

Review Article Inflammation Based Regulation of Cancer Cachexia

Jill K. Onesti^{1,2} and Denis C. Guttridge^{2,3}

- ¹ Division of Surgical Oncology, The Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center, The Ohio State University College of Medicine, 460 W. 12th Avenue, Columbus, OH 43210, USA
- ² The Arthur G. James Comprehensive Cancer Center, Columbus, OH 43210, USA
- ³ Human Cancer Genetics Program, Department of Molecular Virology, Immunology and Medical Genetics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA

Correspondence should be addressed to Denis C. Guttridge; denis.guttridge@osumc.edu

Received 13 February 2014; Accepted 10 April 2014; Published 4 May 2014

Academic Editor: Dario Coletti

Copyright © 2014 J. K. Onesti and D. C. Guttridge. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Cancer cachexia, consisting of significant skeletal muscle wasting independent of nutritional intake, is a major concern for patients with solid tumors that affects surgical, therapeutic, and quality of life outcomes. This review summarizes the clinical implications, background of inflammatory cytokines, and the origin and sources of procachectic factors including TNF- α , IL-6, IL-1, INF- γ , and PIF. Molecular mechanisms and pathways are described to elucidate the link between the immune response caused by the presence of the tumor and the final result of skeletal muscle wasting.

1. Clinical Significance of Cancer Cachexia

Cachexia associated with cancer leading to skeletal muscle wasting is a major cause of morbidity associated with numerous types of cancer. Varying definitions have been proposed to classify cachexia, but the central components include ongoing loss of muscle mass due to a negative protein balance [1–3]. Greater than 50% of patients with cancer have cachexia at the time of death, and more than 30% of patients die due to cachexia [4]. This has been shown to become increasingly worse as the cancer progresses, eventually reaching a limit with low likelihood of reversal [5]. Emerging evidence shows that skeletal muscle depletion in cancer patients is a powerful predictor of a worse overall prognosis across varying cancer etiologies [6–9].

Muscle atrophy/wasting, often used as a clinical marker of cachexia, has been shown to affect outcomes in patients undergoing surgery. The University of Michigan Analytical Morphomics Group has published their findings on the relationship between lean muscle mass and postoperative mortality in patients undergoing any major elective surgery (an increase in mortality by 45% for each 1000 mm² decrease in lean core muscle area) [9] which they found to be more predictive than chronological age [10]. This same pattern held true for patients with adrenocortical carcinoma [11] and melanoma [12]. The measurements for lean muscle mass were determined by measuring the cross-sectional area and Hounsfield units of the psoas muscle at the level of the fourth lumbar vertebra and excluding fatty infiltration.

Patients with operable cancer are greatly impacted by the presence of cachexia. This may be due to the fact that cachexia indicates a more advanced stage of tumor [13] or simply that the patient is overall frailer. In a study examining 557 patients undergoing pancreas resection for adenocarcinoma, Peng et al. found that muscle wasting was an independent factor associated with an increased risk of death at three years (HR = 1.63; P < 0.001) [14]. A similar finding was noted for patients undergoing hepatectomy for hepatocellular carcinoma (HR = 0.92; P = 0.004) [15]. Decreased muscle density was associated with an increased rate of complications but not overall outcomes for colon cancer in another study [16].

Not only are overall survival and surgical outcomes affected by cachexia but also quality of life. Several studies have shown that cachexia itself contributes to lower scores more so than tumor location, duration, or stage [17, 18]. Outward effects of cachexia include a decrease in physical activity and the ability to perform activities of daily living, which may play a role in a person's psychological wellbeing. These factors in turn lead to a lower performance status, negatively impacting the ability and availability of chemotherapeutic agents [18–22].

The theory of the origin of cancer cachexia is rooted in systemic inflammation and not solely reduction of nutritional intake [23], a reason why cachexia is now distinguished from anorexia (see below). Several easily identifiable factors have been studied in an attempt to quantify the degree of inflammation and use that data to predict outcomes or guide treatment. Elevated neutrophil:lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and C-reactive protein have been associated with low skeletal muscle mass [24] and early detection of cancer cachexia [25, 26]. NLR has been shown to predict outcomes in numerous types of solid [27–32]. Another scoring system, the modified Glasgow Prognostic Score, is based on C-reactive protein and albumin levels [33]. This has been shown to predict outcomes in patients with biliary [34], colorectal [35], prostate [36], and other tumors [37].

The effect of trying to reduce cachexia by avoiding bed rest and stimulating muscle exercise has met with limited results. A review by Stene and colleagues [38] summarized results from several randomized controlled trials examining cancer cachexia. They found that physical exercise may lead to reduced fatigue, improved quality of life, and decreased adverse effects, but further studies are needed to identify if there is any survival advantage, particularly in more advanced cancer stages.

2. Background of Inflammatory Cytokines

The clinical significance of cancer cachexia has been realized for some time. The imbalance between adequate caloric intake and total body energy expenditure has been the subject of research for several decades. Previous work has focused on the role of cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α), interleukins 1 and 6 (IL-1, IL-6), and interferon gamma (INF- γ).

