

# Research Article

# **Prognostic Significance of** P16<sup>INK4a</sup> **Expression in Penile Squamous Cell Carcinoma: A Meta-Analysis with Trial Sequential Analysis**

# Jiayi Zhang<sup>1</sup>,<sup>1</sup> Hengcheng Zhang<sup>1</sup>,<sup>1</sup> Yanyan Xiu<sup>1</sup>,<sup>2</sup> Hong Cheng<sup>1</sup>,<sup>1</sup> Min Gu<sup>1</sup>,<sup>1</sup> and Ninghong Song<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Urology, The First Affiliated Hospital with Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing 210029, China <sup>2</sup>Department of Dermatology, The First Affiliated Hospital with Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing 210029, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Min Gu; jiangsuhpx@163.com and Ninghong Song; songninghong@njmu.edu.cn

Jiayi Zhang, Hengcheng Zhang, and Yanyan Xiu contributed equally to this work.

Received 22 January 2018; Revised 2 June 2018; Accepted 5 June 2018; Published 19 July 2018

Academic Editor: Christian Schwentner

Copyright © 2018 Jiayi Zhang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Background*. Recently, P16<sup>INK4a</sup> expression has been shown to be correlated with cancer-specific survival (CSS) in penile squamous cell carcinoma (SCC). Therefore, we performed this meta-analysis to clarify the prognostic value of P16<sup>INK4a</sup> for penile SCC. *Methods*. A systematic search was performed in PubMed, Embase, and Web of Science to identify all relevant articles up to May 25, 2017. Hazard ratios (HRs) and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of included studies were pooled to estimate the prognostic value. Trial sequential analysis (TSA) was performed to assess the quantity and strength of survival evidence. *Results*. Five retrospective studies consisting of 323 cases were finally included. We found that P16<sup>INK4a</sup> positive expression was significantly associated with a better CSS of penile SCC (HR=0.45, 95%CI: 0.30-0.67, P<0.001). No heterogeneity or publication bias was noted among the included studies. Furthermore, TSA demonstrated that the findings were based on sufficient evidence. *Conclusions*. P16<sup>INK4a</sup> positive expression is independently associated with improved CSS for patients with penile SCC.

# 1. Introduction

Penile cancer is an uncommon male cancer, with a very low incidence in Western countries (under 1/100 000 men in the United States and 0.1-0.9/100 000 men in Europe). However, its prevalence in the developing countries of Africa, Asia, and Latin America is greater (up to 3.7/100 000 men), and the cancer-related mortality remains significant in these areas [1, 2]. The differences in prevalence are likely explained by the variance in risk factors such as human papillomavirus (HPV) infection, living in rural regions, poor genital hygiene habits, and the practice of neonatal circumcision [3]. HPV infection has been demonstrated to play an important role in the pathogenesis of penile cancer and is associated with certain histological subtypes, in particularly squamous cell carcinoma (SCC), the most common histological subtype of penile cancer [4, 5].

In recent years, overexpression of P16<sup>INK4a</sup> has been reported in HPV-related tumors such as the head and neck SCC and cervical cancer [6], which is considered a reliable marker for the presence of high-risk HPV DNA. However, limited data are available regarding  $\mathrm{P16}^{\mathrm{INK4a}}$  detection and its prognostic significance in penile SCC. P16<sup>INK4a</sup>, known as a tumor suppressor, is shown to induce cell cycle arrest and prevent cell division by cyclin-dependent kinase 4 (CDK4) and cyclin-dependent kinase 6 (CDK6) inhibition, so as to control disease progression by mediating G1 arrest [7]. Besides, P16<sup>INK4a</sup> is involved in retinoblastoma (Rb) inactivation by the viral E7 protein [8]. Recent studies reported deregulated P16<sup>INK4a</sup> expression in different populations with penile SCC, and P16<sup>INK4a</sup> expression has been shown to be correlated with an improved cancer-specific survival (CSS) in penile SCC [1, 9-12]. These evidences support the hypothesis



FIGURE 1: Risk of bias graph about each risk of bias item presented as percentages across all included studies.

that P16<sup>INK4a</sup> might be a prognostic marker for patients with penile SCC, which has not been conducted for a metaanalysis to date. Therefore, we searched relevant researches and performed this quantitative meta-analysis to clarify the prognostic value of P16<sup>INK4a</sup> expression in penile cancer.

