

# Review Article

# The Influence of Diabetes Mellitus on Patients Undergoing Primary Total Lower Extremity Arthroplasty: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

## Wanjin Qin 🝺, Xiaoxiong Huang 🝺, Huilin Yang 🝺, and Minjie Shen 🝺

Department of Orthopaedics, The First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University, Soochow University, Suzhou 215006, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Minjie Shen; shenminjie@suda.edu.cn

Received 1 November 2020; Accepted 3 December 2020; Published 15 December 2020

Academic Editor: Fabiano Bini

Copyright © 2020 Wanjin Qin et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Background.* Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a common disease that has an adverse impact on most orthopedic surgeries, and its prevalence has gradually increased in recent years. We aim to investigate the influence of DM on comorbidities and complications of patients undergoing primary total lower extremity arthroplasty. *Methods.* PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, Medline, and Web of Science were systematically searched for relevant studies published before December 2019. Demographic data, comorbidities, and postoperative complications after primary total hip arthroplasties (THA) or primary total knee arthroplasties (TKA) were assessed between DM and non-DM patients. Meta-analysis was conducted using Review Manager 5.3, and forest plots were drawn for each variable. *Results.* A total of 1,560,461 patients (215,916 patients with DM and 1,344,545 patients without DM) from 23 studies were included in this meta-analysis. The incidences of several preoperative comorbidities (hypertension (HTN), kidney disease, cardiac and cerebrovascular disease) were generally higher in patients with DM. Moreover, DM patients had a higher rate of postoperative complications (superficial and deep infection, deep vein thrombosis (DVT), and in-hospital mortality) compared to non-DM patients. *Conclusions.* DM patients were more likely to suffer from comorbidities and had a higher risk of complications in total lower extremity arthroplasty compared to non-DM patients. It is necessary to identify DM and control hyperglycemia in the perioperative period to prevent postoperative complications in patients with DM.

### 1. Introduction

Elective primary total lower extremity arthroplasty, which mainly refers to total knee and hip joint arthroplasty (TKA and THA), is a major operation frequently performed for knee and hip disease patients to reduce joint pain and increase joint mobility and function [1]. It is reported that over 500,000 patients receive lower extremity arthroplasties each year, and the demand is expected to rise to over 4 million per year before 2030 [2]. However, TKA and THA patients with certain preexisting diseases are at increased risk of postoperative complications. TKA and THA patients tend to have a higher number of comorbidities than the general population [3, 4].

DM is a chronic disease that is associated with negative outcomes after surgery. Previous studies have shown that

more than 50% of DM patients have a joint disease which may require hip or knee replacement surgery. With the rising incidence of DM worldwide, the number of DM patients requiring arthroplasty is expected to increase in the future [5]. Several studies have investigated the impact of DM on the postoperative prognosis for patients undergoing TKA and THA and have indicated that DM patients have an increased rate of infection, vascular disease, and myocardial infarction compared with non-DM patients [6-8]. A metaanalysis published in 2014 reported that patients with DM had a higher risk of deep vein thrombosis, aseptic loosening, deep infection, and periprosthetic fracture after TKA [9]. Moreover, in 2013, Tsang et al. found that the incidence of infection in both nonsurgical and surgical sites was higher in DM patients compared to non-DM patients following THA [10]. Unfortunately, in recent years, no meta-analysis

has been performed to investigate the effect of DM on elective primary total lower extremity arthroplasty.

The current meta-analysis was carried out to compare the comorbidities and postoperative complications between DM and non-DM patients who underwent primary TKA or THA. The intended benefits of the study are to help guide surgeons to improve treatments for patients with DM undergoing TKA and THA.

#### 2. Material and Methods

#### 2.1. Search Strategy

2.1.1. Literature Search. According to PRISMA guidelines and statements, several literature databases, including PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, Medline, and Web of Science, were used to search articles involving comorbidities and postoperative complications in patients, with or without DM, undergoing elective primary TKA or THA. The search was performed for articles published before December 2019. The following Medical Subject Heading (MeSH) terms and textual words were used as the search strategy: "diabetes mellitus," "diabetics," "hip," "knee," "arthroplasty," and "replacement." The search terms were first based on the title and abstract, and the full text was retrieved if a decision could not be made from the summary.

2.1.2. Selection Criteria. The initial selected literatures were further reviewed for inclusion according to the following uniform criteria. Two investigators assessed and selected articles independently, and all disagreements between the investigators were resolved by discussion.

Several criteria for inclusion were as follows: (1) at least two types of comorbidities or complications were reported in the study, (2) the comparison listed should contain DM patients and non-DM patients, (3) essential data could be easily extracted or calculated from the original article, (4) the language for the identified article was limited to English, and (5) full-text article is accessible.

Several criteria for exclusion were as follows: (1) the study lacked necessary variables, (2) the original data of the comparison outcomes could not be extracted, (3) animal or cell study, and (4) case reports, book chapters, review articles, summaries of experience, and cadaver studies.

2.1.3. Data Extraction. Data collection was carried out independently by two authors using an identical form. Discrepancies between the two authors were resolved via discussion to reach consensus. The data extracted were as follows: year of publication, study type, demographic data, preoperative comorbidities involving hypertension (HTN), kidney, cardiac, and cerebrovascular disease, complications including DVT, infection (superficial and deep), and in-hospital mortality. T1DM, T2DM, and other secondary forms of DM (e.g., insulin-dependent DM and non-insulin-dependent DM) were not analyzed separately; all subgroups were classified as the DM group in our study.

2.1.4. Quality Assessment. All selected articles were further scrutinized by two authors independently. We assessed the

quality of the selected studies using the Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS). A separate NOS scale was developed to evaluate the quality of the cohort and case-control studies [11]. The NOS contains eight items divided into three dimensions, including selection, comparability, and—depending on the type of study—results (cohort study) or exposure (case-control study). A total score lower than three points is considered low quality, while those achieving seven points or higher are considered high quality [12].