A review article by Tisdale published in 1997 summarized the current literature at that time [39]. Cancer cachexia was noted to be different from simple starvation which strives to conserve muscle mass. In cancer cachexia, however, this conservation mechanism is missing, such that there is equal loss of adipose and muscular tissue. This finding highlights the fact that anorexia alone is not sufficient cause for cachexia, and, in fact, does not always precede it [40], nor is cachexia alleviated by the supplementation of intravenous hyperalimentation [41].

Probably more influential in the development of cachexia is the increase in energy expenditure due to an elevated basal metabolic rate [39]. This is associated with an elevated adrenergic state [42] and appears to be similar across tumor types. Many solid tumors have also been shown to have significantly elevated rates of carbohydrate metabolism [43, 44]. This increase in glucose utilization by the tumor translates into a lower supply for the host tissue. The primary site of lean body mass depletion is the skeletal muscle and this is due to an increased rate of protein turnover without an appropriately significant increase in protein synthesis [45]. The pathway regulating protein breakdown is the adenosine triphosphate- (ATP-) ubiquitin-dependent pathway. This has been shown to be upregulated in cancer cachexia [46] and the ATP-ubiquitin-dependent pathway appears to play a major role in cancer cachexia in weight loss up to 20% [47].

These responses are controlled, at least in part, by a variety of cytokines. TNF- α was initially thought to play a direct role in cachexia by inhibiting lipoprotein lipase and enhancing the protein degradation. The direct correlation between TNF- α levels and the degree of cachexia has been more difficult to prove, however [39]. Similarly, IL-1 has demonstrated some role in the cachexia pathway, but a direct mechanism for controlling tissue wasting has not been proven [39]. Increasing the levels of IL-6 has been shown to correlate with development of cachexia in certain mouse models [48, 49]. Treatments designed to bind to IL-6 and inhibit its effect have demonstrated improvement in cachexia [50, 51]. These results have also been demonstrated in human patients [52]. Studies have shown INF- γ to have similar properties to TNF- α in reducing body fat, but without an effect on total body protein [39]. Again, no association with the human clinical syndrome of cancer cachexia has been clearly elucidated.

The ubiquitin pathway is also regulated by a high affinity activin type 2 receptor (ActRIIB) [53]. Zhou et al. found that blockade of this pathway could reverse muscle loss and also led to prolonged survival in mice models of cancer cachexia. Interestingly, this reversal was not accompanied by a reduction in circulating levels of proinflammatory cytokines [53].

In a review by Argiles and Lopez-Soriano, cytokines are separated according to their function as either procachectic factors or anticachectic factors in order to further define their roles [54]. The procachectic factors include those mentioned above, which act by promoting tissue wasting. The anticachectic factors act in opposition by attempting to stabilize this breakdown. These factors include IL-4, IL-10, IL-12, IL-15, INF- α , and insulin-like growth factor I (IGF-I). These cytokines have been shown to ameliorate the effects of the procachectic factors to varying degrees, mostly in mouse models [54]. Clearly a balance must exist, and both procachectic and anticachectic factors are targets for clinical therapies.

3. Origins of Cachexia Mediators

Once the presence and function of cytokines in the pathogenesis of cachexia has been established, the origin and sources must be identified. Previous theories of the origin of cytokines have included the tumor itself versus the native host tissue [55].

Evidence for the release of cytokines from native host tissue is found in the presence of a persistent inflammatory response, mediated by T helper 1 (Th1) cells [55]. The presence of the tumor itself causes the body to produce an acute phase

response [56]. A review by de Visser and Coussens described how the body's innate immune system involves an increase in the local concentration of mast cells and macrophages leading to angiogenesis and tumor growth [57]. Mouse models of epithelial carcinogenesis have demonstrated that the absence of mast cells or the inability to recruit additional immune cells prohibits malignant transformation [58]. Macrophages appear to be the source of some of the principal mediators of cachexia, such as TNF- α or IL-1 [59]. Intriguingly, chronic inflammation may be associated with compromised immune function, such as an impaired T-cell response, via various inflammatory proteins, including sIL-2R, VEGF, and IL-17 [60], thus creating an environment even more permissive to tumor survival.

Certain myeloid immune suppressor cells have been found to promote tumor angiogenesis by the production of matrix metalloproteinase 9 (MMP-9) [61]. These factors even suggest that the presence of host immune cells is required for promoting neoplastic events [57]. Tumor infiltrating inflammatory cells also regulate angiogenesis as well as producing extracellular proteases that serve to remodel the extracellular environment allowing tumor potentiation and possibly even metastases [57, 62]. The authors make note that expression of MMP-9 primarily derives from host immune cells such as neutrophils, macrophages, and mast cells, as opposed to tumor cells [62].

One study found that a population of myeloid-derived suppressor cells grows dramatically within tumors, producing inappropriate quantities of inflammatory cytokines [63]. This increase was noted to be associated with cachexia. These cells and others of the innate immune system respond to tumors by producing TNF- α , IL-1 β , IL-6, and INF- γ in an effort to stimulate the host's immune response and overcome any offending pathogens. As the cancer persists, however, the ongoing high inflammatory state begins to have ill effects towards the host, as well.

