

Research Article

The Effect of Different Treatment Regimens and Multiple Risk Factors on Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes among Syphilis-Seropositive Women in Guangzhou: A Retrospective Cohort Study

Fang Hu,¹ Shuai-Jun Guo,^{2,3} Jian-Jun Lu,^{4,5} Sui Zhu,⁶ Ning-Xuan Hua,¹ Yan-Yan Song,¹ Jing-Jing Liang,¹ Jia Yu,⁷ and Sui-Fang Lin ¹

¹Department of Child Health, Guangzhou Women and Children's Medical Center, Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

²Centre for Community Child Health, Murdoch Children's Research Institute, Royal Children's Hospital, 3052 Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

³Department of Pediatrics, University of Melbourne, 3010 Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

⁴*The Second School of Clinical Medicine, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China*

⁵Department of Medical Affairs, The First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

⁶Department of Medical Statistics, School of Medicine, Jinan University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

⁷Department of Woman Health, Guangzhou Women and Children's Medical Center, Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Sui-Fang Lin; suifanglin@163.com

Received 9 February 2020; Revised 23 March 2020; Accepted 7 April 2020; Published 5 May 2020

Academic Editor: Luenda Charles

Copyright © 2020 Fang Hu et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background. To eliminate mother-to-child transmission of syphilis, the Chinese government recommends a treatment regimen that slightly differs from the World Health Organization- (WHO-) recommended treatment. However, little is known about their difference in efficacy. This study is aimed at comparing the effect of China-recommended and WHO-recommend treatment regimens on adverse pregnancy outcomes (APOs) and at examining associated risk factors of APOs among syphilis-seropositive women. Methods. Using the syphilis registry data, we retrospectively collected data from 4488 syphilis-infected pregnant women in Guangzhou during 2011-2018. Multivariate analyses were used to investigate the association between treatment regimens and APOs (ectopic pregnancy, spontaneous abortion, stillbirth, preterm birth or low birth weight, newborn smaller than gestational age, congenital syphilis, and infant death) and the association between risk factors and APOs. Results. Of 3474 participants, 27.3% had at least one APO. Compared to those receiving WHO-recommended treatment, women who received Chinarecommended treatment were less likely to have APOs (odds ratio (OR) 0.47, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.38-0.57), whereas those who received no treatment had 1.6 times higher odds of experiencing APOs. One common risk factor across different APOs was high levels of log₂-transformed toluidine red unheated serum test (TRUST) titers before treatment (OR 1.14, 95% CI 1.10-1.19). China-recommended treatment was effective in reducing APOs for those with TRUST \geq 1 : 8 (OR 0.21, 95% CI 0.14-0.29) and those with TRUST < 1 : 8 (OR 0.62, 95% CI 0.50-0.77). Conclusions. Syphilis-seropositive women receiving Chinarecommended treatment had lower odds of APOs, especially when TRUST titers before treatment were high. Findings can be used to guide health professionals to reduce APOs among syphilis-infected mothers and promote nationwide use of Chinarecommended treatment.

1. Introduction

Maternal syphilis is a significant public health concern, and elimination of congenital syphilis (CS) is one of the Millennium Development Goals [1]. Estimates show that global maternal syphilis prevalence was 0.69% in 2016 [2]. Mother-to-child transmission (MTCT) of syphilis can occur at any stage of the disease and at any gestational age [3]. Pregnant women with syphilis are at higher risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes (APOs) if they receive no treatment or inadequate treatment [3]. These APOs include miscarriage, stillbirth, preterm birth, LBW, neonatal death, and CS [4].

Currently, benzathine penicillin G (BPG) treatment is widely used as the most effective antenatal care intervention for maternal syphilis, particularly in the first two trimesters [4]. But, guidelines for BPG use differ across countries (Table 1) and mainly depended on the country-specific healthcare systems and contexts. In addition, due to the shortage of penicillin or penicillin allergy, some countries use alternatives to penicillin treatment [5]. The World Health Organization (WHO) [1] and the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) [6] recommend one to three doses of 2.4 million International Units (IU) of BPG at least 30 days before delivery depending on the stages of syphilis, with the efficacy of this therapy being well documented. The British guideline suggests using BPG as a first-line drug and ceftriaxone as an alternative for pregnant women who are allergic to penicillin [7]. The Chinese Maternal and Child Health Services Division of the National Health Commission recommends two courses of penicillin in the first and third trimesters for all pregnant women infected with syphilis, regardless of the stage of syphilis [8, 9].

Despite the efficacy of the WHO-recommended treatment regimen (i.e., one course of treatment), little is known about how it compares to China-recommended treatment in clinical practice. Some argue that China's two courses of treatment seem to be redundant in clinical practice. Hong et al. found that the CS incidence was similar (adjusted OR 1.74, 95% CI 0.37-8.26) between pregnant women treated with two courses of BPG and those treated with one course [4]. Similarly, Liu et al. found that syphilis-infected pregnant women treated with one or two courses of penicillin had a similar risk of APOs (adjusted risk ratio 1.36, 95% CI 0.94-1.96) [10]. These two studies have limitations such as investigating only one rare outcome (i.e., CS) or using a small sample size. Conversely, there is evidence suggesting that adequate treatment according to China's recommended regimen is more effective (adjusted OR 0.3, 95% CI 0.1-0.7) in reducing the risk of APOs for syphilis-infected women compared to inadequate treatment (i.e., not receiving standardized treatment in line with the recommendation of China) [11]. The inconsistent evidence makes the practice challenging to adopt a treatment regimen for syphilis-infected pregnant women at the national level. Given the public health priority of syphilis treatment in China, it is necessary to provide empirical evidence on the effectiveness of Chinarecommended treatment compared to internationally established guidelines. Such evidence will serve to establish

a standard treatment regimen for government and clinicians and help to increase treatment compliance among syphilisinfected mothers. Furthermore, limited evidence is available regarding the efficacy of alternative antibiotic medications to penicillin for syphilis-infected pregnant women and the fetus [12, 13].

The developmental origins of health and disease suggest that multiple early factors affect one's health and susceptibility to disease over the life course [14]. Given this context, the large spectrum of APOs beyond the relatively rare outcome of CS should be considered. These include prematurity, LBW, and small for gestational age (SGA). It is also important to investigate other risk factors associated with various APOs in pregnant women with syphilis. These include maternal education, marital status, history of previous infection with syphilis, and syphilis infection status of sexual partner [15]. The effects of these multiple risk factors together with treatment regimens on APOs among pregnant women with syphilis remain unclear.

The primary aim of this present study was to compare the efficacy of different treatment regimens in reducing multiple APOs among pregnant Chinese women with syphilis. We hypothesized that the China-recommended treatment regimen (i.e., two courses) was more efficacious than the WHO-recommended regimen (i.e., one course). A secondary aim was to examine the multiple risk factors associated with multiple APOs in this population.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants and Study Design. This retrospective cohort study included all syphilis-infected pregnant women registered in China's Information Management System for Prevention of Mother-to-Child Transmission of Syphilis in Guangzhou between July 2011 and June 2018. Pregnant women were screened for antibodies against Treponema pallidum using Treponema pallidum particle agglutination test (TPPA) at their first antenatal care visit. If this test was positive, the woman was then tested with toluidine red unheated serum test (TRUST). Maternal syphilis was diagnosed by positive TPPA and TRUST. Doctors assessed maternal clinical stages of syphilis (primary, secondary, early latent, or late latent) based on their clinical symptoms and duration of infection and referred them to designated infectious disease and dermatology departments in general hospitals to receive free treatment and testing throughout pregnancy until delivery.

Exclusion criteria included women who (1) were coinfected with HIV, (2) elected to terminate their pregnancy, (3) had a twin or multiple pregnancies, (4) left Guangzhou before delivery, and (5) had incomplete information. Infants born to mothers with syphilis were followed up every 3 months from birth to 18 months, until the diagnosis of CS was excluded or confirmed.

The study was conducted in accordance with China's guidelines. Pregnant women with syphilis infection signed informed consent before being referred to designated institutions for free treatment and regular follow-up. The database downloaded from the national system was anonymous. Only