# 2. Materials and Methods

This meta-analysis was conducted according to the guidelines of the PreferredReporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) [13].

2.1. Search Strategy. A systematic literature search was performed in the electronic databases PubMed, Embase, and Web of Science to identify all relevant articles up to May 25, 2017. We used the combinations of the following keywords: ('P16' or 'P16<sup>INK4a'</sup>), and 'penile' and ('cancer' or 'carcinoma' or 'tumor' or 'neoplasm'), and ('survival' or 'prognosis'). The reference lists and bibliographies of all the eligible studies were, respectively, checked for other eligible investigations.

2.2. Inclusion Criteria. Studies were considered eligible if they satisfied the following criteria: (1) they are English publications; (2) the association of P16<sup>INK4a</sup> with the prognostic value in penile cancer has been described; (3) P16<sup>INK4a</sup> expression has been detected by immunohistochemistry (IHC); (4) studies reported cancer-specific survival (CSS) with hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Only research studies were considered eligible for the meta-analysis. Letter, brief communication, case report, and review were excluded according to our criteria. If more than one article on the same series of study subjects had been published, the most recent study with comprehensive data was selected. We also searched for relevant publications in Chinese (http://www.cnki.net/) to comprehensively understand the role of P16<sup>INK4a</sup> in penile SCC. Moreover,

we used the Cochrane Risk of Bias tool and graded each potential source of bias as a low, high, or unclear risk (http://www.cochrane.org/; Figures 1 and 2). A flow diagram with details of the study selection process is presented in Figure 3.

2.3. Data Extraction. All eligible studies were reviewed according to the inclusion criteria by two independent reviewers (JYZ and HCZ), and uncertain data were reassessed by NHS. The following elements were extracted from each literature: (1) the first author and publication year, (2) characteristics of the studied population, (3) the number of patients, (4) detection method of P16<sup>INK4a</sup> and cut-off definition, (5) follow-up time, and (6) HRs along with their 95% CIs and P values. If HRs and 95% CIs were not directly reported in publications, survival data were extracted from Kaplan-Meier (K-M) curves by using previously described methods [14, 15]. Data from graphical survival plots were independently evaluated by two researchers (JYZ and HCZ) using Engauge Digitizer v.5.1 (license type: GPL, developed by Mark Mitch; Category: C:\Science/CAD). All the above-mentioned data are presented with details in Table 1.

2.4. Statistical Analysis. In the present meta-analysis, HRs and corresponding 95% CIs of included studies were combined to calculate the prognostic value of P16<sup>INK4a</sup> in penile SCC. A pooled HR of <1.0 represented an improved prognosis, and an HR of >1.0 correlated with poorer prognosis. Heterogeneity among studies was identified by the Cochran Q test and was quantified by the Higgins I<sup>2</sup> statistic. Quantification of heterogeneity has been assigned low, moderate, and high to I<sup>2</sup> values of 25%, 50%, and 75%. Besides, Galbraith plot and sensitivity analysis for included studies were, respectively, implemented to identify the source of heterogeneity. The random-effects model was used when significant heterogeneity was observed (P<0.10 or I<sup>2</sup> >

			TAVT	T T. MIRTIN CITRI ROCALI	ance of monace	india.			
First author &	Motionality	A 200 ( 1140)	P16 <sup>INK4a</sup>	P16 <sup>INK4a</sup>	Detection	Cut off mains	Follow-up time	ал	DE02 CI
Study year	INALIOHAHILY	Age (yis)	positive (n)	negative (n)	method	Cul-UII Value	(yrs)	VII	10 0/ CC
Bethune 2012	Canada	63.0 mean	23	20	IHC	>0	3.9 mean	$0.53^{\wedge}$	0.26 - 1.06
Gunia 2012	Germany	67.2 mean	54	38	IHC	>0	2.7 median	$0.44^{\wedge}$	0.23 - 0.84
Ferrándiz 2013	Spain	69.0 median	31	47	IHC	H-score: 50	2.5 median	$0.13^{*}$	0.02 - 0.98
Bezerra 2015	United States	65.0 median	23	30	IHC	NM	NM	$0.54^{\wedge}$	0.13 - 2.19
Zargar 2016	United States	60.0 median	23	34	IHC	Median	1.8 median	$0.43^{*}$	0.16 - 1.20
n, number; IHC, im ∧, HR outcome dire	munohistochemistry; ctly reported by studi	; yrs, years; NM, not m es; *, HR outcome exti	nentioned; H-score, I racted from survival	nistological score; HR, curve.	hazard ratio; CI, co	nfidence interval;			

TABLE 1: Main characteristics of included studies.