The specific role of IL-6 in cancer associated cachexia and skeletal muscle wasting has been identified [64]. In a study by White and colleagues, $Apc^{Min/+}$ and wild type mice on a C57Bl/6 background were used to examine the effect of treatment with an IL-6 receptor antibody after the onset of cachexia as well as the effects of exercise [65]. They found that mitochondrial biogenesis was disrupted early in the development of cachexia, which could be rescued by administration of an IL-6 receptor antibody as well as exercise. Which factors downstream of IL-6 mediate effects on cachexia are still being elucidated but likely involve the transcription factor STAT3, which we describe in more detail below.

Tumor specific factors include proteolysis inducing factor (PIF) and lipid mobilizing factor (LMF), which serve to direct breakdown proteins and fat [55]. Increased concentrations of PIF have been identified in murine models consistent, and almost exclusively, with cancer cachexia [66] likely through the ATP-ubiquitin-dependent pathway [67]. In a study examining a human homologue of PIF, however, although elevated levels were noted in the presence of tumor, this alone was not enough to induce cachexia [68]. Another study found that PIF was expressed in patients with gastrointestinal tumors and that this expression correlated with weight loss [69].

The specific role of the tumor versus the host response is not always clearly delineated. Procachexia cytokines might be produced by the tumor as well as the host, whereas PIF appears to be produced exclusively by tumors [70]. In addition, PIF and TNF- α appear to induce muscle cachexia through a similar pathway, by activating the nuclear factor kappa B (NF- κ B) transcription factor [71, 72]. Activation of this factor causes translocation to the nucleus where it binds to specific promoter regions, regulating the expression of proinflammatory cytokines [55] as well as the ubiquitinproteasome pathway. Another pathway responsive to inflammation that was recently implemented in regulation of the ubiquitin-proteasome system is the CCAAT/enhancer binding protein beta (C/EBP β) transcription factor whose activation depends on p38 MAP kinase.

Although PIF appears to clearly contribute to skeletal muscle loss in cancer cachexia, no other purely tumoral factor appears to have the same potential [70]. Therefore, the majority of mediators are due to the host's systemic response.

Another pathway that may contribute to cancer cachexia is autophagic degradation. The host's natural autophagiclysosomal proteolysis may be altered in various pathologic states. In a study by Mizushima et al. autophagy was enhanced in skeletal muscle during the first 24 hours of starvation and sustained [73]. A direct link has also recently been described in cancer cachexia models, which showed that increased autophagic-lysosomal degradation is induced in cancer associated muscle atrophy and likely involves separate pathways from those involved in noncancer muscle wasting [74]. The FoxO transcription factors have been shown to function as strong transcriptional drivers of autophagic genes in response to cachectic factors [75].

4. Genetic Response to Cytokine Stimulation: STAT3 and Pax7

As described above, cytokines are important not only to establish tumor-host interaction and deregulate inflammatory response to tumor burden but also as mediators of muscle wasting by directly targeting muscle tissue. To this regard, cachexia appears to be a genetically regulated response, dependent on a specific subset of genes, which control a highly regulated process of muscle protein degradation [76]. Bonetto et al. described the process by which STAT3 is activated leading to an upregulation of the acute phase response [77]. IL-6 binds to the IL-6 reception α -chain, which causes dimerization and activation of associated Janus kinases. Two pathways are then activated, the STAT3 and the mitogenactivated protein kinase (MAPK/ERK) cascade. STAT3 then causes further dimerization and nuclear translocation and ultimately modulation of gene expression of the acute phase response. In their study, Bonetto et al. implanted colon-26 adenocarcinoma cells into Balb/c or CD2F1 mice. Mice were sacrificed after 19 and 24 days (10 and 15% weight loss, resp.) reflecting moderate and severe cachexia. Significant STAT3 activity was noted in gastrocnemius and quadriceps muscles. Mice were then injected with a recombinant adenovirus that constitutively expressed STAT3 and found significant elevation of fibrinogen levels, indicating that IL-6 activation of STAT3 is a potent stimulator of the acute phase response that leads to significant cachexia. It is worth noting that the authors found a low level of suppressor of cytokine signaling-3 (SOCS3) in this tumor model, which normally serves to inhibit STAT3 and self-regulate the duration of activation. This could explain how cachexia continues to persist despite clearly deleterious effects on the host.

STAT3 activation is not isolated to the IL-6 pathway, however. PIF has also been shown to activate STAT3 in hepatic cells, which also increases the production of proinflammatory cytokines leading to cachexia [78]. PIF has no other known function other than muscle degradation, but the authors theorize that its function could be critical during embryogenesis. Expression peaks during skeletal muscle and liver development in the developing fetus.