2.2. Statistical Analysis. Review Manager Version 5.3 was used to perform the meta-analysis. All comparisons were dichotomous data, and we used the odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) to conduct the statistical analysis of our variables. The sample size and number of events were extracted from the original study to calculate the OR to design the forest plot. p < 0.05 was set as the level of significance, and  $I^2$  was set as the index to evaluate heterogeneity. If  $I^2 < 50\%$ , the fixed-effected model was used because of the low heterogeneity.  $I^2 \ge 50\%$  was considered a significant heterogeneity; we strived to explore possible reasons for heterogeneity, such as study design, sample size, patient selection, outcome index, and evaluation standard for each identified study. A "leave-one-out" sensitivity analysis was performed by sequentially deleting one study to determine the source of heterogeneity. After excluding each study, an analysis was performed to determine whether heterogeneity still existed; if so, the random-effect model was used [13]. When high heterogeneity was caused by a large difference in subgroups, we performed a subgroup analysis to find possible factors. Besides, we used Revman software to draw funnel plots to observe the publication bias.

#### 3. Results

*3.1. Search Results.* Figure 1 summarizes the details of the study identification and the process of selection. 462 articles were yielded after the initial search; then, 86 duplicates were eliminated, and then 311 of 376 records were removed based on their titles and abstracts. After downloading and identifying the full text, 42 articles without access to the inclusion criteria were excluded. Ultimately, this meta-analysis contains a total of 23 eligible studies published between 2003 and 2019 [5, 14–35].

*3.2. Study Characteristics.* In the studies identified, 18 of the 23 studies [5, 14, 15, 18, 20–29, 32–35] are cohort studies, and the remaining five [16, 17, 19, 30, 31] are case-control studies. In total, 1,560,461 cases of lower extremity total joint arthroplasty including 215,916 patients with DM and 1,344,545 patients without DM were reported. The 23 identified articles described patients undergoing primary THA or TKA. Specifically, 4 studies [16, 17, 19, 21] investigated single primary THA, 11 studies [14, 15, 18, 28–35] investigated single primary TKA, and 8 studies [5, 20, 22–27] investigated both primary TKA and THA. The detailed characteristics of each study are shown in Table 1. The NOS score of the methodological quality for each study is shown in Table 2. Among the 18 cohort studies, 13 studies [14, 15, 18, 20, 23–



FIGURE 1: Flowchart showing the process of the literature search.

26, 28, 29, 32, 33, 35] had largely high quality with scores above six, 4 studies [21, 22, 27, 34] were of average quality with scores of six, and one study had a score of five points suggesting low quality. Of the five case-control studies, only one study [17] had a score of six, indicating average quality, while the other studies [16, 19, 30, 31] had scores greater than six.

#### 3.3. Results of Meta-Analysis

3.3.1. Comorbidities. Five identified studies [18, 24, 32, 33, 35] reported the incidence of HTN before surgery in patients with or without DM. The fixed-effect model found that DM patients had significantly higher morbidity of HTN (OR = 4.26, 95% CI: 3.97, 4.57, p < 0.00001,  $I^2 = 87\%$ ). However, this finding may have been influenced by high heterogeneity. After removing the study by Zhao et al. [35], heterogeneity was reduced to 75%, and sensitivity analysis and reanalysis using a random-effects model reaffirmed this significant difference (OR = 4.32, 95% CI: 4.03, 4.64, p < 0.00001,  $I^2 = 75\%$ )(Figure 2(a)). However, it should be acknowledged that heterogeneity was still present when considering this result. Two studies [32, 34] and six studies [14, 18, 24, 32-34] reported the rate of cerebrovascular disease and cardiac disease, respectively, and the fixed-effect model indicated that DM significantly increased the risk of suffering from cerebral disease (OR = 1.93, 95% CI: 1.84, 2.03, *p* < 0.00001,  $I^2 = 0\%$ ) (Figure 2(b)) and cardiac disease (OR = 2.50, 95%) CI: 2.43, 2.58, p < 0.00001,  $I^2 = 7\%$ ) (Figure 2(c)). Additionally, four studies [14, 15, 32, 34] investigated the incidence of kidney disease between DM and normal patients. The fixed-effect model found a significant difference in increased incidence of kidney disease in DM patients (OR = 3.69, 95% CI: 3.54, 3.85, p < 0.00001,  $I^2 = 10\%$ ) (Figure 2(d)).

3.3.2. Complications. Twelve articles [14, 16, 20-23, 28-32] studied the influence of DM on deep infection in patients after primary lower extremity arthroplasty. Using the fixedeffect model, we observed that patients with DM had an increased risk of deep infection (OR = 1.76, 95% CI: 1.48, 2.09, p < 0.00001,  $I^2 = 36\%$ ) (Figure 3(b)). The relationship between DM and superficial infection was mentioned in five studies [17, 19, 22, 29], and the fixed-effect forest plot showed that DM was associated with a higher incidence of superficial infection (OR = 4.70, 95% CI: 2.47, 8.92, p < 0.00001,  $I^2 =$ 44%) (Figure 3(a)). Likewise, two separated studies [26, 27] reported a significant difference in in-hospital mortality between the DM group and non-DM group (OR = 1.67, 95% CI: 1.36, 2.05, p < 0.00001,  $I^2 = 0\%$ ) (Figure 3(c)). The effect of DM on DVT was reported in seven studies [5, 14, 25, 28, 29, 33, 35], and the fixed-effect forest plot showed that the risk of DVT in DM patients was 1.82 times the risk in non-DM patients (OR = 1.40, 95% CI: 1.14, 1.73, p = 0.001,  $I^2 = 56\%$ ). However, because of the significant heterogeneity indicated by  $I^2 \ge 50\%$ , this result should be viewed carefully. A subgroup analysis was performed based on population selection. The fixed-effect model of the Asian subgroup in three studies showed that DM significantly increased the risk of DVT without notable heterogeneity (OR = 2.56, 95% CI: 1.69, 3.89, p < 0.00001,  $I^2 = 13\%$ ). The European subgroup analysis in four studies [5, 14, 25, 28, 33, 35] found no

