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In the article titled “Comparability of Self-Ratings and Observer Ratings in Occupational Psychosocial Risk Assessments: Is There Agreement?” [1], References [5, 8] should be removed since they were recommended during the review process and do not contribute essentially to the topic. The references to be removed are as follows:


This finding is particularly alarming because employees more frequently report psychosocial risks and strain during times of economic recession [6]. For instance, insomnia ratings were greater among nurses who experienced a pay cut than among nurses whose payment conditions had not changed [7]. If supervisors were trained in interactional justice (i.e., an intervention aimed at improving psychosocial working conditions), the degree of insomnia and thus the individual strain response decreased faster than those for nurses whose supervisors did not receive a training. Thus, the assessment of psychosocial risks during crisis time appears to be a strategic topic [6].
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