Target Deconvolution of Fenofibrate in Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease Using Bioinformatics Analysis
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Background. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a prevalent form of liver damage, affecting ~25% of the global population. NAFLD comprises a spectrum of liver pathologies, from hepatic steatosis to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), and may progress to liver fibrosis and cirrhosis. The presence of NAFLD correlates with metabolic disorders such as hyperlipidemia, obesity, blood hypertension, cardiovascular, and insulin resistance. Fenofibrate is an agonist drug for peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha (PPARα), used principally for treatment of hyperlipidemia. However, fenofibrate has recently been investigated in clinical trials for treatment of other metabolic disorders such as diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and NAFLD. The evidence to date indicates that fenofibrate could improve NAFLD. While PPARα is considered to be the main target of fenofibrate, fenofibrate may exert its effect through impact on other genes and pathways thereby alleviating, and possibly reversing, NAFLD. In this study, using bioinformatics tools and gene-drug, gene-diseases databases, we sought to explore possible targets, interactions, and pathways involved in fenofibrate and NAFLD. Methods. We first determined significant protein interactions with fenofibrate in the STITCH database with high confidence (0.7). Next, we investigated the identified proteins on curated targets in two databases, including the DisGeNET and DISEASES databases, to determine their association with NAFLD. We finally constructed a Venn diagram for these two collections (curated genes-NAFLD and fenofibrate-STITCH) to uncover possible primary targets of fenofibrate. Then, Gene Ontology (GO) and KEGG were analyzed to detect the significantly involved targets in molecular function, biological process, cellular component, and biological pathways. A P value < 0.01 was considered the cut-off criterion. We also estimated the specificity of targets with NAFLD by investigating them in disease-gene associations (STRING) and EnrichR (DisGeNET). Finally, we verified our findings in the scientific literature. Results. We constructed two collections, one with 80 protein-drug interactions and the other with 95 genes associated with NAFLD. Using the Venn diagram, we identified 11 significant targets including LEP, SIRT1, ADIPQ, PPARA, SREBF1, LDLR, GSTP1, VLDLR, SCARB1, MMP1, and APOC3 and then evaluated their biological pathways. Based on Gene Ontology, most of the targets are involved in lipid metabolism, and KEGG enrichment pathways showed the PPAR signaling pathway, AMPK signaling pathway, and NAFLD as the most significant pathways. The interrogation of those targets on authentic disease databases showed they were more specific to both steatosis and steatohepatitis liver injury than to any other diseases in these databases. Finally, we identified three significant genes, APOC3, PPARA, and SREBF1, that showed robust drug interaction with fenofibrate. Conclusion. Fenofibrate may exert its effect directly or indirectly, via modulation of several key targets and pathways, in the treatment of NAFLD.
1. Introduction

In recent decades, nonalcoholic fatty liver (NAFLD) has received greater attention from both healthcare professionals and the general public due to its increasing prevalence. NAFLD comprises a spectrum of liver disorders, from hepatic steatosis to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and, if unchecked, may progress to fibrosis and cirrhosis [1]. The hallmark of NAFLD is accumulation of fat deposits in hepatocytes, the presence of which correlates with metabolic disorders such as hyperlipidemia, obesity, hypertension, cardiovascular disease, and insulin resistance [2, 3]. The global prevalence of NAFLD is approximately ~25%, with the highest prevalence being found in the Middle East [4].

Despite the health burden it imposes, no definitive treatment for NAFLD has yet been determined, though various therapeutic approaches have been proposed. Lifestyle intervention and pharmacological interventions are the mainstays of treatment for patients with NAFLD.

As disruption of essential genes and proteins may lead to fatty liver diseases, identification of these targets enables drug discovery for treatment of NAFLD [5, 6]. Drug treatments include targeting caloric intake and disposal, inflammation, lipotoxicity, and cirrhosis [7–10]. One of the key drug targeting strategies is the modulation of hepatic fat accumulation, including targeting peroxisome proliferator-activator receptors and de novo lipogenesis [11]. Fenofibrate is an agonist drug for PPARα and is principally used for treatment of hyperlipidemia in spite of the presence of statins and several newer lipid-lowering agents [12–15]. PPARα is abundantly expressed in the liver and modulates various genes implicated in the catabolism of fatty acids [16]. However, it has recently been investigated in clinical trials for treatment of other metabolic disorders such as diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and NAFLD [17–19]. Additionally, reports suggest that fenofibrate could play a role in antioxidation, tumour apoptosis, anti-inflammation, and antifibrosis plus several other pleiotropic effects [20–28]. Evidence from several clinical studies has shown that fenofibrate may provide benefit to patients with NASH/NAFLD [18, 29, 30].

