

# Research Article

# Ability of Procalcitonin and C-Reactive Protein for Discriminating between Bacterial and Enteroviral Meningitis in Children Using Decision Tree

Dmitriy Babenko (D,<sup>1,2</sup> Aliya Seidullayeva (D,<sup>3,4</sup> Dinagul Bayesheva (D,<sup>3,4</sup> Bayan Turdalina (D,<sup>3,4</sup> Baurzhan Omarkulov (D,<sup>1</sup> Aigul Almabayeva (D,<sup>5</sup> Marina Zhanaliyeva (D,<sup>5</sup> Almagul Kushugulova (D,<sup>6</sup> and Samat Kozhakhmetov (D<sup>2,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Karagandy Medical University, Karagandy, Kazakhstan

<sup>2</sup>Innovative Center ArtScience, Nur-Sultan, Kazakhstan

<sup>3</sup>Department of Paediatric Infectious Diseases, Astana Medical University, Nur-Sultan City, Kazakhstan

<sup>4</sup>Department of Paediatric Infectious Diseases, №3 Multidisciplinary City Children's Hospital, Nur-Sultan City, Kazakhstan

<sup>5</sup>NSC Astana Medical University, Nur-Sultan City, Kazakhstan

<sup>6</sup>Centre for Life Sciences, National Laboratory Astana, Nazarbayev University, Nur-Sultan City, Kazakhstan

Correspondence should be addressed to Samat Kozhakhmetov; sskozhakhmetov@gmail.com

Received 22 January 2021; Accepted 24 July 2021; Published 3 August 2021

Academic Editor: Alireza Baghban

Copyright © 2021 Dmitriy Babenko et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Bacterial meningitis (BM) is a public health burden in developing countries, including Central Asia. This disease is characterized by a high mortality rate and serious neurological complications. Delay with the start of adequate therapy is associated with an increase in mortality for patients with acute bacterial meningitis. Cerebrospinal fluid culture, as a gold standard in bacterial meningitis diagnosis, is time-consuming with modest sensitivity, and this is unsuitable for timely decision-making. It has been shown that bacterial meningitis differentiation from viral meningitis could be done through different parameters such as clinical signs and symptoms, laboratory values, such as PCR, including blood and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) analysis. In this study, we proposed the method for distinguishing the bacterial form of meningitis from enteroviral one. The method is based on the machine learning process deriving making decision rules. The proposed fast-and-frugal trees (FFTree) decision tree approach showed an ability to determine procalcitonin and C-reactive protein (CRP) with cut-off values for distinguishing between bacterial and enteroviral meningitis (EVM) in children. Such a method demonstrated 100% sensitivity, 96% specificity, and 98% accuracy in the differentiation of all cases of bacterial meningitis in this study. These findings and proposed method may be useful for clinicians to facilitate the decision-making process and optimize the diagnostics of meningitis.

# 1. Introduction

Meningitis is a life-threatening inflammatory disease of the brain and spinal cord, mostly caused by bacterial, viral, and fungal infection [1–3]. Meningococcal infection has been a big threat to the globe and exists as a sporadic, hypersporadic, and epidemic disease. In 2012, an estimated 1.2 million cases of meningococcal infection per year were reported, with ~135,000 deaths worldwide [4]. The average annual incidence

of meningococcal infection in Kazakhstan for the last decade is 0.83/100 000 with a peak in 2015 (2.42/100 000) [5].

Bacterial meningitis as a more serious form of meningitis is caused by pyogenic bacteria, such as *S. pneumoniae*, *N. meningitidis*, and *H. influenzae* [6]. Viruses are the most common cause of aseptic meningitis, primarily enteroviruses, together with numerous nonviral and noninfectious disorders [7, 8].

