Salmonella enteritidis can cause significant morbidity and mortality in humans and economic loss in the animal industry. Improving the innate immunity is an effective method to prevent S. enteritidis infection. Pediococcus pentosaceus is a Gram-positive coccus which had probiotics properties. Numerous previously published studies reported that probiotics were beneficial to gut microbiota by changing the intestinal flora structure and inhibiting the harmful microbial growth to enhance the innate immunity. We investigated the immunological effects of P. pentosaceus on Salmonella-infected chickens by the following experiment. A total of 120 broilers from AA line were fed and divided into 2 groups (treated and control groups) for the experiment from day 1. The control group was fed with the basic diet, while the treated group was fed with the basic diet adding P. pentosaceus microcapsule with the bacterial concentration of 1 g/kg in the feed and bacterial counts $2.5 \times 10^9$ CFU/g. All the birds were given with 0.5 ml of S. enteritidis bacterial suspension ($10^9$ CFU/ml) through oral cavity at day 9. The number of dead birds was recorded and used in the analysis. The bacterial culture method and quantitative real-time PCR analysis were used to evaluate the effects of P. pentosaceus on chickens infected with S. enteritidis and to ascertain the mechanism of the effect. The results showed that the P. pentosaceus could restrain the pathogenicity of S. enteritidis and reduce the death rate from 44.4% to 23.3%. The flora in the caecum exhibited “rising-declining” trends, and the gene (TLR4, MyD88, TRAF6, NF-$\kappa$B, IFN-$\beta$, TNF-$\alpha$, IL6, and IL8) expression pattern was different between the experimental and control group. P. pentosaceus as a probiotic may competitively inhibit the growth of S. enteritidis and control the inflammatory response through regulating the gene expression which involved in the toll-like receptor pathway and inflammation pathway.

1. Introduction

Salmonella enteritidis is a common pathogenic bacterium for all species of mammals and fowls. S. enteritidis can cause serious economic loss in the animal industry, especially in the poultry production, and which also can influence human health [1]. Reports of worldwide human morbidity caused by infection with S. enterica started to appear as early as the mid-1970s [2], and the pathogenic factor most commonly associated with food like eggs [3]. Due to the pathogenicity and universality of S. enteritidis, the prevention is more important than treatment. Thus, improving the immunity of animals is an effective method to prevent infection.
the meat quality of Caoke chicken. However, whether *P. pentosaceus* can improve the immunity of chicken needs to be further investigated.

Toll-like receptors (TLRs) are essential components of the innate immune system. To date, more than ten TLR genes have been found, including the toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) gene which encodes an important factor for the innate immune system that senses bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and is a key player in the defense against pathogenic microorganisms [12]. Macrophages induce the innate immunity by recognizing pathogens through the TLRs that sense the pathogen-associated molecular patterns. The myeloid differentiation factor 88 (MyD88) encodes an essential adaptor protein molecule for most TLRs that mediate the induction of inflammatory cytokines through nuclear factor κB (NF-κB) [13]. LPS can act through two different methods to activate the TLR4/NF-κB pathway; one is dependent on MyD88, whereas the other is not. Lomaga et al. [14] showed that TNF receptor-associated factor 6 (TRAF6) was crucial not only in interleukin 1 (IL-1) and CD40 signaling, but also, surprisingly, in LPS signaling and was also essential for prenatal and postnatal survival. The immune response is a complex process involving the innate immune system, whose activation is indicated by the release of inflammatory factors, such as IFN-β, TNF-α, IL6, and IL8, which play an important role in this process.

In this study, we aimed to investigate the effect of the *P. pentosaceus* on *S. enteritidis* infection. To this end, we evaluated the body condition change, caecum flora count, and the expression of TLR pathway genes and inflammatory factor genes in the spleen and caecum of *S. enteritidis*-infected chickens, which had been fed a diet with or without *P. pentosaceus*.

### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Birds and Management. A total of 120 arbor acre broilers (AA) were divided into 2 groups (treated and control groups) and fed for the experiment from day 1. The control group was fed the basic diet (composition and nutrient levels are shown in Table 1), while the treated group was fed the basic diet plus *P. pentosaceus* microcapsule (1 g/kg, bacterial counts 2.5 × 10⁹ CFU/g), which was formed according to the Ning’s method [15]. All birds were reared cage free under standard conditions of temperature, humidity, and ventilation. The chickens had free access to feed and water during the entire rearing period. Birds were managed with due consideration to bird welfare. All procedures involving animals were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Sichuan Agriculture University (DK20134457). The experimental animals were anesthetized by intravenous injection of pentobarbital sodium at a dose of 40 mg/kg and euthanized by high cervical dislocation. Alleviated the suffering of experimental animals as much as possible during the experiment and cremated them centrally after the experiment.

#### 2.2. Birds’ Treatment and Sample Collection. All the birds were given with 0.5 ml of *S. enteritidis* bacterial suspension (10⁹ CFU/ml) through oral cavity at day 9. For each group, 4 birds per time (1-, 3-, 7-, and 14-day postinjection) were collected for evaluating and sample collection. Each birds’ spleen and one of caecum were collected immediately after slaughtered and transferred to liquid nitrogen for RNA extraction. Another caecum was collected, and the contents were extracted for bacterial culturing. Body temperature was measured through the cloaca at 5 time points, 0- and 12-hour postinjection (hpi), and 1-, 3-, and 7-day postinjection (dpi).

#### 2.3. Caecum Microbiota Culture and Count. The contents of the caecum (0.2 g) were added into 1.8 ml peptone and mixed. Then, the mixture was diluted to 10, 10², 10³, and 10⁴ and cultured in media (Brilliant Green Agar for Salmonella and MacConkey medium for Escherichia coli). Escherichia coli was cultivated in a 37°C incubator for 24 h and identified as Gram-negative, while the Salmonella was cultivated at 37°C in an incubator for 18-24 h and identified by triple sugar iron agar.

#### 2.4. Total RNA Isolation and cDNA Synthesis. Total RNA was isolated from the spleens and caecum (about 100 mg from each tissue sample) using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen Corp., Carlsbad, CA, USA) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Total RNA concentration and purity were determined at A260, 280, and 230 nM using the NanoVue

### Table 1: Composition and nutrient levels of basic diet.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Content (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corn</td>
<td>55.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean meal</td>
<td>24.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fermentation protein</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compound oil</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat bran</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapeseed meal</td>
<td>2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium bicarbonate</td>
<td>1.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium carbonate</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral premix</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL-methionine</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choline</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mildew preventive</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin premix</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrient levels</td>
<td>Content (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein</td>
<td>19.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude cellulose</td>
<td>3.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME (MCal/kg of DM)</td>
<td>2.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysine</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available phosphorous</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dicalcium phosphate</td>
<td>1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium carbonate</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methionine</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Plus spectrophotometer (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA), and RNA integrity was evaluated by agarose-formaldehyde electrophoresis.

The first strand cDNA was obtained using the ImProm-II Reverse Transcription System (TaKaRa Biotechnology Co. Ltd., Dalian, China). The reaction was performed in a volume of 40 μL containing 8 μL of 5× PrimerScript Buffer, 2 μL of PrimerScript RT Enzyme Mix I, 1 μL of 50 μM Oligo dT forward and reverse primer, 2 μL of 100 μM Random hexamers, 4 μL of total RNA (400 ng), and 22 μL RNase-free dH2O. The reverse transcription (RT) reaction was performed at 37 °C for 15 min with a final step of 85 °C for 15 s and then stored at −20°C.

2.5. Real-Time Quantitative PCR Analysis of mRNA Expression. The expression levels of 8 chicken gene mRNAs at different stages of development in different tissues were measured by real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR). Expression of the chicken β-actin gene (GenBank accession number NM_205518) was used as an internal control. Primers were designed and synthesized by TaKaRa Biotechnology Inc. (Table 2). Specific amplification was confirmed by direct sequencing of the amplified fragments. The qPCR was carried out in a CFX96 qPCR system (Bio-Rad, Inc., Hercules, CA, USA) using the IQ SYBR Green SuperMix (Bio-Rad, Inc.) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