Country, year Therapy regimens Primary, secondary, or early latent <1 year.		TABLE 1: Different tr	eatment regimens for pregnant women with syphilis prescribed by WHO, USA, U	ζ, and China.
WHO. 2003 [1]; Recommended regimens Bernathine penticilin of 2.4 million units intramuscular Bernathine penticilin of 7.2 million units intramuscular Bernathine penticilin of 7.2 million units intramuscular USA, 2015 [6] If with penicilin allergy Pregnant women who are allergic to penicilin should be Bernathine penicilin allergy Reach all veck intervals. VK, 2015 [7] Recommended regimens Bernathine penicilin G 4.4 million units IM single does in the first and a 1-week intervals. Bernathine penicilin G 7.4 million units IM weekly on days 1.8, and 15 (three does) units IM single does in the first and been and transfers. Wend the gene allor of 0.4 million units IM weekly on days 1.8, and 15 (three does) units IM should be gene after a scool does of bernathine penicilin G 2.4 million Dentation units IM should be gene after an exclamate a scool does of bernathine penicilin G 2.4 million units IM should be gene after an exclamate and the first and been after and be and and be accelerated and the first and be accelerat	Country, year	Therapy regimens	Primary, secondary, or early latent < 1 year	Latent > 1 year, latent of unknown duration
Tr with penicilin allergy Pregnant women who are allergic to penicilin should be descrinted and treated with penicilin Recommended regimens Berarathine penicilin G 24 million units IM single does in the first and second timesters. A second does of treated with penicilin G 24 million UK, 2015 [7] Berarathine penicilin G 24 million units IM single does in the first and second timesters. A second does of treated with penicilin G 24 million UK, 2015 [7] (1) Procaine penicilin G 24 million UK, 2015 [7] (1) Procaine penicilin G 44 million Alternative treatments (1) Procaine penicilin G 44 million UK, 2015 [7] (1) Procaine penicilin G 44 million Alternative treatments (2) Amoxicilin J grans (mg) orally for 10 days (1) Procaine penicilin G 44 million (1) Procaine penicilin G 400.000 units IM (2) Admovicilin G 44 million (1) Procaine penicilin G 44 million (2) Admovicilin G 44 million (1) Procaine penicilin G 44 million (3) Admovici 500 mg orally dats for 10 days (2) Admovicilin G 44 million (4) Alternative treatments (5) Admovici 60 mg orally dats for 10 days (5) Admovici 500 mg orally dats for 10 days (3) Admovici 44 million (4) Alternative treatments (5) Admovici 60 mg orally dats for 10 days (5) Admovici 60 mg orally dats for 10 days (3) Admovici 70	WHO, 2003 [1];	Recommended regimens	Benzathine penicillin G 2.4 million units intramuscular (IM) in a single dose	Benzathine penicillin G 7.2 million units total, administered as 3 doses of 2.4 million units IM each at 1-week intervals
Benzathine penicilin (2.4 million units IM single dose in the first and second timesters. When maternal treatment is initiated in the third trimesters. When maternal treatment is initiated in the second timesters. When maternal treatment is initiated in the methy on days I, 8, and 15 (three doses) units IM should be given after on week (day 8) Benzathine penicilin (2.4 million units IM week) on days I, 8, and 15 (three doses) UK, 2015 [7] Data Alternative treatments (1) Procaine penicilin G 60000 units IM. Data of a days (d.4.5) plus probenecid 500 mg orally dats for 14 days (d.4.5) plus probenecid 500 mg orally dats for 14 days (d.4.5) plus probenecid 500 mg orally dats for 14 days (d.4.5) plus probenecid 500 mg orally dats for 14 days (d.4.5) plus probenecid 500 mg orally dats for 14 days (d.4.5) plus probenecid 500 mg orally dats for 14 days (d.4.5) plus probenecid 500 mg (d.4.5) plus probenecid 500 mg (d.5) plus probene	(0) CU15 (0)	If with penicillin allergy	Pregnant women who are allergic to penicillin should be desensitized and treated with penicillin	
UK, 2015 [7] Differentiation (1) Procaine penicillin G 600,000 units Differentiative treatments (1) Procaine penicillin C 600,000 units (1) Procaine penicillin G 600,000 units Alternative treatments (2) Amoxicillin S 00 milgrams (mg) orally four times a day (q.d.s.) plus probenee(d 500 mg orally q.d.s. for 14 days (3) Critrixsone 500 mg Md aily for 10 days (3) Critrixsone 500 mg Md aily for 10 days (4) Erythromycin 500 mg orally day for 10 days (5) Arithromycin 500 mg orally day for 10 days (6) Erythromycin 500 mg orally day for 10 days (1) Erythromycin 500 mg orally day for 10 days (1) Two courses of treatment were given during pregnancy, and the interval (1) Forcaine penicillin G 2.4 million units IM weekly, 3 doses for 1 course (1) Brarathine penicillin G 2.4 million units IM weekly, 3 doses for 1 course (2) Procaine penicillin G 0.8 million units IM weekly, 3 doses for 1 course (2) Procaine penicillin G 0.8 million units IM weekly, 3 doses for 1 course (2) Forcaine penicillin G 0.8 million units IM weekly, 3 doses for 1 course (3) Critrixacourse of treatment were given during pregnancy, with the interval hetween the two course was more than 2 weeks (2) Erythromycin 500 mg 4 times day orally, 15-30 days for 1 course (3) Erythromycin 500 mg 4 times day orally, 15-30 days for 1 course (3) Erythromycin 500 mg 4 times day orally, 15-30 days for 1 course (4) Forcements (4) Forgrant wornen were given the above element were given the above element were for the 2 weeks (4) Forcement were were the 1 wordered		Recommended regimens	Benzathine penicillin G 2.4 million units IM single dose in the first and second trimesters. When maternal treatment is initiated in the third trimester, a second dose of benzathine penicillin G 2.4 million units IM should be given after one week (day 8)	Benzathine penicillin G 2.4 million units IM weekly on days 1, 8, and 15 (three doses)
(1) Benzathine penicillin G 2.4 million units IM weekly, 3 doses for 1 course (2) Procaine penicillin G 0.8 million units IM daily, 15-20 days for 1 course Recommended regimens (2) Procaine penicillin G 0.8 million units IM daily, 15-20 days for 1 course Recommended regimens (a) One of the above regimens was used China,2011 [9]; (b) Two courses of treatment were given during pregnancy, and the interval between the two courses was more than 2 weeks China,2015 [8] (b) The second course was performed and completed in the third trimester Alternative treatments (1) Ceftriaxone, 1 g daily, intramuscular or intravenous, 10-14 days for 1 course Alternative treatments (1) Ceftriaxone, 1 g daily, intramuscular or intravenous, 10-14 days for 1 course Alternative treatments (1) Ceftriaxone, 1 g daily, intramuscular or intravenous, 10-14 days for 1 course (2) Erythromycin 500 mg, 4 times daily, orally, 15-30 days for 1 course (2) Erythromycin 500 mg, 4 times daily, orally, 15-30 days for 1 course (2) Erythromycin 500 mg, 4 times daily, orally, 15-30 days for 1 course (2) Erythromycin 500 mg, 4 times daily, orally, 15-30 days for 1 course (2) Erythromycin 500 mg, 4 times daily, orally, 15-30 days for 1 course (2) Erythromycin 500 mg, 4 times daily, orally, 15-30 days for 1 course (3) The second courses more than 2 weeks Two courses of treatment given during pregnancy, with the interval between the two courses more than 2 weeks	UK, 2015 [7]	Alternative treatments	 Procaine penicillin G 600,000 units IM. Daily for 10 days A moxicillin 500 milligrams (mg) orally four times a day (q.d.s.) plus probenecid 500 mg orally q.d.s. for 14 days Ceftriaxone 500 mg IM daily for 10 days Erythromycin 500 mg orally q.d.s. for 14 days Azithromycin 500 mg orally daily for 10 days 	 Procaine penicillin G 600,000 units IM once a day for 14 days Amoxicillin 2 grams (g) orally thrice a day (t.d.s.) plus probenecid 500 mg q.d.s. for 28 days
China, 2015 [8] (1) Ceftriaxone, 1 g daily, intramuscular or intravenous, 10-14 days for 1 course (2) Erythromycin 500 mg, 4 times daily, orally, 15-30 days for 1 course Alternative treatments Two courses of treatment given during pregnancy, with the interval between the two courses more than 2 weeks If pregnant women were given the above alternative treatments, they were considered to have received nonstandardized treatment	China,2011 [9];	Recommended regimens	 (1) Benzathine penicillin G 2.4 million units IM weekly, 3 doses for 1 course (2) Procaine penicillin G 0.8 million units IM daily, 15-20 days for 1 course Standardized treatment should meet the three conditions at the same time: (a) One of the above regimens was used (b) Two courses of treatment were given during pregnancy, and the interval between the two courses was more than 2 weeks (3) The second course was performed and completed in the third trimester 	
	China, 2015 [8]	Alternative treatments	 Ceftriaxone, 1 g daily, intramuscular or intravenous, 10-14 days for 1 cours Erythromycin 500 mg, 4 times daily, orally, 15-30 days for 1 course Two courses of treatment given during pregnancy, with the interval between the two courses more than 2 weeks If pregnant women were given the above alternative treatments, they were considered to have received nonstandardized treatment 	



FIGURE 1: Sample size flow chart for the study analysis. CS: congenital syphilis; SGA: small for gestational age.

the first and corresponding authors had access to the data. The data analysis and interpretation process excluded pregnant women's personal information (such as phone numbers and identity numbers).

2.2. Treatment of Maternal Syphilis. Participants were divided into four groups according to different treatment regimens completed during pregnancy and maternal syphilis clinical stages: (1) no treatment for those who did not receive any antisyphilis treatment; (2) alternatives to penicillin treatment for those who received treatment for syphilis with ceftriaxone or erythromycin; (3) WHO-recommended treatment for those who received BPG in a single dose who were in early stages of syphilis or received 3 doses of BPG who were in the late stages or latent of unknown duration; pregnant women who did not complete the required 3 doses of BPG were excluded, and they were lost to follow-up before delivery; and (4) China-recommended treatment.

2.3. Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes. APOs included at least one of the following: (1) ectopic pregnancy, defined as fertilized eggs implanted outside the uterine cavity [16]; (2) spontaneous abortion, defined as a spontaneous pregnancy loss at or before 20 weeks of gestation [16]; (3) stillbirth, defined as fetal death occurring after 20 weeks of gestation (or weighing more than 500 grams) and before/during labor (based on a 1-

minute Apgar score of 0 [16]); (4) prematurity or LBW, defined as a live birth before a gestational age of 37 weeks or birth weight less than 2500 grams [16]; (5) SGA, defined as a live infant birth weight of less than the 10th percentile by gestational age and sex (based on the INTERGROWTH-21st Project Standards [17]); (6) infant death, defined as the death of a live-born infant within 1 year of age; and (7) CS, defined as having one of the following situations: (i) neonatal nontreponemal antibody titer more than 4-fold the maternal titer before delivery, (ii) reactive treponemal antibody titer and positive treponemal-specific antibody test, and (iv) positive treponemal-specific antibody test after 18 months of age [8].

