

# Research Article

# **Risk Factors for Poor Prognosis of Spinal Cord Injury without Radiographic Abnormality Associated with Cervical Ossification of the Posterior Longitudinal Ligament**

# Bing Cao 🝺, Fengning Li, Yifan Tang, Lianshun Jia, and Xiongsheng Chen 🝺

Spine Center, Department of Orthopaedics, Shanghai Changzheng Hospital, Second Military Medical University, 415 Fengyang Road, Huangpu District, Shanghai, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Xiongsheng Chen; cxspine@smmu.edu.cn

Received 22 September 2021; Accepted 4 February 2022; Published 17 February 2022

Academic Editor: Ajoy Prasad Shetty

Copyright © 2022 Bing Cao et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Purpose. To investigate the factors associated with the prognosis of spinal cord injury without radiographic abnormality (SCIWORA) accompanied by cervical ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament (C-OPLL). Methods. We retrospectively investigated 287 patients with SCIWORA associated with C-OPLL, who were admitted within 30 days after trauma to our facility between August 2014 and August 2018. All patients were divided into the good or poor prognosis group. Patient demographics were analyzed. Besides, occupying ratio on CT and spinal cord high signal changes in MRI T2WI were measured and recorded. Multivariate linear regression was applied to analyze the correlation of prognosis with spinal cord high signal changes in MRI T2WI, cause of injury, and occupying ratio. Results. Occupying ratio of ossification mass was 43.5  $\pm$  10.7% in the poor prognosis group and 27.3  $\pm$  7.7% in the good prognosis group. The occurrence rate of high signal changes in MRI T2WI was 84.2% in the poor prognosis group and 41.3% in the good prognosis group. Poor prognosis was correlated with high occupying ratio and spinal cord high signal changes in MRI T2WI. In the patient with SCIWORA associated with C-OPLL, ROC curve of occupying ratio showed 30% as a predictor for the poor prognosis. Among the 92 patients with occupying ratio  $\leq$  30%, poor prognosis was observed in 5 cases (5.4%), whereas in the 72 cases with occupying ratio > 30%, poor prognosis was seen in 33 cases (45.8%). Postoperative AIS grade at final follow-up in occupying ratio > 30% group was significantly worse. Conclusions. Patients suffering from SCIWORA with C-OPLL have poor prognosis when they have higher occupying ratio of ossification mass and spinal cord high signal changes in MRI T2WI. The cut-off value of occupying ratio for predicting the poor prognosis was 30% in patients with SCIWORA associated with C-OPLL.

# 1. Introduction

Spinal cord injury without radiographic abnormality (SCI-WORA) is a syndrome that involves spinal cord injury (SCI) without evidence of spine fracture or dislocation on plain radiographs or computed tomography (CT), characterized by low energy damage and mostly incomplete quadriplegia [1–3]. Besides children, SCIWORA is also common among middle-aged and elderly people [4]. The incidence of SCIWORA is increasing, and the prevalence of cervical ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament (C-OPLL) among SCIWORA cases is alarmingly high [5]. The prevalence of C-OPLL among SCI patients was higher than the general prevalence rate of C-OPLL. C-OPLL may increase the risk of SCI associated with minor injury. There are many reports on SCI associated with C-OPLL; however, the precise mechanism remains unknown. Kwon et al. [6] reported that high cord compression ratio was related to neurological prognosis in patients with SCI associated with C-OPLL. Previous work on the risk factors of prognosis in patients with SCI associated with C-OPLL was unable to eliminate the impact of different types of spine fracture or dislocation on prognosis. However, to the best of our knowledge, risk factors of prognosis in been rarely studied. We retrospectively analyzed patients with SCIWORA associated with C-OPLL. According to the neurological outcomes assessed by the American Spinal Injury Association (ASIA) impairment scale (AIS) grade at admission and discharge, we defined absence of improvement of AIS grade as poor prognosis and improved neural function based on AIS grade as good prognosis [7]. All the patients received anterior or posterior cervical decompression and fusion. We compared the general condition, cause of injury, surgical treatment, occupying ratio, and high signal changes in T2W MRI between the two groups in order to identify risk factors for poor prognosis of SCIWORA associated with C-OPLL after surgical treatment.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Patients. We retrospectively investigated 287 patients with SCIWORA associated with C-OPLL, who were admitted within 30 days after trauma to our facility between August 2014 and August 2018. The inclusion criteria were history of trauma, presence of ossification of posterior longitudinal ligament in cervical spine on cervical CT images, and absence of major fracture or dislocation of the cervical spine on X-rays or CT. Patients with a previous history of cervical SCI, surgery, tumor, or tuberculosis were excluded. Finally, 164 patients (mean age: 56.3 years) who had undergone surgical decompression were included in this study. All patients presented symptoms of cervical spinal cord injury (SCI) and MRI manifestations consistent with symptoms secondary to cervical spinal cord compression. For each patient, SCI-WORA was confirmed by clinical syndromes, physical examinations, MRI demonstration of cord compression, and CT-confirmed absence of major fracture or dislocation of the cervical spine. Patients with impaired neurological function and persistent cervical spinal cord compression were indicated for surgery [8].

All patients were divided into the poor prognosis group (absence of improvement based on the AIS grade, 38 cases) and the good prognosis group (improved neural function based on AIS grade, from A to B or C or D or E, from B to C or D or E, from C to D or E, and from D to E, 126 cases) [7]. The two groups were compared in terms of age, gender, comorbidities, cause of injury, the time from injury to operation, preoperative neurologic status, morphology of OPLL, surgical treatment, intraoperative blood loss, occupancy ratio, and spinal cord high signal changes in MRI T2WI (Table 1).