Although bacterial meningitis has a lower incidence rate than viral/aseptic meningitis [9, 10], prompt correct diagnosis

	[ALL]	EVM	BM	p.overall	
	N = 269	<i>N</i> = 146	<i>N</i> = 123		
Age (in months)	48.0 [17.0; 96.0]	82.5 [40.0; 124]	23.0 [9.00; 50.0]	< 0.001	
Age group:				< 0.001	
≤1 y	52 (19.3%)	11 (7.53%)	41 (33.3%)		
>1-3 y	61 (22.7%)	23 (15.8%)	38 (30.9%)		
>3-5 y	46 (17.1%)	27 (18.5%)	19 (15.4%)		
>5-7 y	33 (12.3%)	17 (11.6%)	16 (13.0%)		
>7-10 y	36 (13.4%)	30 (20.5%)	6 (4.88%)		
>10 y	41 (15.2%)	38 (26.0%)	3 (2.44%)		
Gender:				0.622	
Female	117 (43.5%)	66 (45.2%)	51 (41.5%)		
Male	152 (56.5%)	80 (54.8%)	72 (58.5%)		

TABLE 1: Demographic data of the studied population with meningitis.

and adequate treatment are necessary due to its hazardous nature [11]. Delay in the start of proper therapy introduces the potential for increased morbidity and mortality if the patient does indeed have acute bacterial meningitis [12].

Diagnosis of bacterial meningitis is based on a positive culture of cerebrospinal fluid (or detecting etiological agent by polymerase chain reaction—PCR), along with typical clinical symptoms (fever, headache, and neck stiffness). CSF culture is highly specific but lacks sensitivity, especially when antimicrobials have been given as well as the time needed until results appear [13]. In this case, PCR analysis can play a diagnostic role, but as the direct culture of cerebrospinal fluid, it takes some time. It should also be noted that not every clinic has the appropriate equipment and capabilities for conducting PCR analysis in CSF, especially in developing countries [14].

Distinguishing bacterial meningitis is often difficult [15] and therefore highly accurate decision support tools are necessary to guide decision making and limit unnecessary hospital admissions and prolonged antibiotic use.

Our study is aimed at assessing the role of clinical presentations, serum, and CSF profiles to distinguish BM and EVM in children.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Subjects. Recruiting patients for the study was carried out in the Department of Reanimation and Intensive Care, Infection Department No. 1, Multidisciplinary City Children's Hospital No. 3, Nur-Sultan City (Kazakhstan). The study covers the period between 2017 and 2019.

Inclusion criteria were as follows: children from 1 month to 17 years old, both sexes, presence of bacterial antigen, bacterial or viral nucleic acids identified in CSF in blood serum, results of a positive culture study for pathogens, and the presence of clinical signs of meningitis.

Exclusion criteria were as follows: children diagnosed with tuberculous meningitis, benign and malignant brain tumors, and children over 17 years old. The study did not include samples with meningitis of nonenteroviral etiology and combined forms of meningitis, bacteremia (meningococcemia). The study has been approved by the Local Ethics Committee of the National Laboratory Astana (NLA) at Nazarbayev University (by 22<sup>nd</sup> of September 2017. Approval No. 20).

A total of 269 patients were recruited and divided into 6 groups from 1 month to 10 years and more (Table 1).

2.2. Physical Examination. Clinical symptoms such as temperature, vomiting, impaired consciousness, headache, pallor of the skin, rash, tension, and bulging of the fontanel in children under one-year-old, stiff neck muscles, Lesage, Brudzinski, and Kernig symptoms were determined.

2.3. Laboratory Examination. The number of white blood cells (WBC), neutrophils, and level of protein in the CSF were determined using the Cobas Integra 400 plus analyzer (Roche, EU). The level of glucose in CSF was determined using the ABL800 Flex Analyzer (Radiometer Medical ApS, Denmark). The levels of haemoglobin, erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), white blood cells, count, neutrophils, and platelets in blood were determined using the hematologic analyzer Sysmex XP-300 (Sysmex). The levels of CRP and procalcitonin were analysed using fluorescence immunechromatographic system Finecare FIA Meter (Guangzhou Wondfo Biotech Co. Ltd., China.).

Samples of CSF or blood were placed on the surface of the culture medium for cultivation and identification on the "chocolate" agar (based on trypticase soy agar with the addition of defibrinated ram blood). Then, the samples were incubated at a temperature of 37.0°C in an atmosphere of 5.0%  $CO_2$  for 24-48 hours. The presence of etiological agents of viral meningitis was determined by commercial PCR kits according to the manufacturer's protocol.