Study (year)	Country	Types	Sample size $(n)$	Male (%)	Age (y)	Surgical methods	Number of patients (DM/non-DM)	Recoded parameters
Adams et al. (2013) [14]	USA	Cohort	40 491	43% in DM and 36% in non-DM	68 in DM and 68 in non-DM	TKA	7567/32924	Cardiac disease, kidney disease, DVT, revision, deep infection
Amusat et al. (2014) [15]	Australia	Cohort	405	45% in DM and 37% in non-DM	67 in DM and 68 in non-DM	TKA	60/345	Kidney disease,
Bolognesi et al. (2008) [5]	USA	Cohort	742236	39	67	THA and TKA	63461/678775	Mortality, DVT
Choong et al. (2007) [16]	Australia	Case-control	819	46	71	THA	87/732	Deep infection
Chun et al. (2014) [17]	Korea	Case-control	413	61% in DM and 58% in non-DM	56 in DM and 51 in non-DM	THA	41/372	Superficial infection
Clement et al. (2013) [18]	France	Cohort	2389	44.4% in DM and 42.2% in non-DM	70.1 in DM and 70.4 in non-DM	TKA	275/2114	HTN, cardiac disease
Cordero-Ampuero et al. (2010) [19]	Spain	Case-control	124	NP	NP	THA	17/107	Superficial infection
Duensing et al. (2019) [20]	USA	Cohort	75478	44.2% in DM and 40.7% in non-DM	65.6 in DM and 64.7 in non-DM	TKA and THA	19854/55624	Deep infection
Falcao et al. (2016) [21]	USA	Cohort	83	25% in DM and 37.3% in non-DM	72.8 in DM and 64.3 in non-DM	THA	24/59	Deep infection
Iorio et al. (2012) [22]	USA	Cohort	4008	NR	NR	TKA and THA	331/3677	Superficial infection
Jämsen et al. (2012) [23]	Finland	Cohort	7181	36	70.6	TKA and THA	874/6307	Deep infection
Lovecchio et al. (2014) [24]	USA	Cohort	43299	42% in DM and 38.6% in non-DM	66 in DM and 68 in non-DM	TKA and THA	6725/36574	HTN, cardiac disease
Maradit Kremers et al. (2017) [25]	NSA	Cohort	16085	45.8	66	TKA and THA	2911/13147	Deep infection
Martinez-Huedo et al. (2013) [27]	Spain	Cohort	373131	33.3% in DM and 33.8% in non-DM	71 in DM and 70% in non-DM	TKA and THA	40519/332612	Mortality,
Martínez-Huedo et al. (2017) [26]	Spain	Cohort	74834	37.2	71.5	TKA and THA	37417/37417	Mortality, DVT
Meding et al. (2003) [28]	USA	Cohort	3519	48% in DM and 40% in non-DM	70 in DM and 70 in non-DM	TKA	329/4891	DVT, deep infection
Moon et al. (2008) [29]	Korea	Cohort	444	10.3% in DM and 10.3% in non-DM	67.6 in DM and 67.4 in non-DM	TKA	222/222	DVT, superficial infection
Namba et al. (2013) [30]	USA	Case-control	56216	37	67.4	TKA	14432/41784	Deep infection
Suzuki et al. (2011) [31]	Japan	Case-control	2022	12.5	72	TKA	276/1746	Deep infection
Teo et al. (2018) [32]	Singapore	Cohort	905	22% in DM and 21.4% in non-DM	67.7 in DM and 65.7 in non-DM	TKA	123/782	HTN, cardiac disease, kidney disease, deep infection
Wang et al. (2013) [33]	China	Cohort	245	32.1% in DM and 28.6% in non-DM	67 in DM and 67.1 in non-DM	TKA	53/192	DVT, HTN, cardiac disease

TABLE 1: Characteristics of the included studies.

4

Study (year)	Country	Types	Sample size (n)	Male (%)	Age (y)	Surgical methods	Number of patients (DM/non-DM)	Recoded parameters
Webb et al. (2017) [34]	USA	Cohort	114102	40.8% in DM and 36.6% in non-DM	NP	TKA	20248/93854	Cardiac disease, cerebrovascular disease, kidney disease
Zhao et al. (2014) [35]	China	Cohort	358	28.6% in DM and 34.7% in non-DM	68.09 in DM and 68.17 in non-DM	TKA	70/288	HTN, DVT
Abbreviations: DM: diabetes me	litus; HTN: hyp	ertension; DV	'T: deep vein emł	oolization; NP: not pro	wided; TKA: total knee arthropla	sties; THA: total hip ar	throplasties.	

TABLE 1: Continued.

 TABLE 2: Quality assessment using the 9-point Newcastle-Ottawa

 Scale (NOS).

