

Review Article

Anatomical Evaluation of Root and Root Canal Morphology of Permanent Mandibular Dentition among the Saudi Arabian Population: A Systematic Review

Mohammed Mashyakhy^(b),¹ Nada AlTuwaijri^(b),^{2,3} Reuof Alessa^(b),^{2,3} Nouf Alazzam^(b),^{2,3} Bushra Alotaibi^(b),^{2,3} Rahaf Almutairi^(b),^{2,3} Riyad Alroomy^(b),⁴ Gunaranjan Thota^(b),⁴ Abdulaziz Abu Melha^(b),⁵ Mazen F. Alkahtany^(b),⁶ Khalid H. Almadi^(b),⁶ Hitesh Chohan^(b),¹ Mohammed Tarrosh^(b),¹ and Mubashir Baig Mirza^(b)⁷

¹Restorative Dental Science Department, College of Dentistry, Jazan University, Jazan, Saudi Arabia

²College of Dentistry, King Saud Bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

³King Abdullah International Medical Research Center, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

⁴Restorative Dental Science Department, College of Dentistry, Majmaah University, Al Majmaah, Saudi Arabia

⁵Restorative Dental Science Department, College of Dentistry, King Khalid University, Saudi Arabia

⁶Restorative Dental Science Department, Division of Endodontics, College of Dentistry, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia ⁷Conservative Dental Science Department, College of Dentistry, Prince Sattam Bin Abdulaziz University, Saudi Arabia

Correspondence should be addressed to Mohammed Mashyakhy; dr.mashyakhy@gmail.com

Received 1 May 2022; Revised 23 May 2022; Accepted 22 July 2022; Published 2 August 2022

Academic Editor: Konstantinos Michalakis

Copyright © 2022 Mohammed Mashyakhy et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

This study aimed to conduct a compendious review of root canal morphology of "permanent mandibular teeth in different regions of Saudi Arabia" to obtain a large sample representing the total population. A detailed search through the databases Web of Science, Scopus, and PubMed was conducted following the PRISMA guidelines. The data were analyzed based on the following inclusion criteria: original full-length original articles that reported the variables of interest "(number of roots, number of canals, Vertucci's classification system and C-shaped canals or mid-mesial canals)" of the mandibular teeth and conducted on Saudi subjects. The retrieved data were presented as frequencies and percentages. The results revealed that 56.6% of mandibular central incisors had one canal and Vertucci type I (56.6%), while 57.4% of the mandibular lateral incisors had one canal, with Vertucci types I and III most frequent. In mandibular canines, 91.8% had one canal and 8.2% had two canals. Most of the mandibular first premolars had one root (86.6%), while almost all mandibular second premolars (91.5%) had one canal, and 96.9% had Vertucci type I configuration. Among the mandibular first molars, three and four canals were prevalent in 58.7% and 40.6%, respectively. The majority of mesial roots had Vertucci type IV (60.6%), and most of distal roots had Vertucci type I (72.2%). Most of the mandibular second molars had three canals (87.3%) and showed Vertucci type IV (39.4%) canals for mesial roots and Vertucci type I (95.6%) for distal roots. The C-shaped canals were seen in 8% of first premolars and 9.8% of second molars. The middle mesial canal was found in 4.2% and 0.4% of first and second molars, respectively. This review could represent "the population of Saudi Arabia as the included samples were combined from different regions of the country." Some variations were noticed within the same group of teeth from different regions. However, the overall results of combined samples were comparable to the other international studies.