2.2. Surgical Treatment. The surgical procedure of anterior cervical ossified posterior longitudinal ligament en bloc resection (ACOE) has been previously reported by us [9]. The surgical procedure of anterior cervical discectomy and fusion (ACDF) has been previously described [10]. The surgical procedure of anterior hybrid fusion surgery has been previously described [11]. The surgical procedure of posterior total laminectomy with fusion (PTLF) has been previously described [12].

2.3. Postoperative Treatment. All patients received antibiotics to prevent infection, 30 minutes before the operation and 24 hours after the operation. The drainage tubes were removed based on the drainage volume. Excessive neck rotation, extension, and flexion were avoided. The patients were encouraged to cough and complete functional exercise. After surgery, all patients were immobilized with a Philadelphia collar for 12 weeks.

2.4. Clinical Evaluation and Radiological Assessment. Postoperative outcomes at final follow-up were assessed and recorded according to the AIS grade [7]. Follow-up duration ranged from 2 to 3 years. The thickness of OPLL mass and anteroposterior diameter of spinal canal was measured on axial CT image. The occupancy ratio was defined as the biggest ratio of OPLL mass to anteroposterior diameter of spinal canal on the axial CT image [13]. According to the standard of spinal cord high signal changes in MRI T2WI [14], all patients were divided into two groups based on the presence or absence of spinal cord high signal changes in MRI T2WI (Figure 1 and Figure 2).

2.5. Statistical Analysis. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS17.0 statistical software. The data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. Differences in continuous variables were compared using the Student's unpaired t-test, while differences in categorical variables were compared using the chi-square test. Then, a multiple logistic regression model yielding odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) was used to identify predictors of poor prognosis (nonfunctional improvement based on the AIS grade) on discharge. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were drawn, and the area under the ROC curve along with its corresponding 95% CI provided a measure of overall validity. The cut-off value of the occupancy ratio for predicting the postoperative poor prognosis was determined using the ROC analysis and Youden's index [15]. A p value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. All tests were two-tailed.

# 3. Results

*3.1. Patient Characteristics.* There were no significant differences in age, gender, comorbidities, preoperative neurologic status, morphology of OPLL, and intraoperative blood loss between the two groups (Table 1).

There was no difference in the distribution of cause of injury between the two groups. There was no difference in the distribution of surgical treatment between the two groups. There was significant difference in the distribution of spinal cord high signal changes in MRI T2WI between the two groups according to  $\chi^2$  test. The average occupancy ratio of patients in the poor prognosis group was  $43.5 \pm 10.7$ %, which was significantly higher than that in the good prognosis group (27.3 ± 7.7%).

3.2. Multivariate Analysis. Multivariate analysis was conducted for spinal cord high signal changes in MRI T2WI, cause of injury, and occupancy ratio. According to the stepwise regression method, the results showed that occupancy BioMed Research International

