$	$76.59\pm0.12^{\rm a}$	$20.44\pm0.17^{\mathrm{c}}$	$2.51\pm0.13^{ m b}$	$1.32\pm0.05^{ m b}$	$66.19\pm0.82^{\rm a}$	10.86 ± 0.52	$15.52\pm0.73^{\rm d}$	$1.02\pm0.06^{\rm a}$
P50L9 7	$70.05\pm0.30^{\mathrm{ab}}$	16.93 ± 0.35	$8.59\pm0.23^{\rm a}$	$4.23\pm0.13^{\rm ab}$	$77.24\pm0.45^{\mathrm{ab}}$	$19.55\pm0.27^{ m b}$	$2.50\pm0.22^{ m b}$	$1.28\pm0.08^{ m b}$	$67.42\pm0.92^{\rm ab}$	10.81 ± 0.46	$12.25\pm0.54^{\rm c}$	$1.11\pm0.06^{\rm b}$
P46L12 6	$69.77\pm0.61^{\rm ab}$	16.78 ± 0.37	$8.85\pm0.60^{\rm a}$	$4.32\pm0.12^{\rm b}$	$77.13\pm0.65^{\rm ab}$	$19.30\pm0.20^{\mathrm{b}}$	$2.75\pm0.63^{ m b}$	$1.17\pm0.09^{\mathrm{a}}$	$67.95\pm0.65^{\mathrm{b}}$	10.59 ± 0.44	$15.45\pm0.63^{\rm d}$	$1.02\pm0.05^{\rm a}$
P46L9 7	$71.38\pm2.32^{\mathrm{b}}$	16.31 ± 0.89	$8.56\pm0.70^{\rm a}$	$4.21\pm0.23^{\rm ab}$	$78.93\pm0.45^{ m c}$	$18.47\pm0.35^{\rm a}$	$2.08\pm0.18^{\rm a}$	$1.15\pm0.09^{\mathrm{a}}$	$69.71\pm0.82^{\rm c}$	10.57 ± 0.51	$9.52\pm0.40^{ m b}$	$1.16\pm0.07^{ m b}$
P42L12 6	$69.53\pm0.61^{\rm ab}$	16.62 ± 0.28	$9.19\pm0.66^{\rm a}$	$4.34\pm0.14^{ m b}$	$78.13\pm0.71^{\mathrm{bc}}$	$18.83\pm0.39^{\rm a}$	$2.57\pm0.23^{ m b}$	$1.11\pm0.02^{\mathrm{a}}$	$69.94\pm1.50^{\rm c}$	11.09 ± 0.37	$14.99\pm0.65^{ m d}$	$1.10\pm0.08^{\rm ab}$
P42L9 6	$69.95\pm0.47^{\rm ab}$	16.83 ± 0.49	$8.72\pm0.45^{\rm a}$	$4.26\pm0.17^{\rm ab}$	$78.63\pm0.82^{\rm c}$	$18.83\pm0.25^{\rm a}$	$1.98\pm0.17^{\rm a}$	$1.15\pm0.06^{\rm a}$	$70.04\pm0.46^{\rm c}$	10.52 ± 0.62	$8.30\pm0.59^{\rm a}$	$1.25\pm0.05^{\rm c}$
Main effects												
Protein level												
50	69.40	16.77	9.44^{B}	4.17	76.91 ^A	20.04^{B}	2.51	1.30^{B}	66.80^{A}	10.84	$13.88^{\rm C}$	1.14
46	70.58	16.55	8.74^{A}	4.27	78.03^{B}	18.88^{A}	2.41	$1.16^{\rm A}$	68.83^{B}	10.58	12.48^{B}	1.09
42	69.74	16.73	8.96^{A}	4.30	78.38^{B}	18.83^{A}	2.27	$1.13^{\rm A}$	69.99 ^C	10.81	11.65^{A}	1.17
Lipid level												
12	69.35	16.68	9.44^{B}	4.26	77.28^{A}	19.52 ^B	2.61^{B}	1.19	$68.03^{\rm A}$	10.85	15.32^{B}	$1.04^{\rm A}$
6	70.46	16.68	8.63^{A}	4.24	78.27^{B}	18.93^{A}	2.19^{A}	1.19	69.05^{B}	10.63	$10.02^{ m A};~02\pm$	1.23^{B}
Two-way ANOVA (P-value)	A (P-value)											
Protein	0.209	0.481	0.007	0.117	0.002	0.000	0.109	0.000	0.000	0.383	0.000	0.480
Lipid	0.055	0.942	0.000	0.679	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.837	0.035	0.202	0.000	0.002
Interaction	0.638	0.142	0.004	0.160	0.144	0.004	0.008	0.334	0.316	0.309	0.000	0.779