2.4. Statistical Analysis. Statistical analysis was carried out using SigmaPlot 11.0 software (Systat Software Inc., USA) with the following conditions:

 Quantitative Data with a Normal Distribution. Student *t*-test for two groups or analysis of variance (ANOVA), when the number of groups is more than two

3

	[ALL] N = 269	EVM N = 146	BM $N = 123$	<i>p</i> .overall
Temperature (°C):	38.5 [37.8; 39.0]	37.9 [37.4; 38.5]	39.0 [38.6; 39.5]	< 0.001
Vomiting:	262 (97.4%)	144 (98.6%)	118 (95.9%)	0.252
Headache:	146 (54.3%)	44 (30.1%)	102 (82.9%)	< 0.001
Bulging fontanelle (for $\leq 18$ months olds):	71 out 72 (98.6%)	19 out 20 (95%)	52 out 52 (100%)	0.278
Neck rigidity:	266 (98.9%)	146 (100%)	120 (97.6%)	0.094
Kernig's sign:	168 (62.5%)	67 (45.9%)	101 (82.1%)	< 0.001
Brudzinski's sign:	61 (22.7%)	6 (4.11%)	55 (44.7%)	< 0.001
Loss of consciousness:	15 (5.58%)	0 (0.00%)	15 (12.2%)	< 0.001
Drowsiness:	36 (13.4%)	5 (3.42%)	31 (25.2%)	< 0.001
Spasms:	27 (10.0%)	0 (0.00%)	27 (22.0%)	< 0.001

TABLE 2: Underlying and associated conditions in bacterial and enteroviral meningitis groups in the studied population.

TABLE 3: Laboratory findings of blood and cerebrospinal fluid in the studied population.

	[ALL] N = 269	EVM N = 146	BM N = 123	<i>p</i> .overall
Glucose in CSF: [2.3-3.9] mmol/L	2.80 [1.60; 3.80]	3.70 [3.10; 4.80]	1.50 [0.57; 1.90]	< 0.001
Haemoglobin in blood: [110-140] g/L	119 (16.7)	125 (15.4)	111 (15.2)	< 0.001
Protein in CSF: [0.12-0.45] g/L	0.50 [0.20; 1.30]	0.20 [0.10; 0.40]	1.50 [0.60; 2.10]	< 0.001
CRP in blood: [≤10] mg/L	22.8 [4.80; 110]	5.00 [2.12; 12.0]	118 [43.5; 196]	< 0.001
ESR in blood: [0-10] mm/H	15.0 [10.0; 22.0]	12.0 [7.00; 17.0]	18.0 [14.5; 30.0]	< 0.001
Procalcitonin in blood: [≤0.05] ng/mL	0.05 [0.02; 3.30]	0.02 [0.01; 0.03]	3.50 [2.15; 5.15]	< 0.001
WBC in CSF: $[\leq 30] * 10^9/L$	380 [110; 1300]	126 [78.2; 233]	1455 [815; 4250]	< 0.001
WBC in blood: $[4.5 - 10.5] * 10^9/L$	13.1 [8.80; 18.0]	9.25 [7.60; 12.2]	18.0 [15.0; 23.2]	< 0.001
Neutrophils in CSF: $[\le 10] * 10^6/L$	72.0 [19.0; 90.0]	21.5 [10.0; 61.5]	88.0 [75.0; 90.0]	< 0.001
Neutrophils in blood: [42-72%]	79.8 [69.9; 87.1]	74.0 [58.2; 84.0]	85.0 [76.3; 90.0]	< 0.001
Platelets in blood: $[180 - 320] * 10^9/L$	233 [195; 314]	228 [190; 288]	256 [209; 321]	0.020

- (2) *Quantitative Data with Abnormal Distribution*. Nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test and Mann–Whitney test for independent groups; and Wilcoxon Matched Pairs Test for dependent groups
- (3) Categorical Data. Chi-square or Fisher's tests if necessary (when the expected frequency is less than 5 in one of the cells)

Shapiro-Wilk test was employed for the evaluation of the distribution of data (normality test). p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant for all analyses.

2.5. Modelling. Fast-and-frugal trees (FFTs) as a supervised learning algorithm described in Phillips, Neth, Woike, and Gaissmaier [16] and implemented in FFTrees R package was used to predict a binary criterion BM and EVM. Before the machine training, we split the entire dataset into training (80%) and testing (20%) subsets. An optimal cut-off point was calculated according to the highest accuracy (minimal false-negative and false-positive results). The area (AUC, area under curve) under the receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) was used to check the prognostic value of a particular parameter. Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), and accuracy were calculated for the given cut-off values for predicting bacterial meningitis.