Age ( $\hat{x} \pm s$ , years)       55.0 $\pm$ 12.0       56.7 $\pm$ 11.0       0.551         Gender (male/female, cases)       28/10       94/32       0.099         Comorbidity       7       32       0.831         DM       6       13       0.356         Smoking       2       8       0.806         Heart disease       4       16       0.720         Peripheral vascular disease       3       9       0.876         Cause of injury       0.778       0.778       0.778         Ground level falls       20       56       5       21         Low-speed MVA       5       23       23       7       1       AlS grade B       0       6       13       0.010       1       1       3       26       1 </th <th>Variable</th> <th>Poor prognosis group <math>(n = 38)</math></th> <th>Good prognosis group (<math>n = 126</math>)</th> <th>p value</th>	Variable	Poor prognosis group $(n = 38)$	Good prognosis group ( $n = 126$ )	p value
Gender (male/female, cases)         28/10         94/32         0.909           Comorbidity         7         32         0.831           My         6         13         0.356           Smoking         2         8         0.806           Heart disease         4         16         0.720           Pripheral vascular disease         3         9         0.876           Cause of injury         0.738         0.778         0.778           Ground level falls         20         56         0.778           Bicycle accidents         5         21         0.876           Low-speed MVA         8         26         0.778           Preoperative neurologic status         7         1         415           Preoperative neurologic status         7         1         415           AIS grade D         10         6         415           AIS grade D         11         72         415           AIS grade D         11         72         415           AIS grade D         5         21         778           Local         20         56         52           Segmental         5         23         771 <td>Age <math>(\bar{x} \pm s, \text{ years})</math></td> <td>55.0 ± 12.0</td> <td><math>56.7 \pm 11.0</math></td> <td>0.551</td>	Age $(\bar{x} \pm s, \text{ years})$	55.0 ± 12.0	$56.7 \pm 11.0$	0.551
Comorbidity       9       32       0.81         Mpertension       9       32       0.831         DM       6       13       0.356         Smoking       2       8       0.806         Heart disease       4       16       0.700         Peripheral vascular disease       3       9       0.876         Cause of injury       07       0.778       0.778         Ground level falls       20       56       0.778         Bicycle accidents       5       21       0.778         Low-speed MVA       8       26       0.76         High-speed MVA       5       21       0.701         Als grade A       7       1       1         Als grade B       10       6       1         Als grade C       10       42       1         Als grade B       10       6       1         Als grade E       0       5       21         Local       20       56       5       21         Continuous       8       26       26       3       26         Mixed       5       23       21       21       21       21       21	Gender (male/female, cases)	28/10	94/32	0.909
Hypertension         9         32         0.831           DM         6         13         0.356           Smoking         2         8         0.806           Heart disease         2         8         0.806           Peripheral vascular disease         3         9         0.876           Cause of injury         0.700         9         0.876           Ground level falls         20         56         5           Bicycle accidents         5         21         0.778           Low-speed MVA         5         23         7           Preoperative neurologic status         7         1         1           AIS grade A         7         1         1           AIS grade B         10         6         1           AIS grade C         10         42         1           AIS grade E         0         5         23           Morphology of OPLL         7         1         7           Local         20         56         5           Segmental         5         23         1           Continuous         8         26         10           Mixed         5         23	Comorbidity			
DM         6         13         0.356           Smoking         2         8         0.806           Heart disease         4         16         0.720           Peripheral vascular disease         3         9         0.876           Cause of injury         0.876         0.876         0.876           Ground level falls         20         56         0.778           Ground level falls         5         21         0.876           Low-speed MVA         8         26         0.97           Properative neurologic status         5         21         0.001           AIS grade A         7         1         0.001           AIS grade B         10         6         0.001           AIS grade C         10         42         0.001           AIS grade E         0         5         0.001           Morphology of OPLL         20         56         0.001           Continuous         8         26         0.001           Segmental         5         21         0.001           Continuous         8         26         0.001           Surgical treatment         0.010         0.010           A	Hypertension	9	32	0.831
Smoking         2         8         0.806           Heart disease         4         16         0.720           Pripheral vascular disease         3         9         0.836           Cause of injury         0.738         0.738           Ground level falls         20         56           Bicycle accidents         5         21           Low-speed MVA         8         26           High-speed MVA         9         0.01           AlS grade A         7         1           AlS grade B         10         6           AlS grade C         10         42           AlS grade B         20         56           Segmental         5         21           Continuous         8         26           Mixed         5         21           Continuous         8         26	DM	6	13	0.356
Heart disease         4         16         0.720           Peripheral vascular disease         3         9         0.876           Cause of injury         0.778         0.778           Ground level falls         20         56           Bicycle accidents         5         21           Low-speed MVA         8         26           High-speed MVA         5         23           Preoperative neurologic status         7         1           AlS grade A         7         1           AlS grade B         10         6           AlS grade C         10         42           AlS grade D         11         72           AlS grade E         0         5           Morphology of OPLL         0         5           Local         20         56           Segmental         5         21           Continuous         8         26           Mized         5         23           Surgical treatment         5         23           ACDE         7         21           Atter trabupoid fusion         3         26           PTLF         12         23           I	Smoking	2	8	0.806
Peripheral vascular disease         3         9         0.876           Cause of injury         0.778         0.778           Ground level falls         20         56           Bicycle acidents         5         21           Low-speed MVA         8         26           High-speed MVA         5         23           Preoperative neurologic status         7         1           AIS grade A         7         1           AIS grade B         10         6           AIS grade C         10         42           AIS grade D         1         7           AIS grade E         0         5           Morphology of OPLL         0         5           Local         20         56           Segmental         5         21           Continuous         8         26           Mixed         5         23           Surgical treatment         5         23           ACDF         16         56           ACDE         7         21           Anterior hybrid fusion         3         26           PTLF         12         23           Intraoperative blood loss (x̄ ± s,	Heart disease	4	16	0.720
Cause of injury         0.778           Ground level falls         20         56           Bicycle accidents         5         21           Low-speed MVA         8         26           High-speed MVA         5         23           Preoperative neurologic status         7         1           AlS grade A         7         1           AlS grade B         10         6           AlS grade C         10         42           AlS grade C         11         72           AlS grade B         0         5         20           Morphology of OPLL         0         5         20           Local         20         56         20           Segmental         5         21         7           Continuous         8         26         7           Mixed         5         23         7           Surgical treatment         0.100         42         7           ACDF         16         56         20           ACOE         7         21         7           Anterior hybrid fusion         3         26         7           PTLF         12         23         7	Peripheral vascular disease	3	9	0.876