	TABLE 6: Effect.	$T_{\mbox{\scriptsize ABLE}}$ 6: Effects of different dietary protein and	ary protein and l	ipid levels on the	essential amino a	lipid levels on the essential amino acids compositions of muscle of growing rockfish (% dry material).	of muscle of grov	wing rockfish (%	dry material).	
Groups	Threonine	Valine	Methionine	Isoleucine	Leucine	Phenylalanine	Lysine	Histidine	Arginine	Total
P50L12	$4.21\pm0.07^{\mathrm{a}}$	$3.82\pm0.17^{\mathrm{a}}$	1.33 ± 0.11	$3.75\pm0.19^{ m b}$	$7.33\pm0.14^{ m b}$	$3.60\pm0.07^{\mathrm{a}}$	$7.51\pm0.14^{\mathrm{a}}$	$2.28\pm0.04^{ m b}$	5.07 ± 0.08^{a}	$38.90\pm1.89^{ m bc}$
P50L9	$4.08\pm0.14^{\rm a}$	$3.78\pm0.18^{\rm a}$	1.22 ± 0.11	$3.38\pm0.21^{\mathrm{a}}$	$6.81\pm0.23^{\rm a}$	$3.96\pm0.11^{ m bc}$	$7.67\pm0.27^{\mathrm{a}}$	$1.57\pm0.09^{\mathrm{a}}$	$4.99\pm0.19^{\rm a}$	$37.45\pm1.35^{\mathrm{ab}}$
P46L12	$4.01\pm0.10^{\rm a}$	$3.69\pm0.16^{\rm a}$	1.24 ± 0.05	$3.28\pm0.20^{\mathrm{a}}$	$6.67\pm0.16^{\mathrm{a}}$	$3.86\pm0.08^{ m b}$	$7.53\pm0.24^{\mathrm{a}}$	$1.61\pm0.43^{\mathrm{a}}$	$4.93\pm0.13^{\rm a}$	$36.81\pm1.25^{\rm ab}$
P46L9	$4.46\pm0.32^{ m b}$	$3.97\pm0.29^{ m ab}$	1.30 ± 0.12	$3.55\pm0.32^{ m ab}$	$7.33\pm0.50^{ m b}$	$4.14\pm0.26^{ m cd}$	$8.23\pm0.56^{\rm b}$	$1.83\pm0.51^{\rm a}$	$5.48\pm0.45^{ m b}$	$40.28\pm2.53^{\mathrm{cd}}$
P42L12	$4.52\pm0.23^{ m b}$	$4.12\pm0.27^{ m b}$	1.23 ± 0.09	$3.74\pm0.25^{ m b}$	$7.50\pm0.36^{\mathrm{b}}$	$4.32\pm0.27^{ m d}$	$8.51\pm0.41^{\rm b}$	$1.64\pm0.21^{\rm a}$	$5.56\pm0.25^{ m b}$	$41.13\pm2.05^{\rm d}$
P42L9	$4.00\pm0.14^{\rm a}$	$3.70\pm0.17^{\mathrm{a}}$	1.21 ± 0.12	$3.31\pm0.18^{\mathrm{a}}$	$6.61\pm0.21^{\rm a}$	$3.91\pm0.12^{ m b}$	$7.50\pm0.25^{\mathrm{a}}$	$1.45\pm0.09^{\mathrm{a}}$	$4.86\pm0.19^{\rm a}$	$36.57\pm1.25^{\rm a}$
Main effects										
Protein level										
50	4.14	3.80	1.28	3.56	7.07	3.78^{A}	7.59^{A}	1.92^{B}	5.03	38.17
46	4.23	3.83	1.27	3.42	7.00	4.00^{B}	7.88^{B}	1.72^{AB}	5.20	38.55
42	4.26	3.91	1.22	3.52	7.06	4.11^{B}	8.01^{B}	1.55^{A}	5.21	38.85
Lipid level										
12	4.25	3.88	1.27	3.59^{B}	7.17^{B}	3.93	7.85	1.84^{B}	5.19	38.95
6	4.18	3.82	1.25	3.41^{A}	6.92^{A}	4.00	7.80	1.61^{A}	5.11	38.10
Two-way ANOVA (P-value)	A (P-value)									
Protein	0.288	0.423	0.368	0.297	0.829	0.000	0.016	0.013	0.148	0.656
Lipid	0.286	0.414	0.566	0.029	0.017	0.188	0.689	0.027	0.345	0.165
Interaction	0.000	0.002	0.135	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000
Note: Values in ti	he same column wi	Note: Values in the same column with different superscript letters show significant difference ($P < 0.05$).	ript letters show sig	nificant difference (P<0.05).					

mate
(% dry
rockfish (
rowing
ofg
f muscle
to st
composition
acids
amino
essential
on the
l levels
lipid
and
protein
dietary
f different
ts of
: Effect
BLE 6

Groups	Proline	Tyrosine	Serine	Glutamic acid	Glycine	Alanine	Cysteine	Aspartic acid	Total
P50L12	$2.80\pm0.08^{ m b}$	$3.21\pm0.16^{\mathrm{a}}$	$4.02\pm0.08^{\rm a}$	$13.18\pm0.31^{\rm a}$	$4.64\pm0.16^{ m b}$	$6.04\pm0.11^{ m b}$	1.84 ± 0.06	$9.41\pm0.12^{ m a}$	$45.13\pm0.80^{\rm b}$
P50L9	$2.59\pm0.07^{\rm a}$	$3.37\pm0.06^{ m ab}$	$3.85\pm0.13^{\rm a}$	$12.71\pm0.46^{\rm a}$	$4.16\pm0.17^{\mathrm{a}}$	$5.53\pm0.19^{ m a}$	1.89 ± 0.08	$9.21\pm0.30^{ m a}$	$43.31\pm1.32^{\rm ab}$
P46L12	$2.57\pm0.08^{\rm a}$	$3.24\pm0.07^{\mathrm{a}}$	$3.80\pm0.09^{\rm a}$	$12.50\pm0.29^{\rm a}$	$4.16\pm0.12^{\rm a}$	$5.46\pm0.14^{\rm a}$	1.83 ± 0.12	$9.07\pm0.23^{\mathrm{a}}$	$42.64\pm0.80^{\rm a}$
P46L9	$2.85\pm0.25^{ m b}$	$3.51\pm0.13^{ m bc}$	$4.26\pm0.29^{ m b}$	$13.96\pm0.98^{\rm b}$	$4.64\pm0.31^{\rm b}$	$6.05\pm0.39^{ m b}$	1.95 ± 0.12	$10.09\pm0.68^{ m b}$	$47.32 \pm 3.03^{\circ}$
P42L12	$2.84\pm0.15^{ m b}$	$3.65\pm0.17^{ m c}$	$4.32\pm0.24^{ m b}$	$14.35\pm0.73^{ m b}$	$4.68\pm0.19^{ m b}$	$6.16\pm0.31^{\rm b}$	1.93 ± 0.13	$10.29\pm0.53^{ m b}$	$48.22 \pm 2.36^{\circ}$
P42L9	$2.49\pm0.08^{\rm a}$	$3.29\pm0.16^{\rm a}$	$3.81\pm0.14^{\rm a}$	$12.70\pm0.33^{\rm a}$	$4.04\pm0.16^{\rm a}$	$5.38\pm0.17^{\rm a}$	1.82 ± 0.06	$9.03\pm0.28^{\mathrm{a}}$	$42.56\pm1.28^{\rm a}$
Main effects									
Protein level									
50	2.69	3.29^{A}	3.93	12.95	4.40	5.78	1.86	9.31	44.22
46	2.71	3.38^{AB}	4.03	13.23	4.40	5.76	1.89	9.59	44.98
42	2.66	3.47^{B}	4.06	13.53	4.36	5.77	1.87	9.66	45.39
Lipid level									
12	2.73	3.37	4.04	13.35	4.50^{B}	5.89^{B}	1.86	9.59	45.33
6	2.64	3.39	3.97	13.12	4.28^{A}	5.66^{A}	1.88	9.45	44.40
Two-way ANOVA (P-value)	. (P-value)								
Protein	0.709	0.008	0.201	0.065	0.849	0.965	0.806	0.100	0.284
Lipid	0.051	0.551	0.241	0.256	0.002	0.007	0.605	0.296	0.130
Interaction	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.029	0.000	0.000