While PPARα is recognized to be the main target of fenofibrate, this drug may exert its effect via other genes and pathways that have not been well characterized to improve and possibly reverse NAFLD/NASH. Clinical investigation is already underway using fenofibrate for the treatment of NAFLD. Using bioinformatics tools and gene-drug, gene-diseases databases, we sought to explore other targets, interactions, and pathways involving fenofibrate and NAFLD. In Figure 1, we illustrate the overall strategy employed in this study.

2. Methods

2.1. Fenofibrate and Target Search. We first searched interactions of fenofibrate in the STITCH database (http://stitch.embl.de/) to explore essential protein targets. STITCH is a platform for diagnosis interaction between chemicals and proteins. Here, we considered the high confidence cut-off (0.700) and limited species only to Homo sapiens.

2.2. Exploring Important NAFLD Genes in DISEASES and DisGeNET Databases. Next, we investigated the protein targets identified in STITCH on curated targets in two databases, the DisGeNET database (https://www.disgenet.org/) (and the DISEASES database (http://diseases.jensenlab.org/)), to find their association with NAFLD. DisGeNET is a database that contains a collection of genes associated with specific diseases. That data is integrated from a variety of sources such as expert-curated repositories, the scientific literature and GWAS catalogs. DisGeNET currently covers more than 1,700 genes and 24,000 diseases and traits [31]. For association genes with NAFLD, 1,058 genes were registered in this database. Curated data contain seven primary resources: UNIPROT, ORPHANET, CTD, GENOMICS ENGLAND, CLINGEN, PSYGENET, and CGI. To achieve a curated dataset from DisGeNET, we used a plugin in the cytoscope to construct curated sources targets for NAFLD. DISEASES database is a weekly updated database that comprises diseases and gene relations from different resources, including manually curated literature, text mining, cancer mutation data, and genome-wide association research [32]. We extracted the targets from the available resources, including experiments and manually curated literature associated with NAFLD.

2.3. Venn Diagram to Obtain Important Fenofibrate Interaction Protein Targets in NAFLD. We finally created a Venn diagram (http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/Venn/) for these two collections (curated genes-NAFLD and fenofibrate-STITCH) to find important targets of fenofibrate beyond the conventionally recognized targets.

2.4. Gene Ontology Pathway Enrichment Analyses for Target Proteins of Fenofibrate. Gene ontology (GO) enrichment is a popular procedure used to interpret genes and stratify them in three major categories, those that contribute to molecular function (MF), biological process, (BP) or cellular component (CC). GO was analyzed for important targets obtained from the Venn diagram using the Gene Ontology resource with the web address: http://geneontology.org. Additionally, KEGG was analyzed using the Enrichr database with the web address: https://maayanlab.cloud/Enrichr/. The KEGG pathway is a comprehensive database that maps pathways according to their metabolic interrelationships. In GO and KEGG analyses, the P value < 0.01 was considered the cut-off criterion. We also analyzed the enrichment pathways using the wikipathways plugin in Cytoscape version 3.8.2. A P value < 0.01 was considered the cut-off criterion. In addition, we estimated the specificity of obtained targets with NAFLD and investigated them in disease-gene associations (STRING) and EnrichR (DisGeNET).

3. Results

3.1. Protein Target Interaction with Fenofibrate in the STITCH Database. Screening fenofibrate in the STITCH database with high confidence (0.7) identified 80 protein
targets. The drug-protein interaction was visualized on Cytoscape (Figure 2(a)).

3.2. Discovering Curated NAFLD Genes. The curated data DisGeNet plugin on Cytoscape and DISEASES database identified 95 genes associated with NAFLD. All the data are visualized with Cytoscape software (Figure 2(a)).