Ground level falls         20         56           Bicycle accidents         5         21           Low-speed MVA         8         26           High-speed MVA         5         23           Preoperative neurologic status         -         <0.001	Cause of injury			0.778
Bicycle accidents         5         21           Low-speed MVA         8         26           High-speed MVA         5         23           Preoperative neurologic status             AIS grade A         7         1           AIS grade B         10         6           AIS grade C         10         42           AIS grade C         10         42           AIS grade E         0         5           Morphology of OPLL         7         0.078           Local         20         56           Segmental         5         21           Continuous         8         26           Mixed         5         23           Surgical treatment         0.001         5           ACOF         16         56           ACOF         16         56           ACOF         16         56           ACOF         7         21           Anterior hybrid fusion         3         26           PTLF         12         23           Intraoperative blood loss (x̄ ± s, ml)         244.7 ± 92.6         199.1 ± 118.6         0.325           High signal changes in T	Ground level falls	20	56	
Low-speed MVA         8         26           High-speed MVA         5         23           Preoperative neurologic status         <	Bicycle accidents	5	21	
High-speed MVA523Preoperative neurologic status<0.001	Low-speed MVA	8	26	
Preoperative neurologic status       <0.001	High-speed MVA	5	23	
AIS grade A       7       1         AIS grade B       10       6         AIS grade C       10       42         AIS grade D       11       72         AIS grade E       0       5         Morphology of OPLL       0       56         Segmental       5       21         Continuous       8       26         Mixed       5       23         Surgical treatment       0       56         ACDF       16       56         ACOE       7       21         Anterior hybrid fusion       3       26         PTLF       12       23         Intraoperative blood loss (x̄ ± s, ml)       244.7 ± 92.6       199.1 ± 118.6       0.325         High signal changes in T2W MRI       32/6       52/74       <0.001	Preoperative neurologic status			< 0.001
AIS grade B       10       6         AIS grade C       10       42         AIS grade D       11       72         AIS grade E       0       5         Morphology of OPLL       20       56         Segmental       5       21         Continuous       8       26         Mixed       5       23         Surgical treatment       7       10         ACDF       16       56         ACOE       7       21         Anterior hybrid fusion       3       26         PTLF       12       23         Intraoperative blood loss (x̄ ± s, ml)       244.7 ± 92.6       199.1 ± 118.6       0.325         High signal changes in T2W MRI       32/6       52/74       <0.001	AIS grade A	7	1	
AIS grade C       10       42         AIS grade D       11       72         AIS grade E       0       5         Morphology of OPLL       0       5         Local       20       56         Segmental       5       21         Continuous       8       26         Mixed       5       23         Surgical treatment       7       21         ACDF       16       56         ACOE       7       21         Anterior hybrid fusion       3       26         PTLF       12       23         Intraoperative blood loss (x̄ ± s, ml)       244.7 ± 92.6       199.1 ± 118.6       0.325         High signal changes in T2W MRI       32/6       52/74       <0.001	AIS grade B	10	6	
AIS grade D1172AIS grade E05Morphology of OPLL00.778Local2056Segmental521Continuous826Mixed523Surgical treatment0.100ACDF1656ACOE721Anterior hybrid fusion326PTLF1223Intraoperative blood loss ( $\bar{x} \pm s$ , ml)244.7 $\pm$ 92.6199.1 $\pm$ 118.60.325High signal changes in T2W MRI32/652/74<0.001	AIS grade C	10	42	
AIS grade E       0       5         Morphology of OPLL       0.778         Local       20       56         Segmental       5       21         Continuous       8       26         Mixed       5       23         Surgical treatment       0.100         ACDF       16       56         ACOE       7       21         Anterior hybrid fusion       3       26         PTLF       12       23         Intraoperative blood loss (x̄ ± s, ml)       244.7 ± 92.6       199.1 ± 118.6       0.325         High signal changes in T2W MRI       32/6       52/74       <0.001	AIS grade D	11	72	
Morphology of OPLL         20         56           Local         20         56           Segmental         5         21           Continuous         8         26           Mixed         5         23           Surgical treatment         0.100           ACDF         16         56           ACOE         7         21           Anterior hybrid fusion         3         26           PTLF         12         23           Intraoperative blood loss (x̄ ± s, ml)         244.7 ± 92.6         199.1 ± 118.6         0.325           High signal changes in T2W MRI         32/6         52/74         <0.004	AIS grade E	0	5	
Local       20       56         Segmental       5       21         Continuous       8       26         Mixed       5       23         Surgical treatment       0.100         ACDF       16       56         ACOE       7       21         Anterior hybrid fusion       3       26         PTLF       12       23         Intraoperative blood loss (x̄ ± s, ml)       244.7 ± 92.6       199.1 ± 118.6       0.325         High signal changes in T2W MRI       32/6       52/74       <0.001	Morphology of OPLL			0.778
Segmental         5         21           Continuous         8         26           Mixed         5         23           Surgical treatment         0.100           ACDF         16         56           ACOE         7         21           Anterior hybrid fusion         3         26           PTLF         12         23           Intraoperative blood loss (x̄ ± s, ml)         244.7 ± 92.6         199.1 ± 118.6         0.325           High signal changes in T2W MRI         32/6         52/74         <0.001	Local	20	56	
Continuous       8       26         Mixed       5       23         Surgical treatment       0.100         ACDF       16       56         ACOE       7       21         Anterior hybrid fusion       3       26         PTLF       12       23         Intraoperative blood loss ( $\bar{x} \pm s$ , ml)       244.7 $\pm$ 92.6       199.1 $\pm$ 118.6       0.325         High signal changes in T2W MRI       32/6       52/74       <0.001         Occupancy ratio (%)       43.5 $\pm$ 10.7       27.3 $\pm$ 7.7       0.004	Segmental	5	21	
Mixed523Surgical treatment0.100ACDF16ACOE7ACOE7Anterior hybrid fusion3PTLF121223Intraoperative blood loss ( $\bar{x} \pm s$ , ml)244.7 $\pm$ 92.6High signal changes in T2W MRI32/652/74<0.001	Continuous	8	26	
Surgical treatment       0.100         ACDF       16       56         ACOE       7       21         Anterior hybrid fusion       3       26         PTLF       12       23         Intraoperative blood loss ( $\bar{x} \pm s$ , ml)       244.7 $\pm$ 92.6       199.1 $\pm$ 118.6       0.325         High signal changes in T2W MRI       32/6       52/74       <0.001	Mixed	5	23	
$ \begin{array}{ccc} ACDF & 16 & 56 \\ ACOE & 7 & 21 \\ Anterior hybrid fusion & 3 & 26 \\ PTLF & 12 & 23 \\ \\ Intraoperative blood loss ($\bar{x} \pm s$, ml$) & 244.7 \pm 92.6 & 199.1 \pm 118.6 & 0.325 \\ \\ High signal changes in T2W MRI & 32/6 & 52/74 & <0.001 \\ \\ Occupancy ratio (\%) & 43.5 \pm 10.7 & 27.3 \pm 7.7 & 0.004 \\ \end{array} $	Surgical treatment			0.100
$\begin{array}{cccc} ACOE & 7 & 21 \\ Anterior hybrid fusion & 3 & 26 \\ PTLF & 12 & 23 \\ Intraoperative blood loss ($\bar{x} \pm s$, ml$) & 244.7 \pm 92.6 & 199.1 \pm 118.6 & 0.325 \\ High signal changes in T2W MRI & 32/6 & 52/74 & <0.001 \\ Occupancy ratio (%) & 43.5 \pm 10.7 & 27.3 \pm 7.7 & 0.004 \\ \end{array}$	ACDF	16	56	
Anterior hybrid fusion326PTLF1223Intraoperative blood loss ( $\bar{x} \pm s$ , ml)244.7 $\pm$ 92.6199.1 $\pm$ 118.60.325High signal changes in T2W MRI32/652/74<0.001	ACOE	7	21	
PTLF1223Intraoperative blood loss $(\bar{x} \pm s, ml)$ 244.7 $\pm$ 92.6199.1 $\pm$ 118.60.325High signal changes in T2W MRI32/652/74<0.001	Anterior hybrid fusion	3	26	
Intraoperative blood loss $(\bar{x} \pm s, ml)$ 244.7 $\pm$ 92.6199.1 $\pm$ 118.60.325High signal changes in T2W MRI32/652/74<0.001	PTLF	12	23	
High signal changes in T2W MRI         32/6         52/74         <0.001           Occupancy ratio (%)         43.5 ± 10.7         27.3 ± 7.7         0.004	Intraoperative blood loss $(\bar{x} \pm s, ml)$	$244.7\pm92.6$	$199.1 \pm 118.6$	0.325
Occupancy ratio (%)         43.5 ± 10.7         27.3 ± 7.7         0.004	High signal changes in T2W MRI	32/6	52/74	< 0.001
	Occupancy ratio (%)	$43.5 \pm 10.7$	$27.3 \pm 7.7$	0.004