Aquaculture Nutrition

		TABLE 8: Effect	TABLE 8: Effects of different dietary protein and lipid levels on the major fatty acids of growing rockfish (% total fatty acids)	etary protein an	d lipid levels on	the major fatty	acids of growir	ıg rockfish (% 1	total fatty acids).		
Groups	C14:0	C16:0	C16:1	C18:0	C18: 1n-9c	C18:2n-6c	C20:1	C20:4n-6	C20: 5n-3	C22:1n-9	C22:6n-3
P50L12	$3.39\pm0.16^{\rm a}$	17.91 ± 0.51	$5.64\pm0.32^{ m bc}$	$3.98\pm0.14^{\rm a}$	$17.84\pm0.67^{ m b}$	$5.37\pm0.11^{ m a}$	$2.47\pm0.10^{ m a}$	0.99 ± 0.05	7.49 ± 0.16	$2.79\pm0.34^{ m b}$	$13.50\pm0.90^{ m bc}$
P50L9	$3.40\pm0.03^{\rm a}$	18.17 ± 0.14	$6.01\pm0.05^{ m d}$	$3.94\pm0.05^{\mathrm{a}}$	$19.39\pm0.23^{\circ}$	$6.16\pm0.09^{\circ}$	$2.29\pm0.04^{\rm a}$	0.94 ± 0.01	7.29 ± 0.08	$2.55\pm0.24^{\mathrm{ab}}$	$12.05\pm0.08^{\rm a}$
P46L12	$3.73\pm0.21^{ m b}$	18.15 ± 0.26	$5.90\pm0.30^{ m cd}$	$4.00\pm0.19^{\rm a}$	$17.75\pm0.51^{ m b}$	$5.59\pm0.08^{ m b}$	$2.79\pm0.47^{ m b}$	0.94 ± 0.05	$7.39 \pm 0.15; 1$	$3.16\pm0.34^{\rm c}$	$12.67\pm1.11^{\mathrm{ab}}$
P46L9	$3.32\pm0.07^{\mathrm{a}}$	18.25 ± 0.20	$5.59\pm0.21^{ m b}$	$4.28\pm0.06^{ m b}$	$18.25\pm0.33^{ m b}$	$6.17 \pm 0.13^{\circ}$	$2.36\pm0.05^{\rm a}$	0.99 ± 0.06	7.23 ± 0.21	$2.56\pm0.12^{\rm ab}$	$12.70\pm0.60^{\rm ab}$
P42L12	$3.68\pm0.17^{ m b}$	17.95 ± 0.49	$5.29\pm0.32^{\rm a}$	$4.36\pm0.15^{\rm b}$	$16.73\pm0.54^{\rm a}$	$5.60\pm0.08^{ m b}$	$2.89\pm0.37^{ m b}$	0.98 ± 0.11	7.40 ± 0.37	$3.41\pm0.15^{ m c}$	$13.42\pm1.19^{ m bc}$
P42L9	$3.26\pm0.05^{\rm a}$	18.07 ± 0.34	$5.37\pm0.08^{ m ab}$	$4.24\pm0.03^{ m b}$	$17.82\pm0.24^{ m b}$	$6.17\pm0.06^{\circ}$	$2.36\pm0.03^{\rm a}$	1.02 ± 0.03	7.36 ± 0.09	$2.48\pm0.04^{\rm a}$	$13.74\pm0.25^{\circ}$
Main effects											
Protein level											
50	3.40	18.04	5.82^{B}	3.96^{A}	18.62 ^C	5.76^{A}	2.38	0.96	7.39	2.67^{A}	12.78^{A}
46	3.53	18.20	5.75^{B}	4.14^{B}	18.00^{B}	5.88^{B}	2.57	0.96	7.31	2.86^{AB}	12.68^{A}
42	3.47	17.97	$5.33^{ m A}$	$4.30^{\rm C}$	17.27^{A}	5.88^{B}	2.62	1.00	7.38	$2.94^{\rm C}$	13.58^{B}
Lipid level											
12	3.60^{B}	18.00	5.61	4.11	17.44^{A}	5.52^{A}	2.72 ^B	0.97	7.43	3.12^{B}	13.20
6	3.33^{A}	18.13	5.66	4.15	18.49^{B}	6.17^{B}	2.34^{A}	0.98	7.30	2.53^{A}	12.83
Two-way ANOVA (P-value)	VA (P-value)										
Protein	0.087	0.244	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.005	0.061	0.267	0.606	0.025	0.020
Lipid	0.000	0.257	0.561	0.284	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.456	0.067	0.000	0.180
Interaction	0.001	0.716	0.006	0.001	0.027	0.015	0.226	0.131	0.614	0.005	0.025
Note: Values in	the same column	with different suj	Note: Values in the same column with different superscript letters show significant difference $(P < 0.05)$	tow significant dif	ference (<i>P</i> <0.05).						

10

		TABLE 9: Effects of diff	ferent dietary protein	TABLE 9: Effects of different dietary protein and lipid levels on serum biochemical indexes of growing rockfish.	m biochemical indexes	of growing rockfish.		
Groups	$TP^{1}(g/L)$	$ALB^{2}(g/L)$	TG ³ (mmol/L)	CHO ⁴ (mmol/L)	HDL ⁵ (mmol/L)	LDL ⁶ (mmol/L)	$ALT^7(U/L)$	AST ⁸ (U/L)
P50L12	34.64 ± 2.63	24.33 ± 1.83	$2.60\pm0.17^{ m c}$	$5.06\pm0.14^{\rm e}$	3.60 ± 0.19	$0.67\pm0.02^{ m e}$	29.69 ± 2.29	35.80 ± 1.78
P50L9	36.62 ± 1.47	24.67 ± 1.36	$2.39\pm0.17^{ m ab}$	$4.78\pm0.21^{ m d}$	3.43 ± 0.19	$0.61\pm0.03^{\rm d}$	28.99 ± 2.41	34.60 ± 2.94
P46L12	34.15 ± 2.50	24.24 ± 1.83	$2.48\pm0.18^{ m bc}$	$4.94\pm0.13^{\rm e}$	3.48 ± 0.14	$0.65\pm0.02^{\rm e}$	29.69 ± 2.12	34.01 ± 2.78
P46L9	35.64 ± 2.19	25.58 ± 1.79	$2.26\pm0.17^{\mathrm{a}}$	$4.36\pm0.14^{\rm b}$	3.50 ± 0.15	$0.54\pm0.01^{ m b}$	29.64 ± 2.33	33.75 ± 2.74
P42L12	35.68 ± 2.34	25.23 ± 1.74	$2.50\pm0.19^{ m bc}$	$4.58\pm0.16^{\rm c}$	3.57 ± 0.13	$0.58\pm0.01^{\rm c}$	28.01 ± 1.63	35.72 ± 2.99
P42L9	34.79 ± 2.60	$24.04 \pm 1.64; 04$	$2.26\pm0.16^{\rm a}$	$3.89\pm0.14^{\rm a}$	3.45 ± 0.18	$0.47\pm0.02^{ m a}$	28.44 ± 2.05	33.26 ± 1.49
Main effects								
Protein level								
50	35.63	24.50	2.50	4.92^{B}	3.52	$0.64^{\rm C}$	29.34	35.20
46	34.90	24.91	2.37	4.48^{A}	3.49	0.60^{B}	29.66	33.88
42	35.24	24.63	2.38	4.42^{A}	3.51	0.52^{A}	28.22	34.49
Lipid level								
12	34.82	24.60	2.53^{B}	4.84^{B}	3.55	0.63^{B}	29.13	35.18
6	35.69	24.76	2.30^{A}	4.38^{A}	3.46	0.54^{A}	29.02	33.87
Two-way ANOVA (P-value)	A (P-value)							
Protein	0.645	0.272	0.064	0.000	0.868	0.000	0.120	0.299
Lipid	0.180	0.117	0.000	0.000	0.066	0.000	0.858	0.064
Interaction	0.153	2.505	0.978	0.060	0.225	0.000	0.733	0.431
<i>Notes</i> : Values in t ⁵ high-density lipc	he same column with d protein (HDL, mmol/L	<i>Notes:</i> Values in the same column with different superscript letters show significant difference $(P < 0.05)$. ¹ Total protein (TP, g/L); ² albumin (ALB, g/L); ³ trighteride (TG, mmol/L); ⁴ cholesterol (CHO, mmol/L); ⁵ high-density lipoprotein (HDL, mmol/L); ⁶ low-density lipoprotein (LDL, mmol/L); ⁷ alanine transaminase (ALT, U/L); and ⁸ aspartate aminotransferase (AST, U/L).	show significant differen t (LDL, mmol/L); ⁷ alanin	$^\prime$ significant difference (P <0.05). 1 Total protein (TP, g/L); 2 albumin (ALB, g/L); 3 triglyceride L, mmol/L); 7 alanine transaminase (ALT, U/L); and 8 aspartate aminotransferase (AST, U/L)	n (TP, g/L); ² albumin (AL .); and ⁸ aspartate aminotra	B, g/L); ³ triglyceride (TG, insferase (AST, U/L).	mmol/L); ⁴ cholesterol	(CHO, mmol/L);