FIGURE 2: Risk of bias summary graph about each risk of bias item for each included study.

50%); otherwise, the fixed-effects model was applied [16]. Publication bias was assessed by funnel plot visual inspection and was statistically evaluated by Begg's test and Egger's test, and P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. All above-mentioned statistical analyses were performed by Stata V.12.0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, Texas, USA) and Microsoft Excel (v.2010, Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, Washington, USA).

2.5. Trial Sequential Analysis. Meta-analyses may result in type I errors due to random error from the studies included in the meta-analysis which had a small sample size, publication bias, and low quality, and studies whose conclusions tended to be changed by later studies with a larger sample size [17]. We did trial sequential analysis (TSA) for final included studies in the meta-analysis to estimate and correct these limitations and determine whether cumulative evidence is enough reliable. Our assumptions included two-sided testing with a type I error of 5%, and a type II error of 20% (power of 80%). The main results of TSA were showed in a cumulative Z-curve graph, and the monitoring boundary of required information size in the graph was determined according to O'Brien-Fleming  $\alpha$  spending function [18]. Besides, the futility boundary was set on the basis of O'Brien-Fleming  $\beta$ spending function. When the cumulative Z-curve crosses the trial sequential monitoring boundary or enters the futility area, a sufficient level of evidence may have been reached, and no further trials are needed. If the cumulative Z-curve

does not cross any of the boundaries, and the required information size has not been reached, there is insufficient evidence to reach a conclusion. TSA was carried out by the statistical software, TSA version 0.9 beta (User Manual for TSA, Copenhagen Trial Unit 2011, http://www.ctu.dk/tsa).

#### 3. Results

*3.1. Eligible Trials.* As shown in Figure 3, a total of 184 articles were identified through the primary comprehensive online search. Then 169 records were screened by title and abstract, after 15 duplicates were removed. Furthermore, 154 studies were excluded by preliminary review, and 10 studies with insufficient survival data were considered ineligible by full-text screening. Finally, a total of five retrospective studies were included in the meta-analysis [1, 9–12].

The main characteristics of the included studies are summarized in Table 1. A total of 323 participants from Canada, Germany, Spain, and the United States were enrolled, with the numbers of cases of P16<sup>INK4a</sup> positive and P16<sup>INK4a</sup> negative. The mean or median age of included patients ranged from 60.0 to 69.0 years. All of the studies performed the detection of P16<sup>INK4a</sup> expression on tissue samples for immunohistochemical staining. Among the five studies, three directly reported the HR values [1, 9, 11], and HRs with 95% CIs of the other two were extracted from the K-M curves [10, 12]. The mean or median follow-up time among these studies ranged from 1.8 to 3.9 years.



FIGURE 3: PRISMA flow diagram of study selection and search strategy.

3.2. Quantitative Synthesis Results. We found no heterogeneity among the included studies ( $I^2 = 0\%$ , P=0.764) (Figure 4), which was also confirmed by sensitivity analysis and Galbraith plot (Figures 5 and 6). Survival outcomes of the five eligible studies were performed on a fixed-effects model, and we found that P16<sup>INK4a</sup> positive expression was significantly associated with a better CSS of penile SCC (HR=0.45, 95%CI: 0.30-0.67, P<0.001).

A random-effects model (DL) was applied in the TSA for the five enrolled studies. The heterogeneity-adjusted required information size to demonstrate or reject a 54.3 % relative risk reduction (low-bias risk trial estimate) of P16<sup>INK4a</sup> positive patients (with  $\alpha$  value of 5% and a  $\beta$  value of 20%) was 217 (Figure 7). The cumulative Z-curve crossed both the conventional boundary for benefit and the required information size, indicating that the evidence was sufficiently conclusive and no further trials were required.