## 3. Results

A total of 269 children (117 females and 152 males) were included in this study. The median and IQR age of the participants were 48.0 [17.0-96.0] months old. Children with EVM, that had a median age of 82.5 [40.0; 124] months old, were older compared with the BM group (median age 23.0 [9.00; 50.0]; p < 0.001) (Table 1). The highest rate of meningitis was found out among children aged up to 1-year old in a group with BM. In contrast, the rate of EVM was relatively low (7.53%) in a group up to 1-year children, while in groups > 1 year, EVM incidences were higher (on average18.48%) and reached 26% in the group > 10 years.

Among the studied patients, bacterial meningitis represented 45.7% (123 patients) compared to 54.3% (146 patients) nonbacterial (enteroviral) meningitis. Among bacterial meningitis, 95 were due to *N. meningitidis*, 25 cases *S. pneumoniae*,



FIGURE 1: A fast-and-frugal tree (FFT) for classifying patients as either with BM or with EVM based on up to two parameters. It should be interpreted as if a patient's procalcitonin value is more than 0.16 ng/mL, classify as BM. If not, check the CRP value. If this is less or equal 31.2 mg/L, classify as EVM, otherwise, classify as BM.

2 cases *S. agalactiae*, and 1 case *S. aureus*. Among 146 viral/a-septic cases, all 146 cases were caused by enterovirus.

The most common presenting symptom in children with BM was the high temperature (39°C vs. 37.9°C, p < 0.001) followed by headache (82.9%) and Kernig's sign (82.1%). The occurrence of Brudzinski's sign (44.7%), drowsiness (25.2%), spasms (22%), and loss of consciousness (12.2%) was significantly higher in the BM group compared with the EVM group (p < 0.001). Vomiting, bulging fontanelle, and neck rigidity had no difference among these groups. The clinical features of BM and EVM groups are presented in Table 2.

Table 3 represents the comparison of the laboratory results of blood and CSF between the two groups. Blood and CSF laboratory testing data showed significantly increased levels of proteins, CRP, procalcitonin, WBC, neutrophils, and platelets in the blood of children with BM (p < 0.001). WBC and neutrophils in CFS were also significantly higher in the BM group (p < 0.001). The blood glucose level was 1.50 [0.57; 1.90] mmol/L in the BM group, which is significantly lower than that for the EVM group (3.70 [3.10; 4.80] mmol/L; p < 0.001). Haemoglobin was also significantly lower in the BM group in comparison with the EVM group (p < 0.001).

Fast-and-frugal trees (FFTs) algorithm was performed for providing efficient and accurate decisions in the prediction of bacterial meningitis. All data, such as demographic variables (gender and age), clinical data, and laboratory results, were included in training FFTs to get the besttrained algorithm based on the highest sensitivity. The results

Parameters	Threshold	Direction	Ν	Sens	Spec	PPV	NPV	Acc
Procalcitonin in blood (ng/mL)	0.16	>	216	0.990	0.983	0.980	0.991	0.986
CRP in blood (mg/L)	31.2	>	216	0.939	0.974	0.969	0.950	0.958
Glucose in CSF (mmol/L)	2.2	<=	216	0.848	0.949	0.933	0.881	0.903
Neutrophils in CSF (*10 <sup>6</sup> /L)	64	>	216	0.980	0.726	0.752	0.977	0.843
WBC in CSF (*10 <sup>9</sup> /L)	513	>	216	0.818	0.872	0.844	0.850	0.847
Temperature (°C)	38.4	>	216	0.909	0.752	0.756	0.907	0.824
Protein in CSF (g/L)	0.3	>	216	0.949	0.709	0.734	0.943	0.819
WBC in blood (*10 <sup>9</sup> /L)	12.5	>	216	0.879	0.769	0.763	0.882	0.819
Headache	Yes	=	216	0.838	0.701	0.703	0.837	0.764
Age (months)	85	$\leq$	216	0.949	0.470	0.603	0.917	0.690
Kernig's sign	Positive	=	216	0.838	0.538	0.606	0.797	0.676
Brudzinski's sign	Positive	=	216	0.424	0.949	0.875	0.661	0.708
Neutrophils in blood (%)	73	>	216	0.879	0.453	0.576	0.815	0.648
ESR in blood (mm/H)	11	>	216	0.859	0.462	0.574	0.794	0.644
Haemoglobin in blood (g/L)	113	$\leq$	216	0.576	0.744	0.655	0.674	0.667
Spasms	Single	=	216	0.212	1.000	1.000	0.600	0.639
Drowsiness	Yes	=	216	0.232	0.957	0.821	0.596	0.625
Platelets in blood (*10 <sup>9</sup> /L)	252	>	216	0.545	0.607	0.540	0.612	0.579
Consciousness	Nonnormal	=	216	0.111	1.000	1.000	0.571	0.593
Gender	М	=	216	0.566	0.444	0.463	0.547	0.500