We and others have reported the observation of a massive upregulation of the muscle stem cell specification gene Pax7 in experimental models of cancer cachexia [79, 80]. Penna et al. inoculated Balb-c mice with colon-26 undifferentiated carcinoma. One group of mice was then injected with the MEK inhibitor PD98059. The mice were allowed free access to food and were sacrificed after 13 days. Significant muscle and body weight loss were observed, as was marked the phosphorylation of ERK, a mitogen activated protein kinase. Evidence for impaired myogenesis was noted in the tumorbearing mice as evidenced by increased levels of Pax7. The degree of muscle wasting and Pax7 concentration were ameliorated by the injection of the MEK inhibitor PD98059, via inhibition of ERK. These findings supported the idea that satellite cells accumulate in muscle due to overproduction or impaired differentiation, leading to cachexia [79]. Similarly, elevated levels of Pax7 were found in skeletal muscle samples from patients with pancreatic cancer demonstrating cachexia [80]. This overexpression was shown to cause significant muscle atrophy due a block in the differentiation of muscle progenitor cells responding to injury signals emanating from the tumor. We found that the decreased levels of Pax7 could reverse the effects and allowed progenitor cells to differentiate and myofibers to be repaired [80]. Yet to be identified factors present in the serum of tumor-bearing mice are responsible for Pax7 upregulation and block of myogenic potential in muscle stem cells, a capacity not fully recapitulated by administration of specific, albeit important, recombinant cytokines, such as TNF-alpha [80]. This study not only pointed out for the first time the involvement of muscle stem cells in muscle wasting that does not merely consist of muscle fiber atrophy but also demonstrated that circulating factors have multiple targets in muscle and further extend their role in muscle homeostasis. Intriguingly, NF- κ B was known for its role in response to inflammatory cytokines in many cell types including muscle [81, 82] and was previously demonstrated to be sufficient to trigger muscle atrophy [83, 84].

5. Clinical Trials

Several trials have been performed to identify the physiologic and clinical results of anticachexia treatment modalities in patients with advanced cancer. MacCiò et al. treated patients who had gynecological cancers with megestrol acetate plus l-carnitine, a COX-2 inhibitor (celecoxib), and antioxidants versus just megestrol acetate alone [85]. The combination treatment resulted in improvements in lean body mass, resting energy expenditure, fatigue, and quality of life. Proinflammatory cytokines and oxidative stress markers including IL-6, TNF- α , CRP, and reactive oxygen species (ROS) were decreased in the combination arm but were unchanged in the megestrol acetate alone arm.

A phase I/II study compared etanercept (an TNF- α blocker) with gemcitabine versus gemcitabine alone for treatment of patients with advanced pancreatic cancer [86]. Some clinical benefit was identified and was associated with IL-10 levels but did not show significant improvement in 6-month progression free survival compared to gemcitabine alone.

Similarly, a phase II trial compared the efficacy and safety of celecoxib on cancer cachexia [87]. All patients had advanced cancer of varying tumor sites. TNF- α levels were shown to decrease in the majority, and patients had a corresponding increase in lean body mass. However, changes in IL-6 levels were not significantly different after treatment.

6. Conclusions

Cancer cachexia is a very prevalent and debilitating aspect of solid tumors. In addition to predicting an overall worse prognosis, cachexia significantly decreases a patient's quality of life. Surgical outcomes are worsened, chemotherapeutics agents are limited, and daily activities are hindered.

The pathogenesis of cancer cachexia is highly dependent on the patient's immune response. Inflammatory cytokines, procachectic factors, induce muscle degradation even in the face of adequate nutrition. These cytokines are produced by the host in response to the tumor, as well as from tumor factors themselves. IL-6, TNF- α , and PIF are major contributors to the syndrome of muscle wasting.

The common pathway for muscle degradation involves the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway. Upstream activation is performed primarily through the NF- κ B and STAT3 pathways, making them targets for potential interventions.

More research is essential to further elucidate and halt the dangerous progression of skeletal muscle breakdown in the face of solid tumors.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

References

[1] O. Wallengren, K. Lundholm, and I. Bosaeus, "Diagnostic criteria of cancer cachexia: relation to quality of life, exercise capacity, and survival in unselected palliative care patients," *Support Care Cancer*, vol. 21, no. 6, pp. 1569–1577, 2013.