2.4. Data Collection. Standardized questionnaires [8] were used to collect data including sociodemographic, clinical, laboratory, treatment, and obstetric factors of syphilis-infected pregnant women. The clinical and obstetric information of syphilis-positive pregnant women included syphilis stages, duration of infection, history of syphilis infections, route of infection, syphilis status of partners, and coinfection with HBV, HIV, Group B streptococci, or other pathogenic microorganisms. Information on their children, which included birth date, gestational age, sex, birth weight, mode of delivery, birth defects, neonatal diseases, and laboratory tests at ages 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, and 18 months, was collected with assistance from medical professionals. The accuracy of all case cards

BioMed Research International

TABLE 2: Comparison o	f demographic and clinical	characteristics between	pregnant women wit	th syphilis who were	included and ex	cluded in
this study (<i>n</i> , %).						

Characteristics	Included subjects ($n = 3474$)	Excluded subjects $(n = 1014)$	t/χ^2 value	<i>p</i> value
Mother's age in years (mean ± SD)	30.65 ± 6.01	29.65 ± 6.40	-4.59	< 0.001
Marital status				
Married	3018 (86.9)	801 (79.0)	a a (a	
Unmarried	456 (13.1)	213 (21.0)	38.42	<0.001
Ethnicity				
Han	3250 (93.6)	917 (90.4)	11.40	0.001
Others	224 (6.5)	97 (9.6)	11.49	0.001
Educational attainment				
Bachelor or above	413 (13.2)	78 (7.7)		
High school	872 (27.8)	272 (26.8)	FF 0 (.0.001
Middle school	1484 (47.3)	463 (45.7)	57.06	<0.001
Primary school or below	367 (11.7)	201 (19.8)		
Employed				
Yes	973 (34.8)	293 (28.9)	11.65	0.001
No	1824 (65.2)	721 (71.1)	11.65	0.001
Migrant resident				
No	1084 (31.2)	182 (18.0)	69.00	<0.001
Yes	2390 (68.8)	832 (82.1)	08.09	<0.001
Primipara				
Yes	1230 (35.4)	508 (50.1)	71.41	<0.001
No	2244 (64.6)	506 (49.9)	/1.41	<0.001
History of APOs*				
No	3202 (92.2)	880 (86.8)	05 (5	0.001
Yes	272 (7.8)	134 (13.2)	27.67	<0.001
History of syphilis infections				
No	2017 (58.1)	672 (66.3)	22.04	.0.001
Yes	1457 (41.9)	342 (33.7)	22.04	<0.001
Maternal syphilis stage				
Latent	2729 (78.6)	743 (73.3)		
Stages I-III	184 (5.3)	55 (5.4)	14.89	0.001
Unknown	561 (16.2)	216 (21.3)		
Current syphilis status (partner)				
Negative	855 (24.6)	192 (28.1)		
Positive	241 (6.9)	59 (8.6)	7.21	0.027
Unknown	2378 (68.5)	433 (63.3)		
Log_2 titers of TRUST before treatment (mean ± SD)	1.74 ± 2.03	1.67 ± 1.70	-0.92	0.358

*APOs included spontaneous abortion, stillbirth, and preterm birth. SD: standard deviation; TRUST: toluidine red unheated serum test.

was evaluated stepwise by district-level and municipal-level staff members who had undergone unified training. Gestational age at birth was expressed as completed weeks and was based on the first- or second-trimester ultrasound. In the absence of a recorded ultrasound result, the last menstrual period was used to calculate gestational age.

2.5. *Statistical Analysis.* Descriptive analyses were used to describe the incidence rate of each APO. To maintain a normal distribution, the titers from maternal TRUST at treat-

ment were transformed using the \log_2 of the reciprocal nontreponemal titer ($\log_2 [1/T]$) [18]. Univariate logistic regression analyses were conducted to identify potential risk factors associated with APOs. Multivariate logistic regression analyses were then conducted to investigate the associations between APOs and potential risk factors. To understand the impact of TRUST titers on pregnancy outcomes, we used the 3rd power simulation smooth line with the highest coefficient of determination (R^2). TRUST results were then divided into high- and low-titer groups, with stratified analysis

Adverse r	pregnancy outcome	es	
Characteristic Total sample $(n = 3474)$ No $(n = 2527)$ Ye	t/χ^2 es $(n = 947)$ t/χ^2	value	<i>p</i> value
Mother's age in years	8.	885	0.012
<i>≤</i> 24 586 (16.9) 398 (15.7) 1	188 (19.9)		
25~34 1922 (55.3) 1408 (55.7) 5	514 (54.3)		
≥35 966 (27.8) 721 (28.5) 2	245 (25.9)		
Marital status	23	.207	< 0.001
Married 3018 (86.9) 2238 (88.6) 7	780 (82.4)		
Unmarried 456 (13.1) 289 (11.4) 1	67 (17.6)		
Ethnicity	1.	923	0.166
Han 3250 (93.6) 2373 (93.9) 8	377 (92.6)		
Others 224 (6.5) 154 (6.1)	70 (7.4)		
Educational attainment	11	.701	0.008
Bachelor or above 413 (13.2) 321 (14.0) 9	92 (10.9)		
High school 872 (27.8) 632 (27.6) 2	240 (28.4)		
Middle school 1484 (47.3) 1092 (47.7) 3	392 (46.4)		
Primary school or below 367 (11.7) 246 (10.7) 1	21 (14.3)		
Employed	1.	148	0.701
Yes 973 (34.8) 712 (34.6) 2	261 (35.4)		
No 1824 (65.2) 1347 (65.4) 4	177 (64.6)		
Migrant resident	0.	285	0.593
No 1084 (31.2) 795 (31.5) 2	289 (30.5)		
Yes 2390 (68.8) 1732 (68.5) 6	558 (69.5)		
Primipara	2.	722	0.099
Yes 1230 (35.4) 874 (34.6) 3	356 (37.6)		
No 2244 (64.6) 1653 (65.4) 5	591 (62.4)		
History of APOs	0.	689	0.406
No 3202 (92.2) 2335 (92.4) 8	867 (91.6)		
Yes 272 (7.8) 192 (7.6)	80 (8.5)		
History of syphilis infections	6.	561	0.010
No 2017 (58.1) 1434 (56.8) 5	583 (61.6)		
Yes 1457 (41.9) 1093 (43.3) 3	364 (38.4)		
Maternal syphilis stage	0.	413	0.814
Latent 2729 (78.6) 1992 (78.8) 7	737 (77.8)		
Stages I-III 184 (5.3) 132 (5.2)	52 (5.5)		
Unknown 561 (16.2) 403 (16.0) 1	158 (16.7)		
Partner's current syphilis status	19	.598	< 0.001
Negative 855 (24.6) 670 (26.5) 1	185 (19.5)		
Positive 241 (6.9) 179 (7.1)	62 (6.6)		
Unknown 2378 (68.5) 1678 (66.4) 7	700 (73.9)		
Gestational week at syphilis diagnosis (mean \pm SD) 18.65 \pm 9.86 18.80 \pm 9.85 18	8.25 ± 9.87 1.	420	0.156
Gestational week at treatment initiation (mean \pm SD) 20.34 \pm 10.13 20.28 \pm 10.05 20	$.54 \pm 10.36$ 0.	497	0.560
Syphilis treatment during pregnancy	18	3.967	< 0.001
WHO-recommended treatment 897 (25.8) 592 (23.4) 3	305 (32.2)		
China-recommended treatment 1897 (54.6) 1541 (61.0) 3	356 (37.6)		
Alternatives to penicillin treatment 100 (2.9) 76 (3.0)	24 (2.5)		
No treatment 580 (16.7) 318 (12.6) 2	262 (27.7)		
Log ₂ titers of TRUST before treatment (mean + SD) $1.74 + 2.03$ $1.53 + 1.73$ 2	.29 ± 2.59 ×	453	< 0.001
Mode of delivery	50	.051	< 0.001

TABLE 3: Demographic and clinical characteristics of pregnant women with syphilis (n, %).

Chamatanistia	Total comple (m. 2474)	Adv	erse pregnancy out	tcomes	
	Total sample $(n = 54/4)$	No (<i>n</i> = 2527)	Yes (<i>n</i> = 947)	t/χ^2 value	<i>p</i> value
Spontaneous labor	1834 (58.4)	1461 (57.8)	373 (60.8)		
Elective Caesarean section	755 (24.0)	665 (26.3)	90 (14.7)		
Emergency Caesarean section	552 (17.6)	401 (15.9)	151 (24.6)		
Gestational age at delivery in weeks (mean \pm SD)	39.12 ± 1.98	39.55 ± 1.19	37.35 ± 3.23	16.618	< 0.001
Birth weight in grams (mean \pm SD)	3146.43 ± 497.40	3294.04 ± 371.66	2538.92 ± 488.47	35.866	< 0.001
Newborn's sex				4.724	0.030
Girl	1427 (45.4)	1124 (44.5)	303 (49.4)		
Boy	1714 (54.6)	1403 (55.5)	311 (50.7)		

*APOs included spontaneous abortion, stillbirth, and preterm birth. CI: confidence interval; SD: standard deviation; APOs: adverse pregnancy outcomes; TRUST: toluidine red unheated serum test.

conducted to further explore the effects of different treatment regimens on APOs. All analyses were conducted using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 20 software package for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). All reported p values are based on a two-sided test with a significance level of $\alpha = 0.05$.

3. Results

We enrolled 3474 out of 4488 pregnant women with syphilis (Figure 1). By the end of the follow-up, more than a quarter of the women (27.3%; n = 947) had at least one APO as follows: ectopic pregnancy (1.8%; n = 61), spontaneous abortion (4.1%; n = 141), stillbirth (3.8%; n = 131), prematurity or LBW (11.1%; n = 385), SGA (9.8%; n = 341), infant death (0.4%; n = 15), and CS (0.6%; n = 22).

3.1. Participants' Demographics and Clinical Characteristics. In the attrition analysis, we found that the study sample had an older age, a higher socioeconomic position, and a higher proportion of no history of APOs (Table 2), than those who were excluded. In our final analysis, 55.3% of the participants were aged 25~34. Around half (54.6%) of the participants received China-recommended treatment, one-quarter (25.8%) received the WHO-recommended treatment, 2.9% received alternatives to penicillin, and 16.7% received no treatment (Table 3).