*3.3. Publication Bias.* Begg's test and Egger's test were, respectively, conducted to evaluate the publication bias. As expected, the funnel plot of Begg's test exhibited symmetricalness with a P value of 0.462, and the P value of Egger's test was 0.249 (Figure 8). Therefore, no evidence of publication bias in this meta-analysis was observed.

#### 4. Discussion

As a cancer of male sex organ, penile cancer and its treatment can seriously impact sexuality and intimacy, body image, urinary function, mental health, and life quality [19]. Historically (partial) penectomy and penile sparing were useful surgical treatment of penile cancer; however, there remained a potential possibility of recurrence. Therefore, a reliable biomarker that can predict survival outcome for penile cancer is urgently required. Alterations in the P16/CyclinD1/Rb and ARF/Mdm2/P53 pathways are frequent events in the pathogenesis of SCC, especially the upregulation of P16<sup>INK4a</sup> at an early stage of tumorigenesis [20]. P16<sup>INK4a</sup> induces cell cycle arrest and prevents cell division by inhibition of CDK4 and CDK6 and inhibition of CDK-mediated phosphorylation of the Rb gene [21]. Positive and negative regulation of tumor suppressor P16<sup>INK4a</sup> expression has been described in penile carcinoma [22], and thus the mechanisms and the predictive value of P16<sup>INK4a</sup> in penile SCC requires further investigation.

Our meta-analysis found that P16<sup>INK4a</sup> was an independent prognostic factor for CSS in penile SCC. In the TSA, the pooled sample size was 323, which has surpassed the required information size of 217. Besides, the cumulative



FIGURE 4: Forest plot of the pooled outcome of five eligible studies on the association between P16<sup>INK4a</sup> and penile cancer prognosis; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval.



FIGURE 5: Sensitivity analysis for individual studies on the association between P16<sup>INK4a</sup> and penile cancer prognosis.

Z-curve has already crossed the conventional boundary and the  $\alpha$ -spending monitoring boundary, suggesting that our meta-analysis was of sufficient evidence. In addition, there existed several advanced points in our meta-analysis. First, we strictly followed the inclusion criteria for articles, so as to ensure the quality of enrolled literatures. Second, the pooled sample size of our study was larger than that of any individual study, making the effect estimation more precise. Third, there was no statistical heterogeneity among the included studies  $(I^2 = 0\%, P=0.764)$ , and thus a fixed-effects model was utilized. Fourth, the pooled HR of P16<sup>INK4a</sup> for CSS was 0.45, which was statistically significant (P<0.001) and was strong enough. Empirically, a predictive factor is considered to be strong when the value of HR is less than 0.5 or more than 2.0 [23]. Moreover, no publication bias was observed in this meta-analysis.

However, several limitations remained to be further refined. First of all, there were five eligible articles included



FIGURE 6: Galbraith plot of individual studies on the association between P16<sup>INK4a</sup> and penile cancer prognosis.



FIGURE 7: Trial sequential analysis based on the publication year of the five included studies.

in quantitative estimation, which led to the insufficiency of studies for subgroup analysis. Besides, there was no individual study on Asians, which might cause potential selection bias that hinders the comprehensive investigation of the association between P16<sup>INK4a</sup> and penile SCC. Secondly, different researchers of included studies applied different cut-off values due to the lack of uniform standard for P16<sup>INK4a</sup> as a predictive factor for prognosis of penile SCC. Thirdly, although the pooled outcome of the five included studies indicated that P16<sup>INK4a</sup> positive expression was significantly associated with a superior CSS in penile SCC (P<0.001), individual results of three studies among them showed no statistical significance. Therefore, the prognostic value of P16<sup>INK4a</sup> for Penile SCC remained to be further investigated for confirmation [1, 11, 12]. Fourthly, several pathological characteristics of patients with penile SCC, such as tumor stage, differed among different studies. For instance, Ferrándiz et al. enrolled 24 cases of tumor stage I and 39 cases of tumor stage >I for original research [10]. However, the study of Bethune et al., respectively, included 24 patients of tumor stage I, 16 of tumor stage II, and 3 of tumor stage III [1]. In addition, several



FIGURE 8: Begg's funnel plots utilized to assess publication bias.

drawbacks such as different median or mean follow-up times among included studies were observed.