Aquaculture Nutrition

grew. In the present study, the increasing dietary lipid from 9% to 12% did not result in a significant enhancement of growth performance of rockfish (29.98 g). This indicated that 9%-12% of dietary lipid had met the energy requirement of rockfish which was consistent with the review of Lee [26]. On the other hand, the protein-sparing effect is observed by a concomitant decrease in dietary protein and increase in dietary lipid and is more pronounced at the suboptimum level of dietary protein and higher level of lipid [27, 28], which is not the case in the present study as the best growth was recorded in fish fed diet P50L12 while not in fish fed diet P42L12 and P46L12. Therefore, the present findings indicated growing rockfish had limited ability to oxidize lipid and relied more heavily on protein as a primary energy source, suggesting the lack of the proteinsparing action of lipid. Less energy derived from dietary lipid was deposited in the form of protein, but proportionally more was deposited as lipid reserves and weight increase of lipid in fish was not enough to significantly affect rockfish growth. Contrary to the present findings, Lee et al. [21] and Cho et al. [12] reported the increasing 4%–7% lipid could spare about 5% protein in diet for growing rockfish (21.9 g and 3.2 g, respectively), and thus they estimated a high dietary lipid requirement (14%-19%). Considering the difference in lipid sources in these studies, rockfish might utilize fish oil more efficiently than the mixture of fish oil and soybean oil and thus require less lipid to support growth, explaining a low lipid requirement of rockfish in the present study.

In the present study, all tested diets were well-accepted by the fish, with DFI values ranging from 1.67%/d to 1.76%/d, representing a satisfactory palatability compared to that of 0.92%/d-1.06%/d reported by Lee et al. [21]. However, fish DFI decreased at higher protein and lipid levels which agreed with the studies in brown-marbled grouper Epinephelus fuscoguttatus [29], silver sillago Sillago sihama [30], European grayling Thymallus thymallus [31], indicating that feed intake was regulated by the dietary available energy. Generally, the increased diet energy content can lead to lesser diet being consumed by fish to meet its energy requirement. On the contrary, when fish are offered diets with an energy content below the requirement level, they would consume more feed to gain sufficient energy needed for supporting growth and metabolism. The DFI response suggested diets containing 46%-50% protein and 12% lipid provided 18.47-18.96 kJ/g energy, which met the energy needs of fish and significantly reduced diet consumption. It was noted the feed conversion rate decreased with the increasing protein and lipid levels, which is consistent with studies on rockfish [21], Manchurian trout Brachymystax lenok [32], brown trout Salmo trutta fario [33], black sea bass Centropristis striata [34], and European grayling T. thymallus [35]. The increased lipid level improved feed utilization and consequently diet P46L12 achieved a similar performance (FCR) to diet P50L12, pointing out an obvious protein-sparing effect of lipid [21]. In addition, the current result showed that PER was unaffected regardless of dietary protein or lipid level. This meant that dietary protein of 42%–50% were exactly deposited in proportion to weight growth and thus no obvious PER response occurred, which was not inconsistent with results reported by Cho et al. [12]

and Lee et al. [21]. To sum up, the suitable dietary protein and lipid ranged within 46%–50% and 12%, respectively, with the energy level above 18.47–18.96 kJ/g, to achieve minimum feed consumption and maximum feed utilization.

Allometric growth of tissue is a long-term process of adapting to external stimuli including nutritive stimuli. Morphometrical parameters, such as HSI, VSI, and CF, are often used as indicators to assess the nutritional status of fish [36]. In the present study, high-lipid (12%) diets increased HSI and VSI, which consisted with some findings in redspotted grouper [37], northern whiting S. sihama [38], and haddock Melanogrammus aeglefinus [39]. The significantly increased HSI and VSI in fish fed the high-lipid (12%) diets was associated with the increasing lipid accumulation in fish body, as presented in Table 5, which explained by low lipid transport out of liver or limited lipid catabolic activity [40, 41], as concluded in growth response. In addition, hepatic lipid accumulation is considered as a symptom of fatty liver [40]. However, no obvious symptom of liver injury was found in the present study, since activities of serum transaminases were not elevated as dietary lipid increased. Furthermore, appropriate deposition of lipid in liver was beneficial for fish to cope with unfavorable stimuli [42, 43]. The present results corroborated these findings and indicated survival rate significantly increased as dietary lipid increasing from 9% to 12%, suggesting that dietary lipid sources may affect survivability of rockfish as demonstrated in other fish [42].