3.2. Univariate Analysis. Pregnant women were more likely to experience APOs if they were younger, were unmarried, had lower educational attainment, had no history of syphilis infections, and had higher levels of log₂-transformed TRUST titers before treatment (Table 3). Pregnant women experiencing at least one APO were more likely to receive no treatment during pregnancy (27.7%) and less likely to receive the China-recommended treatment regimen (37.6%).

3.3. Multivariate Analysis and Stratified Analysis. Multivariate results showed that women who received Chinarecommended treatment were less likely to have APOs (OR 0.47, 95% CI 0.38-0.57) than those receiving WHOrecommended treatment, whereas the odds of experiencing APOs was elevated by 1.6 times in those with no treatment (95% CI 1.25-2.00). We also found that a high level of \log_2 -transformed TRUST titers before treatment was a common risk factor across different APOs (OR 1.14, 95% CI 1.10-1.19) (Table 4).

According to the best fit model (Figure 2), the coefficient of determination (R^2) was 0.9706. The incidence of adverse pregnancy outcomes increased significantly when the titers of TRUST were higher than 1:8. Based on this cut-off value, we conducted a stratified analysis and found that the Chinarecommended treatment was effective in reducing APOs both for those with TRUST \geq 1 : 8 and for those with TRUST < 1 : 8 (Table 5).

4. Discussion

The present study compared the efficacy of different treatment regimens and examined multiple risk factors associated with multiple APOs for Chinese pregnant women with syphilis. Specifically, we found the following: (1) corresponding to our hypothesis, China-recommended treatment might have a larger effect than WHO-recommended treatment in reducing multiple APOs, while alternatives to penicillin treatment had a similar effect to WHO-recommended treatment; (2) a high level of log₂-transformed TRUST titers before treatment was a common risk factor for multiple APOs, showing that every twofold increase in maternal TRUST titer increased the odds of delivering a newborn who was preterm/LBW or SGA or diagnosed with CS; and (3) syphilis-infected pregnant women who were unmarried, younger than 24 years old, and less educated had higher risks of adverse pregnancy outcomes.

While WHO-recommended treatment has been widely accepted in the international context, we found that the incidence of at least one APO (ectopic pregnancy, spontaneous abortion, stillbirth, and preterm/LBW) among syphilisinfected pregnant women treated with Chinarecommended treatment was lower than among those treated with WHO-recommended treatment. This indicates that an additional course significantly enhances efficacy. Multiple BPG doses may be associated with more favorable pregnancy outcomes by increasing serum concentrations [13]. The U.S. Preventive Services Task Force and CDC also

TABLE 4: Risk factors associated with d	ifferent adverse pregn	ancy outcomes in w	omen with syphilis (mult	ivariate analysis) (odds ratio and its	95% confidence i	nterval).
Characteristic	At least one APO*	Ectopic pregnancy*	Spontaneous abortion [#]	Stillbirth	Preterm/LBW	SGA	CS
Maternal age	0.99(0.98-1.00)	1.08 (1.03-1.14)	1.01 (0.98-1.05)	0.96 (0.93-0.99)	1.00 (0.98-1.02)	0.98 (0.96-1.00)	0.93 (0.85-1.01)
Marital status (ref = married)	1.32 (1.03-1.69)	4.90 (2.50-9.61)	1.87 (1.14-3.07)	$0.85\ (0.48-1.48)$	1.22 (0.86-1.72)	0.81 (0.56-1.19)	0.96 (0.27-3.33)
Educational attainment (ref = bachelor or above)							
High school	1.16 (0.87-1.55)	1.09 (0.37-3.23)	1.86 (0.92-3.73)	1.44 (0.72-2.86)	1.02 (0.68-1.53)	0.93 (0.61-1.42)	$0.35\ (0.09-1.30)$
Middle school	1.06 (0.81-1.39)	0.85 (0.30-2.38)	1.06(0.53-2.10)	1.04(0.53-2.03)	0.99 (0.68-1.44)	1.16 (0.79-1.70)	0.23 (0.06-0.83)
Primary school or below	1.25(0.89-1.76)	$1.51 \ (0.50-4.54)$	0.56(0.23-1.35)	1.34 (0.61-2.96)	1.17 (0.73-1.87)	1.42 (0.88-2.31)	0.58 (0.14-2.41)
History of syphilis infections (ref=no)	1.12(0.94-1.34)	1.13 (0.61-2.09)	1.18 (0.78-1.77)	0.95 (0.63-1.43)	1.29 (1.01-1.66)	0.84 (0.65-1.09)	2.32 (0.89-6.03)
Partner's current syphilis status (ref = negative)							
Positive	1.08 (0.76-1.54)	1.54(0.44-5.41)	0.87 (0.37-2.04)	$0.65\ (0.24-1.74)$	1.20 (0.72-2.01)	0.99 (0.61-1.63)	0.66 (0.06-7.22)
Unknown	1.22 (0.99-1.49)	$1.04 \ (0.46-2.32)$	0.90(0.56-1.46)	1.15 (0.72-1.83)	1.51 (1.12-2.05)	0.92 (0.70-1.22)	1.84(0.50-6.82)
Syphilis treatment during pregnancy (ref = WHO-recommended treatment)							
China-recommended treatment	0.47 (0.38-0.57)	0.03 (0.04-0.21)	0.11 (0.05-0.21)	0.40 (0.26-0.63)	0.52 (0.40-0.69)	0.91 (0.69-1.21)	0.49 (0.15-1.62)
Alternatives to penicillin treatment	0.63(0.37-1.06)	I	I	$0.43 \ (0.10 - 1.81)$	0.84 (0.43-1.62)	1.16 (0.59-2.30)	1.77 (0.20-16.06)
No treatment	1.58(1.25-2.00)	3.59 (1.96-6.57)	3.46 (2.30-5.20)	1.18 (0.74-1.90)	0.97 (0.69-1.38)	0.84 (0.55-1.27)	1.59(0.52-4.88)
Log_2 titers of TRUST before treatment	1.14(1.10-1.19)	0.89 (0.78-1.02)	1.08(1.00-1.16)	1.05 (0.97-1.14)	1.19 (1.13-1.25)	1.10(1.04 - 1.16)	1.34(1.16-1.58)
Mode of delivery (ref = spontaneous labor)							
Elective Caesarean section	I	I	I		0.62 (0.44-0.87)	0.65 (0.47-0.91)	2.34 (0.72-7.58)
Emergency Caesarean section	I	I	I		1.82 (1.38-2.40)	1.29 (0.95-1.74)	1.21(0.38-3.83)
Gestational age at delivery in weeks	I	I	I			I	0.97 (0.78-1.20)
Birth weight in grams	I	I	I			I	1.00(1.00-1.00)
Newborn's sex (ref=girl)	I	ļ	ļ		0.85 (0.67-1.07)	ļ	0.90 (0.36-2.28)
APO: adverse pregnancy outcome; LBW: low birth wei was one. [#] The sample size for alternatives to penicillin	ght; SGA: small for gestat treatment was zero.	ional age; CS: congenit	al syphilis; TRUST: toluidine	: red unheated serum	test. *The sample si	ze for alternatives to	penicillin treatment

BioMed Research International



FIGURE 2: Curve estimation for proportions of adverse pregnancy outcomes in women with syphilis. TRUST: toluidine red unheated serum test.

recommend repeated screening for women at high risk of syphilis, including those living in high-prevalence communities, those living with HIV, those with a history of incarceration or commercial sex work, and those living with an infected partner at 28-32 weeks of gestation and at delivery, and repeated treatment if necessary [12, 19]. Therefore, China's regimen, which recommends repeated treatment with another three doses of BPG, ensures that syphilisinfected pregnant women who require repeated treatment are treated promptly. An alternative explanation could be that the two-course treatment regimen recommended by China can ensure that pregnant women receive treatment as soon as possible (Table 6). However, patients using the one-course treatment recommended by WHO may be treated later than those using two-course treatment recommended by China (Table 6) due to the fact that the WHO regimen lacks a clear time point of treatment initiation [1]. This limitation was addressed by an updated WHO guideline in 2017 [20], emphasizing the importance of initiating treatment in the first trimester. This updated WHO guideline helps to implement the WHO-recommended treatment regimen in the early onset of syphilis infection of pregnant woman in the future. Therefore, the effectiveness of the two-course treatment regimen found in this study may be due to another course of treatment or earlier initiation of treatment. However, the two-course treatment regimen in China may not be applicable to other countries, especially those in shortage of benzathine penicillin.

We did not find that the incidence of CS among women treated with WHO-recommended treatment was significantly different from those treated with China-

recommended treatment, consistent with other findings [4, 10, 11]. One possible reason is the low incidence of CS in this study, and further studies are needed to illustrate. Consistent with previous studies [21, 22], we also found that untreated maternal syphilis remained an important risk factor for several APOs. While syphilis-infected pregnant women in China are recommended to have two courses of penicillin for prevention of MTCT, some patients did not receive standardized antisyphilis treatment due to poor reproductive health knowledge or unfamiliarity with the antenatal care system, to low coverage of health insurance, to fear of discrimination by spouse or medical staffs, or to doctors providing one-course treatment [11, 23]. Further research is needed to explore specific reasons why these pregnant women with syphilis infection do not receive treatment and find possible ways to eliminate barriers, with the aim of improving treatment adherence and reducing APOs.