In conclusion, P16<sup>INK4a</sup> positive expression is independently associated with improved CSS for patients with penile SCC, and TSA has been conducted for the first time to assess the quantity and strength of current evidence. In addition, a number of studies, which focus on the relationship between P16<sup>INK4a</sup> and penile cancer prognosis in Asian population, are required for further investigation in the future.

## **Data Availability**

The hazard ratio data and trial sequential analysis data used to support the findings of this study are included within the article. The data supporting this meta-analysis are from previously reported studies and datasets, which have been cited.

# **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

## **Authors' Contributions**

Conception and design were by Min Gu and Ninghong Song. Provision of study materials or patients was performed by Ninghong Song. Collection and assembly of data were conducted by Hengcheng Zhang and Hong Cheng. Data analysis and interpretation were done by Jiayi Zhang and Yanyan Xiu. Manuscript writing was performed by Jiayi Zhang and Hengcheng Zhang. Final approval of manuscript was by all authors. Jiayi Zhang, Hengcheng Zhang, and Yanyan Xiu contributed equally to this work.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China [Grant nos. 81570676, 81770751, 81100532, and 81470981], the Science and Education Health Project of Jiangsu Province for Important Talent [Grant no. RC2011055], the "333 High Level Talents Project" in Jiangsu Province, China [Grant no. BRA2017532, BRA2015469, and BRA2016514], the Standardized Diagnosis and Treatment Research Program of Key Diseases in Jiangsu Province, China [Grant no. BE2016791], the Open Project Program of Health Department of Jiangsu Province, China [Grant no. JSY-2-2016-099], the Jiangsu Province Six Talents Peak from Department of Human Resources, Social Security Office of Jiangsu Province, China [Grant nos. 2010WSN-56, 2011-WS-033], the General Program of Health Department of Jiangsu Province, China [Grant no. H2009907], the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions [Grant no. JX10231801], the Key Medical Talents in Jiangsu (ZDRCA2016009), and the Postgraduate Research & Practice Innovation Program of Jiangsu Province, China (Grant no. KYCX12\_1253).

#### References

- G. Bethune, J. Campbell, A. Rocker, D. Bell, R. Rendon, and J. Merrimen, "Clinical and pathologic factors of prognostic significance in penile squamous cell carcinoma in a North American population," *Urology*, vol. 79, no. 5, pp. 1092–1097, 2012.
- [2] C. Miralles-Guri, L. Bruni, A. L. Cubilla, X. Castellsagué, F. X. Bosch, and S. De Sanjosé, "Human papillomavirus prevalence and type distribution in penile carcinoma," *Journal of Clinical Pathology*, vol. 62, no. 10, pp. 870–878, 2009.
- [3] S. Minhas, A. Manseck, S. Watya, and P. K. Hegarty, "Penile cancer-Prevention and premalignant conditions," *Urology*, vol. 76, no. 2, pp. S24–S35, 2010.
- [4] D. M. Backes, R. J. Kurman, J. M. Pimenta, and J. S. Smith, "Systematic review of human papillomavirus prevalence in invasive penile cancer," *Cancer Causes & Control*: CCC, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 449–457, 2009.
- [5] M. A. Rubin, B. Kleter, M. Zhou et al., "Detection and typing of human papillomavirus DNA in penile carcinoma: evidence for multiple independent pathways of penile carcinogenesis," *The American Journal of Pathology*, vol. 159, no. 4, pp. 1211–1218, 2001.
- [6] E. E. Doxtader and A.-L. A. Katzenstein, "The relationship between p16 expression and high-risk human papillomavirus infection in squamous cell carcinomas from sites other than uterine cervix: A study of 137 cases," *Human Pathology*, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 327–332, 2012.
- [7] H. Steven Zhang, A. A. Postigo, and D. C. Dean, "Active transcriptional repression by the Rb-E2F complex mediates G1 arrest triggered by p16INK4a, TGFβ, and contact inhibition," *Cell*, vol. 97, no. 1, pp. 53–61, 1999.
- [8] H. Zhang, Y. Jin, X. Chen et al., "Papillomavirus type 16 E6/E7 and human telomerase reverse transcriptase in esophageal cell immortalization and early transformation," *Cancer Letters*, vol. 245, no. 1-2, pp. 184–194, 2007.
- [9] S. Gunia, A. Erbersdobler, O. W. Hakenberg, S. Koch, and M. May, "P16 INK4a is a marker of good prognosis for primary invasive penile squamous cell carcinoma: A multi-institutional study," *The Journal of Urology*, vol. 187, no. 3, pp. 899–907, 2012.
- [10] C. Ferrándiz-Pulido, E. Masferrer, I. De Torres et al., "Identification and genotyping of human papillomavirus in a Spanish cohort of penile squamous cell carcinomas: Correlation with pathologic subtypes, p16INK4a expression, and prognosis," *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*, vol. 68, no. 1, pp. 73–82, 2013.