Study	Selection	Comparability	Outcome
Adams et al. (2013) [14]	***	**	***
Amusat et al. (2014) [15]	****	*	***
Bolognesi et al. (2008) [5]	***	*	*
Choong et al. (2007) [16]	***	**	***
Chun et al. (2014) [17]	***	*	**
Clement et al. (2013) [18]	****	*	***
Cordero-Ampuero et al. (2010) [19]	***	**	***
Duensing et al. (2019) [20]	***	*	***
Falcao et al. (2016) [21]	***	*	**
Iorio et al. (2012) [22]	****	*	*
Jämsen et al. (2012) [23]	****	*	***
Lovecchio et al. (2014) [24]	***	**	**
Maradit Kremers et al. (2017) [25]	***	**	***
Martinez-Huedo et al. (2013) [27]	***	*	**
Martínez-Huedo et al. (2017) [26]	***	**	***
Meding et al. (2003) [28]	***	*	***
Moon et al. (2008) [29]	****	*	***
Namba et al. (2013) [30]	****	*	**
Suzuki et al. (2011) [31]	****	*	**
Teo et al. (2018) [32]	****	*	**
Wang et al. (2013) [33]	***	*	***
Webb et al. (2017) [34]	***	*	**
Zhao et al. (2014) [35]	***	**	***

significant difference between DM patients and the non-DM patients in the European population with zero heterogeneity (OR = 1.10, 95% CI: 0.86, 1.42, p = 0.44,  $I^2 = 0\%$ ) (Figure 4). A funnel plot based on the findings of deep infection was drawn to evaluate publication bias; the diagram was basically symmetric (Figure 5), indicating a low risk of publication bias in this study.

#### 4. Discussion

Elective primary total knee and hip arthroplasties have achieved similarly high 10-year implant survival and overall patient satisfaction rates as the effective lower extremity surgeries. Nevertheless, there are still some patients who complain about postoperative discomfort caused by surgical sequelae, such as persistent pain, infection, DVT, and functional dysfunction [36–39]. DM, a prevalent and serious disease, has been proven to increase complication rates in patients after surgery. These poor influences partly result from higher rates of comorbidities such as hypertension, cardiac-cerebral vascular disease, and renal insufficiency in DM patients [40]. Love et al. [24] indicated that DM affected clinical prognosis after primary total low extremity arthroplasty, and that DM patients had a significantly higher rate of medical complications and revision within a month after joint replacement. As far as we know, this is the first metaanalysis investigating the adverse effect of DM on patients undergoing primary lower extremity arthroplasty.

Comorbidities are common for elderly people with DM, and 40–50% of elderly people have three or more comorbidities [41-43]. It was reported that DM is accompanied by a range of diabetes-related comorbidities, including macrovascular disease (e.g., stroke and cardiovascular disease) and microvascular disease (e.g., neuropathy and retinopathy) [44]. Another study also demonstrated that DM increased the risk of disease of the cardio-cerebral vascular and other systems, making DM the major cause of premature illness and death [45]. Our meta-analysis found several statistically significant differences in several preoperative comorbidities (HTN, kidney, cardiac and cerebrovascular disease) between DM and non-DM groups. However, since we have observed high heterogeneity in the analysis of HTN, the results require careful consideration. We performed a sensitive analysis to identify the source of high heterogeneity, and found that heterogeneity was reduced to 75% by removing the study by Zhao et al. The sample size of this study was quite small compared with the other included studies; moreover, this article was the only one showing that DM created no significant differences between the two groups. The comorbidity from DM can further develop postoperative adverse outcome after surgery in patients. Therefore, early and aggressive management of DM is required to reduce comorbidities and improve prognosis.

Deep vein thrombosis (DVT), one of the venous thromboembolism, is commonly seen after hip and knee joint replacement operation [46]. Previous studies have indicated that many DM patients undergoing total hip arthroplasty (THA) or total knee arthroplasty (TKA) have an increased risk of DVT [47-50]. A number of investigators have revealed that DM was correlated with lower levels of endogenous fibrinolysis and increased levels of procoagulant factors [51-53]. DVT has proved to originate from increased blood clotting and venous stasis as well as damage to the blood vessel wall. DM has been reported to increase endothelial damage and blood coagulability and decrease fibrinolysis [54]. In our study, subgroup analysis showed that DVT also occurs more frequently in the DM group of Asian patients, and this difference was not found in the European population. Previous studies have reported that Asian patients are more likely to suffer from DVT than European patients [55]. Perhaps, this phenomenon can be explained by the differences of clinical testing standards and the number of lower extremity arthroplasty procedures conducted. Asians have fewer lower limb surgeries than Europeans. Further, the diagnosis of DVT in Asians is based on clinical manifestations, while European countries tend to use venography, the gold standard to detect deep vein thrombosis [56]. In any case, it is necessary to perform a complete examination and control hypercoagulable states in DM patients receiving TKA or THA. Arranging relevant anticoagulation therapy and encouraging patients to exercise early can decrease the recurrence and severe complications of DVT [57].



(d)

FIGURE 2: Forest plot showing the differences of comorbidities between DM patients and non-DM patients. (a) HTN. (b) Cerebrovascular disease. (c) Cardiac disease. (d) Kidney disease.

To our knowledge, the impact of DM on mortality in patients undergoing orthopedic surgery has been controversial in recent years. Several literatures focusing on the risk of mortality after orthopedic surgery indicated that DM carried an increased risk of death, but could not reach significant difference [58, 59]. In our meta-analysis, the forest plot showed that the DM group had 138 deaths in 77,936 patients representing a rate of 0.18%, while the non-DM group had 471 death in 370,029 patients, a rate of 0.13%. The difference in mortality after lower extremity arthroplasty between the two groups was significant. However, our findings on mortality should be observed carefully since only two literatures