3.3. Overlap of Fenofibrate Targets on the STITCH and Curated NAFLD Genes Visualized Using a Venn Diagram. A Venn diagram of the two created datasets revealed eleven candidates, including SREBF1, SCARB1, LDLR, PPARA, VLDLR, LEP, MMP1, GSTP1, SIRT1, APOC3, and ADIPOQ (Figure 2(b)) that may be directly or indirectly affected by fenofibrate. The scoring based on DisGeNET is shown in Table 1. Based on the database algorithm (genes-disease associate score), five targets (LEP, SIRT1, ADIPOQ, PPARA, and SREBF1) are the most important in NAFLD.

3.4. GO and KEGG Enrichment Analyses of Protein Targets of Fenofibrate. GO analysis of the 11 identified protein targets demonstrated major involvement in the regulation of the lipid biosynthetic process, the lipid metabolic process, and the lipid metabolic process under biological process
Figure 2: Continued.
This analysis additionally showed that these protein targets were chiefly involved in lipoprotein particle receptor activity, protein-lipid complex binding, and lipoprotein particle binding under the molecular function category. Furthermore, cellular components included the lipoprotein particle, plasma lipoprotein particle, and protein-lipid complex (Table 2). Therefore, their close relationship with fatty liver disease was confirmed.

In contrast, by setting the cut-off for the STITCH database to 0.9, we identified 22 protein interactions with fenofibrate. PPARA, with a score of 0.995, was the highest ranked protein target in this PPI network. SERPINE1, CCL2, CRP, and VCAM1, with a score of 0.984, were the next most significant protein targets after PPARA in the PPI network. Moreover, protein targets were restricted to three significant genes in the Venn diagram with a preprepared curated disease database. Those three protein targets were SREBF1, APOC3, and PPARA.

We also visualized the degree of connection with high confidence (0.7) of the protein targets to fenofibrate in the NAFLD pathway. The more intense color indicates greater interaction (based on the STITCH score) (Figure 3).
Table 2: Gene ontology enrichment analysis via Enrichr for the 11 identified genes with the best score interaction with fenofibrate.

(a) Biological process (GO)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accession</th>
<th>Pathway description</th>
<th>Gene count</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>FDR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GO:0046890</td>
<td>Regulation of lipid biosynthetic process</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.07E-13</td>
<td>1.11E-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO:0019216</td>
<td>Regulation of lipid metabolic process</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.10E-13</td>
<td>5.59E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO:0034381</td>
<td>Lipoprotein particle clearance</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.76E-12</td>
<td>9.25E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO:0006629</td>
<td>Lipid metabolic process</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.39E-12</td>
<td>1.33E-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO:1905952</td>
<td>Regulation of lipid storage</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.75E-11</td>
<td>2.13E-07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) Molecular function (GO)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathway description</th>
<th>Gene count</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>FDR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lipoprotein particle receptor activity</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.50E-07</td>
<td>7.32E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein-lipid complex binding</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.57E-07</td>
<td>1.36E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipoprotein particle binding</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.57E-07</td>
<td>9.07E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very-low-density lipoprotein particle receptor activity</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.88E-06</td>
<td>4.75E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cargo receptor activity</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.50E-07</td>
<td>7.32E-04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c) Cellular component (GO)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathway description</th>
<th>Gene count</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>FDR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lipoprotein particle</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.11E-06</td>
<td>2.20E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma lipoprotein particle</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.11E-06</td>
<td>1.10E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein-lipid complex</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.38E-06</td>
<td>9.16E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very-low-density lipoprotein particle</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.95E-05</td>
<td>2.96E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride-rich plasma lipoprotein particle</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.51E-05</td>
<td>2.59E-02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FDR (false discovery rate): FDR is a stringent statistical method allowing multiple comparisons while preserving a low false-positivity rate.