- [2] K. Fearon, F. Strasser, S. D. Anker et al., "Definition and classification of cancer cachexia: an international consensus," *The Lancet Oncology*, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 489–495, 2011.
- [3] L. Thoresen, G. Frykholm, S. Lydersen et al., "Nutritional status, cachexia and survival in patients with advanced colorectal carcinoma. Different assessment criteria for nutritional status provide unequal results," *Clinical Nutrition*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 65– 72, 2013.
- [4] S. von Haehling and S. D. Anker, "Cachexia as a major underestimated and unmet medical need: facts and numbers," *Journal* of Cachexia, Sarcopenia and Muscle, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1–5, 2010.
- [5] C. M. Prado, M. B. Sawyer, S. Ghosh et al., "Central tenet of cancer cachexia therapy: do patients with advanced cancer have exploitable anabolic potential?" *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, vol. 98, no. 4, pp. 1012–1019, 2013.
- [6] L. Martin, L. Birdsell, N. Macdonald et al., "Cancer cachexia in the age of obesity: skeletal muscle depletion is a powerful prognostic factor, independent of body mass index," *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, vol. 31, no. 12, pp. 1539–1547, 2013.
- [7] M. Trajkovic-Vidakovic, A. de Graeff, E. E. Voest, and S. C. C. M. Teunissen, "Symptoms tell it all: a systematic review of the value of symptom assessment to predict survival in advanced cancer patients," *Critical Reviews in Oncology/Hematology*, vol. 84, no. 1, pp. 130–148, 2012.
- [8] B. H. L. Tan, L. A. Birdsell, L. Martin, V. E. Baracos, and K. C. H. Fearon, "Sarcopenia in an overweight or obese patient is an adverse prognostic factor in pancreatic cancer," *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 15, no. 22, pp. 6973–6979, 2009.
- [9] M. J. Englesbe, J. S. Lee, K. He et al., "Analytic morphomics, core muscle size, and surgical outcomes," *Annals of Surgery*, vol. 256, no. 2, pp. 255–261, 2012.
- [10] M. F. Englesbe, M. N. Terjimanian, J. S. Lee et al., "Morphometric age and surgical risk," *Journal of the American College of Surgeons*, vol. 216, no. 5, pp. 976–985, 2013.
- [11] B. S. Miller, K. M. Ignatoski, S. Daignault et al., "Worsening central sarcopenia and increasing intra-abdominal fat correlate with decreased survival in patients with adrenocortical carcinoma," *World Journal of Surgery*, vol. 36, no. 7, pp. 1509–1516, 2012.
- [12] M. S. Sabel, J. Lee, S. Cai, M. J. Englesbe, S. Holcombe, and S. Wang, "Sarcopenia as a prognostic factor among patients with stage III melanoma," *Annals of Surgical Oncology*, vol. 18, no. 13, pp. 3579–3585, 2011.
- [13] J. Bachmann, K. Ketterer, C. Marsch et al., "Pancreatic cancerrelated cachexia: influence on metabolism and correlation to weight loss and pulmonary function," *BMC Cancer*, vol. 9, article 255, 2009.
- [14] P. Peng, O. Hyder, A. Firoozmand et al., "Impact of sarcopenia on outcomes following resection of pancreatic adenocarcinoma," *Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*, vol. 16, no. 8, pp. 1478–1486, 2012.
- [15] N. Harimoto, K. Shirabe, Y. I. Yamashita et al., "Sarcopenia as a predictor of prognosis in patients following hepatectomy for hepatocellular carcinoma," *British Journal of Surgery*, vol. 100, no. 11, pp. 1523–1530, 2013.
- [16] M. S. Sabel, M. Terjimanian, A. S. C. Conlon et al., "Analytic morphometric assessment of patients undergoing colectomy for colon cancer," *Journal of Surgical Oncology*, vol. 108, no. 3, pp. 169–175, 2013.

- [17] P. Ravasco, I. Monteiro-Grillo, P. Marques Vidal, and M. E. Camilo, "Cancer: disease and nutrition are key determinants of patients' quality of life," *Supportive Care in Cancer*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 246–252, 2004.
- [18] V. C. Vaughan, P. Martin, and P. A. Lewandowski, "Cancer cacheia: impact, mechanisms and emerging treatments," *Journal* of Cachexia, Sarcopenia and Muscle, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 95–109, 2013.
- [19] C. M. M. Prado, V. E. Baracos, L. J. McCargar et al., "Body composition as an independent determinant of 5-fluorouracil-based chemotherapy toxicity," *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 13, no. 11, pp. 3264–3268, 2007.
- [20] C. M. M. Prado, V. E. Baracos, L. J. McCargar et al., "Sarcopenia as a determinant of chemotherapy toxicity and time to tumor progression in metastatic breast cancer patients receiving capecitabine treatment," *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 15, no. 8, pp. 2920–2926, 2009.
- [21] H. J. N. Andreyev, A. R. Norman, J. Oates, and D. Cunningham, "Why do patients with weight loss have a worse outcome when undergoing chemotherapy for gastrointestinal malignancies?" *European Journal of Cancer*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 503–509, 1998.
- [22] S. Dalal, D. Hui, L. Bidaut et al., "Relationships among body mass index, longitudinal body composition alterations, and survival in patients with locally advanced pancreatic cancer receiving chemoradiation: a pilot study," *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 181–191, 2012.
- [23] T. S. Solheim, D. Blum, P. M. Fayers et al., "Weight loss, appetite loss and food intake in cancer patients with cancer cachexia: three peas in a pod?—analysis from a multicenter cross sectional study," *Acta Oncologica*, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 539–546, 2014.
- [24] C. H. Richards, C. S. D. Roxburgh, M. R. MacMilla et al., "The relationships between body composition and the systemic inflammatory response in patients with primary operable colorectal cancer," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 7, no. 8, Article ID e41883, 2012.
- [25] B. Trutschnigg, R. D. Kilgour, J. A. Morais et al., "Metabolic, nutritional and inflammatory characteristics in elderly women with advanced cancer," *Journal of Geriatric Oncology*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 183–189, 2013.
- [26] J. Wu, C. Huang, H. Xiao, Q. Tang, and W. Cai, "Weight loss and resting energy expenditure in male patients with newly diagnosed esophageal cancer," *Nutrition*, vol. 29, no. 11, pp. 1310– 1314, 2013.
- [27] G. Q. Xiao, C. Liu, D. L. Liu, J. Y. Yang, and L. N. Yan, "Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio predicts the prognosis of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma after liver transplantation," *World Journal of Gastroenterology*, vol. 19, no. 45, pp. 8398–8407, 2013.
- [28] Y. Jin, X. Ye, C. He, B. Zhang, and Y. Zhang, "Pretreatment neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio as predictor survival for patients with metastatic nasopharyngeal carcinoma," *Head & Neck*, 2014.
- [29] L. Jankova, O. F. Dent, C. Chan, P. Chapuis, and S. J. Clarke, "Preoperative neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio predicts overall survival but does not predict recurrence or cancer-specific survival after curative resection of noe-positive colorectal cancer," *BMC Cancer*, vol. 13, article 442, 2013.
- [30] T. Nakamura, A. Matsumine, T. MAtsubara, K. Asanuma, A. Uchida, and A. Sudo, "The combined use of the neutrophillymphocyte ratio and C-reactive protein level as prognostic predictors in adult patients with soft tissue sarcoma," *Journal of Surgical Oncology*, vol. 108, no. 7, pp. 481–485, 2013.