Superficial infection	Dia	betics	Nondi	abetics	_	Odds ratio			Odds ra	tio	
Study or subgroup	Event	s Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, fixed, 95% Cl		М	-H, fixed,	95% Cl	
Chun 2014	4	41	0	372	1.3%	89.40 [4.72, 1692.72]					>
Cordero-Ampuero 2010	6	17	18	107	47.7%	2.70 [0.88, 8.23]				_	
Iorio 2012	7	331	15	3677	36.2%	5.27 [2.14, 13.03]					
Moon 2008	2	222	1	222	14.8%	2.01 [0.18, 22.32]			-		
$T_{atal} (050/Cl)$		611		4270	100.00/	4 70 [2 47 9 02]					
Total (95% CI)	10	011	24	43/8	100.0%	4.70 [2.47, 8.92]					
I later and its abi2	19	2 ( = 0	54 15) 7 <sup>2</sup>	4.40/		1					
Heterogeneity: $cni^{-} = 5.3$	55, di =	3(p=0)	$(15); 1^{-} =$	44%		0.0	005	0.1	1	10	200
lest for overall effect: Z	= 4./3	(p < 0.00)	)001)					Favors [DM]	Fav	ors [non-D	M]
						(-)					
						(a)					
Deep infection	Diab	etics	Nondi	abetics		Odds ratio		0	dds ratio		
Study or subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, random, 95% Cl	1	M-H, ra	andom, 95	% Cl	
Adams 2013	71	7567	216	32924	20.2%	1.43 [1.10, 1.88]					
Choong 2017	4	87	10	732	2.1%	3.48 [1.07, 11.34]			-		
Duensing 2019	845	9854	1437	55624	35.6%	1.68 [1.54, 1.83]					
Falcao 2016	2	24	5	59	1.0%	0.98 [0.18, 5.45]				_	
Iorio 2012	7	331	15	3677	3.4%	5.27 [2.14, 13.03]					
Jämsen 2012	16	874	36	6307	7.1%	3.25 [1.79, 5.88]					
Meding 2003	4	329	35	4891	2.6%	1.71 [0.60, 4.83]					
Moon 2008	2	222	1	222	0.5%	2.01 [0.18, 22.32]			-		
Namba 2013	145	4432	259	41784	25.4%	1.63 [1.33, 2.00]		_		_	
Suzuki 2011	3	276	14	1746	1.8%	1.36 [0.39, 4.76]	_	e			
Teo 2018	0	123	10	782	0.4%	0.30 [0.02, 5.12]					
									•		
Total (95% Cl)			2038	148748	100.0%	1.76 [1.48, 2.09]					
Total events 1	099 4	4119				1	r	1		1	1
Heterogeneity: $tau^2 = 0.0$	02; chi <sup>2</sup>	= 15.74,	df = 10 (	<i>p</i> = 0.11	); $I^2 = 36\%$	6 0.0	.01	0.1	1	10	100
Test for overall effect: $Z$	= 6.35	(p < 0.00)	0001)					Favors [DM]	Fav	ors [non-D	M]
						(b)		-			

Mortality	Dia	betic	Non	diabetic		Odds ratio	Odds ratio
Study or subgroup	Events	s Total	Event	s Total	Weight	M-H, fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, fixed, 95% Cl
Martínez-Huedo 2013	87	40519	444	332612	78.1%	1.61 [1.28, 2.03]	
Martínez-Huedo 2017	51	37417	27	37417	21.9%	1.89 [1.19, 3.01]	
Total (95% Cl)		77936		370029	100.0%	1.67 [1.36, 2.05]	•
Total events	138		471				
Heterogeneity: $chi^2 = 0$	0.37, df	= 1 ( <i>p</i> =	$0.54); I^2$	$^{2} = 0\%$		-	05 07 1 15 2
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 4.89	9 ( <i>p</i> < 0.0	00001)				Favors [DM] Favors [non-DM]
						(c)	

FIGURE 3: Forest plots showing the differences of infection and in-hospital mortality between DM patients and non-DM patients. (a) Superficial infection. (b) Deep infection. (c) Mortality.

were included. Notably, uncontrolled factors such as age, sex, comorbidities, DVT, and infection are all correlated with an increased incidence of death [5]. Also, death following total joint arthroplasty of any type is known to be an extremely uncommon event. Therefore, more research is required to investigate the relationship between DM and mortality after arthroplasty.

Surgical site infections are the most common ward infections. Deep infection around the prosthesis is one of the most serious orthopedic complications for patients and can result in many adverse effects, increased rehospitalization rates, and mortality [5, 60, 61]. Our study indicated that both superficial and deep infection appeared more frequently in DM patients, indicating that patients with DM have worse immunity. Previous research focusing on the relationship between DM and the immune system mentioned that long-term hyperglycemia had an adverse influence on the immune system due to impaired leukocyte function, which increased the risk of perioperative superficial and deep tissue infections [62]. Therefore, the rational use of antibiotics and stricter aseptic working in the perioperative period for patients with DM are essential. Additionally, an observational study by Agos et al. [63] demonstrated that controlling hyperglycemia in the perioperative period could reduce the rate of infection in patients undergoing THA and TKA. Surgeons must closely monitor glucose excursions in the perioperative period to minimize the adverse reactions caused by DM [64].



FIGURE 4: Forest plots showing the subgroup analysis of DVT.



FIGURE 5: Funnel plot based on the deep infection evaluating publication bias.

#### 5. Limitations

There were some limitations to this study. Firstly, the analysis of HTN had significant heterogeneity despite the use of sensitivity analysis. Secondly, DM was commonly associated with several comorbidities, which also impact postoperative outcomes after lower extremity arthroplasty. Therefore, the potential influence of these factors may have exaggerated our results. Thirdly, the different types of DM (T1DM and T2DM) among studies and the combination of secondary forms (IDDM and NIDDM) into the DM group may have introduced some bias. Lastly, literature published in languages other than English were excluded, which may lead to inevitable publication bias. Despite these limitations, this meta-analysis was based on comparable characteristics between DM groups and non-DM groups, and the results should be verifiable.

#### 6. Conclusion

DM patients were found to have more comorbidities than non-DM patients. Moreover, DM had adverse influences on patient outcomes after primary total lower extremity arthroplasty, specifically with higher risks of DVT, mortality, and superficial and deep infection. This information is useful when informing DM patients about the risk of lower extremity arthroplasty and advising patients to receive DM management during the perioperative period.