We extended the evidence in the current literature by examining the effectiveness of alternatives to penicillin in reducing APOs. Alternative therapies used for penicillinallergic individuals are not commonly used during pregnancy because of either transplacental effects (e.g., erythromycin or azithromycin) or adverse fetal effects (e.g., tetracycline and doxycycline) [13]. Ceftriaxone can cross the placental barrier, and the optimal dose and duration of therapy for pregnant women are unknown and may increase the risk of kernicterus in newborns [24]. Although the British guidelines and China's regimen recommend erythromycin, azithromycin, and ceftriaxone as alternative treatments, there is inconsistent evidence that alternative antibiotics are efficacious for the treatment of syphilis during pregnancy [19]. It is widely accepted that penicillin is the optimal drug for the treatment of syphilis. Our findings suggest that alternatives to penicillin treatment have a similar effect on the pregnancy outcomes of syphilis-infected women compared to WHOrecommended treatment, which is consistent with the previous findings of Dou et al. [25]

High titers of nontreponemal antibodies suggest early syphilis, including primary, secondary, and early latent syphilis [26]. We found that every twofold increase in maternal TRUST titer increased the odds for spontaneous abortion and delivering a preterm or LBW, SGA infant, or infant with CS. Two previous studies found a nearly two-fold increase in risk for CS with each doubling of nontreponemal titers [26, 27]. A high titer of nontreponemal antibodies (\geq 1:8) represents significant fetal exposure to *Treponema pallidum* [28]. Our study suggests that China-recommended treatment is effective in reducing APOs both for those with TRUST \geq 1 : 8 and for those with TRUST < 1 : 8, indicating that repeated treatment in the third trimester may be necessary.

The reasons for APOs are likely to be multifactorial. Our study suggests that syphilis-infected women who are unmarried, are younger than 24 years old, and have a low educational level are more likely to experience APOs. Recently, a multifaceted intervention carried out in sub-Saharan Africa suggested that behavior intervention and provision of supplies can lead to more than 95% of women being screened

	At least o	ne APO, n (%)	Ectop	vic pregnancy	Sponta	neous abortion		Stillbirth	Pret	erm/LBW
Treatment regimen	n (%)	Adjusted OR (95% CI) [#]	(%) u	Adjusted OR (95% CI) [#]	(%) u	Adjusted OR (95% CI) [#]	(%) u	Adjusted OR (95% CI) [#]	(%) u	Adjusted OR (95% CI)#
TRUST < 1 : 8 ($N = 2664$)										
WHO-recommended treatment	178 (27.1)	Reference	14 (2.1)	Reference	31 (4.7)	Reference	28 (4.3)	Reference	66 (11.3)	Reference
China-recommended treatment	285 (18.8)	0.61 (0.49-0.77)	0	I	10(0.7)	0.13 (0.06, 0.26)	40 (2.6)	0.56 (0.33, 0.96)	127 (8.7)	0.78 (0.55, 1.11)
Alternatives to penicillin treatment	16 (20.5)	0.73 (0.39-1.37)	1(1.3)	I	0	I	1(1.3)	0.37 (0.05, 2.79)	9 (11.8)	1.16 (0.52, 2.58)
No treatment	159 (38.3)	1.82 (1.37-2.41)	30 (7.2)	3.91 (1.93, 7.94)	49(11.8)	2.83 (1.72, 4.67)	20 (4.8)	1.29 (0.70, 2.39)	46 (14.6)	1.38 (0.89, 2.15)
TRUST ≥ 1 : 8 (N = 810)										
WHO-recommended treatment	127 (52.9)	Reference	8 (3.3)	Reference	12 (5.0)	Reference	21 (8.8)	Reference	66 (33.2)	Reference
China-recommended treatment	71 (18.5)	0.21 (0.14-0.31)	0	I	0	I	5 (1.3)	$0.15\ (0.06,\ 0.43)$	36 (9.5)	0.22 (0.14, 0.36)
Alternatives to penicillin treatment	8 (36.4)	$0.48\ (0.18-1.27)$	0	I	0	I	1 (4.5)	$0.61 \ (0.08, 4.92)$	5 (23.8)	$0.58\ (0.18,\ 1.87)$
No treatment	103 (62.4)	1.37 (0.89-2.12)	8 (4.8)	2.21 (0.70, 6.92)	39 (23.6)	6.36(3.01, 13.47)	15 (9.1)	1.07 (0.51, 2.24)	30 (29.1)	$0.72\ (0.41,\ 1.28)$
[#] Adjusted for age, marital status, education, confidence interval.	history of sypl	nilis infection, and c	urrent syph	illis status of partner	. APO: adver	se pregnancy outcome	e; TRUST: to	oluidine red unheate	d serum test;	OR: odds ratio; CI:

TABLE 5: Odds of APOs among syphilis-seropositive pregnant women with high and low titers of TRUST.