- [11] S. M. Bezerra, A. Chaux, M. W. Ball et al., "Human papillomavirus infection and immunohistochemical pl6INK4a expression as predictors of outcome in penile squamous cell carcinomas," *Human Pathology*, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 532–540, 2015.
- [12] K. Zargar-Shoshtari, P. E. Spiess, A. E. Berglund et al., "Clinical Significance of p53 and p16ink4a Status in a Contemporary North American Penile Carcinoma Cohort," *Clinical Genitourinary Cancer*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 346–351, 2016.
- [13] D. Moher, A. Liberati, J. Tetzlaff, and D. G. Altman, "Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement," *British Medical Journal*, vol. 339, article b2535, 2009.
- [14] J. F. Tierney, L. A. Stewart, D. Ghersi, S. Burdett, and M. R. Sydes, "Practical methods for incorporating summary time-toevent data into meta-analysis," *Trials*, vol. 8, article 16, 2007.
- [15] P. R. Williamson, C. T. Smith, J. L. Hutton, and A. G. Marson, "Aggregate data meta-analysis with time-to-event outcomes," *Statistics in Medicine*, vol. 21, no. 22, pp. 3337–3351, 2002.
- [16] R. DerSimonian and N. Laird, "Meta-analysis in clinical trials," *Controlled Clinical Trials*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 177–188, 1986.
- [17] J. Brok, K. Thorlund, C. Gluud, and J. Wetterslev, "Trial sequential analysis reveals insufficient information size and potentially false positive results in many meta-analyses," *Journal* of Clinical Epidemiology, vol. 61, no. 8, pp. 763–769, 2008.
- [18] P. C. O'Brien and T. R. Fleming, "A multiple testing procedure for clinical trials," *Biometrics*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 549–556, 1979.
- [19] J. M. Kieffer, R. S. Djajadiningrat, E. A. M. Van Muilekom, N. M. Graafland, S. Horenblas, and N. K. Aaronson, "Quality of life for patients treated for penile cancer," *The Journal of Urology*, vol. 192, no. 4, pp. 1105–1110, 2014.
- [20] M. Poetsch, M. Hemmerich, C. Kakies et al., "Alterations in the tumor suppressor gene p16 INK4A are associated with aggressive behavior of penile carcinomas," *Virchows Archiv*, vol. 458, no. 2, pp. 221–229, 2011.
- [21] W. Y. Kim and N. E. Sharpless, "The regulation of INK4/ARF in cancer and aging," *Cell*, vol. 127, no. 2, pp. 265–275, 2006.
- [22] E. Ferreux, A. P. Lont, S. Horenblas et al., "Evidence for at least three alternative mechanisms targeting the p16INK4A/cyclin D/Rb pathway in penile carcinoma, one of which is mediated by high-risk human papillomavirus," *The Journal of Pathology*, vol. 201, no. 1, pp. 109–118, 2003.
- [23] D. F. Hayes, C. Isaacs, and V. Stearns, "Prognostic factors in breast cancer: current and new predictors of metastasis," *Journal* of Mammary Gland Biology and Neoplasia, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 375– 392, 2001.



**The Scientific** World Journal

Journal of Immunology Research



Research and Practice











BioMed Research International



PPAR Research

Journal of Ophthalmology



Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine



International



Behavioural Neurology



Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine







Research and Treatment





Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity



Submit your manuscripts at www.hindawi.com