TABLE 4: Validation of clinical and laboratory parameters in bacterial meningitis prediction.

Abbreviations: sens: sensitivity; spec: specificity; ppv: positive predictive value; npv: negative predictive value; acc: accuracy.

of the best FFT with sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, and ROC curve are presented in Figure 1.

Two parameters, procalcitonin and C-reactive protein, appeared to have a good predictive value in bacterial meningitis. ROC curve was plotted with these two parameters having the best performing curve for diagnosing bacterial meningitis with a sensitivity of 100%, a specificity of 96%, and an accuracy of 98%.

# 4. Discussion

Meningococcal infection is one of the most severe infectious diseases of childhood [11]. The highest burden of disease is in Africa and Asia. However, the epidemics can occur in any part of the world. According to WHO reports, Asia has had some major epidemics of meningococcal disease in the last 30 years [17–20]. According to official statistical data, the peak of meningitis incidence in Kazakhstan was noted in 2015 (2.4 per 100 000). In subsequent years, there was a trend towards a decrease in 3.6 times by 2016, 7 times by 2017, and 4.6 times by 2018 compared to 2015 [5].

The early diagnostics of meningitis and differentiation of bacterial forms from aseptic (viral) ones plays a crucial role in the effective treatment of children. The analysis of CSF culture, as a gold standard in bacterial meningitis diagnostics, is a time-consuming process with modest sensitivity (70–85%). Moreover, in the case of antibiotic pretreatment, the sensitivity of CSF culture decreases by 20% [21]. In this regard, the CFS culture test is unsuitable for timely decision-making and effective diagnostics. The classic approach for the differentiation between bacterial and viral meningitis is based on the assessment of clinical signs and symptoms and laboratory tests (blood and CSF analysis) [11, 22, 23].

In contrast to the standard method, our study is focused on searching a novel method of meningitis diagnostics and differentiation. The proposed method is based on the validation of the factors such as demographic variables, clinical, and routine diagnostic tests, with the most discriminating power and sensitivity in differentiating bacterial meningitis from nonbacterial (enteroviral) meningitis.

Our trained FFTree model determined two parameters, procalcitonin and CRP in blood, based on which the differentiation of BM from EVM is effective. Definition of the decision tree is "if procalcitonin > 0.16 ng/mL, decide BM, If CRP < = 31.2 mg/L, decide EVM, otherwise, decide BM". This trained FFT model demonstrated an extremely high sensitivity (100% vs. 100%) and NPV (100% vs. 100%), and very high specificity (95.7% vs. 93%), PPV (95% vs. 92.3%), and accuracy (97.7% vs. 96.2%) on both trained and test datasets, respectively. The parameters of the predictive ability of each indicator are shown in Table 4.

The results demonstrated that the top three parameters (procalcitonin, CRP, and glucose level) were of the highest accuracy (98.6%, 95.8%, and 90.3%, respectively) in discriminating between bacterial and enteroviral meningitis. Previous studies also showed the same results with variation in cut-off values to distinguish bacterial and viral meningitis [24–31]. At the same time, the authors emphasized the importance of the heterogeneity of populations, techniques, and approaches of decision-making threshold for BM diagnosis markers.

In this paper, we addressed the task of distinguishing bacterial from viral meningitis in children through a machine learning-based approach deriving making decision rules. The proposed FFTree decision tree approach showed an ability to determine procalcitonin and CRP in blood with cut-off values for distinguishing between bacterial and enteroviral meningitis in children. It should be noted that the proposed method uses a minimally invasive procedure for taking material for diagnosis. Also, the method demonstrated 100% sensitivity, 96% specificity, and 98% accuracy in differentiation of all cases of bacterial meningitis in this study. These findings and proposed method may be useful for clinicians to facilitate the decision-making process and optimize the diagnostics of meningitis.