	Characteristics	WHO-recommended treatment $(n = 897)$: China-recommended treatment $(n = 1897)$	Alternatives to penicillin treatment $(n = 100)$	No treatment $(n = 580)$	F/χ^2 value	<i>p</i> value
$\matrix Marriel attrast matrix for a for the form of the f$	Mother's age in years (mean \pm SD)	30.68 ± 6.30	30.65 ± 5.83	30.20 ± 6.58	30.68 ± 6.04	0.199	0.897
Muncial 77.01 77.70 $76.72.01$ $76.62.03$ $31.34.4$ 30.01 Han Han $127.14.3$ $22.02.04.3$ $22.02.03.4$ $12.12.7$ $40.03.3$ $10.01.73.0$ <td>Marital status</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Marital status						
Unmanted 127 (14.2) 222 (10.6) 127 (12.9) 1.44 1.04 (12.9) 1.44 1.04 (12.9) 1.44 1.04 (12.9) 1.44 1.04 (12.9) 1.44 1.04 (12.9) 1.44 1.04 (12.9) 1.44 1.04 (12.9) 1.44 1.04 (12.9) 1.44 1.04 (12.9) 1.44 1.04 (12.9) 1.44 1.04 (12.9) <th< td=""><td>Married</td><td>770 (85.8)</td><td>1695 (89.4)</td><td>77 (77.0)</td><td>476 (82.1)</td><td>7 1 C L C</td><td>100.02</td></th<>	Married	770 (85.8)	1695 (89.4)	77 (77.0)	476 (82.1)	7 1 C L C	100.02
Entinity Entinity $32, 03, 1$ <t< td=""><td>Unmarried</td><td>127 (14.2)</td><td>202 (10.6)</td><td>23 (23.0)</td><td>104 (17.9)</td><td>51.544</td><td>100.0></td></t<>	Unmarried	127 (14.2)	202 (10.6)	23 (23.0)	104 (17.9)	51.544	100.0>
	Ethnicity						
	Han	820 (91.4)	1790 (94.4)	98 (98.0)	542 (93.4)		
	Others	77 (8.6)	107 (5.6)	2 (2.0)	38 (6.6)	12.12/	0.00/
	Educational attainment						
High school22 (38.1)496 (38.3)27 (31.8)125 (52.0) 8.3644 <001 Middle school378 (47.4)120 (6.0)120 (4.1)83 (16.6) <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <001 <td< td=""><td>Bachelor or above</td><td>63 (7.9)</td><td>288 (16.4)</td><td>9 (10.6)</td><td>53 (10.6)</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Bachelor or above	63 (7.9)	288 (16.4)	9 (10.6)	53 (10.6)		
Middle school 378 (47.4) 829 (47.3) 37 (45.5) 240 (47.9) 3.0444 4.000 Pinnary school or below 123 (16.6) 140 (80.0) 12 (14.1) 83 (16.6) 3.341 0.0044 Yes 227 (32.6) 571 (36.2) 571 (36.2) 23 (31.5) 132 (33.7) 3.341 0.315 No 227 (32.6) 571 (36.2) 23 (15.6) 100 (65.3) 23 (65.3) 3.341 0.315 No 227 (32.7) 23 (31.5) 100 (65.3) 23 (53.3) 23 (65.3) 3.341 0.315 No 237 (32.1) 237 (32.1) 23 (32.5) 23 (32.5) 3.341 0.315 No 237 (30.1) 112 (16.3) 73 (40.7) 112 (16.3) 27 (20.0) 127 (27.1) 49 (25.7) 0.41 0.41 No 238 (56.0) 237 (30.1) 127 (23.1) 49 (25.7) 23 (23.9) 43 (72.9) 24 (70.0) 126 (23.1) 126 (23.1) 127 (23.1) 127 (23.1) 128 (23.4) 128 (23.4) 126 (23.1) 128 (23.4) 126 (23.1)	High school	224 (28.1)	496 (28.3)	27 (31.8)	125 (25.0)	FF7 C0	100.07
Primary school or below 12 (16.6) 10 (8.0) 12 (14.1) 83 (16.6) Employed Employed 227 (3.5) 37 (3.6) 132 (3.37) 3.541 0.35 No 277 (3.6) 77 (36.2) 23 (31.5) 132 (33.7) 3.541 0.35 Nigoard resident 227 (3.2.6) 1005 (5.3.8) 0.068.5) 23 (3.1.5) 299 (66.3) 3.541 0.35 Nigoard resident 23 (7.1.) 1211 (6.3.8) 77 (3.0) 127 (7.2.1) 49.725 40.01 No 238 (7.1.1) 1114 (9.3.3) 73 (40.7) 41 (41.0) 157 (57.1) 59 (50.0) 423 (72.9) 59 (50.0) No 239 (38.0) 1124 (9.3.3) 96 (50.0) 43 (75.0) 41 (75.0) <td< td=""><td>Middle school</td><td>378 (47.4)</td><td>829 (47.3)</td><td>37 (43.5)</td><td>240 (47.9)</td><td>80.044</td><td>100.0></td></td<>	Middle school	378 (47.4)	829 (47.3)	37 (43.5)	240 (47.9)	80.044	100.0>
	Primary school or below	132 (16.6)	140(8.0)	12 (14.1)	83 (16.6)		
Yes 227 (3.2.6) 571 (3.6.2) 23 (3.1.5) 152 (3.3.7) 3.541 0.315 No $470 (67.4)$ $005 (6.3.8)$ $30 (8.5.5)$ $20 (66.5)$ $23 (3.2.1)$ 3.541 0.315 No $214 (3.3)$ $686 (3.6.2)$ $27 (270)$ $157 (27.1)$ $497 22$ 0.001 No $234 (7.5)$ $1211 (6.3.8)$ $73 (7.3.0)$ $423 (7.2.9)$ $497 22$ 0.001 Primipan $239 (38.7)$ $1211 (6.3.8)$ $73 (40.7)$ $41 (41.0)$ $157 (27.1)$ $497 22$ 0.001 No $638 (7.1)$ $1124 (93.2)$ $9(91.7)$ $9(91.7)$ $9(91.7)$ $9(91.7)$ $9(91.7)$ $9(91.7)$ $9(91.7)$ $9(91.7)$ $9(91.7)$ $9(7.2)$ $9(91.7)$	Employed						
No 470 (67.4) 1005 (63.3) 50 (68.5) 299 (66.3) 2.37 $1.2.1$ $1.3.1$ Migrant resident 214 (23.9) 683 (76.1) 217 (73.0) 157 (72.1) 49.72 0.01 Yes 833 (76.1) 111 (63.3) 727 (40.7) 117 (73.0) 157 (72.9) 49.72 0.01 Primipan 239 (28.9) 773 (40.7) 111 (53.9) 59 (59.0) 423 (72.9) 9.90 No 638 (71.1) 1112 (59.3) 99 (51.0) 59 (59.0) 423 (72.9) 9.90 History of APOs' 330 (92.5) 1740 (91.7) 96 (96.0) 536 (92.4) 276 0.01 No 67 (7.5) 1740 (91.7) 96 (96.0) 423 (7.9) 378 (60.9) 776 776 776 776 776 776 776 775 776 775 776 7750 7752 7001 No 76 770 770 7730 776 7750 7750 </td <td>Yes</td> <td>227 (32.6)</td> <td>571 (36.2)</td> <td>23 (31.5)</td> <td>152 (33.7)</td> <td>0 F 4 1</td> <td>0.015</td>	Yes	227 (32.6)	571 (36.2)	23 (31.5)	152 (33.7)	0 F 4 1	0.015
Migrant residentMigrant resident 127 (27) 127 (20) 12	No	470 (67.4)	1005 (63.8)	50 (68.5)	299 (66.3)	140.0	c1 <i>c</i> .U
No $214(23.9)$ $686(36.2)$ $27(27.1)$ $157(27.1)$ 49722 <0001 Primipara $237(7.0)$ $157(27.1)$ 4972 <0001 Primipara $237(7.0)$ $1211(63.8)$ $773(40.7)$ $41(41.0)$ $157(27.1)$ 4972 <0001 Yes $298(71.1)$ $1211(63.8)$ $773(40.7)$ $41(41.0)$ $157(27.1)$ 59.405 <0001 No $638(71.1)$ $1124(59.3)$ $59(59.0)$ $423(72.9)$ $59(49.1)$ <0001 History of APOs* $830(92.5)$ $177(9(91.7))$ $96(96.0)$ $53(92.4)$ <0001 No $67(7.5)$ $177(8)$ $127(8,3)$ $4(4.0)$ $44(7.6)$ 2766 No $59(66.4)$ $984(51.9)$ $54(54.0)$ $338(66.0)$ $516(7.5)$ $125(12)$ No $576(6.4)$ $984(51.9)$ $54(54.0)$ $338(66.0)$ $715(2)$ $127(8.2)$ No $59(66.4)$ $984(51.9)$ $77(70)$ $96(96.0)$ $338(66.0)$ $715(2)$ No $86(6.1)$ $51(7.3)$ $913(48.1)$ $77(7.0)$ $917(7.0)$ $917(7.0)$ No $586(6.4)$ $913(48.1)$ $77(7.0)$ $917(7.0)$ $917(7.0)$ $917(7.0)$ No $11200000000000000000000000000000000000$	Migrant resident						
Yes683 (7.6.1)1211 (63.8)73 (73.0)4.23 (72.9) $7.7.4$ $7.0.00$ PrimiparaPrimipara259 (28.9)773 (40.7)41 (41.0)157 (27.1)59.4050.001Yes259 (28.9)773 (40.7)1124 (59.3)59 (59.0)423 (72.9)7.0.01Mo638 (71.1)1124 (91.7)59 (59.0)423 (72.9)7.0.01History of APOs'830 (92.5)1740 (91.7)96 (96.0)556 (23.4)2.7650.01No67 (7.5)157 (8.3)1740 (91.7)96 (96.0)536 (23.4)2.7650.02History of sphilis infections67 (7.5)157 (8.3)44 (4.0)94 (7.6)94 (7.6)94 (7.6)94 (7.6)No596 (66.4)984 (51.9)54 (40.0)197 (4.0)197 (4.0)197 (4.0)197 (4.0)197 (4.0)No596 (66.4)984 (51.9)54 (46.0)197 (4.0)197 (4.0)197 (4.0)197 (4.0)197 (4.0)No596 (66.4)984 (51.9)73 (7.0)40 (69.3)71 (7.0)30 (5.2)81 94Maternal sphilis stage11163 (7.1)26 (4.0)26 (4.0)197 (4.0)197 (4.0)197 (4.0)No510 (11.8)174 (11.0)173 (11.2)215 (11.2)215 (11.2)216 (10.2)148 (2.5)81 946.001No510 (11.8)215 (11.2)215 (11.2)26 (20.0)26 (20.0)26 (20.0)26 (20.0)146 (7.7)20 (10.1)196 (7.2)146 (7.7)20 (7.0)26 (20.0)26 (20	No	214 (23.9)	686 (36.2)	27 (27.0)	157 (27.1)	10701	100.02
PrimiparaPrimiparaYes259 (28.9)773 (40.7)41 (41.0)157 (27.1)59 4056001No638 (71.1)1124 (59.3)59 (59.0)423 (72.9)59 (59.0)29 (59.0)420History of APOs*830 (92.5)1740 (91.7)96 (96.0)536 (92.4)2.7660.429No67 (7.5)157 (8.3)1740 (91.7)96 (96.0)536 (92.4)2.7660.429No596 (66.4)984 (51.9)54 (54.0)333 (66.0)71532.7660.429No596 (66.4)984 (51.9)54 (54.0)333 (66.0)715324.001No913 (33.6)913 (48.1)46 (46.0)197 (34.0)715324.001No1atent671 (74.8)1583 (83.4)73 (7.0)30 (5.2)81.9434.001Latent671 (74.8)215 (11.3)20 (20.0)148 (25.5)81.9434.0030 (5.2)8.01Unknown178 (19.8)215 (11.3)20 (20.0)148 (25.5)8.0130 (5.2)8.01 <td>Yes</td> <td>683 (76.1)</td> <td>1211 (63.8)</td> <td>73 (73.0)</td> <td>423 (72.9)</td> <td>47.1 44</td> <td></td>	Yes	683 (76.1)	1211 (63.8)	73 (73.0)	423 (72.9)	47.1 44	
Yes $259 (389)$ $773 (40.7)$ $41 (41.0)$ $157 (27.1)$ 59.405 6.001 No $638 (71.1)$ $1124 (59.3)$ $59 (59.0)$ $423 (72.9)$ 59.405 6.001 History of APOs* $830 (92.5)$ $1740 (91.7)$ $96 (96.0)$ $536 (92.4)$ 2.766 0.429 No $67 (7.5)$ $1740 (91.7)$ $96 (96.0)$ $536 (92.4)$ 2.766 0.429 No $67 (7.5)$ $1740 (91.7)$ $96 (96.0)$ $536 (92.4)$ 2.766 0.429 History of syphilis infections $67 (7.5)$ $157 (8.3)$ $4(4.0)$ $44 (7.6)$ 2.766 0.429 No $596 (66.4)$ $984 (51.9)$ $96 (96.0)$ $53 (6.0)$ 71.532 6.001 No $596 (66.4)$ $984 (51.9)$ $64 (46.0)$ $197 (34.0)$ 71.532 6.001 No $1283 (83.4)$ $73 (73.0)$ $402 (69.3)$ 71.532 6.001 Nateral syphilis stage 110 $178 (19.8)$ $71 (7.18)$ $90 (5.2)$ 81.943 70.01 Negas I-III $178 (19.8)$ $1188 (7.1)$ $20 (20.0)$ $118 (25.5)$ 81.943 6.001 Negative $880 (1.18)$ $80 (1.3.8)$ $80 (1.3.8)$ $80 (1.3.8)$ $80 (1.3.8)$ $80 (1.3.8)$ $80 (1.3.8)$	Primipara						
No638 (71.1)1124 (59.3)59 (59.0)423 (72.9) $73.72.9$ <t< td=""><td>Yes</td><td>259 (28.9)</td><td>773 (40.7)</td><td>41 (41.0)</td><td>157 (27.1)</td><td>50405</td><td>100.02</td></t<>	Yes	259 (28.9)	773 (40.7)	41 (41.0)	157 (27.1)	50405	100.02
History of APOs*No $536 (92.4)$ 2.766 $63 (92.4)$ 2.766 0.429 No $67 (75)$ $177 (8.3)$ $157 (8.3)$ $4 (4.0)$ $44 (7.6)$ 2.766 0.429 Yes $67 (75)$ $157 (8.3)$ $157 (8.3)$ $4 (4.0)$ $44 (7.6)$ 2.766 0.429 No $596 (66.4)$ $984 (51.9)$ $54 (54.0)$ $333 (66.0)$ 71552 0.011 No $301 (33.6)$ $913 (48.1)$ $46 (46.0)$ $197 (34.0)$ 71552 0.011 Maternal sybilis tage $671 (74.8)$ $1583 (83.4)$ $73 (73.0)$ $402 (69.3)$ 71552 0.011 Maternal sybilis stage 111 $178 (19.8)$ $215 (11.3)$ $71 (7.0)$ $30 (52.2)$ 81.943 0.001 Nages I-II $178 (19.8)$ $215 (11.3)$ $20 (20.0)$ $148 (25.2)$ 81.943 0.001 Negetive $100 (20.1)$ $160 (7.7)$ $26 (26.0)$ $80 (13.8)$ 89.710 $80 (13.8)$ 90710 Negative $57 (6.4)$ $146 (7.7)$ $8 (8.0)$ $30 (52.2)$ $80 (13.8)$ 89710 0.001	No	638 (71.1)	1124 (59.3)	59 (59.0)	423 (72.9)	C04.6C	
	History of APOs*						
Yes $67 (7.5)$ $157 (8.3)$ $4 (4.0)$ $44 (7.6)$ 2.00 0.42 History of syphilis infections $596 (66.4)$ $984 (51.9)$ $54 (54.0)$ $383 (66.0)$ 71.552 0.001 No $301 (33.6)$ $301 (33.6)$ $913 (48.1)$ $46 (46.0)$ $197 (34.0)$ $333 (66.0)$ 71.552 0.001 Naternal syphilis stage $anternal syphilis stageanternal syphilis stage77 (7.0)32 (7.0)30 (5.2)71.5520.001Maternal syphilis stageanternal syphilis stageanternal syphilis stage77 (7.0)30 (5.2)81.9430.001Naternal syphilis stage178 (19.8)215 (11.3)20 (20.0)148 (25.5)81.9430.001Unknown178 (19.8)215 (11.3)20 (20.0)148 (25.5)81.9430.001Negative180 (20.1)569 (30.0)8 (8.0)30 (5.2)81.9430.001Negative90 (5.2)81.0)8 (0.1) (3.8)89.71080 (13.8)89.710$	No	830 (92.5)	1740 (91.7)	96 (96.0)	536 (92.4)		007 0
History of syphilis infectionsHistory of syphilis infectionsHistory of syphilis infectionsNo $54 (54.0)$ $333 (66.0)$ 71.552 60.01 No $7es$ $301 (33.6)$ $913 (48.1)$ $46 (46.0)$ $197 (34.0)$ 71.552 60.01 Maternal syphilis stage $671 (74.8)$ $1533 (83.4)$ $73 (73.0)$ $402 (69.3)$ 81.943 60.01 Latent $671 (74.8)$ $1583 (83.4)$ $73 (73.0)$ $402 (69.3)$ 81.943 60.01 Stages I-III $48 (5.4)$ $99 (5.2)$ $7 (7.0)$ $30 (5.2)$ 81.943 60.01 Unknown $178 (19.8)$ $215 (11.3)$ $20 (20.0)$ $148 (25.5)$ 81.943 60.01 Negative $180 (20.1)$ $569 (30.0)$ $26 (26.0)$ $80 (13.8)$ 89.710 $80 (13.8)$ 89.710 $80 (13.8)$ Positive $57 (6.4)$ $146 (7.7)$ $8 (8.0)$ $30 (52.0)$ $80 (13.8)$ 89.710 $80 (13.8)$ 89.710	Yes	67 (7.5)	157 (8.3)	4(4.0)	44 (7.6)	7./00	0.429
	History of syphilis infections						
Yes $301 (33.6)$ $913 (48.1)$ $46 (46.0)$ $197 (34.0)$ $7.523.6$ 6.0001 Maternal syphilis stage $671 (74.8)$ $1583 (83.4)$ $73 (73.0)$ $402 (69.3)$ $402 (69.3)$ Latent $671 (74.8)$ $1583 (83.4)$ $73 (7.0)$ $402 (69.3)$ $402 (69.3)$ Latent $48 (5.4)$ $99 (5.2)$ $7 (7.0)$ $30 (5.2)$ $81.943 < 0.001$ Stages I-III $178 (19.8)$ $215 (11.3)$ $20 (20.0)$ $148 (25.5)$ $81.943 < 0.001$ UnknownIrrent syphilis status (partner)Index (20.1) $569 (30.0)$ $26 (26.0)$ $80 (13.8)$ 89.710 $80 (13.8)$ 89.710 Negative $57 (6.4)$ $146 (7.7)$ $8 (8.0)$ $30 (5.2)$ 89.710 $80 (13.8)$ 89.710 $80 (13.8)$ 89.710	No	596 (66.4)	984 (51.9)	54 (54.0)	383 (66.0)		100.07
Maternal syphilis stageMaternal syphilis stageLatent 671 (74.8) 1583 (83.4) 73 (7.0) 402 (69.3)Latent 48 (5.4) 99 (5.2) 7 (7.0) 30 (5.2) 81.943 <0.001 Stages I-II 178 (19.8) 215 (11.3) 20 (20.0) 148 (25.5) 81.943 <0.001 Unknown 178 (19.8) 215 (11.3) 20 (20.0) 148 (25.5) 81.943 <0.001 Current syphilis status (partner) 180 (20.1) 569 (30.0) 26 (26.0) 80 (13.8) 89710 <0.001 Negative 57 (6.4) 146 (7.7) 8 (8.0) 30 (5.2) 89710 <0.001	Yes	301 (33.6)	913 (48.1)	46(46.0)	197 (34.0)	766.17	
	Maternal syphilis stage						
Stages I-II48 (5.4) 99 (5.2) 7 (7.0) 30 (5.2) 81.943<0.001Unknown178 (19.8) 215 (11.3) 20 (20.0) 148 (25.5) 81.943<0.001	Latent	671 (74.8)	1583(83.4)	73 (73.0)	402 (69.3)		
Unknown178 (19.8)215 (11.3)20 (20.0)148 (25.5)Current syphilis status (partner) </td <td>Stages I-III</td> <td>48 (5.4)</td> <td>99 (5.2)</td> <td>7 (7.0)</td> <td>30 (5.2)</td> <td>81.943</td> <td><0.001</td>	Stages I-III	48 (5.4)	99 (5.2)	7 (7.0)	30 (5.2)	81.943	<0.001
Current syphilis status (partner) 180 (20.1) 569 (30.0) 26 (26.0) 80 (13.8) 89.710 <0.001	Unknown	178 (19.8)	215 (11.3)	20 (20.0)	148 (25.5)		
Negative 180 (20.1) 569 (30.0) 26 (26.0) 80 (13.8) 89.710 <0.001 Positive 57 (6.4) 146 (7.7) 8 (8.0) 30 (5.2) 89.710 <0.001	Current syphilis status (partner)						
Positive 57 (6.4) 146 (7.7) 8 (8.0) 30 (5.2) 037.10 <0.001	Negative	180 (20.1)	569 (30.0)	26 (26.0)	80 (13.8)	017.00	100.07
	Positive	57 (6.4)	146 (7.7)	8 (8.0)	30 (5.2)	07./10	