#### **Ethical Approval**

This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

#### **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

#### **Authors' Contributions**

WQ and XH collected the data, performed the analysis, participated in the study design and analysis, and draft the manuscript. HY participated in the study design, supervised the analysis, and review the manuscript. MS designed the study, supervised the whole study process, and helped to draft and review the manuscript. Wanjin Qin and Xiaoxiong Huang are co-first authors.

#### References

[1] S. Kurtz, K. Ong, E. Lau, F. Mowat, and M. Halpern, "Projections of primary and revision hip and knee arthroplasty in the United States from 2005 to 2030," *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery*, vol. 89, no. 4, pp. 780–785, 2007.

- [2] H. Maradit Kremers, D. R. Larson, C. S. Crowson et al., "Prevalence of Total hip and knee replacement in the United States," *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery. American Volume*, vol. 97, no. 17, pp. 1386–1397, 2015.
- [3] S. S. Liu, A. G. Della Valle, M. C. Besculides, L. K. Gaber, and S. G. Memtsoudis, "Trends in mortality, complications, and demographics for primary hip arthroplasty in the United States," *International orthopaedics*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 643– 651, 2009.
- [4] S. G. Memtsoudis, A. G. Della Valle, M. C. Besculides, L. Gaber, and R. Laskin, "Trends in demographics, comorbidity profiles, in-hospital complications and mortality associated with primary knee arthroplasty," *The Journal of Arthroplasty*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 518–527, 2009.
- [5] M. P. Bolognesi, M. H. Marchant Jr., N. A. Viens, C. Cook, R. Pietrobon, and T. P. Vail, "The impact of diabetes on perioperative patient outcomes after total hip and total knee arthroplasty in the United States," *The Journal of Arthroplasty*, vol. 23, no. 6, pp. 92–98, 2008.
- [6] P. M. Courtney, A. J. Boniello, and R. A. Berger, "Complications following outpatient total joint arthroplasty: an analysis of a national database," *The Journal of arthroplasty*, vol. 32, no. 5, pp. 1426–1430, 2017.
- [7] M. E. Menendez, S. G. Memtsoudis, M. Opperer, F. Boettner, and A. G. Della Valle, "A nationwide analysis of risk factors for in-hospital myocardial infarction after total joint arthroplasty," *International Orthopaedics*, vol. 39, no. 4, pp. 777– 786, 2015.
- [8] I. H. Park, S. C. Lee, I. S. Park et al., "Asymptomatic peripheral vascular disease in total knee arthroplasty: preoperative prevalence and risk factors," *Journal of Orthopaedics and Traumatology*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 23–26, 2015.
- [9] Z. Yang, H. Liu, X. Xie, Z. Tan, T. Qin, and P. Kang, "The influence of diabetes mellitus on the post-operative outcome of elective primary total knee replacement: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *The bone & joint journal*, vol. 96-B, no. 12, pp. 1637–1643, 2014.
- [10] S. T. Tsang and P. Gaston, "Adverse peri-operative outcomes following elective total hip replacement in diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies," *The bone & joint journal*, vol. 95-B, no. 11, pp. 1474–1479, 2013.
- [11] A. Stang, "Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses," *European Journal of Epidemiology*, vol. 25, no. 9, pp. 603–605, 2010.
- [12] M. P. ML, S. Kripalani, N. B. Peterson et al., "Closing the quality gap: revisiting the state of the science (vol. 3: quality improvement interventions to address health disparities)," *Evidence report/technology assessment*, vol. 208.3, pp. 1–475, 2012.
- [13] J. P. T. Higgins and S. Green, Cochrane Collaboration. (2008) Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions, Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester, England; Hoboken, NJ, 2008.
- [14] A. L. Adams, E. W. Paxton, J. Q. Wang et al., "Surgical outcomes of total knee replacement according to diabetes status and glycemic control, 2001 to 2009," *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery. American Volume*, vol. 95, no. 6, pp. 481– 487, 2013.

- [15] N. Amusat, L. Beaupre, G. S. Jhangri et al., "Diabetes that impacts on routine activities predicts slower recovery after total knee arthroplasty: an observational study," *Journal of Physiotherapy*, vol. 60, no. 4, pp. 217–223, 2014.
- [16] P. F. Choong, M. M. Dowsey, D. Carr, J. Daffy, and P. Stanley, "Risk factors associated with acute hip prosthetic joint infections and outcome of treatment with a rifampinbased regimen," *Acta Orthopaedica*, vol. 78, no. 6, pp. 755– 765, 2009.
- [17] Y. S. Chun, S. H. Lee, S. H. Lee, Y. J. Cho, and K. H. Rhyu, "Clinical implication of diabetes mellitus in primary total hip arthroplasty," *Hip & pelvis*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 136–142, 2014.
- [18] N. D. Clement, D. MacDonald, R. Burnett, and S. J. Breusch, "Diabetes does not influence the early outcome of total knee replacement: a prospective study assessing the Oxford knee score, short form 12, and patient satisfaction," *The Knee*, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 437–441, 2013.
- [19] J. Cordero-Ampuero and M. de Dios, "What are the risk factors for infection in hemiarthroplasties and total hip arthroplasties?," *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*, vol. 468, no. 12, pp. 3268–3277, 2010.
- [20] I. Duensing, M. B. Anderson, H. D. Meeks, K. Curtin, and J. M. Gililland, "Patients with type-1 diabetes are at greater risk of periprosthetic joint infection: a population-based, retrospective, cohort study," *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery. American Volume*, vol. 101, no. 20, pp. 1860–1867, 2019.
- [21] F. R. Falcao, B. A. Dias, L. A. Wolfovitch, and D. Sadigursky, "Total hip arthroplasty complications in patients with or without controlled diabetes mellitus during hospitalization," *Revista Brasileira de Ortopedia*, vol. 51, no. 5, pp. 589–596, 2016.
- [22] R. Iorio, K. M. Williams, A. J. Marcantonio, L. M. Specht, J. F. Tilzey, and W. L. Healy, "Diabetes mellitus, hemoglobin A1C, and the incidence of total joint arthroplasty infection," *The Journal of Arthroplasty*, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 726–729.e1, 2012, e721.
- [23] E. Jamsen, P. Nevalainen, A. Eskelinen, K. Huotari, J. Kalliovalkama, and T. Moilanen, "Obesity, diabetes, and preoperative hyperglycemia as predictors of periprosthetic joint infection: a single-center analysis of 7181 primary hip and knee replacements for osteoarthritis," *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery. American Volume*, vol. 94, no. 14, article e101, 2012.
- [24] F. Lovecchio, M. Beal, M. Kwasny, and D. Manning, "Do patients with insulin-dependent and noninsulin-dependent diabetes have different risks for complications after arthroplasty?," *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*, vol. 472, no. 11, pp. 3570–3575, 2014.
- [25] H. Maradit Kremers, C. D. Schleck, E. A. Lewallen, D. R. Larson, A. J. Van Wijnen, and D. G. Lewallen, "Diabetes mellitus and hyperglycemia and the risk of aseptic loosening in total joint arthroplasty," *The Journal of Arthroplasty*, vol. 32, no. 9, pp. S251–S253, 2017.
- [26] M. A. Martinez-Huedo, R. Jimenez-Garcia, I. Jimenez-Trujillo, V. Hernandez-Barrera, L. B. Del Rio, and A. Lopez-de-Andres, "Effect of type 2 diabetes on in-hospital postoperative complications and mortality after primary Total hip and knee arthroplasty," *The Journal of Arthroplasty*, vol. 32, no. 12, pp. 3729– 3734.e2, 2017.
- [27] M. A. Martinez-Huedo, M. Villanueva, A. L. de Andres et al., "Trends 2001 to 2008 in incidence and immediate postoperative outcomes for major joint replacement among Spanish