#### Data Availability

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### **Conflicts of Interest**

Each author declares that he/she has no commercial associations (e.g., consultancies, stock ownership, equity interest, and patent/licensing arrangement) that might pose a conflict of interest in connection with the submitted article.

#### **Authors' Contributions**

Dmitriy Babenko and Samat Kozhakhmetov conceived and designed the experiments. Samat Kozhakhmetov, Aliya Seidullayeva, Dinagul Bayesheva, Bayan Turdalina, and Baurzhan Omarkulov performed the experiments. Dmitriy Babenko, Samat Kozhakhmetov, and Almagul Kushugulova analysed the data. Aigul Almabayeva and Marina Zhanaliyeva contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools. Dmitriy Babenko, Almagul Kushugulova, and Samat Kozhakhmetov wrote the paper.

#### Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the grant of Science Committee, Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan: "Health programming, evolution of the infants' microbiome" (AP09259975) and "Development of Early Diagnostics and Preventive Measures of Hearing Impairment after Suffering from Bacterial Meningitis in Children" (AP05135091).

#### References

- T. J. Runde and J. W. Hafner, *Meningitis, Bacterial*, StatPearls, Treasure Island, FL, USA, 2020.
- [2] R. M. Cantu and J. M Das, Viral Meningitis, StatPearls, Treasure Island, FL, USA, 2020.
- [3] P. Pagliano, S. Esposito, T. Ascione, and A. M. Spera, "Burden of fungal meningitis," *Future Microbiology*, vol. 15, no. 7, pp. 469–472, 2020.

- [4] N. G. Rouphael and D. S. Stephens, "Neisseria meningitidis: biology, microbiology, and epidemiology," *Methods in Molecular Biology*, vol. 799, pp. 1–20, 2012.
- [5] A. Seidullayeva, D. Bayesheva, G. Zhaxylykova, B. Turdalina, A. Mukasheva, and S. Kozhakhmetov, "Sensorineural hearing loss after suffering bacterial meningitis in children," *Revista Latinoamericana de Hipertension*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 275–280, 2019.
- [6] K. S. Adriani, D. van de Beek, M. C. Brouwer, L. Spanjaard, and J. de Gans, "Community-acquired recurrent bacterial meningitis in adults," *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, vol. 45, no. 5, pp. e46–e51, 2007.
- [7] A. S. Shyshov, M. V. Bazarova, I. A. Blank et al., "Enterovirus infections and meningitis in children," *Zhurnal Nevrologii i Psikhiatrii Imeni S.S. Korsakova*, vol. 116, no. 2, pp. 9–15, 2016.
- [8] C. W. Holmes, S. S. F. Koo, H. Osman et al., "Predominance of enterovirus B and echovirus 30 as cause of viral meningitis in a UK population," *Journal of Clinical Virology*, vol. 81, pp. 90– 93, 2016.
- [9] L. E. Nigrovic, N. Kuppermann, C. G. Macias et al., "Clinical prediction rule for identifying children with cerebrospinal fluid pleocytosis at very low risk of bacterial meningitis," *JAMA*, vol. 297, no. 1, pp. 52–60, 2007.
- [10] F. Dubos, B. Korczowski, D. A. Aygun et al., "Serum procalcitonin level and other biological markers to distinguish between bacterial and aseptic meningitis in children: a European multicenter case cohort study," *Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine*, vol. 162, no. 12, pp. 1157–1163, 2008.
- [11] X. Saez-Llorens and G. H. McCracken Jr., "Bacterial meningitis in children," *T Lancet*, vol. 361, no. 9375, pp. 2139–2148, 2003.
- [12] D. van de Beek, M. C. Brouwer, G. E. Thwaites, and A. R. Tunkel, "Advances in treatment of bacterial meningitis," *Lancet*, vol. 380, no. 9854, pp. 1693–1702, 2012.
- [13] L. E. Nigrovic, R. Malley, C. G. Macias et al., "Effect of antibiotic pretreatment on cerebrospinal fluid profiles of children with bacterial meningitis," *Pediatrics*, vol. 122, no. 4, pp. 726–730, 2008.
- [14] E. Ö. Başpınar, S. Dayan, M. Bekçibaşı et al., "Comparison of culture and PCR methods in the diagnosis of bacterial meningitis," *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 232– 236, 2017.
- [15] L. E. Nigrovic, N. Kuppermann, and R. Malley, "Development and validation of a multivariable predictive model to distinguish bacterial from aseptic meningitis in children in the post-Haemophilus influenzae era," *Pediatrics*, vol. 110, no. 4, pp. 712–719, 2002.
- [16] N. D. Phillips, H. Neth, J. K. Woike, and W. Gaissmaier, "FFTrees: a toolbox to create, visualize, and evaluate fastand-frugal decision trees," *Judgment and Decision making*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 344–368, 2017.
- [17] R. Steffen, A. Baños, and C. deBernardis, "Vaccination priorities," *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 175–180, 2003.
- [18] Y. Chen, F. Li, M. Zhu, L. Liu, and Y. P. Luo, "Outcome and factors of patients with nosocomial meningitis by multidrug-resistant gram-negative bacteria in a tertiary hospital in China: a retrospective study," *British Journal of Neurosurgery*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 324–328, 2020.