Table 3: KEGG pathways for 11 critical genes interact with fenofibrate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Num.</th>
<th>Pathway name</th>
<th>KEGG Genes</th>
<th>Gene count</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PPAR signaling pathway</td>
<td>MMP1, ADIPOQ, APOC3, PPARA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.586e - 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AMPK signaling pathway</td>
<td>SREBF1, LEP, ADIPOQ, SIRT1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.937e - 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease</td>
<td>SREBF1, LEP, ADIPOQ, PPARA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.000001098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cholesterol metabolism</td>
<td>SCARB1, APOC3, LDLR</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.00002392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Adipocytokine signaling pathway</td>
<td>LEP, ADIPOQ, PPARA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.00006357</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: KEGG pathways for 11 critical genes interact with fenofibrate.

4. Discussion

NAFLD is a highly prevalent chronic liver disease, comprising a spectrum of liver pathologies, from hepatic steatosis to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), and may progress to liver fibrosis and cirrhosis. [33]. NAFLD is commonly identified as a multifactorial disease with interaction amongst risk factors and susceptibility genes that play a central role in the development and phenotype of NAFLD [34]. Consequently, identifying those targets and employing suitable therapeutic agents are important steps in improving treatment modalities. Fenofibrate is a drug that is proven for treatment of hyperlipidemia. Additionally, some studies have shown positive results with fenofibrate for the treatment of NAFLD. Fenofibrate has been shown to improve NAFLD in various research studies using cell lines and animal models, as well as in clinical studies in humans. For example, it has been reported that fenofibrate reduces fat content in the liver, reverses hepatic steatosis and fibrosis, and alleviates pathological liver changes in animals with NAFLD [35, 36]. A clinical study revealed that liver pathways are constructed based upon the wikipathway dataset with access number WP4396 using the Cytoscape plugin.
enrichment pathways. The targets we found among the authentic enrichment pathways showed PPAR signaling pathway, of the targets were involved in lipid metabolism, and KEGG regulated their biological pathways. Based on gene ontology, most VLDLR, SCARB1, MMP1, and APOC3, and we then evaluated thereby exert its therapeutic effect which causes increased uptake of blood glucose. PPAR-beta/delta also contributes to lipid oxidation and cell proliferation [39, 40]. By applying different agonists to this pathway, research has shown a decrease in triglycerides, modulation of circulating glucose, and an elevation in HDL [41–43]. In this way, these agonists could ameliorate NAFLD. The PPAR signaling pathway contains various genes that show enrichment in our study: based on KEGG analysis, enrichment of MMP1, ADIPOQ, APOC3, and PPARA revealed that this pathway shows a robust interaction with fenofibrate (P value: 5.586e – 8). PPAR-alpha is principally present in the liver, while PPAR-gamma is mainly expressed in adipose tissue [44]. Expression of PPAR-gamma has been reported to be significantly increased in the liver of patients and animal models with NAFLD [45–47]. This elevation might be a consequence of the expression of the adipogenic genes that induce lipid accumulation in the liver of these patients and animals with NAFLD [48].

AMPK (adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase) has serine/threonine kinase as its catalytic alpha subunit and beta/gamma as its regulatory subunit [49]. This pathway is involved in lipid metabolism and energy sensing, regulating glucose in numerous tissue such as the liver [50]. In our study, enrichment of SREBF1, LEP, ADIPOQ, and SIRT1 genes was found, and the AMPK pathway was significantly (P value: 3.937e – 7) shown to be influenced by fenofibrate. AMPK is regulated by phosphorylation and dephosphorylation via kinases. Activation of AMPK results in an orderly adjustment of energy balance in metabolic processes. It increases fatty acid oxidation and reduces triglyceride and cholesterol production, consequently decreasing fat accumulation [51]. Due to these actions, this pathway is considered to be a therapeutic target for a metabolic disorder such as NAFLD [52, 53]. Activation of AMPK is connected to improvement in liver inflammation and metabolism in NAFLD [54, 55]. Additionally, several studies have demonstrated that AMPK signaling pathways are involved in liver steatosis and steatohepatitis [56]. Research in 2014 indicated that fenofibrate could modulate AMPK signaling and thereby exert its therapeutic effect [57].

Research has demonstrated that lipid accumulation in the liver involves a reduction in fatty acid oxidation and VLDL secretion and upregulation of adipogenic and lipogenic pathways, through which lipoprotein particles deliver fatty acids to the liver [58]. Based on the gene ontology analysis (Table 2), important genes in these pathways operate at the three stages of biological, molecular, and cellular components and could be influenced, with high confidence, by fenofibrate.