An increased in lipid contents coupled with a decrease in moisture content with increasing dietary lipid at each protein level in whole body, muscle, and liver, which were consistent with the results reported in African catfish Clarias gariepinus [44], surubim Pseudoplatystoma coruscans [45], bagrid catfish Pseudobagrus fulvidraco [46], and Nibea diacanthus [47]. High inclusion level of dietary protein (50%) significantly promoted lipid deposition in liver and whole body but did not affect protein deposition. This was in line with the findings reported on mangrove red snapper Lutjanus argentimaculatus [48], red swamp crayfish Procambarus clarkia [49], and topmouth culter Culter alburnus [50], suggesting that excess protein may be stored as energy or convert into lipid. In muscle, the increased protein deposition was observed in fish fed diet P46L12, similar to those fed P50L12 and P50L9 but higher than those fed other low-lipid (9%) diets. This indicated that high dietary lipid promoted protein deposition and exhibited an obvious protein-sparing effect.

In the present study, the increment of dietary lipid reduced the proportion of oleic acid and linoleic acid in total fatty acids of muscle, which was in line with that reported in Atlantic cod *Gadus morhua* [51], white seabass *Atractoscion nobilis* [52], Japanese seabass *Lateolabrax japonicus* [53], and orange-spotted grouper [54]. However, the increased dietary protein increased the proportion of oleic acid in muscle lipid but reduced the proportions of linoleic acid and DHA. Fish cannot only obtain oleic acid from diet but also endogenously synthesize oleic acid by converting from stearic acid. The increased dietary lipid might suppress oleic acid synthesis or promote oleic acid oxidation, meanwhile the increased dietary protein possibly reduced the oxidation of oleic acid to supply energy and then resulted in more oleic acid deposition in muscle. In addition, rockfish are unable to synthesize linolenic acid and DHA from precursors since they lack specific elongase and desaturases [26, 55]. Therefore, the present study suggested increased dietary lipid and protein suppressed deposition of linoleic acid and DHA in rockfish muscle. Some opposite results were found in studies on Atlantic cod *Gadus morhua* [51], loach *Misgurnus anguillicaudatus* [19], far eastern catfish *Silurus asotus* [56] and turbot *S. maximus* [57]. Further research is needed to explore the different deposition mechanism of linoleic acid and DHA across fish species.

Muscle amino acid deposition may be influenced by nutrients intake, especially protein content [58]. Excess dietary protein lead to catabolism of amino acids into energy [59]. Fish are able to selectively retain or catabolize specific amino acids according to the dietary protein to energy ratio [60]. In the present study, growing rockfish selectively retained histidine but catabolized phenylalanine, lysine, and tyrosine when they received high-protein diets, which was in accord with the findings recorded in giant trevally Caranx ignobilis [61], N. diacanthus [47], and chu's croaker Nibea coibor [62]. However, growing rockfish selectively retained histidine, leucine, isoleucine, glycine, and alanine when they received highlipid diets. These different deposition responses of amino acids probably pointed out the specific amino acids requirement for muscle metabolism when rockfish were subjected to different nutritional stimuli. For example, histidine, isoleucine, and leucine participate in lipoprotein assembling [63-65], lipid metabolism-related genes regulation [66], and antilipid peroxidation [67-69]. It is assumed that selective retention of these amino acids by rockfish is necessary for the enhanced lipid metabolism as reflected in serum TG, CHO, and LDL. Therefore, the roles of these amino acids need to be further elucidated.

Blood biochemical parameters and enzyme activities are used as key means of surveying the fish health and nutritional status [54]. Lu et al. [70] reported that lipid accumulation elevated serum AST and ALT activities of blunt snout bream Megalobrama amblycephala fed high-lipid diets, which was associated with liver impairment. In the present study, no obvious difference in the activities of AST and ALT among all treatments suggested that liver impairment did not seem to occur in all treatments. The levels of serum TP and albumin are usually correlated to hepatic protein synthesis of fish, excessive, and inadequate dietary protein intake reduces their concentrations [71, 72]. In present study, dietary protein as low as 42% did not suppress the protein synthesis ability of liver. In terms of serum lipid metabolism, serum CHO and LDL levels both increased as dietary protein and lipid increased; however, serum TG level was elevated by dietary lipid, which agreed with the findings reported in grass carp Ctenopharyngodon idella [73], grouper Epinephelus coioides [74], and red-spotted grouper [37]. LDL is the main transporter of cholesterol to the peripheral tissues, whereas excess tissue cholesterol is returned to the liver by reverse cholesterol transport mediated by HDL [75]. Therefore, rockfish could well-regulate lipid homeostasis by enhancing lipid transportation for deposition and oxidization in liver or peripheral tissues, to relieve stress caused by high protein dietary or lipid.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, diets containing 46%–50% protein at each lipid level provided the satisfactory growth for this species with an obvious protein-sparing effect of lipid on feed utilization. Therefore, the recommended dietary protein and lipid level are 46% and 12%, respectively, to achieve a compromise between growth and feed utilization. Further experiments are required in this area to investigate the effect of long-term feeding the recommended dietary protein and lipid level on fish health, when considering more lipids accumulated in fish fed high-lipid diet.

Data Availability

The authors confirm that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within its supplementary material.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

This study was financially supported by the Key R&D Plan of Shandong Province (grant no. 2021SFGC0701), Yantai Key Project of Research and Development Plan (grant no. 2021XDHZ055), and General program of Shandong Natural Science Foundation (grant no. ZR202111160007).

Supplementary Materials

All experimental data were presented in the supplementary file, including growth performance and diet utilization, hepatosomatic index, viscerosomatic index, condition factor, whole body proximate compositions, muscle proximate compositions, liver proximate compositions, essential amino acid composition of muscle, nonessential amino acid composition of muscle, major fatty acids of muscle, and serum biochemical indexes of rockfish *Sebastes schlegeli*.

Table S1: growth performance and feed utilization. Table S2: hepatosomatic index (%). Table S3: viscerosomatic index (%). Table S4: condition factor (CF, g/cm³). Table S5: whole body proximate compositions (%). Table S6: muscle proximate compositions (%). Table S7: liver proximate compositions (%). Table S8: essential amino acid composition of muscle (%). Table S9: nonessential amino acid composition of muscle (%). Table S10: major fatty acids of muscle. Table S11: serum biochemical indexes. (*Supplementary Materials*)

References

 S.-M. Lee and K.-D. Kim, "Effect of various levels of lipid exchanged with dextrin at different protein level in diet on growth and body composition of juvenile flounder *Paralichthys olivaceus*," *Aquaculture Nutrition*, vol. 11, no. 6, pp. 435–442, 2005.