adults suffering diabetes," *European Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery and Traumatology*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 53–59, 2013.

- [28] J. B. Meding, K. Reddleman, M. E. Keating et al., "Total knee replacement in patients with diabetes mellitus," *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*<sup>®</sup>, vol. 416, pp. 208–216, 2003.
- [29] H. K. Moon, C. D. Han, I. H. Yang, and B. S. Cha, "Factors affecting outcome after total knee arthroplasty in patients with diabetes mellitus," *Yonsei Medical Journal*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 129–137, 2008.
- [30] R. S. Namba, M. C. Inacio, and E. W. Paxton, "Risk factors associated with deep surgical site infections after primary total knee arthroplasty: an analysis of 56,216 knees," *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery. American Volume*, vol. 95, no. 9, pp. 775–782, 2013.
- [31] G. Suzuki, S. Saito, T. Ishii, S. Motojima, Y. Tokuhashi, and J. Ryu, "Previous fracture surgery is a major risk factor of infection after total knee arthroplasty," *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy*, vol. 19, no. 12, pp. 2040–2044, 2011.
- [32] B. J. X. Teo, H. C. Chong, W. Yeo, and A. H. C. Tan, "The impact of diabetes on patient outcomes after total knee arthroplasty in an Asian population," *The Journal of Arthroplasty*, vol. 33, no. 10, pp. 3186–3189, 2018.
- [33] S. Wang and Y. Zhao, "Diabetes mellitus and the incidence of deep vein thrombosis after total knee arthroplasty: a retrospective study," *The Journal of Arthroplasty*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 595– 597, 2013.
- [34] M. L. Webb, N. S. Golinvaux, I. K. Ibe, P. Bovonratwet, M. S. Ellman, and J. N. Grauer, "Comparison of perioperative adverse event rates after total knee arthroplasty in patients with diabetes: insulin dependence makes a difference," *The Journal of Arthroplasty*, vol. 32, no. 10, pp. 2947–2951, 2017.
- [35] Z. Zhao, S. Wang, W. Ma et al., "Diabetes mellitus increases the incidence of deep vein thrombosis after total knee arthroplasty," *Archives of Orthopaedic and Trauma Surgery*, vol. 134, no. 1, pp. 79–83, 2014.
- [36] D. K. Bae, S. J. Song, M. J. Park, J. H. Eoh, J. H. Song, and C. H. Park, "Twenty-year survival analysis in total knee arthroplasty by a single surgeon," *The Journal of Arthroplasty*, vol. 27, no. 7, pp. 1297–1304.e1, 2012, e1291.
- [37] R. B. Bourne, "Measuring tools for functional outcomes in total knee arthroplasty," *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*, vol. 466, no. 11, pp. 2634–2638, 2008.
- [38] Z. H. Dailiana, I. Papakostidou, S. Varitimidis et al., "Patient-reported quality of life after primary major joint arthroplasty: a prospective comparison of hip and knee arthroplasty," *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders*, vol. 16, no. 1, p. 366, 2015.
- [39] K. T. Makela, M. Matilainen, P. Pulkkinen et al., "Failure rate of cemented and uncemented total hip replacements: register study of combined Nordic database of four nations," *BMJ*, vol. 348, no. jan13 12, p. f7592, 2014.
- [40] D. K. Wukich, A. Joseph, M. Ryan, C. Ramirez, and J. J. Irrgang, "Outcomes of ankle fractures in patients with uncomplicated versus complicated diabetes," *Foot & Ankle International*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 120–130, 2011.
- [41] H. Luijks, T. Schermer, H. Bor et al., "Prevalence and incidence density rates of chronic comorbidity in type 2 diabetes patients: an exploratory cohort study," *BMC Medicine*, vol. 10, no. 1, p. 128, 2012.