BioMed Research International

11

Characteristics	WHO-recommended treatment $(n = 897)$	China-recommended treatment $(n = 1897)$	Alternatives to penicillin treatment $(n = 100)$	No treatment $(n = 580)$	F/χ^2 value	<i>p</i> value
Unknown	660 (73.6)	1182 (62.3)	66 (66.0)	470 (81.0)		
Gestational week at syphilis diagnosis (mean ± SD)	23.42 ± 11.44	15.72 ± 6.97	21.21 ± 11.16	20.72 ± 11.74	153.194	<0.001
Gestational week at treatment initiation (mean ± SD)	26.48 ± 11.10	16.46 ± 6.58	22.45 ± 10.82	I	417.511	<0.001
Log_2 titers of TRUST before treatment (mean \pm SD)	1.87 ± 2.09	1.50 ± 1.67	1.69 ± 2.04	2.32 ± 2.74	26.683	<0.001
* APOs included spontaneous abortion, stillbirt	h, and preterm birth. SD: standard devia	tion; TRUST: toluidine red unheated	serum test.			

test
E
seru
ed
eate
unh
ed ۱
le r
idin
olui
L: t
US'
TRI
;ü
atic
levi
р p
dar
tan
): s
. SI
rth
id r
ern
ret
t pt
l, ar
irth
dllb
, st
ion
ort
s ał
sou
ane
ont
l sp
dea
Iclu
s in
Ó

TABLE 6: Continued.

and treated for syphilis [29]. Strengthening health education and health promotion for high-risk groups is a major measure to decrease the incidence of APOs among pregnant women with syphilis infection.

Our study has the following advantages. First, we analyzed multiple adverse pregnancy outcomes in pregnant women with syphilis infections, such as spontaneous abortion, stillbirth, low birth weight/premature, small for gestational age, congenital syphilis, and infant death, as well as multiple factors such as the treatment regimen, TRUST titers before treatment, and maternal demographics. Second, the large sample size is effective to identify a series of adverse pregnancy outcomes and influencing factors. Third, we compare the effect of different treatment regimens on adverse pregnancy outcomes, which is helpful for informing clinicians and patients to implement two courses of treatment. Fourth, our study shows that the cut-off value of TRUST titer before treatment is 1:8. This cut-off value is beneficial for work, because it suggests that clinicians should pay attention to the patients with TRUST titer higher than 1:8. Various measures should also be conducted to improve their treatment compliance.

This retrospective cohort study has several limitations. First, this study was part of the Project of Prevention of Mother-to-Child Transmission of HIV, Syphilis, and HBV, which is aimed at reducing the incidence of HIV, CS, and HBV. Therefore, the original project was not designed to compare the effects of different treatment regimens, and our classifications regarding treatment groups were based on the treatment they already received and their syphilis stages, rather than a predetermined definition. Therefore, the syphilis-infected pregnant women receiving different treatment regimens were different from each other in terms of demographic and clinical characteristics. For example, those who received different treatment regimens had different marital status which was related to adverse pregnancy outcomes (data is shown in Table 6). These demographic and clinical characteristics might be potential factors influencing adverse pregnancy outcomes regardless of treatment regimens, and we analyzed the impact of these factors on adverse pregnancy outcomes. Second, data were obtained from surveillance registry, with some information missing or incomplete, and patients excluded from this study were in a lower social position than those included in this study (data is shown in Table 2), which needs caution when making conclusions. Third, the small numbers of CS and neonatal death might have limited the power of the study to detect differences in risk factors that have been identified in previous studies (e.g., current syphilis status of women's partners). Fourth, we did not collect other important information (e.g., income, health insurance, risk behaviors, and nutritional status during pregnancy) of the pregnant women, which may also contribute to adverse pregnancy outcomes.