TABLE 1: Clinical characteristics and neurological outcomes according to the prognosis.

ratio and spinal cord high signal changes in MRI T2WI were associated with poor prognosis. The final regression model was as follows:

Poor prognosis =  $1/(1 + \exp[-(0.9694 + 4.679 \times \text{High signal of spinal cord} + 0.489 \times B + 0.074 \times C + 0.023 \times D + 0.385 \times E + 0.126 \times \text{Occupancy ratio}])$ 

(R - Square = 0.3874, Max - rescaled R - Square = 0.5858)(1)

The poor prognosis after SCIWORA associated with C-OPLL was significantly correlated with high occupancy ratio and spinal cord high signal changes in MRI T2WI (Table 2). 3.3. ROC Curve. In the patients with SCIWORA associated with C-OPLL, ROC curve analysis showed occupancy ratio > 30% to be the value to maximize the power of ossification thickness as a predictor for the poor prognosis (p < 0.001, AUC = 0.894, 95% CI 0.839 – 0.950, sensitivity = 97%, specificity = 61%) (Figure 3).

164 patients were divided into 2 groups: occupancy ratio > 30% group and occupancy ratio ≤ 30% group. There were 72 patients (43.9%, 72 of 164) in the occupancy ratio > 30% group and 92 patients (56.1%, 92 of 164) in the occupancy ratio ≤ 30% group. There were no significant differences in age, gender, comorbidities, and cause of injury between the two groups (Table 3). The prognosis in the occupancy ratio > 30% group was significantly worse than that in the occupancy ratio ≤ 30% group (p < 0.001) (Table 4).

In 164 patients with SCIWORA associated with C-OPLL, the incidence of poor prognosis is higher in the group



FIGURE 1: A 54-year-old male patient with SCIWORA associated with C-OPLL received anterior cervical decompression and fusion. (a) Intramedullary high signal intensity (SI) in preoperative sagittal T2-weighted MRI scan. (b) Compressed spinal cord on preoperative axial T2-weighted MRI scan. (c, d) Cervical ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament on sagittal and axial CT scans. (e, f) Anterior cervical decompression and fusion at C3-6 segment on postoperative plain radiographs (anteroposterior and lateral).

with occupancy ratio more than 30% (Figure 4). Among the 72 patients with occupancy ratio more than 30%, poor prognosis was observed in 33 patients (45.8%), whereas in the 92 patients with occupancy ratio less than 30%, poor prognosis was seen in 5 patients (5.4%) (Figure 4).

#### 4. Discussion

For patients with SCIWORA accompanied by C-OPLL, the correlation between occupancy ratio and poor prognosis

remains unclear. Kwon et al. [6] reported that the degree of spinal cord compression correlated with prognosis of SCI. Jung et al. [16] concluded that severe spinal cord compression was a risk factor for acute progression in patients with SCI accompanied by C-OPLL after minor trauma. However, according to other studies, spinal cord compression did not correlate with poor prognosis of SCI. Okada et al. [17] found no correlation between the occupancy ratio and the severity of paralysis at the time of injury in patients with SCI associated with C-OPLL.



FIGURE 2: A 65-year-old male patient with SCIWORA associated with C-OPLL received anterior cervical decompression and fusion. (a) No intramedullary high signal intensity (SI) in preoperative sagittal T2-weighted MRI scan. (b) Compressed spinal cord on preoperative axial T2-weighted MRI scan. (c, d) Cervical ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament on sagittal and axial CT scans. (e, f) anterior cervical decompression and fusion at C4-7 segment on postoperative plain radiographs (anteroposterior and lateral).

In this study, the poor prognosis group had higher occupancy ratio and higher occurrence of spinal cord high intensity on MRI T2WI than the good prognosis group. The result of multivariate analysis showed that higher occupancy ratio and higher occurrence rate of spinal cord intensity correlated with poor prognosis. For patients with SCIWORA accompanied by C-OPLL, OPLL was the static factor, and the possible mechanisms were as follows: (1) direct injury from the ossification mass. At the time of traumatic injury, the spinal cord under static compression of ossification mass could be abruptly pinched by ossification mass, resulting in secondary damage of spinal cord, which induced neurological deficits and deterioration [6]. (2) The buffering and protective potential of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) decreased. For C-OPLL patients, especially those with severe spinal canal stenosis, their CSF zone was narrowed. In traumatic injuries, without effective buffering and protection, the traumatic force will be directly conducted to the spinal cord, which

	0 0 1		
Prognosis	OR	95% CI	<i>p</i> value
High signal changes in T2W MRI			
No	Reference		
Yes	4.679	1.464-14.948	0.009
Preoperative neurologic status			
AIS grade A	Reference		
AIS grade B	0.489	0.037-6.481	0.588
AIS grade C	0.074	0.007-0.768	0.029
AIS grade D	0.023	0.002-0.272	0.003
AIS grade E	0.385	0.027-5.465	0.481
Occupancy ratio	0.126	0.039-0.410	< 0.001

TABLE 2: Multivariate logistic regression analysis results for the prognosis and other factors.