The cycling conditions consisted of an initial denaturation step of 2 min at 95°C, followed by 39 cycles of 5 s at 95°C, 30 s at 60°C, 30 s at 72°C, followed by a final extension period of 5 min at 72°C. A melting curve analysis was performed at a temperature of 65°C to 95°C, increasing at a rate of 0.5°C/s. The qPCR reaction was performed in a volume of 10 μL, which included 5 μL 2× SYBR Green SuperMix (Bio-Rad, Inc.), 1 μL of 10× diluted cDNA, 0.4 μL of forward and reverse primers (350 nM stocks), and 3.2 μL nuclease-free H2O. Each assay was conducted in triplicate in 96-well plates (Bio-Rad, Inc.). A no template control (NTC) for each primer set was included in each run. The range of amplification efficiency of those genes and β-actin was from 95% to 105%.

2.6. Statistical Analyses. The 2ΔΔCt method of quantification [16] was used to calculate the gene expression values. The formula of ΔΔCt was followed.

\[
\Delta\Delta Ct = (Ct, target − Ct, action) \times \text{timex} − (Ct, target − Ct, action) \times \text{time0.}
\]

Using the GLM procedure of SAS 8.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA), we analyzed the differences in gene expression between groups and at different time points by ANOVA and define the significance level as \( P < 0.05 \).

3. Results

3.1. Body Temperature. The results of the mean body temperature in the two groups were shown in Figure 1. Both groups had normal temperature at 0 hpi, whereas all animals had increased temperature after given with Salmonella. The control group had the highest temperature (42.2°C) at 1 dpi and then showed continuous decline, until it reached 41°C at 3 dpi. The experimental group experienced continuous rise in temperature during the first 3 dpi, when it reached up to 42.4°C. However, the temperature declined at 7 dpi, but it was still higher than it was before injection with Salmonella.

3.2. Health State and Death Rate. Most of the birds showed clinical symptoms at 12 hpi, which included depression, decreased ingestion, diarrheal disease, being afraid of the cold, and dyspnea. Those symptoms were more serious in the control group than in the experimental group. The infected chicken fed a diet without P. pentosaceus started to die at 1 dpi, and most of them died between 3 and 7 dpi, while...
3.4. Gene Expression. than that in the experimental group at 1 and 7 dpi.

way important genes (TLR4) were analyzed in spleen and caecum at 4 dpi, and there was no significant difference (P < 0.01) at 1 and 7 dpi and a significant difference (P < 0.05) at 14 dpi.

The relative expression of the TLR4 gene showed a declining trend in both the control group and experimental group (Figure 2(b)). However, overall, the expression of this gene was higher in the control group than that in the experimental group, and there was a very significant difference (P < 0.01) at 1 and 7 dpi and a significant difference (P < 0.05) at 14 dpi.

The relative expression of the TRAF6 gene showed a declining trend in the control group, which was highest at 1 dpi (Figure 2(c)). The experimental group was different from the control group, which was lowest at 1 dpi, but then continuously rose to the highest level at 7 dpi. The experimental group was lower than the control group at 1 dpi, and the difference was highly significant (P < 0.01). The experimental group showed higher expression than the control group at 7 and 14 dpi, and the difference was significant (P < 0.05) and highly significant (P < 0.01), respectively.

The relative expression of the NF-κB gene exhibited a declining trend in the control group, which was highest at 1 dpi (Figure 2(d)). The experimental group showed a “declining-rising-declining” trend, which was highest at 7 dpi. Overall, the control group was higher than the experimental group at 1 and 3 dpi, and the difference was very significant (P < 0.01).

3.4.1. Toll-Like Receptor Pathway Gene Expression in Spleen. The relative expression of 4 TLR pathway important genes (TLR4, MyD88, TRAF6, and NF-κB) and four inflammatory factor genes (IFN-β, TNF-α, IL6, and IL8) was analyzed in spleen and caecum at 4 different time points. Significant differences were detected between the control and experimental groups (Figure 2).

3.3. Caecum Flora Count. The results of caecum bacterial count were shown in Table 3 and revealed that the flora in the caecum exhibited “rising-declining” trends. Specifically, after injection, the Salmonella number rose quickly and was highest at 3 dpi in the control group, whereas in the experimental group the increase in the number of Salmonella bacterium was gradual. Overall, the number of Salmonella in the control group was significantly higher than that in the experimental group.