This study has several policy and research implications. At the policy level, the government should strengthen the management of key populations (such as unmarried, younger, and low education) to improve their compliance with treatment. It may be more efficacious for pregnant women with syphilis to receive the two-course treatment recommended by China, but it is also very important for areas where penicillin is scarce to adopt the treatment regimen recommended by WHO as soon as possible [20]. In further informing actionable policy opportunities to reduce APOs among pregnant women with syphilis infection, the breadth of existing longitudinal and multilevel cohort data can enable the generation of policy-relevant findings quickly and costeffectively [30]. Future work can apply a novel statistical approach such as the causal mediation analysis based on the interventional effect [31] to answer the effect of the China-recommended treatment regimen on APOs via potential mediators such as patients' health literacy and treatment compliance among pregnant women with syphilis infection.

5. Conclusions

Syphilis remains a major contributor to newborn morbidity, mortality, and adverse obstetrical outcomes, especially in the context of pregnant women with high titers of nontreponemal antibodies. Pregnancy outcomes of syphilis-infected pregnant women can be improved by receiving the standardized treatment regimen recommended by the Chinese government. This highlights the need for national implementation of the Chinese guidelines.

Data Availability

No additional data are available.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Authors' Contributions

FH conceived the study, provided funding, performed the analysis, and drafted the manuscript. SJG performed the analysis and drafted the manuscript. S-F L conceived the study and revised the paper. All other authors were involved in the data interpretation and contributed to drafting and revision of the paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

We thank all pregnant women and their children for their participation in the study. We are grateful to the obstetric and pediatric care providers who have assisted the implementation of the IPMTCT program. The project was supported by Guangzhou Institute of Pediatrics/Guangzhou Women and Children's Medical Center (YIP-2019-001) and National Center for Women and Children's Health, China, CDC (He Sheng Yuan Maternal and Infant's Nutrition and Health Program) (2018FYH008).

References

[1] World Health Organization, "The global elimination of congenital syphilis: rationale and strategy for action," 2007, August 2016, https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/ 43782/1/9789241595858_eng.pdf.

- [2] E. L. Korenromp, J. Rowley, M. Alonso et al., "Global burden of maternal and congenital syphilis and associated adverse birth outcomes-estimates for 2016 and progress since 2012," *PLoS One*, vol. 14, no. 2, article e0211720, 2019.
- [3] S. Trivedi, C. Williams, E. Torrone, and S. Kidd, "National trends and reported risk factors among pregnant women with syphilis in the United States, 2012-2016," *Obstetrics and Gynecology*, vol. 133, no. 1, pp. 27–32, 2019.
- [4] F. C. Hong, X. B. Wu, F. Yang et al., "Risk of congenital syphilis (CS) following treatment of maternal syphilis: results of a CS control program in China," *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, vol. 65, no. 4, pp. 588–594, 2017.
- [5] M. M. Taylor, X. Zhang, S. Nurse-Findlay, L. Hedman, and J. Kiarie, "The amount of penicillin needed to prevent mother-to-child transmission of syphilis," *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, vol. 94, no. 8, pp. 559–559A, 2016.
- [6] K. A. Workowski and G. A. Bolan, "Sexually transmitted diseases treatment guidelines, 2015," *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report Recommendations and Reports*, vol. 64, no. RR-03, pp. 1–137, 2015.
- [7] M. Kingston, P. French, S. Higgins et al., "UK national guidelines on the management of syphilis 2015," International Journal of STD & AIDS, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 421–446, 2016.
- [8] Maternal and Child Health Services Division of National Health and Family Planning Commission of People's Republic of China, *Protocol for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV*, *syphilis and hepatitis B*, Beijing: Maternal and Child Health Services Division of National Health and Family Planning Commission of the People's Republic of China, China, 2015.
- [9] China Ministry of Health, Protocol for prevention of mother-tochild transmission of HIV, syphilis and hepatitis B (in Chinese), China Ministry of Health, Beijing, 2011, December 2016, http://www.moh.gov.cn/cmsresources/mohfyb-jysqwss/ cmsrsdocument/doc11298.doc.
- [10] H. Liu, N. Chen, J. Yu et al., "Syphilis-attributable adverse pregnancy outcomes in China: a retrospective cohort analysis of 1187 pregnant women with different syphilis treatment," *BMC Infectious Diseases*, vol. 19, no. 1, p. 292, 2019.
- [11] X. Zhang, Y. Yu, H. Yang, H. Xu, S. H. Vermund, and K. Liu, "Surveillance of maternal syphilis in China: pregnancy outcomes and determinants of congenital syphilis," *Medical Science Monitor*, vol. 24, pp. 7727–7735, 2018.
- [12] US Preventive Services Task Force, S. J. Curry, A. H. Krist et al., "Screening for syphilis infection in pregnant Women," *JAMA*, vol. 320, no. 9, pp. 911–917, 2018.
- [13] M. W. F. Rac, P. A. Revell, and C. S. Eppes, "Syphilis during pregnancy: a preventable threat to maternal-fetal health," *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, vol. 216, no. 4, pp. 352–363, 2017.
- [14] S. H. Gage, M. R. Munafo, and G. Davey Smith, "Causal inference in developmental origins of health and disease (DOHaD) research," *Annual Review of Psychology*, vol. 67, pp. 567–585, 2016.
- [15] M. De Santis, C. De Luca, I. Mappa et al., "Syphilis infection during pregnancy: fetal risks and clinical management," *Infectious Diseases in Obstetrics and Gynecology*, vol. 2012, Article ID 430585, 5 pages, 2012.
- [16] W. G. Xing Xie, *Obstetrics and gynecology*, People's Health Publishing House, Beijing, 2013.

- [17] J. Villar, L. Cheikh Ismail, C. G. Victora et al., "International standards for newborn weight, length, and head circumference by gestational age and sex: the Newborn Cross-Sectional Study of the INTERGROWTH-21st Project," *The Lancet*, vol. 384, no. 9946, pp. 857–868, 2014.
- [18] J. B. Liu, F. C. Hong, P. Pan et al., "A risk model for congenital syphilis in infants born to mothers with syphilis treated in gestation: a prospective cohort study," *Sexually Transmitted Infections*, vol. 86, no. 4, pp. 292–296, 2010.
- [19] J. S. Slutsker, R. R. Hennessy, and J. A. Schillinger, "Factors contributing to congenital syphilis cases - New York City, 2010-2016," *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, vol. 67, no. 39, pp. 1088–1093, 2018.
- [20] World Heath Organization, WHO guideline on syphilis screening and treatment for pregnant women, World Health Organization, Geneva, 2017.
- [21] X. H. Zhang, J. Xu, D. Q. Chen, L. F. Guo, and L. Q. Qiu, "Effectiveness of treatment to improve pregnancy outcomes among women with syphilis in Zhejiang Province, China," *Sexually Transmitted Infections*, vol. 92, no. 7, pp. 537–541, 2016.
- [22] L. Newman, M. Kamb, S. Hawkes et al., "Global estimates of syphilis in pregnancy and associated adverse outcomes: analysis of multinational antenatal surveillance data," PLoS Medicine, vol. 10, no. 2, article e1001396, 2013.
- [23] Y. Li, L. Zhu, L. du, L. Qu, W. Jiang, and B. Xu, "Effects on preventing mother-to-child transmission of syphilis and associated adverse pregnant outcomes: a longitudinal study from 2001 to 2015 in Shanghai, China," *BMC Infectious Diseases*, vol. 17, no. 1, p. 626, 2017.
- [24] G. D. Wendel Jr., J. S. Sheffield, L. M. Hollier, J. B. Hill, P. S. Ramsey, and P. J. Sánchez, "Treatment of syphilis in pregnancy and prevention of congenital syphilis," *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, vol. 35, no. S2, pp. S200–S209, 2002.
- [25] L. X. Dou, A. W, X. Y. Wang et al., "Treatment and risk factors for adverse pregnancy outcomes of pregnant women with syphilis," *Chinese Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, vol. 51, no. 7, pp. 538–541, 2016.
- [26] Y. Wang, M. Wu, X. Gong et al., "Risk factors for congenital syphilis transmitted from mother to infant - Suzhou, China, 2011-2014," *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, vol. 68, no. 10, pp. 247–250, 2019.
- [27] J. B. Qin, T. J. Feng, T. B. Yang et al., "Risk factors for congenital syphilis and adverse pregnancy outcomes in offspring of women with syphilis in Shenzhen, China: a prospective nested case-control study," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 13–23, 2014.
- [28] M. L. Kamb, L. M. Newman, P. L. Riley et al., "A road map for the global elimination of congenital syphilis," *Obstetrics and Gynecology International*, vol. 2010, Article ID 312798, 6 pages, 2010.
- [29] F. Althabe, E. Chomba, A. K. Tshefu et al., "A multifaceted intervention to improve syphilis screening and treatment in pregnant women in Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of the Congo and in Lusaka, Zambia: a cluster randomised controlled trial," *The Lancet Global Health*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. e655–e663, 2019.
- [30] S. Goldfeld, S. Gray, F. Azpitarte et al., "Driving precision policy responses to child health and developmental inequities," *Health Equity*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 489–494, 2019.
- [31] M. Moreno-Betancur and J. B. Carlin, "Understanding interventional effects: a more natural approach to mediation analysis?," *Epidemiology*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 614–617, 2018.