FIGURE 3: The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve of occupancy ratio for predicting the prognosis in all patients. The area under the ROC curve was 0.894.

may induce a concussion of the spinal cord [6]. Therefore, when patients with C-OPLL had traumatic injury, cervical SCI without effective protective potential of CSF may lead to a poor prognosis.

However, Okada et al. [17] did not find any correlation. We hypothesized that the possible reason for this difference was that the severity of paralysis was affected by the degree of spinal cord compression and the degree of traumatic force [18]. The Okada et al. study [17] only included 13 patients with SCI associated with C-OPLL, who did not make comparison of variables within groups, such as cause of injury and degree of traumatic force. For patients with SCI, especially those with severe cervical spinal canal stenosis, surgical treatment may be necessary [3, 18-21]. For patients with SCIWORA, surgical treatment may achieve better neurological prognosis compared with conservative treatment [22]. A previous study [20] included patients with SCI who had received conservative treatment, which may be the reason why all the patients uniformly achieved poor prognosis. Therefore, our study ensured the preconditions of same type of injury and same degree of traumatic force and surgical

treatment and subsequently conducted a follow-up of the patients with SCIWORA accompanied by C-OPLL ranging from 2 to 3 years. Anterior and posterior approaches are the mainstay of treatment for cervical spinal cord compression at present [23]. Chen et al. reported that the absence of cervical lordosis may cause insufficient posterior shifting of the spinal cord after the posterior approach procedure because the spinal cord likely still bowstrings against anterior OPLL [24]. To those massive OPLL patients with canal-occupying ratio > 50% - 60%, the anterior surgery group showed significantly better prognosis than the posterior group, while to those canal-occupying ratio < 50% - 60%, the postoperative JOA score and recovery were similar between the two groups [25]. We recommend the anterior approach for the treatment of OPLL when patients with occupying ratio  $\geq$  50% or absence of cervical lordosis. Nagamoto et al. also performed anterior selective stabilization combined with laminoplasty for massive OPLL, with a canal occupying ratio  $\geq$  50% and hill-shaped ossification [26], which may be an alternative treatment. However, posterior approaches can shorten the operation time and reduce intraoperative blood loss [27]. We recommend posterior cervical spine surgery for patients with worse health status. For patients with occupying ratio < 50% and cervical lordosis, spinal surgeons may choose either anterior or posterior approaches.

The cut-off value of occupancy ratio for predicting the poor prognosis was 30% in the patient with SCIWORA associated with C-OPLL. In the current study, we found 30% to be the cut-off ratio for predicting the poor prognosis in the patients with SCIWORA associated with C-OPLL. For C-OPLL patients, especially those with occupancy ratio > 30%, their CSF zone was narrowed severely, which may lead to lack of effective buffering and protection.

The occurrence rate of high signal changes in spinal cord in MRI T2WI was 84.2% in the poor prognosis group and 41.3% in the good prognosis group, with significant difference. High signal changes in the spinal cord in MRI T2WI originate from dynamic damage of traumatic force and static damage of continuous spinal cord compression. Dynamic damage may be induced by of traumatic force, which abruptly pinches the spinal cord by inner structures of the spinal canal, and causes sudden neurological injury. Static

#### BioMed Research International

*		•	•
Variable	Occupancy ratio > $30\%$ ( $n = 72$ )	Occupancy ratio $\leq 30\%$ ( <i>n</i> = 92)	<i>p</i> value
Age $(\bar{x} \pm s, \text{ years})$	$57.1 \pm 11.0$	$55.7 \pm 11.4$	0.578
Gender (male/female, cases)	53/19	69/23	0.840
Complication			
Hypertension	16	25	0.467
DM	6	16	0.091
Cause of injury			0.884
Ground level falls	32	44	
Bicycle accidents	11	15	
Low-speed MVA	17	17	
High-speed MVA	12	16	

TABLE 3: Comparison of demographic characteristics in the patients according to the classification based on the occupancy ratio.

TABLE 4: Comparison of postoperative prognosis in the patient with SCIWORA associated with C-OPLL.

Variable	Occupancy ratio > $30\%$ ( $n = 72$ )	Occupancy ratio $\leq 30\%$ ( <i>n</i> = 92)	<i>p</i> value
Time from injury to operation ( $\bar{x} \pm s$ , days)	$16.8 \pm 7.4$	$16.1 \pm 8.5$	0.137
Surgical treatment			0.105
ACDF	37	53	
ACOE	12	19	
Anterior hybrid fusion	4	9	
PTLF	19	11	
Intraoperative blood loss $(\bar{x} \pm s, ml)$	$225.0\pm106.4$	$197.7 \pm 119.6$	0.900
Preoperative neurologic status			< 0.001
AIS grade A	8	0	
AIS grade B	9	6	
AIS grade C	10	29	
AIS grade D	10	53	
AIS grade E	36	4	
Postoperative neurologic status at final follow-up			< 0.001
ASI grade A	7	0	
AIS grade B	9	2	
AIS grade C	10	6	
AIS grade D	10	15	
AIS grade E	36	69	
Poor prognosis	33	5	< 0.001

damage may originate from mechanical damage of direct compression from ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament [6]. Kwon et al. [6] found that high signal changes in MRI T2WI were associated with poor prognosis in patients with SCIWORA. Machino et al. [8, 28] found that high signal changes in T2W MRI were associated with preoperative severe paralysis and poor postoperative neurological recovery status. Therefore, based on the existence of high signal changes in T2W MRI, an accurate prediction of the prognosis of patients with SCIWORA associated with C-OPLL cannot be made. However, some patients with high signal spinal cord changes in MRI T2WI and high occupancy ratio had good prognosis. Of the 72 patients with more than 30% occupancy ratio, 31 patients had signal changes and good prognosis. The possible reason was that progressive change is observed in high signal intensity lesions in the intramedullary region. High signal spinal cord changes in MRI T2WI can be observed in patients with early edema and demyelination. Decompression of the spinal cord by surgery can restore blood circulation in the spinal cord and reduce swelling, thus reducing or normalizing the high signal intensity in the intramedullary region. Patients with high signal intensity in the intramedullary region in MRI T2WI can have good prognosis after surgery when they are at early stage of edema and demyelination [29–31]. Ramanauskas et al. [32] reported that in the early stage, the spinal cord demonstrated high signal intensity in MRI T2WI, while in the late stage, low signal intensity in MRI T1WI was noted. Considering the absence of early appearance, we did not take the signal changes in the intramedullary region in



