

**TABLE S1:** Matrix effect on the determination of coal.

Sample	Standard curve			Peak Area <sub>blank</sub> <sup>(3)</sup>
	Dynamic range of sulfur (mg)	Linear least squares regression equation	Correlation coefficient (r)	
Coal <sup>(1)</sup>	0.033 - 0.142	$Y = 1.565 \times 10^{-6}X + 3.174 \times 10^{-3}$	1.0000	248 ± 11
Coal in Kit blank <sup>(2)</sup>	0.036 - 0.132	$Y = 1.547 \times 10^{-6}X + 8.932 \times 10^{-3}$	0.9998	2438 ± 642

<sup>(1)</sup>Standard curve of coal was constructed without existence of Kit blank.

<sup>(2)</sup>Standard curve of coal was constructed with Kit blank of  $1.54 \pm 0.03$  mg.

<sup>(3)</sup>Average peak areas ( $\pm$  SD, n = 2) of tin blanks and Kit blanks, respectively.

**TABLE S2:** Inter-day results for the determination of ECD.

Test no.	Sample <sup>(1)</sup>	Standard curve			Sulfur weight (mg)	Recovery yield (%)
		Dynamic range of	Linear least squares regression	Correlation		
		sulfur (mg)	equation	coefficient (r)		
1	Bk <sub>Kit</sub>	0.033 - 0.178	$Y = 1.445 \times 10^{-6}X + 2.802 \times 10^{-3}$	0.9997	$0.000 \pm 0.001$	-
	Std <sub>ECD</sub> #1				$0.127 \pm 0.002$	$100.53 \pm 1.19$
	Std <sub>ECD</sub> #2				$0.135 \pm 0.001$	$94.19 \pm 0.46$
	Std <sub>ECD</sub> #3				$0.157 \pm 0.001$	$100.90 \pm 0.88$
	Std <sub>ECD</sub> #4				$0.164 \pm 0.001$	$94.98 \pm 0.31$
2	Bk <sub>Kit</sub>	0.031 - 0.144	$Y = 1.493 \times 10^{-6}X + 2.113 \times 10^{-3}$	0.9987	$0.000 \pm 0.000$	-
	Std <sub>ECD</sub> #1				$0.122 \pm 0.007$	$96.47 \pm 5.92$
	Std <sub>ECD</sub> #2				$0.137 \pm 0.001$	$95.29 \pm 0.97$
	Std <sub>ECD</sub> #3				$0.161 \pm 0.001$	$103.66 \pm 0.72$
	Std <sub>ECD</sub> #4				$0.170 \pm 0.000$	$98.50 \pm 0.28$

3	Bk <sub>Kit</sub>	0.031 - 0.144	$Y = 1.493 \times 10^{-6}X + 2.113 \times 10^{-3}$	0.9987	$0.001 \pm 0.002$	-
	Std <sub>ECD</sub> #1				$0.125 \pm 0.003$	$98.80 \pm 2.68$
	Std <sub>ECD</sub> #2				$0.136 \pm 0.001$	$94.83 \pm 0.78$
	Std <sub>ECD</sub> #3				$0.159 \pm 0.001$	$102.05 \pm 0.61$
	Std <sub>ECD</sub> #4				$0.166 \pm 0.002$	$96.07 \pm 0.92$
4	Bk <sub>Kit</sub>	0.032 - 0.138	$Y = 1.446 \times 10^{-6}X + 3.341 \times 10^{-3}$	0.9998	$0.001 \pm 0.001$	-
	Std <sub>ECD</sub> #1				$0.130 \pm 0.001$	$102.72 \pm 1.19$
	Std <sub>ECD</sub> #2				$0.138 \pm 0.001$	$95.95 \pm 0.38$
	Std <sub>ECD</sub> #3				$0.159 \pm 0.000$	$102.00 \pm 0.31$
	Std <sub>ECD</sub> #4				$0.162 \pm 0.000$	$94.30 \pm 0.14$

---

<sup>(1)</sup>Compositions of calibration standards and blanks are shown in Table 2.

**TABLE S3:** Real-sample analytical results.

Batch No.	Standard curve			Recovery yield of QC <sub>coal</sub> (%)	ECD weight (mg) <sup>(1)</sup>
	Dynamic range of coal (mg)	Linear least squares regression equation	Correlation coefficient (r)		
1	1.025 - 3.327	$Y = 1.624 \times 10^{-6}X + 1.600 \times 10^{-3}$	0.9995	101.26	0.934 ± 0.021
2	1.058 - 3.403	$Y = 1.582 \times 10^{-6}X + 1.791 \times 10^{-3}$	0.9994	100.81 101.94	0.952 ± 0.013
3	1.033 - 3.376	$Y = 1.629 \times 10^{-6}X + 7.410 \times 10^{-4}$	0.9998	99.52 106.62	0.984 ± 0.007

<sup>(1)</sup>The determined value of ECD by the proposed method; nominal weight of ECD was 0.956 mg.