- [2] NRC (National Research Council, Nutrient Requirements of Fish, National Academy Press, Washington, DC, 1993.
- [3] A. Galchenko, E. Sidorova, A. Barinov, N. Titov, and A. Skalny, "The contribution of proteins, fats, carbohydrates, and alcohol to the total energy value of the diet: a cross-sectional study," *Potravinarstvo Slovak Journal of Food Sciences*, vol. 15, pp. 33– 39, 2021.
- [4] C. Y. Cho, J. D. Hynes, K. R. Wood, and H. K. Yoshida, "Development of high-nutrient-dense, low-pollution diets and prediction of aquaculture wastes using biological approaches," *Aquaculture*, vol. 124, no. 1–4, pp. 293–305, 1994.
- [5] N. A. Giri, A. Muzaki, M. Marzuqi, and S. Sudewi, "Optimizing protein, lipid and carbohydrate levels in diets for growth of juvenile hybrid grouper (*Epinephelus fuscoguttatus*Q×*E. lanceolatus* る)," *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, vol. 584, Article ID 012030, 2020.
- [6] K. Hamre, G. M. Berge, Ø. Sæle et al., "Optimization of the balance between protein, lipid and carbohydrate in diets for lumpfish (*Cyclopterus lumpus*)," *Aquaculture Nutrition*, vol. 2022, Article ID 1155989, 15 pages, 2022.
- [7] Y. Ren, S. Wei, H. Yu et al., "Dietary lipid levels affect growth, feed utilization, lipiddeposition, health status and digestive enzyme activities of juvenile Siberian sturgeon, *Acipenser baerii*," *Aquaculture Nutrition*, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 2019–2028, 2021.
- [8] L. Lyu, H. Wen, Y. Li et al., "Deep transcriptomic analysis of black rockfish (*Sebastes schlegelii*) provides new insights on responses to acute temperature stress," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 8, Article ID 9113, 2018.
- [9] F. Shen, Z. Zhang, Y. Fu et al., "Effects of food deprivation duration on the behavior and metabolism of black rockfish (*Sebastes schlegelii*)," *Fishes*, vol. 6, no. 4, Article ID 58, 2021.
- [10] B. I. Jang, O. S. Olowe, and S. H. Cho, "Evaluation of the optimal protein required in granulated microdiets for rockfish (*Sebastes schlegeli*) larvae," *Aquaculture Nutrition*, vol. 2022, Article ID 2270384, 9 pages, 2022.
- [11] H. J. Kim, S. H. Cho, and J.-H. Lee, "Optimum level of lipid in granulated microdiets for rockfish (*Sebastes schlegeli*) larvae," *Aquaculture Reports*, vol. 27, Article ID 101372, 2022.
- [12] S. H. Cho, H. S. Kim, S. H. Myung, W.-G. Jung, J. Choi, and S.-M. Lee, "Optimum dietary protein and lipid levels for juvenile rockfish (*Sebastes schlegeli*, Hilgendorf 1880)," *Aquaculture Research*, vol. 46, no. 12, pp. 2954–2961, 2015.
- [13] AOAC, Official Methods of Analysis, Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington DC, 15th edition, 1990.
- [14] R. Marino, M. Iammarino, A. Santillo, M. Muscarella, M. Caroprese, and M. Albenzio, "Technical note: Rapid method for determination of amino acids in milk." *Journal of Dairy Science*, vol. 93, no. 6, pp. 2367–2370, 2010.
- [15] L. D. Metcalfe, A. A. Schmitz, and J. R. Pelka, "Rapid preparation of fatty acid esters from lipids for gas chromatographic analysis," *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 514-515, 1966.
- [16] M. M. Bradford, "A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding," *Analytical Biochemistry*, vol. 72, no. 1-2, pp. 248–254, 1976.
- [17] M. Kawano, E. Hokazono, S. Osawa et al., "A novel assay for triglycerides using glycerol dehydrogenase and a water-soluble formazan dye, WST-8," *Annals of Clinical Biochemistry: International Journal of Laboratory Medicine*, vol. 56, no. 4, pp. 442–449, 2019.

- [18] P. Robinet, Z. Wang, S. L. Hazen, and J. D. Smith, "A simple and sensitive enzymatic method for cholesterol quantification in macrophages and foam cells," *Journal of Lipid Research*, vol. 51, no. 11, pp. 3364–3369, 2010.
- [19] Q. Yan, S. Xie, X. Zhu, W. Lei, and Y. Yang, "Dietary methionine requirement for juvenile rockfish, *Sebastes schlegeli*," *Aquaculture Nutrition*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 163–169, 2007.
- [20] H. K. Hwang, M. H. So, J. I. Myeong, C. W. Kim, and B. H. Min, "Effects of stocking density on the cage culture of Korean rockfish (*Sebastes schlegeli*)," *Aquaculture*, vol. 434, pp. 303–306, 2014.
- [21] S.-M. Lee, I. G. Jeon, and J. Y. Lee, "Effects of digestible protein and lipid levels in practical diets on growth, protein utilization and body composition of juvenile rockfish (*Sebastes schlegeli*)," *Aquaculture*, vol. 211, no. 1–4, pp. 227–239, 2002.
- [22] Z. Song, H. Li, J. Wang, P. Li, Y. Sun, and L. Zhang, "Effects of fishmeal replacement with soy protein hydrolysates on growth performance, blood biochemistry, gastrointestinal digestion and muscle composition of juvenile starry flounder (*Platichthys* stellatus)," Aquaculture, vol. 426-427, pp. 96–104, 2014.
- [23] Z. Song, J. Wang, H. Qiao, L. Zhang, and P. Li, "Assessing the effects of dietary inclusion of hydrolyzed soy protein on the growth, nutrient retention, body composition, and serum hormone of juvenile starry flounder, *Platichthys stellatus*," *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society*, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 230–238, 2016.
- [24] Z. Song, P. Li, J. Wang, Y. Sun, and C. Wang, "Dietary inclusion of hydrolyzed soybean and cottonseed meals influence digestion, metabolic enzymes, and growth-related hormones and growth of juvenile turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*)," *Aquaculture International*, vol. 26, pp. 1017–1033, 2018.
- [25] Z. Aminikhoei, J. Choi, S.-M. Lee, and K.-D. Kim, "Effects of different dietary lipid sources on growth performance, fatty acid composition, and antioxidant enzyme activity of juvenile rockfish, *Sebastes schlegeli*," *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society*, vol. 44, no. 5, pp. 716–725, 2013.
- [26] S.-M. Lee, "Review of the lipid and essential fatty acid requirements of rockfish (*Sebastes schlegeli*)," *Aquaculture Research*, vol. 32, no. Suppl. 1, pp. 8–17, 2001.
- [27] K. N. Mohanta, S. N. Mohanty, and J. K. Jena, "Proteinsparing effect of carbohydrate in silver barb, *Puntius gonionotus* fry," *Aquaculture Nutrition*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 311–317, 2007.
- [28] C. Schulz, M. Huber, J. Ogunji, and B. Rennert, "Effects of varying dietary protein to lipid ratios on growth performance and body composition of juvenile pike perch (*Sander lucioperca*)," *Aquaculture Nutrition*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 166–173, 2008.
- [29] R. Shapawi, I. Ebi, A. S. K. Yong, and W. K. Ng, "Optimizing the growth performance of brown-marbled grouper, *Epinephelus fuscoguttatus* (Forskal), by varying the proportion of dietary protein and lipid levels," *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, vol. 191, pp. 98–105, 2014.
- [30] Q.-C. Huang, D.-G. Qin, B.-P. Tan et al., "The optimal dietary protein level of juvenile silver sillago *Sillago sihama* at three dietary lipid levels," *Aquaculture Research*, vol. 51, no. 2, pp. 816–827, 2020.
- [31] R. Samad, D. Konrad, I. Marisol, M. Oleksandr, K. Jitka, and P. Tomas, "Effects of dietary protein and lipid levels on growth, body composition, blood biochemistry, antioxidant capacity and ammonia excretion of European grayling (*Thymallus thymallus*)," *Frontiers in Marine Science*, vol. 8, Article ID 715636, 2021.

