

Experimental details of transverse diffusivity studies

Paul Scott, Eleni Toumpanaki and Janet M. Lees*

Dr. P. Scott

Cambridge Design Partnership, Church Road, Toft, Cambridge, CB23 2RF, UK.
Email: Paul.Scott@cambridge-design.com

Dr. E. Toumpanaki

University of Bristol, Department of Civil Engineering, University Walk, Bristol, BS8 1TR, UK.

Email: eleni.toumpanaki@bristol.ac.uk

Prof. J.M. Lees

University of Cambridge, Department of Engineering, Civil Engineering Building, JJ Thomson Ave 7a, Cambridge, CB3 0FA, UK

Email: jml2@eng.cam.ac.uk

Table S1. Experimental details of transverse diffusivity studies.

Author	V_f	D_e (mm ² /s)	t (mm)	D_{22}/D_e	Temp. (°C)	RH (%)	Satura tion level	Diff. Model
Bohlmann & Derby (a) (1977) [37]	0.630	^e 8.00×10 ⁻⁷	0.72	0.180	24	100	No *	Fickian
	0.630	^e 9.20×10 ⁻⁵	0.65	0.211	60	100	No *	Fickian
	0.630	^e 7.60×10 ⁻⁵	0.68	0.240	82	100	No *	Fickian
	0.630	No data	0.67	0.176	60	50	No *	Fickian
Bohlmann & Derby (b) (1977) [37]	0.700	No data	0.63	0.009	60	100	No *	Fickian
Kondo & Taki (a) (1982) [32]	0.600	7.01×10 ⁻⁷	1	0.445	75	40	–	Fickian
	0.600	7.86×10 ⁻⁷	1	0.397	75	65	–	Fickian
	0.600	8.88×10 ⁻⁷	1	0.464	75	90	–	Fickian
Kondo & Taki (b) (1982) [32]	0.560	1.23×10 ⁻⁷	1	0.315	30	65	–	Fickian
	0.560	1.29×10 ⁻⁷	1	0.360	30	90	–	Fickian
	0.560	1.50×10 ⁻⁶	1	0.294	75	40	–	Fickian
	0.560	1.63×10 ⁻⁶	1	0.387	75	65	–	Fickian
	0.560	1.58×10 ⁻⁶	1	0.342	75	90	–	Fickian
	0.600	7.65×10 ⁻⁸	–	0.139	23	100	–	Fickian

Delasi &	0.600	3.10×10^{-7}	–	0.258	49	100	–	Fickian
Whiteside [39]	0.600	1.61×10^{-6}	–	0.190	82	100	–	Fickian
Bond [10]	0.610	7.42×10^{-7}	0.5, 1	0.359	50	23	Yes	^{d)} Relax
	0.610	6.66×10^{-7}	0.5, 1	0.360	50	50	Yes	^{d)} Relax
	0.610	5.16×10^{-7}	0.5, 1	0.549	50	75	Yes	^{d)} Relax
	0.610	5.31×10^{-7}	0.5, 1	0.565	50	96	Yes	^{d)} Relax
	0.610	1.51×10^{-6}	0.5, 1	0.361	65	75	–	^{d)} Relax
	0.610	1.36×10^{-6}	0.5, 1	0.395	65	96	–	^{d)} Relax
	0.610	2.45×10^{-6}	0.5, 1	0.412	85	43	No ^{b)}	^{d)} Relax
	0.610	2.31×10^{-6}	0.5, 1	0.462	85	75	No ^{b)}	^{d)} Relax
	0.610	1.94×10^{-6}	0.5, 1	0.479	85	94	No ^{b)}	^{d)} Relax
Adams & Singh [22]	0.53	2.15×10^{-6}	2, 1.2	0.540	100	100	No	Fickian
Hong et al. [39]	0.665	6.26×10^{-7}	1.4	0.038	23	DW		Relax
	0.665	24.17×10^{-7}	1.4	0.022	40			
	0.665	61.14×10^{-7}	1.4	0.025	60			
Wang et al. [24]	0.50	8.69×10^{-6}	2.0	0.067	60	DW	Yes	Fickian
	0.50	8.69×10^{-6}	2.0	0.163	60			
	0.50	3.68×10^{-6}	2.0	0.043	60			
	0.50	3.68×10^{-6}	2.0	0.102	60			
Arnold et al. [40]	0.58	11.91×10^{-8}	1,2,4	0.372	23	W	Yes	Fickian
	0.58	31.2×10^{-8}	1,2,4	0.401	40	W		
	0.58	143.2×10^{-8}	1,2,4	0.482	70	W		
	0.58	155.5×10^{-8}	1,2,4	0.418	70	85		
	0.58	175.5×10^{-8}	1,2,4	0.361	70	60		
	0.58	11.91×10^{-8}	1,2,4	0.144	23	W		
	0.58	31.2×10^{-8}	1,2,4	0.124	40	W		
	0.58	143.2×10^{-8}	1,2,4	0.151	70	W		
	0.58	155.5×10^{-8}	1,2,4	0.135	70	85		
	0.58	175.5×10^{-8}	1,2,4	0.110	70	60		
Shen & Springer [9]	0.680	18.00×10^{-4}	1.27	0.068	121	100	No ^{a)}	Fickian
	0.680	15.00×10^{-5}	1.27	0.072	93	–	No ^{a)}	Fickian
	0.680	18.50×10^{-5}	1.27	0.078	71	–	No ^{a)}	Fickian
	0.680	12.00×10^{-6}	1.27	0.070	49	–	No ^{a)}	Fickian
	0.680	17.50×10^{-6}	1.27	0.064	27	–	No ^{a)}	Fickian

^{a)} Understanding based on sample presented from the dataset

^{b)} Though saturation is not observed, it is estimated through use of regression analysis

– No data, W=Water and DW=Distilled water

^{c)} obtained from figure so there may be a loss of accuracy ^{d)} Fickian parameters also calculated