The Escherichia coli number rose between 1 dpi and 3 dpi, and there was no significant difference between the two groups in the way in which the bacteria rose. Nevertheless, a comparison of the two groups revealed that the Escherichia coli in the control group was significantly (P < 0.05) higher than that in the experimental group at 1 and 7 dpi.

3.4. Gene Expression. The relative expression of 4 TLR pathway important genes (TLR4, MyD88, TRAF6, and NF-κB) and four inflammatory factor genes (IFN-β, TNF-α, IL6, and IL8) was analyzed in spleen and caecum at 4 different time points. Significant differences were detected between the control and experimental groups (Figure 2).

3.4.2. Toll Pathway Gene Expression in Caecum. The relative expression of the TLR4 gene exhibited a declining trend both in the control and experimental group (Figure 3(a)), and the expression in the experimental group was highly significantly (P < 0.01) lower than that in the control group at the first three time points and significantly (P < 0.05) lower at 14 dpi.

The relative expression of the MyD88 gene showed different trends between the control group and experimental group (Figure 3(b)). The control group exhibited a declining trend, which was highest at 1 dpi, and subsequently continued its decline. The trial group had a “rising-declining” trend and was highest at 7 dpi. A comparison of the two groups indicated that their difference was very significant (P < 0.01) at 1 dpi and 3 dpi.

The relative expression of the TRAF6 gene showed different trends between the control and experimental groups (Figure 3(c)). The control group exhibited a declining trend, which was highest at 1 dpi, and continued to decline. The experimental group had a “rising-declining” trend, which was highest at 7 dpi, but the expression was not significantly different between 3 dpi and 7 dpi. A comparison of the two groups revealed that the control group had a lower expression than the experimental group, and the difference was significant (P < 0.05) and very significant (P < 0.01) at 3 dpi and 7 dpi, respectively.

The relative expression of the NF-κB gene showed a different trend between the control and experimental groups (Figure 3(d)). The control group had a declining trend, and it was highest at 1 dpi and continued to decline. The experimental group exhibited a “rising-declining” trend and highest at 7 dpi. A comparison of the two groups revealed that no infected chickens fed a diet supplemented with P. pentosaceus had died at 7 dpi, and the birds became better. The death rates were 44.44% and 23.35%, in control group and experimental group, respectively. A dissection of a dead chicken that had shown symptoms like pericarditis, hepatomegaly, and hemorrhage is not shown.
Table 3: Comparison of Salmonella and Escherichia coli load in the caecum of postinfection between the two groups of chicken (mean ± SD log 10).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>1 d</th>
<th>3 d</th>
<th>7 d</th>
<th>14 d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salmonella</td>
<td>Control group</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.44 ± 0.42&lt;sup&gt;a,x&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.00 ± 0.33&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.86 ± 0.16&lt;sup&gt;a,y&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.77 ± 0.31&lt;sup&gt;a,x&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trial group</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.20 ± 0.18&lt;sup&gt;a,x&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.27 ± 0.69&lt;sup&gt;a,x&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.39 ± 0.20&lt;sup&gt;a,x&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.65 ± 0.42&lt;sup&gt;b,x&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escherichia coli</td>
<td>Control group</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.89 ± 0.09&lt;sup&gt;a,y&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9.76 ± 0.40&lt;sup&gt;a,y&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9.77 ± 0.45&lt;sup&gt;a,y&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.96 ± 0.34&lt;sup&gt;a,x&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trial group</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.63 ± 0.35&lt;sup&gt;a,y&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.42 ± 0.42&lt;sup&gt;a,x&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.83 ± 0.39&lt;sup&gt;a,x&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.37 ± 0.41&lt;sup&gt;a,x&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: <sup>a</sup>b represent the comparison of the data in the group, and different superscripts mean significant difference (<i>P</i> < 0.05); <sup>a</sup>y represent the comparison of the data between groups, and different superscripts mean significant difference (<i>P</i> < 0.05).

The experiment group, and the di

The control group always showed a higher expression than both in the control and experimental groups (Figure 4(a)).