PPARA is one of the most important genes in NAFLD and that it interacts with fenofibrate is well established. As shown in our analysis, PPARA had the highest score for interaction with fenofibrate. Moreover, its role in NAFLD was also investigated, again showing a high score. However, our aim was to investigate targets other than PPARA and, hence, here, we discuss the possible influence of other targets with fenofibrate and their role in the pathogenesis of NAFLD.

| (a) Disease-gene associations (STRING) |
| Identifier | Primary name | FDR |
| DOID:0080208 | Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease | 4.23e – 07 |
| DOID:11716 | Prediabetes syndrome | 0.0037 |
| DOID:0080547 | Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis | 0.0058 |

| (b) DisGeNET (EnrichR) | |
| Primary name | P value |
| Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease | 1.598e – 17 |
| Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis | 6.748e – 17 |
| Acute coronary syndrome | 4.157e – 16 |

Table 4: Association of protein targets obtained in the interaction of fenofibrate with fatty liver disease in disease databases.

In the work presented here, we first searched significant prediction protein interaction with high confidence for fenofibrate. Then, we probed protein interaction in association with fatty liver disease and selected the most relevant targets. In so doing, we identified 11 significant targets, including LEP, SIRT1, ADIPOQ, PPARA, SREBF1, LDLR, GSTP1, VLDLR, SCARB1, MMP1, and APOC3, and we then evaluated their biological pathways. Based on gene ontology, most of the targets were involved in lipid metabolism, and KEGG enrichment pathways showed PPAR signaling pathway, AMPK signaling pathway, and NAFLD as the most significant pathways. The targets we found among the authentic disease databases were more specific to fatty liver disease (steatosis and steatohepatitis) than other diseases in these databases. We finally identified three important significant genes, APOC3, PPARA, and SREBF1, showing robust drug interaction with fenofibrate.

The PPAR signaling pathway is comprised of three receptor subtypes, alpha, gamma, and beta/delta, which are activated by fatty acids and their derivatives. Each subtype is encoded by a separate gene. PPAR-alpha is important for lipid metabolism in the liver and functions in the clearance of circulating and cellular lipids. The function of PPAR-gamma is in the induction of adipocyte differentiation which causes increased uptake of blood glucose.
SREBF1 is an essential factor in modulation of lipogenesis [59]. In models of NAFLD, SREBF1 was downregulated following induction of steatosis [60]. However, in patients with NAFLD, SREBF1 was reported to be significantly higher than the control group [61]. Moreover, enhancing cleavage of SREBF2 was shown to boost lipogenesis [62]. A recent study revealed that SREBF1 is activated through zinc finger and BTB domain-containing 7A (ZBTB7A), which causes lipid accumulation and progression of NAFLD [63]. Numerous studies have reported that SREBF1 is a potential target influencing NAFLD, as evidenced by administration of various interval treatments [64–66]. A recent study in 2021 by Elsayed et al. indicated that SREBF1 elevation is a risk factor in the progression of NAFLD and that, following treatment of NAFLD, this gene was downregulated. Fenofibrate, by direct binding of PPARα to the DRI motif of SREBF1, may induce SREBF1 expression [67]. A TRANSFAC analysis revealed that after treatment with fenofibrate, MuRF1-/- genes commonly had a SREBF1 promoter region [68]. Other researchers showed that fenofibrate could promote CREBH products and reduce SREBF1 levels [69]. Of note, in our study (Table 1), SREBF1 had the second highest score for interaction with fenofibrate after PPARα and showed a strong relationship to NAFLD.