- [32] G. F. Xu, Y. Y. Wang, Y. Han et al., "Growth, feed utilization and body composition of juvenile Manchurian trout, *Brachymystax lenok* (Pallas) fed different dietary protein and lipid levels," *Aquaculture Nutrition*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 332– 340, 2015.
- [33] C. Wang, G. Hu, P. Sun et al., "Effects of dietary protein at two lipid levels on growth, gonadal development, body composition and liver metabolic enzymes of brown trout (*Salmo trutta fario*) broodstock," *Aquaculture Nutrition*, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 1587–1598, 2018.
- [34] M. S. Alam, W. O. Watanabe, P. M. Carroll, and T. Rezek, "Effects of dietary protein and lipid levels on growth performance and body composition of black sea bass *Centropristis striata* (Linnaeus 1758) during grow-out in a pilot-scale marine recirculating system," *Aquaculture Research*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 442–449, 2009.
- [35] S. Rahimnejad, K. Dabrowski, M. Izquierdo, O. Malinovskyi, J. Kolárová, and T. Policar, "Effects of dietary protein and lipid levels on growth, body composition, blood biochemistry, antioxidant capacity and ammonia excretion of European grayling (*Thymallus thymallus*)," *Frontiers in Marine Science*, vol. 8, Article ID 715636, 2021.
- [36] J. Chang, H. X. Niu, Y. D. Jia, S. G. Li, and G. F. Xu, "Effects of dietary lipid levels on growth, feed utilization, digestive tract enzyme activity and lipid deposition of juvenile Manchurian trout, *Brachymystax lenok* (Pallas)," *Aquaculture Nutrition*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 694–701, 2018.
- [37] J. T. Wang, T. Han, X. Y. Li et al., "Effects of dietary protein and lipid levels with different protein-to-energy ratios on growth performance, feed utilization and body composition of juvenile red-spotted grouper, *Epinephelus akaara*," *Aquaculture Nutrition*, vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 994–1002, 2017.
- [38] H. Liu, X. Dong, B. Tan et al., "Effects of dietary protein and lipid levels on growth, body composition, enzymes activity, expression of IGF-1 and TOR of juvenile northern whiting, *Sillago sihama*," *Aquaculture*, vol. 533, Article ID 736166, 2020.
- [39] S. M. Tibbetts, S. P. Lall, and J. E. Milley, "Effects of dietary protein and lipid levels and DP/DEratio on growth, feed utilization and hepatosomatic indexof juvenile haddock, *Melanogrammus aeglefinus* L," *Aquaculture Nutrition*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 67–75, 2005.
- [40] S. Ando, Y. Mori, and K. Nakamura, "Characteristics of lipid accumulation types in five species of fish," *Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi*, vol. 59, no. 9, pp. 1559–1564, 1993.
- [41] D. A. Nanton, S. P. Lall, N. W. Ross, and M. A. McNiven, "Effect of dietary lipid level on fatty acid β-oxidation and lipid composition in various tissues of haddock, *Melanogrammus* aeglefinus L," Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B: Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, vol. 135, no. 1, pp. 95– 108, 2003.
- [42] H. M. Abdel-Ghany, M. E.-S. Salem, A. A. Ezzat et al., "Effects of different levels of dietary lipids on growth performance, liver histology and cold tolerance of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*)," *Journal of Thermal Biology*, vol. 96, Article ID 102833, 2021.
- [43] L. A. Copeman, M. A. Stowell, C. D. Salant et al., "The role of temperature on overwinter survival, condition metrics and lipid loss in juvenile polar cod (*Boreogadus saida*): a laboratory experiment," *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, vol. 205, Article ID 105177, 2022.
- [44] M. H. Ahamad, "Response of African catfish, *Clarias gariepinus*, to different dietary protein and lipid levels in

practical diets," *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society*, vol. 39, no. 4, pp. 541–548, 2008.

- [45] R. C. Martino, J. E. P. Cyrino, L. Portz, and L. C. Trugo, "Effect of dietary lipid level on nutritional performance of the surubim, *Pseudoplatystoma coruscans*," *Aquaculture*, vol. 209, no. 1–4, pp. 209–218, 2002.
- [46] L. O. Kim and S.-M. Lee, "Effects of the dietary protein and lipid levels on growth and body composition of bagrid catfish, *Pseudobagrus fulvidraco*," *Aquaculture*, vol. 243, no. 1–4, pp. 323–329, 2005.
- [47] W. Li, X. Wen, Y. Huang, J. Zhao, S. Li, and D. Zhu, "Effects of varying protein and lipid levels and protein-to-energy ratios on growth, feed utilization and body composition in juvenile *Nibea diacanthus,*" *Aquaculture Nutrition*, vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 1035–1047, 2017.
- [48] M. R. Catacutan, G. E. Pagador, and S. Teshima, "Effect of dietary protein and lipid levels and protein to energy ratios on growth, survival and body composition of the mangrove red snapper, *Lutjanus argentimaculatus* (Forsskal 1775)," *Aquaculture Research*, vol. 32, no. 10, pp. 811–818, 2001.
- [49] W.-N. Xu, W.-B. Liu, M.-F. Shen, G.-F. Li, Y. Wang, and W.-W. Zhang, "Effect of different dietary protein and lipid levels on growth performance, body composition of juvenile red swamp crayfish (*Procambarus clarkii*)," *Aquaculture International*, vol. 21, pp. 687–697, 2013.
- [50] Y.-L. Zhang, L. Song, R.-P. Liu et al., "Effects of dietary protein and lipid levels on growth, body composition and flesh quality of juvenile topmouth culter, *Culter alburnus* Basilewsky," *Aquaculture Research*, vol. 47, no. 8, pp. 2633– 2641, 2016.
- [51] S. Morais, J. Gordon Bell, D. A. Robertson, W. J. Roy, and P. C. Morris, "Protein/lipid ratios in extruded diets for Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua* L): effects on growth, feed utilisation, muscle composition and liver histology," *Aquaculture*, vol. 203, no. 1-2, pp. 101–119, 2001.
- [52] L. M. López, E. Durazo, M. T. Viana, M. Drawbridge, and D. P. Bureau, "Effect of dietary lipid levels on performance, body composition and fatty acid profile of juvenile white seabass, *Atractoscion nobilis*," *Aquaculture*, vol. 289, no. 1-2, pp. 101–105, 2009.
- [53] G. Luo, J. Xu, Y. Teng, C. Ding, and B. Yan, "Effects of dietary lipid levels on the growth, digestive enzyme, feed utilization and fatty acid composition of Japanese sea bass (*Lateolabrax japonicus* L.) reared in freshwater," *Aquaculture Research*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 210–219, 2010.
- [54] S. Li, K. Mai, W. Xu et al., "Effects of dietary lipid level on growth, fatty acid composition, digestive enzymes and expression of some lipid metabolism related genes of orange-spotted grouper larvae (*Epinephelus coioides* H.)," *Aquaculture Research*, vol. 47, no. 8, pp. 2481–2495, 2016.
- [55] D. R. Tocher, "Metabolism and functions of lipids and fatty acids in teleost fish," *Reviews in Fisheries Science*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 107–184, 2003.
- [56] K.-D. Kim, S. G. Lim, Y. J. Kang, K.-W. Kim, and M. H. Son, "Effects of dietary protein and lipid levels on growth and body composition of juvenile Far Eastern Catfish *Silurus asotus*," *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 369–374, 2012.
- [57] S. H. Cho, S. M. Lee, and J. H. Lee, "Effect of dietary protein and lipid levels on growth and body composition of juvenile turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus* L) reared under optimum salinity and temperature conditions," *Aquaculture Nutrition*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 235–240, 2005.