- [31] H. Jin, G. Zhang, X. Liu et al., "Blood neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio predicts survival for stages III-IV gastric cancer treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy," *World Journal of Surgical Oncology*, vol. 11, article 112, 2013.
- [32] S. Dutta, A. B. C. Crumley, G. M. Fullarton, P. G. Horgan, and D. C. McMillan, "Comparison of the prognostic value of tumourand patient-related factors in patients undergoing potentially curative resection of oesophageal cancer," *World Journal of Surgery*, vol. 35, no. 8, pp. 1861–1866, 2011.
- [33] D. C. McMillan, "An inflammation-based prognostic score and its role in the nutrition-based management of patients with cancer," *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*, vol. 67, no. 3, pp. 257–262, 2008.
- [34] A. Iwaku, A. Kinoshita, H. Onoda, N. Fushiya, M. Matsushima, and H. Tajiri, "The Glasgow prognostic score accurately predicts survivial in patients with biliary tract cancer not indicated for surgical resection," *Medical Oncology*, vol. 31, no. 1, article 787, 2014.
- [35] T. Kishiki, T. Masaki, H. Matsuoka et al., "Modified Glasgow prognositc score in patients with incurable stage IV colorectal cancer," *The American Journal of Surgery*, vol. 206, no. 2, pp. 234–240, 2013.
- [36] K. Shafique, M. J. Proctor, D. C. McMillan et al., "The modified Glasgow prognostic score in prostate cancer: results from a retrospective clinical series of 744 patients," *BMC Cancer*, vol. 13, no. 1, article 292, 2013.
- [37] D. C. McMillan, "The systemic inflammation-based Glasgow prognostic score: a decade of experience in patients with cancer," *Cancer Treatment Reviews*, vol. 39, no. 5, pp. 534–540, 2013.
- [38] G. B. Stene, J. L. Helbostad, T. R. Blastad, I. I. Riphagen, S. Kaasa, and L. M. Oldrevoll, "Effect of physical exercise on muscle mass and strength in cancer patients during treatment—a systematic review," *Critical Reviews in Oncology/Hematology*, vol. 88, no. 3, pp. 573–593, 2013.
- [39] M. J. Tisdale, "Biology of cachexia," *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, vol. 89, no. 23, pp. 1763–1773, 1997.
- [40] G. Costa, "Cachexia, the metabolic component of neoplastic diseases," *Cancer Research*, vol. 37, no. 7, pp. 2327–2335, 1977.
- [41] W. K. Evans, R. Makuch, G. H. Clamon et al., "Limited impact of total parenteral nutrition on nutritional status during treatment for small cell lung cancer," *Cancer Research*, vol. 45, no. 7, pp. 3347–3353, 1985.
- [42] C. Drott, G. Svaninger, and K. Lundholm, "Increased urinary excretion of cortisol and catecholami-NES in malnourished cancer patients," *Annals of Surgery*, vol. 208, no. 5, pp. 645–650, 1988.
- [43] E. Holm, E. Hagmuller, U. Staedt et al., "Substrate balances across colonic carcinomas in humans," *Cancer Research*, vol. 55, no. 6, pp. 1373–1378, 1995.
- [44] H. D. Mulligan and M. J. Tisdale, "Metabolic substrate utilization by tumour and host tissues in cancer cachexia," *Biochemical Journal*, vol. 277, no. 2, pp. 321–326, 1991.
- [45] P. W. Emery, R. H. T. Edwards, and M. J. Rennie, "Protein synthesis in muscle measured in vivo in cachectic patients with cancer," *British Medical Journal*, vol. 289, no. 6445, pp. 584–586, 1984.
- [46] M. Llovera, C. Garcia-Martinez, N. Agell, M. Marzabal, F. J. Lopez-Soriano, and J. M. Argiles, "Ubiquitin gen expression is increased in skeletal muscle of tumour-bearing rats," *FEBS Letters*, vol. 338, no. 3, pp. 311–318, 1994.