FIGURE 4: The classification based on the occupancy ratio in the patient with SCIWORA associated with C-OPLL. Postoperative prognosis between occupancy ratio > 30% and occupancy ratio  $\leq$  30% group.

MRI T1WI into consideration. There is no correlation between the morphology of OPLL and prognosis in this study. Kim et al. reported that the morphology of OPLL was not associated with a poor prognosis [33].

Consequently, high occupancy ratio and high signal changes in T2W MRI are significant risk factors for developing severe paralysis in patients with SCIWORA associated with C-OPLL. The cut-off value of occupancy ratio for predicting the poor prognosis was 30% in patients with SCI-WORA associated with C-OPLL. The present study had several limitations: (1) the number of subjects was relatively small. (2) Multiple factors are associated with the prognosis of C-OPLL. This study only focused on the occupancy ratio and high signal changes in T2W MRI, which may have ignored other possible significant risk factors. Since this study was retrospective and based on a small sample size, large-scale and prospective studies are necessary and warranted.

#### 5. Conclusion

This study evaluated the occupancy ratio and high signal changes in T2W MRI in patients with SCIWORA associated with C-OPLL.

The poor prognosis group exhibited a higher occupancy ratio and a higher incidence of high signal changes in T2W MRI than the good prognosis group.

The cut-off value of occupancy ratio for predicting the poor prognosis was 30% in patients with SCIWORA associated with C-OPLL.

#### **Data Availability**

If there is any need of the underlying data, I would upload the underlying data as soon as possible.

# **Additional Points**

*Device Status/Drug Statement.* The manuscript submitted does not contain information about medical device(s)/drug(s).

#### **Ethical Approval**

All procedures involving human participants abided the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its amendments. For this is a retrospective study, ethical approval is not required.

# **Conflicts of Interest**

On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

# **Authors' Contributions**

Bing Cao, Fengning Li, and Yifan Tang contributed equally to this work.

#### References

- D. Pang and J. E. Wilberger Jr., "Spinal cord injury without radiographic abnormalities in children," *Journal of Neurosurgery*, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 114–129, 1982.
- [2] J. Song, J. Mizuno, T. Inoue, and H. Nakagawa, "Clinical evaluation of traumatic central cord syndrome: emphasis on clinical significance of prevertebral hyperintensity, cord compression, and intramedullary high-signal intensity on magnetic resonance imaging," *Surgical Neurology*, vol. 65, no. 2, pp. 117–123, 2006.
- [3] H. Chikuda, A. Seichi, K. Takeshita et al., "Acute cervical spinal cord injury complicated by preexisting ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament: a multicenter study," *Spine*, vol. 36, no. 18, pp. 1453–1458, 2011.
- [4] M. K. Tewari, D. S. Gifti, P. Singh et al., "Diagnosis and prognostication of adult spinal cord injury without radiographic abnormality using magnetic resonance imaging: analysis of 40 patients," *Surgical Neurology*, vol. 63, no. 3, pp. 204–209, 2005.
- [5] I. Koyanagi, Y. Iwasaki, K. Hida, M. Akino, H. Imamura, and H. Abe, "Acute cervical cord injury without fracture or dislocation of the spinal column," *Journal of Neurosurgery*, vol. 93, no. 1, pp. 15–20, 2000.
- [6] S. Y. Kwon, J. J. Shin, J. H. Lee, and W. H. Cho, "Prognostic factors for surgical outcome in spinal cord injury associated with ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament (OPLL)," *Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery and Research*, vol. 10, no. 1, p. 94, 2015.
- [7] B. H. Cao, Z. M. Wu, and J. W. Liang, "Risk factors for poor prognosis of cervical spinal cord injury with subaxial cervical spine fracture-dislocation after surgical treatment: a CON-SORT study," *Medical Science Monitor*, vol. 25, no. 1970-1975, pp. 1970–1975, 2019.
- [8] M. Machino, K. Ando, K. Kobayashi et al., "MR T2 image classification in adult patients of cervical spinal cord injury without radiographic abnormality: a predictor of surgical outcome," *Clinical Neurology and Neurosurgery*, vol. 177, pp. 1–5, 2019.
- [9] X. Chen, Y. Zhao, S. Zhou et al., "Anterior cervical ossified posterior longitudinal ligament en bloc resection for the treatment of cervical ossification of posterior longitudinal ligament," *Chinese Journal of Orthopaedics*, vol. 38, no. 24, pp. 1480–1492, 2018.
- [10] R. D. Rao, K. Gourab, and K. S. David, "Operative treatment of cervical spondylotic myelopathy," *The Journal of Bone and*

Joint Surgery. American Volume, vol. 88, no. 7, pp. 1619–1640, 2006.