- [58] R. M. Gunasekera, K. F. Shim, and T. J. Lam, "Influence of dietary protein content on the divolstribution of amino acids in oocytes, serum and muscle of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.)," *Aquaculture*, vol. 152, no. 1–4, pp. 205–221, 1997.
- [59] G. Cuzon and J. Guillaume, "Energy and protein: Energy ratio," in *Crustacean nutrition*, L. R. D'Abramo, D. E. Conklin, and D. M. Akiyama, Eds., vol. 6, pp. 51–70, The World Aquaculture Society, 1997.
- [60] R. Teodósio, C. Aragão, L. E. C. Conceição, J. Dias, and S. Engrola, "Amino acid metabolism in gilthead seabream is affected by the dietary protein to energy ratios," *Aquaculture Nutrition*, vol. 2022, Article ID 8230704, 10 pages, 2022.
- [61] M. C. Nguyen, R. Fotedar, and H. D. Pham, "Effects of dietary protein and lipid levels on growth performance, feed utilization and body composition of juvenile giant trevally (*Caranx ignobilis* Forsskal, 1775)," *Aquaculture Research*, vol. 53, no. 17, pp. 6254–6263, 2022.
- [62] Y. S. Huang, X. B. Wen, S. K. Li, X. Z. Xuan, and D. S. Zhu, "Effects of protein levels on growth, feed utilization, body composition, amino acid composition and physiology indices of juvenile chu's croaker, *Nibea coibor*," *Aquaculture Nutrition*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 594–602, 2017.
- [63] P. Craig and T. Moon, "Methionine restriction affects the phenotypic and transcriptional response of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) to carbohydrate-enriched diets," *British Journal of Nutrition*, vol. 109, no. 3, pp. 402–412, 2013.
- [64] M. Espe, R. M. Rathore, Z.-Y. Du, B. Liaset, and A. El-Mowafi, "Methionine limitation results in increased hepatic FAS activity, higher liver 18:1 to 18:0 fatty acid ratio and hepatic TAG accumulation in Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar*," *Amino Acids*, vol. 39, pp. 449–460, 2010.
- [65] M. Espe, J.-E. Zerrahn, E. Holen, I. Rønnestad, E. Veiseth-Kent, and A. Aksnes, "Choline supplementation to low methionine diets increase phospholipids in Atlantic salmon, while taurine supplementation had no effect on phohoplipid status, but improved taurine status," *Aquaculture Nutrition*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 776–785, 2015.
- [66] Q. Ma, X. Zhou, L. Hu, J. Chen, J. Zhu, and A. Shan, "Leucine and isoleucine have similar effects on reducing lipid accumulation, improving insulin sensitivity and increasing the browning of WAT in high-fat diet-induced obese mice," *Food & function*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 2279–2290, 2020.
- [67] L. J. Hobart, I. Seibel, G. S. Yeargans, and N. W. Seidler, "Anti-crosslinking properties of carnosine: significance of histidine," *Life Sciences*, vol. 75, no. 11, pp. 1379–1389, 2004.
- [68] D. L. Williams, "Oxidation, antioxidants and cataract formation: a literature review," *Veterinary Ophtamology*, vol. 9, no. 5, pp. 292–298, 2006.
- [69] F. Bellia, A. M. Amorini, D. La Mendola et al., "New glycosidic derivatives of histidine-containing dipeptides with antioxidant properties and resistant to carnosinase activity," *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 373–380, 2008.
- [70] K.-l. Lu, W.-n. Xu, J.-y. Li, X.-f. Li, G.-q. Huang, and W.-b. Liu, "Alterations of liver histology and blood biochemistry in blunt snout bream *Megalobrama amblycephala* fed high-fat diets," *Fisheries Science*, vol. 79, pp. 661–671, 2013.
- [71] J. Wang, B. Li, J. Ma et al., "Optimum dietary protein to lipid ratio for starry flounder (*Platichthys stellatus*)," *Aquaculture Research*, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 189–201, 2015.
- [72] M. Yigit, M. Sahinyilmaz, Ü. Acar et al., "Evaluation of dietary protein level in practical feed for twoband bream *Diplodus*

vulgaris," North American Journal of Aquaculture, vol. 80, no. 4, pp. 379–387, 2018.

- [73] Z.-Y. Du, Y.-J. Liu, L.-X. Tian, J.-T. Wang, Y. Wang, and G.-Y. Liang, "Effect of dietary lipid level on growth, feed utilization and body composition by juvenile grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*)," *Aquaculture Nutrition*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 139–146, 2005.
- [74] A.-C. Cheng, C.-Y. Chen, C.-H. Liou, and C.-F. Chang, "Effects of dietary protein and lipids on blood parameters and superoxide anion production in the grouper, *Epinephelus coioides* (Serranidae: Epinephelinae)," *Zoological Studies*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 492–502, 2006.
- [75] M. A. Sheridan, "Lipid dynamics in fish: aspects of absorption, transportation, deposition and mobilization," *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B: Comparative Biochemistry*, vol. 90, no. 4, pp. 679–690, 1988.