- [47] J. Khal, S. M. Wyke, S. T. Russell, A. V. Hine, and M. J. Tisdale, "Expression of the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway and muscle loss in experimental cancer cachexia," *British Journal of Cancer*, vol. 93, no. 7, pp. 774–780, 2005.
- [48] G. Strassmann, M. Fong, J. S. Kenney, and C. O. Jacob, "Evidence for the involvement of interleukin 6 in experimental cancer cachexia," *The Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 89, no. 5, pp. 1681–1684, 1992.
- [49] T. Tsujinaka, J. Fujita, C. Ebisui et al., "Interleukin 6 receptor antibody inhibits muscle atrophy and modulates proteolytic systems in interleukin 6 transgenic mice," *The Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 97, no. 1, pp. 244–249, 1996.
- [50] G. Strassmann, M. Fong, C. E. Freter, S. Windsor, F. D'Alessandro, and R. P. Nordan, "Suramin interferes with interleukin-6 receptor binding in vitro and inhibits colon-26mediated experimental cancer cachexia in vivo," *The Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 92, no. 5, pp. 2152–2159, 1993.
- [51] S. Tamura, K. F. Ouchi, K. Mori et al., "Involvement of human interleukin 6 in experimental cachexia induced by a human uterine cervical carcinoma xenograft," *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 1, no. 11, pp. 1353–1358, 1995.
- [52] H. R. Scott, D. C. McMillan, A. Crilly, C. S. McArdle, and R. Milroy, "The relationship between weight loss and interleukin 6 in non-small-cell lung cancer," *British Journal of Cancer*, vol. 73, no. 12, pp. 1560–1562, 1996.
- [53] X. Zhou, J. L. Wang, J. Lu et al., "Reversal of cancer cachexia and muscle wasting by ActRIIB antagonism leads to prolonged survival," *Cell*, vol. 142, no. 4, pp. 531–543, 2010.
- [54] J. M. Argiles and F. J. Lopez-Soriano, "The role of cytokines in cancer cachexia," *Medicinal Research Reviews*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 223–248, 1999.
- [55] J. N. Gordon, S. R. Green, and P. M. Goggin, "Cancer cachexia," *Quarterly Journal of Medicine*, vol. 98, no. 11, pp. 779–788, 2005.
- [56] M. J. Tisdale, "Tumor-host interactions," *Journal of Cellular Biochemistry*, vol. 93, no. 5, pp. 871–877, 2004.
- [57] K. E. de Visser and L. M. Coussens, "The interplay between innate and adaptive immunity regulates cancer development," *Cancer Immunology, Immunotherapy*, vol. 54, no. 11, pp. 1143– 1152, 2005.
- [58] L. M. Coussens, W. W. Raymond, G. Bergers et al., "Inflammatory mast cells up-regulate angiogenesis during squamous epithelial carcinogenesis," *Genes & Development*, vol. 13, no. 11, pp. 1382–1397, 1999.
- [59] H. N. Langstein and J. A. Norton, "Mechanisms of cancer cachexia," *Hematology/Oncology Clinics of North America*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 103–123, 1991.
- [60] T. Watanabe, M. Shibata, H. Nishiyama et al., "Serum levels of rapid turnover porteins are decreased and related to systemic inflammation in patients with ovarian cancer," *Oncology Letters*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 373–377, 2013.
- [61] L. Yang, L. M. DeBusk, K. Fukuda et al., "Expansion of myeloid immune suppressor Gr+CD11b+ cells in tumor-bearing host directly promotes tumor angiogenesis," *Cancer Cell*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 409–421, 2004.
- [62] L. M. Coussens, C. L. Tinkle, D. Hanahan, and Z. Werb, "MMP-9 supplied by bone marrow-derived cells contributes to skin carcinogenesis," *Cell*, vol. 103, no. 3, pp. 481–490, 2000.
- [63] R. D. Winfield, M. J. Delano, K. Pande, P. O. Scumpia, D. LaFace, and L. L. Moldawer, "Myeloid-derived suppressor cells in cancer cachexia syndrome: a new explanation for an old problem," *Journal of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition*, vol. 32, no. 6, pp. 651–655, 2008.