The expression in the experimental group was significantly (<i>P</i> < 0.05) lower than that in the control group, which was very significantly (<i>P</i> < 0.01) higher than that in the control group at 7 dpi.

3.4.3. Inflammatory Factor Gene Expression in Spleen. The relative expression of the IFN-β gene showed a declining trend both in the control and experimental groups (Figure 4(a)). The control group always showed a higher expression than the experimental group, and the difference was highly significant (<i>P</i> < 0.01) and significant (<i>P</i> < 0.05) at 1 dpi and 14 dpi, respectively.

The relative expression of the TNF-a gene exhibited different trends in the control and the experimental groups (Figure 4(b)). The control group had a declining trend, which was highest at 1 dpi, and continued to decline. The experimental group had a “rising-declining” trend, which was highest at 3 dpi. Expression in the experimental group was higher than in the control group, and the difference was not significant (<i>P</i> > 0.05) at 3, 7, and 14 dpi.

The relative expression of the IL6 gene was highest at 1dpi in the control group (Figure 4(c)), and it was very significantly (<i>P</i> < 0.01) higher than that in the experimental group. The expression exhibited a rising trend in the experimental group, which was highest at 7 dpi. A comparison of the two groups revealed that the expression was always higher in the experimental group than that in the control group, and the difference reached significance (<i>P</i> < 0.05) at 14 dpi.
3.4.4. Inflammatory Factor Gene Express in Caecum. The relative expression of the IL8 gene showed the same trend in the control and experimental groups (Figure 4(d)). The expression in both groups reached the highest levels at 7 and 14 dpi and subsequently declined to a level lower than that at 1 dpi. A comparison of the two groups revealed that there was no difference in expression at 1 dpi, while the expression was higher in the control group than that in the experimental group at 3 and 7 dpi, and the difference was significant at 7 dpi. Remarkably, the expression was higher in the experimental group than in the control group at 14 dpi, and the difference was highly significant (P < 0.01).

3.5. Gene Expression in Different Tissues. To further determine whether the expression of the above genes was tissue specific, we analyzed the expression levels of those genes in two different tissues. The results showed that the expression of four TLR pathway genes was “decreased” in both the spleen and caecum tissues in the control group, and the highest expression was at 1 dpi. The expression was different in the experimental group. Among the four inflammatory factor genes, expression of IFN-β, TNF-α, and IL6 genes exhibited the same trends in those two tissues as over the time period at which they were evaluated, while the IL8 gene showed different trends in the tissues examined.
4. Discussions

4.1. Body Temperature and Flora Number. After injection with the Salmonella bacterium, the body temperature rose in both groups. As the time progressed, the health of the birds in the control group deteriorated, the body temperature declined, and the number of dead birds rose. The rise in the body temperature was beneficial for developing resistance to the disease, whereas the low temperature was not beneficial to the enhancement of the inflammatory reaction whereby germs are killed. As the chicken was infected by Salmonella, the organism mounted an inflammatory response to kill germs, which led to a rise in the body temperature.

The death of chickens in the control group mainly occurred between 3 and 7 dpi, and the body temperature was lower than that of animals in the experimental group. This result was consistent with those of previous research. For instance, Liping and Yujie [17] reported that the broiler chicken fed a diet supplemented with complex microorganisms can improve the resistance to S. pullorum and reduce the chicken death rate to 20%. Additional studies reported that lactobacillus can improve the immune function and enhance the natural immunity and intestinal mucosa resistance [18–20]. We can speculate that continuous supplementation of the diet with P. pentosaceus can reduce the harmful effects of pathogenic bacteria in chicken.