- [42] S. L. Maddigan, D. H. Feeny, and J. A. Johnson, "Healthrelated quality of life deficits associated with diabetes and comorbidities in a Canadian National Population Health Survey," *Quality of Life Research*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 1311–1320, 2005.
- [43] M. O'Shea, M. Teeling, and K. Bennett, "The prevalence and ingredient cost of chronic comorbidity in the Irish elderly population with medication treated type 2 diabetes: a retrospective cross-sectional study using a national pharmacy claims database," *BMC Health Services Research*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 23, 2013.
- [44] H. Rosolova, B. Petrlova, J. Simon, P. Sifalda, I. Sipova, and F. Sefrna, "Macrovascular and microvascular complications in type 2 diabetes patients," *Vnitřní Lékařství*, vol. 54, no. 3, pp. 229–237, 2008.
- [45] P. Ivanov, "Use of glycated (glycosylated) haemoglobin in the diagnosis of diabetes mellitus in the United Kingdom. The implementation of World Health Organization guidance 2011," Annals of Clinical Biochemistry, vol. 50, no. 3, p. 287, 2013.
- [46] G. Yang, F. Meng, Y. Liu, L. Kong, and Y. Shen, "Diabetes mellitus and risk of deep vein thrombosis after total knee replacement: a meta-analysis of cohort studies," *International Journal* of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 9086– 9092, 2015.
- [47] K. Asplund, E. Hagg, C. Helmers, F. Lithner, T. Strand, and P. O. Wester, "The natural history of stroke in diabetic patients," *Acta Medica Scandinavica*, vol. 207, no. 1-6, pp. 417-424, 1980.
- [48] R. Gandhi, F. Razak, P. Tso, J. R. Davey, and N. N. Mahomed, "Metabolic syndrome and the incidence of symptomatic deep vein thrombosis following total knee arthroplasty," *The Journal of Rheumatology*, vol. 36, no. 10, pp. 2298–2301, 2009.
- [49] J. O. Partamian and R. F. Bradley, "Acute myocardial infarction in 258 cases of diabetes," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 273, no. 9, pp. 455–461, 1965.
- [50] K. L. Wong, R. Daguman, K. Lim, L. Shen, and K. Lingaraj, "Incidence of deep vein thrombosis following total hip arthroplasty: a Doppler ultrasonographic study," *Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery (Hong Kong)*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 50– 53, 2011.
- [51] J. A. Colwell and R. W. Nesto, "The platelet in diabetes: focus on prevention of ischemic events," *Diabetes Care*, vol. 26, no. 7, pp. 2181–2188, 2003.
- [52] J. A. Heit, C. L. Leibson, A. A. Ashrani, T. M. Petterson, K. R. Bailey, and L. J. Melton III, "Is diabetes mellitus an independent risk factor for venous thromboembolism?: a populationbased case-control study," *Arteriosclerosis, thrombosis, and vascular biology*, vol. 29, no. 9, pp. 1399–1405, 2009.
- [53] A. I. Vinik, T. Erbas, T. S. Park, R. Nolan, and G. L. Pittenger, "Platelet dysfunction in type 2 diabetes," *Diabetes Care*, vol. 24, no. 8, pp. 1476–1485, 2001.
- [54] E. W. Jones and J. R. Mitchell, "Venous thrombosis in diabetes mellitus," *Diabetologia*, vol. 25, no. 6, pp. 502–505, 1983.
- [55] C. J. Wang, J. W. Wang, L. M. Chen, H. S. Chen, B. Y. Yang, and S. M. Cheng, "Deep vein thrombosis after total knee arthroplasty," *Journal of the Formosan Medical Association*, vol. 99, no. 11, pp. 848–853, 2000.
- [56] S. Fujita, S. Hirota, T. Oda, Y. Kato, Y. Tsukamoto, and T. Fuji, "Deep venous thrombosis after total hip or total knee arthroplasty in patients in Japan," *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*<sup>®</sup>, vol. 375, pp. 168–174, 2000.

- [57] J. Miao, G. Naik, S. Muddana et al., "An uncommon case of lower limb deep vein thrombosis with multiple etiological causes," *The American journal of case reports*, vol. 18, pp. 313–316, 2017.
- [58] T. Bhattacharyya, R. Iorio, and W. L. Healy, "Rate of and risk factors for acute inpatient mortality after orthopaedic surgery," *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery. American Volume*, vol. 84, no. 4, pp. 562–572, 2002.
- [59] J. Chrastil, M. B. Anderson, V. Stevens, R. Anand, C. L. Peters, and C. E. Pelt, "Is hemoglobin A1c or perioperative hyperglycemia predictive of periprosthetic joint infection or death following primary total joint arthroplasty?," *The Journal of Arthroplasty*, vol. 30, no. 7, pp. 1197–1202, 2015.
- [60] K. B. Kirkland, J. P. Briggs, S. L. Trivette, W. E. Wilkinson, and D. J. Sexton, "The impact of surgical-site infections in the 1990s: attributable mortality, excess length of hospitalization, and extra costs," *Infection control and hospital epidemiology*, vol. 20, no. 11, pp. 725–730, 1999.
- [61] S. M. Kurtz, E. Lau, J. Schmier, K. L. Ong, K. Zhao, and J. Parvizi, "Infection burden for hip and knee arthroplasty in the United States," *The Journal of Arthroplasty*, vol. 23, no. 7, pp. 984–991, 2008.
- [62] M. Turina, D. E. Fry, and H. C. Polk Jr., "Acute hyperglycemia and the innate immune system: clinical, cellular, and molecular aspects," *Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 33, no. 7, pp. 1624– 1633, 2005.
- [63] F. Agos, C. Shoda, and D. Bransford, "Part II: managing perioperative hyperglycemia in total hip and knee replacement surgeries," *The Nursing Clinics of North America*, vol. 49, no. 3, pp. 299–308, 2014.
- [64] F. Akiboye and G. Rayman, "Management of hyperglycemia and diabetes in orthopedic surgery," *Current Diabetes Reports*, vol. 17, no. 2, p. 13, 2017.