ADIPOQ (adiponectin) is an adipose-derived plasma protein that functions in hepatic lipoprotein-lipid metabolism [70]. Several pieces of evidence indicate that diverse polymorphisms in ADIPOQ may increase susceptibility to NAFLD [70, 71]. Research has shown that the methylation rate of ADIPOQ in the NAFLD rat model is higher than in controls; further, alteration of the methylation rate pattern of ADIPOQ was hepatoprotective in the NAFLD group [72]. Another study reported that the level of ADIPOQ in serum is lower in NAFLD than controls and was associated with increased liver enzymes and lipid profile changes in patients with NAFLD [74]. Several studies have suggested that fenofibrate may modulate the level of adiponectin in diabetes, cardiomyocyte hypertrophy, and hypertriglyceridemia [75–77]. Fenofibrate caused an increase in serum adiponectin [78]. Fenofibrate may enhance adiponectin expression through modulation of PPAR-alpha expression [76]. Fenofibrate may also promote adiponectin through the AMPK signaling pathway [79]. Other researchers claimed that fenofibrate significantly reduced proinflammatory biomarkers and ameliorated adipocytokines through induction of adiponectin [80]. In our study, ADIPOQ was one of the highest scoring targets in terms of drug interactions with fenofibrate and an association with NAFLD.

LEP (leptin) is a polypeptide hormone that interacts with its receptor lepRb [81]. In a number of studies, the pathogenesis of leptin in NAFLD has been investigated. The level of leptin significantly increased in the serum of patients with NAFLD and in animal models of the disease and possibly normalized with the development of hepatocyte steatosis [81–84]. Leptin may be implicated in steatosis progression via activation of the PI3-K/Akt kinase pathway via OB-R [85, 86]. Numerous studies have reported that fenofibrate affects LEP expression. Previous clinical studies have also shown that fenofibrate affects the level of leptin in patients with dyslipidemia and hypertriglyceridemia and improves insulin sensitivity [80, 87–89]. Furthermore, LEP scored
highly in both the drug interaction and diseases-relation 
interrogation, scoring 0.829 and 0.4, respectively.

SIRT1 is one of the important genes identified in 
the pathogenesis of fatty liver disease. SIRT1, a NADPH-
dependent deacetylase, has a vital function in cellular pro-
cesses, including stress response, transcriptional regulation, 
longevity, and apoptosis [90]. A number of reports implicate 
miRNAs that target SIRT1 in the pathogenesis of NAFLD 
[91–93]. SIRT1 is significantly downregulated in NAFLD 
[94], and interventions aimed at modulating SIRT1 have 
shown positive effects on NAFLD [95–99]. Fenofibrate can 
indirectly upregulate SIRT1 and repress hepatocyte apo-
ptosis via SIRT1 and FoxO1 [100, 101]. The upregulation of 
SIRT1 may be accomplished through AMPK in TNF-α-
stimulated adipocytes [102]. Another study showed that 
fenofibrate promotes SIRT1 expression, causing a reduction 
in NF-κB activity [103]. Fenofibrate has been shown to affect 
a reduction in fat deposition and to alleviate inflammation 
through SIRT1-dependent pathways [104, 105]. In our 
study, SIRT1 was identified as one of critical gene associa-
tions with NAFLD and exhibited a robust interaction with 
fenofibrate. 

Apolipoprotein C3 (APOC3) is a small protein on the 
surface of lipoprotein particles and has a vital role in regulat-
ing triglyceride metabolism. APOC3 has a potent inhibitor 
effect on lipoprotein lipase [106]. A study by Pavia et al. 
indicated that overexpression of APOC3 results in patholo-
gical features in the liver similar to NAFLD such as inflamma-
tion, hepatocyte apoptosis, oxidative stress, and increased 
liver lipid content [107]. It has been reported that fenofibrate 
significantly reduces the level of APOC3. In this study, fenofibrate 
demonstrated a robust interaction with APOC3 based upon the 
STITCH score (high confidence: 0.944). APOC3 placed eleventh in the curated diseases database (Table 1), 
indicating it may have a role in the pathogenesis of NAFLD. 

MMP (matrix metalloproteinase) is a proteinase that can 
degrade components of the extracellular matrix and diverse nonmatrix proteins. MMPs have been shown to be involved in 
the pathogenesis of liver diseases [108]. MMP1 may have a 
role in the progression of NAFLD to NASH and then to 
liver fibrosis [109, 110]. Two studies demonstrated that 
fenofibrate could decrease MMP1 and that it repressed the 
enzymic actions of MMP2 and MMP9 [57, 111]. MMP1, 
as demonstrated here, shows significant interaction with 
fenofibrate (high confidence score based on STITCH of 
0.872).