Several studies have reported that probiotics can compete with Salmonella and Escherichia Coli to bind with Caco-2 to inhibit the pathogenic bacterium growth [21–23], and the microbial community structure was altered as the E. coli number increased when infected by S. pullorum [18]. Our study results on flora number revealed that the flora number in caecum had increased but not significantly and quickly returned to normal levels in the experimental group. Meanwhile, in the control group, the flora number quickly increased and the difference was significant. This result is consistent with those of the other studies and indicates that the infection with the pathogenic bacterium can change the microbial community structure in caecum, and the effect was less intense in the experimental group than that in the control group. It is possible that this may be caused by physical and mechanical competitive inhibition of the probiotics to reduce the Salmonella seeding. Additional studies indicated that Lactobacillus, as one of the probiotics, can secrete organic acid to reduce the pH value, produce secondary metabolites like bacteriostatic toxin, and inhibit the pathogenic bacterium growth to protect the intestinal biological barrier [24, 25]. P. pentosaceus which belongs to the Lactobacillaceae family may be share the same resistance mechanism.

4.2. Gene Expression. The TLR pathway plays an important role in mediating Salmonella transmembrane signal transduction and stimulates the body immune system. TLR4, MyD88, TRAF6, and NF-κB are important genes in the TLR pathway, and their expression can indicate the activation intensity of the TLR pathway. After injection with

![Figure 4: Expression of (a) IFN-β, (b) TNF-α, (c) IL6, and (d) IL-8 genes in the spleen samples of broiler chickens in the control group and the treatment group. Note: “***” means the difference in the expression of the target gene between the experimental and control groups was highly significant (P < 0.01); “*” means the difference in the expression of the target gene between the experimental and control groups was significant (P < 0.05). Error bars represent standard deviation.](image-url)
Salmonella, the gene expression changed along with time, which was consistent with previously reported results [26–29], indicating that the TLR4 pathway was connected with the S. enteritidis infection. A comparison of the experimental and control groups revealed that the gene expression patterns of the two groups were not consistent, which indicated that the added P. pentosaceus in the diet can affect the gene expression. The TLR4 and MyD88 gene expression in spleen and caecum were higher in the control group than that in the experimental group. These results suggested that the pathogenic bacterium activated the TLR pathway, which was higher in the control group than that in the experimental group. This activation may occur as a result of the P. pentosaceus’ competitive inhibition, physical, and mechanical that decreases the Salmonella seeding thus reducing the stimulation of intestinal cells by LPS to attenuate the immune response. Research has shown that TLR4 expression in enterocyte was blocked, which contributes to maintaining the steady state [30]. Higher expression of NF-κB can increase the inflammatory reaction through activation of the downstream inflammation factors in the TLR4 pathway. TNF-α as an important inflammatory factor that can act as an anti-infection pyrogenic factor that causes fever. IL6 can strengthen the effects of the other cytokines. The expression of inflammatory factor genes declined at 3 d, a result that was consistent with the body temperature change trend. According to our results, we can speculate that P. pentosaceus can restrain the activation of the TLR4 pathway by S. enteritidis, thus causing a reduction of TLR4 signaling, a decline of the expression of the Myd88 gene, and the decline of the expression of downstream inflammation factor genes. Whether the change in the expression of those genes caused the protein changes needs to be further investigated in the future. Why the expression of these genes declined between 3 dpi and 7 dpi in the control group, while they were increased in the experimental group? According to the results of the evaluation of the body temperature and flora number change and chicken death condition, we surmised that the decline in body temperature was not a contributing factor to the inflammatory response, which may have allowed the bacteria to grow and cause the rise in microbial load and the increased death rate.

In this study, we just comparatively analyzed the effect of added and not added Pediococcus pentosaceus on Salmonella enteritidis-infected chicken, so we do not design the healthy control group, and this is a bug. On this basis, it seems obvious that further study is needed to design the healthy control group.

5. Conclusions

Through this research, we can speculate that the P. pentosaceus can not completely eliminate the S. enteritidis infection and it just inhibited the bacterial growth. The mechanism of inhibition of S. enteritidis by P. pentosaceus may involve the inhibition of the expression of certain genes usually induced

![Figure 5: Expression of (a) IFN-β, (b) TNF-α, (c) IL6, and (d) IL-8 genes in the caecum samples of broiler chickens in the control group and the treatment group. Note: “**” means the difference in the expression of the target gene between the experimental and control groups was highly significant (P < 0.01); “*” means the difference in expression of target gene between the experimental and control groups was significant (P < 0.05). Error bars represent standard deviation.]
by *S. enteritidis* or alternatively may result from direct effects on the TLR4 gene and inhibition of its expression.
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