- [11] T. Hirai, T. Yoshii, K. Sakai et al., "Anterior cervical corpectomy with fusion versus anterior hybrid fusion surgery for patients with severe ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament involving three or more levels: a retrospective comparative study," *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, vol. 10, no. 22, p. 5315, 2021.
- [12] M. Kotter, L. Tetreault, J. H. Badhiwala et al., "Surgical outcomes following laminectomy with fusion versus laminectomy alone in patients with degenerative cervical myelopathy," *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*, vol. 45, no. 24, pp. 1696–1703, 2020.
- [13] T. Yoshii, K. Sakai, T. Hirai et al., "Anterior decompression with fusion versus posterior decompression with fusion for massive cervical ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament with a ≥50% canal occupying ratio: a multicenter retrospective study," *The Spine Journal*, vol. 16, no. 11, pp. 1351– 1357, 2016.
- [14] M. Machino, K. Ando, K. Kobayashi et al., "Postoperative resolution of MR T2 increased signal intensity in cervical spondylotic myelopathy: the impact of signal change resolution on the outcomes," *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*, vol. 44, no. 21, pp. E1241– E1247, 2019.
- [15] W. J. Youden, "Index for rating diagnostic tests," *Cancer*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 32–35, 1950.
- [16] J. M. Jung, C. K. Chung, C. H. Kim, and Y. Choi, "Risk factors and prognosis for acute progression of myelopathic symptoms in patients ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament after minor trauma," *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. E171–E176, 2018.
- [17] S. Okada, T. Maeda, Y. Ohkawa et al., "Does ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament affect the neurological outcome after traumatic cervical cord injury," *Spine (Phila Pa* 1976), vol. 34, no. 11, pp. 1148–1152, 2009.
- [18] L. Chen, H. Yang, T. Yang, Y. Xu, Z. Bao, and T. Tang, "Effectiveness of surgical treatment for traumatic central cord syndrome," *Journal of Neurosurgery. Spine*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 3– 8, 2009.
- [19] M. G. Fehlings, D. Rabin, W. Sears, D. W. Cadotte, and B. Aarabi, "Current practice in the timing of surgical intervention in spinal cord injury," *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*, vol. 35, Supplement, pp. S166–S173, 2010.
- [20] G. La Rosa, A. Conti, S. Cardali, F. Cacciola, and F. Tomasello, "Does early decompression improve neurological outcome of spinal cord injured patients? ApprAISal of the literature using a meta-analytical approach," *Spinal Cord*, vol. 42, no. 9, pp. 503–512, 2004.
- [21] B. Lenehan, C. G. Fisher, A. Vaccaro, M. Fehlings, B. Aarabi, and M. F. Dvorak, "The urgency of surgical decompression in acute central cord injuries with spondylosis and without instability," *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*, vol. 35, Supplement, pp. S180–S186, 2010.
- [22] S. Lee, C. Kim, J. K. Ha, S. K. Jung, and J. H. Park, "Comparison of early surgical treatment with conservative treatment of incomplete cervical spinal cord injury without major fracture or dislocation in patients with pre-existing cervical spinal stenosis," *Clinical Spine Surgery*, vol. 43, 2021.
- [23] T. Yoshii, S. Egawa, T. Hirai et al., "A systematic review and meta-analysis comparing anterior decompression with fusion and posterior laminoplasty for cervical ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament," *Journal of Orthopaedic Science*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 58–65, 2020.

- [24] Y. Chen, Y. Guo, X. Lu et al., "Surgical strategy for multilevel severe ossification of posterior longitudinal ligament in the cervical spine," *Journal of Spinal Disorders & Techniques*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 24–30, 2011.
- [25] F. Feng, W. Ruan, Z. Liu, Y. Li, and L. Cai, "Anterior versus posterior approach for the treatment of cervical compressive myelopathy due to ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *International Journal of Surgery*, vol. 27, pp. 26–33, 2016.
- [26] Y. Nagamoto, M. Iwasaki, S. Okuda et al., "Anterior selective stabilization combined with laminoplasty for cervical myelopathy due to massive ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament: report of early outcomes in 14 patients," *Journal of Neurosurgery. Spine*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 58–64, 2020.
- [27] P. Xu, G. D. Sun, L. Xun, S. S. Huang, and Z. Z. Li, "Posterior decompression and fusion versus laminoplasty for cervical ossification of posterior longitudinal ligament: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *Neurosurgical Review*, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 1457–1469, 2021.
- [28] M. Machino, Y. Yukawa, K. Ito et al., "Can magnetic resonance imaging reflect the prognosis in patients of cervical spinal cord injury without radiographic abnormality," *Spine (Phila Pa* 1976), vol. 36, no. 24, pp. E1568–E1572, 2011.
- [29] T. Ito, K. Oyanagi, H. Takahashi, H. E. Takahashi, and F. Ikuta, "Clinicopathologic study on the progression pattern and thin myelinated fibers of the lesions of seven patients examined during complete autopsy," *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*, vol. 21, no. 7, pp. 827–833, 1996.
- [30] I. Ohshio, A. Hatayama, K. Kaneda, M. Takahara, and K. Nagashima, "Correlation between histopathologic features and magnetic resonance images of spinal cord lesions," *Spine* (*Phila Pa 1976*), vol. 18, no. 9, pp. 1140–1149, 1993.
- [31] W. L. Ramanauskas, H. I. Wilner, J. J. Metes, A. Lazo, and J. K. Kelly, "MR imaging of compressive myelomalacia," *Journal of Computer Assisted Tomography*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 399–404, 1989.
- [32] A. Chatley, R. Kumar, V. K. Jain, S. Behari, and R. N. Sahu, "Effect of spinal cord signal intensity changes on clinical outcome after surgery for cervical spondylotic myelopathy," *Journal of Neurosurgery. Spine*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 562–567, 2009.
- [33] B. Kim, D. H. Yoon, H. C. Shin et al., "Surgical outcome and prognostic factors of anterior decompression and fusion for cervical compressive myelopathy due to ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament," *The Spine Journal*, vol. 15, no. 5, pp. 875–884, 2015.