SCARB1 (scavenger receptor class B, type I) is a high-
density lipoprotein (HDL) receptor that facilitates uptake of 
cholesterol (Cho) from HDL to hepatocytes [112, 113]. 
Recently, it has been suggested that SCARB1 may be associ-
ated with NAFLD [114] and several studies suggest that 
fenofibrate affects SCARB1 [115–117]. Those studies have 
proposed that fenofibrate may enhance the degradation of 
SCARB1 in a postendoplasmic reticulum or postplasma 
membrane compartment [115]. However, it is possible that 
fenofibrate does not directly inhibit SCARB1 [118]. The 
postranscriptional regulation of fenofibrate may be depen-
dent upon PPARα expression [117]. According to our data 
shown in Table 1, SCARB1 is one of the top predicted targets 
for fenofibrate interaction, and investigation in the curated 
database revealed its relationship to NAFLD.

LDLR (low-density apolipoprotein receptor) is a mediator 
for cholesterol uptake in cells. It plays a crucial function in 
the clearance of cholesterol by the liver [119]. LDLR defi-
cient rodents have been used to establish models of NAFLD 
[120, 121]. In those models, elevations in hepatic neutral and 
hepatic proinflammatory oxylipins were observed [122]. 
Some patients with NAFLD have been found to have muta-
tions in LDLR genes [123]. Numerous studies have also 
demonstrated that fenofibrate affects LDLR expression; 
fenofibrate elevated hepatic LDLR via Akt phosphorylation 
and maturation of SREBP2 [124]. As shown in Table 1, 
LDLR was one of the eleven important genes that interacted 
with fenofibrate and was associated with NAFLD.

VLDLR (very-low-density lipoprotein receptor) has a 
critical role in modulating serum triglycerides and NAFLD 
progression [125]. Research on a mouse NAFLD model 
has demonstrated that antagonism of PPARβ/δ may regu-
late VLDLR and influence the serum triglyceride level and 
progression of NAFLD [125]. Studies have indicated that 
fenofibrate could influence VLDLR, but its mechanism and 
effect are still unclear [126, 127].

GSTP1 (glutathione S transferase Pi 1) is a gene that has 
a vital role in antioxidant defense through detoxifying for-
egn substances and inactivating byproducts of oxidative 
stress [128, 129]. Moreover, several studies published that 
some polymorphisms of GSTP1 are frequent in patients with 
NAFLD [130, 131]. The effect of fenofibrate on GSTP1 has 
not been studied in depth, and the available results are con-
tradictory [132–136]. However, GSTP1 was one of eleven 
significant targets identified in our study and listed in 
Table 1.

5. Conclusion
In this study, we investigated the effect of fenofibrate on 
important targets in NAFLD. Our results indicate that fenofibrate may influence essential genes in NAFLD via an, as 
yet, undetermined mechanism. Fenofibrate could therefore 
benefit patients by preventing progression or even reversing 
severity of NAFLD. According to the results presented here, 
fenofibrate significantly influences essential biological path-
ways, including lipid metabolic processes via the PPAR sig-
naling pathway and the AMPK signaling pathway. Notably, 
those targets have been validated as featuring in the patho-
genesis of NAFLD. Consequently, fenofibrate may offer a 
significant benefit to patients with NAFLD, though further 
molecular and clinical investigation is required.
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Data associated with this study are available from the 
authors upon a reasonable request.

Conflicts of Interest
The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.
References


[86] D. Xu, X. D. Huang, J. P. Yuan et al., “Impaired activation of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase by leptin is a novel mechanism..."


M. Hashemi, E. Eskandari-Nasab, A. Fazaee et al., “Association of genetic polymorphisms of glutathione-s-transferase genes (GSTT1,GSTM1, andGSTP1) and susceptibility to nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in Zahedan, Southeast Iran,” *DNA and Cell Biology*, vol. 31, no. 5, pp. 672–677, 2012.

J. Nishimura, Y. Dewa, T. Okamura et al., “Possible involvement of oxidative stress in fenofibrate-induced hepatocarcinogenesis in rats,” *Archives of Toxicology*, vol. 82, no. 9, pp. 641–654, 2008.