- [19] H. Lee, Y. Seo, K. H. Kim, K. Lee, and K. W. Choe, "Prevalence and serogroup changes of \_Neisseria meningitidis\_ in South Korea, 2010 -2016," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 8, no. 1, p. 5292, 2018.
- [20] C. H. Wang, T. L. Lin, C. H. Muo et al., "Increase of meningitis risk in stroke patients in Taiwan," *Frontiers in Neurology*, vol. 9, p. 116, 2018.
- [21] M. C. Brouwer, G. E. Thwaites, A. R. Tunkel, and D. van de Beek, "Dilemmas in the diagnosis of acute communityacquired bacterial meningitis," *Lancet*, vol. 380, no. 9854, pp. 1684–1692, 2012.
- [22] M. Dastych, J. Gottwaldova, and Z. Cermakova, "Calprotectin and lactoferrin in the cerebrospinal fluid; biomarkers utilisable for differential diagnostics of bacterial and aseptic meningitis?," *Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine*, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 599–603, 2015.
- [23] J. W. Lee, C. I. Park, H. I. Kim et al., "The usefulness of serum delta neutrophil index for differentiating bacterial and viral meningitis in the emergency department," *Clinical and Experimental Emergency Medicine*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 95–99, 2016.
- [24] M. Assicot, C. Bohuon, D. Gendrel, J. Raymond, H. Carsin, and J. Guilbaud, "High serum procalcitonin concentrations in patients with sepsis and infection," *The Lancet*, vol. 341, no. 8844, pp. 515–518, 1993.
- [25] D. Gendrel, J. Raymond, M. Assicot et al., "Measurement of procalcitonin levels in children with bacterial or viral meningitis," *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, vol. 24, no. 6, pp. 1240–1242, 1997.
- [26] A. Viallon, F. Zeni, C. Lambert et al., "High sensitivity and specificity of serum procalcitonin levels in adults with bacterial meningitis," *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, vol. 28, no. 6, 1999.
- [27] S. Schwarz, M. Bertram, S. Schwab, K. Andrassy, and W. Hacke, "Serum procalcitonin levels in bacterial and abacterial meningitis," *Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 1828–1832, 2000.
- [28] O. Hoffmann, U. Reuter, F. Masuhr, M. Holtkamp, N. Kassim, and J. R. Weber, "Low sensitivity of serum procalcitonin in bacterial meningitis in adults," *Scandinavian Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 215–218, 2001.
- [29] P. Ray, G. Badarou-Acossi, A. Viallon et al., "Accuracy of the cerebrospinal fluid results to differentiate bacterial from non bacterial meningitis, in case of negative gram-stained smear," *The American Journal of Emergency Medicine*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 179–184, 2007.
- [30] H. Onal, Z. Onal, M. Ozdil, and S. Alhaj, "A new parameter in the differential diagnosis of bacterial and viral meningitis," *Neurosciences (Riyadh)*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 91-92, 2008.
- [31] H. Tamune, H. Takeya, W. Suzuki et al., "Cerebrospinal fluid/blood glucose ratio as an indicator for bacterial meningitis," *The American Journal of Emergency Medicine*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 263–266, 2014.