- [64] K. A. Baltgalvis, F. G. Berger, M. M. O. Pena, J. M. Davis, S. J. Muga, and J. A. Carson, "Interleukin-6 and cachexia in *APc^{Min/+}* mice," *American Journal of Physiology: Regulatory Integrative and Comparative Physiology*, vol. 294, no. 2, pp. R393–R401, 2008.
- [65] J. P. White, M. J. Puppa, S. Sato et al., "IL-6 regulation on skeletal muscle mitochondrial remodeling during cancer cachexia in the *APc^{Min/+}* mouse," *Skeletal Muscle*, vol. 2, article 14, 2012.
- [66] P. Todorov, P. Cariuk, T. McDevitt, B. Coles, K. Fearon, and M. Tisdale, "Characterization of a cancer cachectic factor," *Nature*, vol. 379, no. 6567, pp. 739–742, 1996.
- [67] M. J. Lorite, M. G. Thompson, J. L. Drake, G. Carling, and M. J. Tisdale, "Mechanism of muscle protein degradation induced by a cancer cachectic factor," *British Journal of Cancer*, vol. 78, no. 7, pp. 850–856, 1998.
- [68] C. L. Monitto, S.-M. Dong, J. Jen, and D. Sidransky, "Characterization of a human homologue of proteolysis-inducing factor and its role in cancer cachexia," *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 10, no. 17, pp. 5862–5869, 2004.
- [69] R. Cabal-Manzano, P. Bhargava, A. Torres-Duarte, J. Marshall, P. Bhargava, and I. W. Wainer, "Proteolysis-inducing factor is expressed in tumours of patients with gastrointestinal cancers and correlates with weight loss," *British Journal of Cancer*, vol. 84, no. 12, pp. 1599–1601, 2001.
- [70] M. J. Tisdale, "Are tumoral factors responsible for host tissue wasting in cancer cachexia?" *Future Oncology*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 503–513, 2010.
- [71] A. S. Whitehouse and M. J. Tisdale, "Increased expression of the ubiquitin—proteasome pathway in murine myotubes by proteolysis-inducing factor (PIF) is associated with activation of the transcription factor NF-κB," *British Journal of Cancer*, vol. 89, no. 6, pp. 1116–1122, 2003.
- [72] Y.-P. Li and M. B. Reid, "NF-κB mediates the protein loss induced by TNF-α in differentiated skeletal muscle myotubes," *American Journal of Physiology: Regulatory Integrative and Comparative Physiology*, vol. 279, no. 4, pp. R1165–R1170, 2000.
- [73] N. Mizushima, A. Yamamoto, M. Matsui, T. Yoshimori, and Y. Ohsumi, "In vivo analysis of autophagy in response to nutrient starvation using transgenic mice expressing a fluorescent autophagosome marker," *Molecular Biology of the Cell*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 1101–1111, 2004.
- [74] F. Penna, D. Costamagna, F. Pin et al., "Autophagic degradation contributes to muscle wasting in cancer cachexia," *The American Journal of Pathology*, vol. 182, no. 4, pp. 1367–1378, 2013.
- [75] S. A. Reed, P. B. Sandesara, S. M. Senf, and A. R. Judge, "Inhibition of FoxO transcriptional activity prevents muscle fiber atrophy during cachexia and induces hypertrophy," *The FASEB Journal*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 987–1000, 2012.
- [76] S. Acharyya, K. J. Ladner, L. L. Nelsen et al., "Cancer cachexia is regulated by selective targeting of skeletal muscle gene products," *The Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 114, no. 3, pp. 370–378, 2004.
- [77] A. Bonetto, T. Aydogdu, N. Kunzevitzky et al., "STAT3 activation in skeletal muscle links muscle wasting and the acute phase response in cancer cachexia," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 6, no. 7, Article ID e22538, 2011.
- [78] T. M. Watchorn, I. Waddell, N. Dowidar, and J. A. Ross, "Proteolysis-inducing factor regulates hepatic gene expression via the transcription factors NF-κB and STAT3," *The FASEB Journal*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 562–564, 2001.

- [79] F. Penna, D. Costamagna, A. Fanzani, G. Bonelli, F. M. Baccino, and P. Costelli, "Muscle wasting and impaired myogenesis in tumor bearing mice are prevented by ERK inhibition," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 5, no. 10, Article ID e13604, 2010.
- [80] W. A. He, E. Berardi, V. M. Cardillo et al., "NF-κB-mediated Pax7 dysregulation in the muscle microenvironment promotes cancer cachexia," *The Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 123, no. 11, pp. 4821–4835, 2013.
- [81] F. Relaix, X.-J. Wei, X. Wu, and D. A. Sassoon, "*Peg3/Pw1* is an imprinted gene involved in the TNF-NFκB signal transduction pathway," *Nature Genetics*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 287–291, 1998.
- [82] D. Coletti, E. Yang, G. Marazzi, and D. Sassoon, "TNF α inhibits skeletal myogenesis through a PW1-dependent pathway by recruitment of caspase pathways," *The EMBO Journal*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 631–642, 2002.
- [83] C. M. Op den Kamp, R. C. Langen, F. J. Snepvangers et al., "Nuclear transcription factor κ B activation and protein turnover adaptations in skeletal muscle of patients with progressive stages of lung cancer cachexia," *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, vol. 98, no. 3, pp. 738–748, 2013.
- [84] D. Cai, J. D. Frantz, N. E. Tawa Jr. et al., "ΙΚΚβ/NF-κB activation causes severe muscle wasting in mice," *Cell*, vol. 119, no. 2, pp. 285–298, 2004.
- [85] A. MacCiò, C. Madeddu, G. Gramignano et al., "A randomized phase III clinical trial of a combined treatment for cachexia in patients with gynecological cancers: evaluating the impact on metabolic and inflammatory profiles and quality of life," *Gynecologic Oncology*, vol. 124, no. 3, pp. 417–425, 2012.
- [86] C. Wu, S. A. Fernandez, T. Criswell et al., "Disrupting cytokine signaling in pancreatic cancer: a phase I/II study of etanercept in combination with gemcitabine in patients with advanced disease," *Pancreas*, vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 813–818, 2013.
- [87] G. Mantovani, A. MacCiò, C. Madeddu et al., "Phase II nonrandomized study of the efficacy and safety of COX-2 inhibitor celecoxib on patients with cancer cachexia," *Journal of Molecular Medicine*, vol. 88, no. 1, pp. 85–92, 2010.



BioMed Research International









International Journal of Genomics











The Scientific World Journal



Genetics Research International



Anatomy Research International



International Journal of Microbiology



Biochemistry Research International



Advances in Bioinformatics







International Journal of Evolutionary Biology



Molecular Biology International



Journal of Marine Biology