1. Introduction

A successful root canal treatment (RCT) mainly depends on locating all root canals, debriding them entirely following the proper disinfection protocol and filling the root canal system (RCS) with an inert root filling material three dimensionally. [1] Daily, the wide range of RCSs poses a great challenge to clinicians in dental practice. Proper knowledge and the presence of advanced diagnostic tools to evaluate root canal anatomy are key to performing correct diagnoses and treatments and for achieving successful outcomes. The RCS involves obstacles, variations, and difficulties, especially posterior teeth, that negatively affect the main purpose of root canal treatment and reduce prognosis. [2, 3] Failure of RCT can be attributed to many factors; however, infection in missed, unfilled, or incompletely debrided canals is the main culprit. [4-9] Over the past decades, several studies have been carried out to investigate the external and internal anatomy of the root and root canal system, and these have found many variations. In addition, many techniques have been adopted to investigate the external and internal anatomy of teeth, such as "root sectioning, canal staining, tooth cleaning and microscopic examination" [10, 11], two-dimensional radiography [12, 13], and "threedimensional (3D) technologies, including cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) [13] and microcomputed tomography (μ CT)." [14] Thus, the results of anatomical and morphological investigations may differ based on the study technique and the age, gender, and population of the group of interest. [15–17]

Several studies have been conducted utilizing various methodologies to evaluate mandibular "permanent dentition anatomy in various sub-populations in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA) since 1999". [3, 10, 13, 14, 18–33] Among some of those studies, the sample was defined as "Saudi population," whereas in some others, it was described as "Saudi sub-population," representing "different regions of Saudi Arabia, most of which were from the central region." A critical concern is the representativeness of the samples to the whole Saudi population. A systematic review was found regarding the root and root canal variations of maxillary teeth among Saudi population. However, to the best of the authors' knowledge, there is no previous systematic review that has been conducted for mandibular teeth among Saudi population. [34]

Thus, the aim of this systematic review was to collect and combine all anatomical studies of mandibular permanent dentition conducted in the KSA to "achieve a large sample size that represents all regions of the country as a true KSA population sample." The combined studies were then analyzed based on the number of roots, number of canals, and root canal configurations, and the findings were compared with those of other international studies.

2. Methodology

2.1. Research Question. This review was conducted following the guidelines of the "Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-analysis (PRISMA)." The research question was "What is the prevalence of the number of roots, number of canals and root canal configuration of the permanent mandibular teeth among the Saudi population?" 2.2. Search Strategy. An online search through the main databases (Web of Science, Scopus, and PubMed) was conducted to retrieve the relevant studies by November 2021. Furthermore, a manual search was conducted on the hosting publishers (Wiley, ScienceDirect, and Springer) and individually on the well-known endodontic journals "(Journal of Endodontics, International Journal of Endodontics, Australian Endodontic Journal, European Endodontic Journal and Saudi Endodontic Journal)" to identify more related studies. Different combinations of the following words were used in the search strategy: "root canal morphology," "root canal anatomy," "root canal configuration," "C-shaped canals," "midmesial canals," "mandibular teeth," "mandibular," "Kingdom of Saudi Arabia," "Saudi Arabia," "Saudi" and "KSA."

Two authors (N.A. and R.A.) reviewed the extracted studies independently based on the following inclusion criteria: "original full-length articles that reported some or all of the study variables (number of roots, number of canals, Vertucci's classification system, C-shaped canals and mid-mesial canals) of the mandibular teeth, conducted on Saudi subjects (in-vivo) or teeth extracted from Saudi subjects (in-vitro), and published in English." No time range was set for the search. All irrelevant studies, case reports and reviews, including abstracts, editorials, and studies with mixed populations, were excluded from the analysis. The initially extracted studies were firstly reviewed based on their titles and abstracts, and irrelevant studies were excluded. After that, the full text of the remaining studies was reviewed for eligibility. Moreover, the "reference lists of the studies that were included were screened for any possible relevant studies not included in the first evaluation." In case of any disagreement between the two reviewers, a group discussion was opened with a third reviewer who was a specialist in endodontics (M.M.) to reach a consensus.

2.3. Data Extraction. The following parameters were extracted from the included studies: "authors (first author); year; region and city; research tool; investigated variables; number, gender, and age of the included subjects; type of teeth and number of teeth." The main outcomes included the number of roots, the number of canals, and root canal morphology according to Vertucci's classification system. The secondary outcomes included the presence of C-shaped canals or midmesial canals. "The recruited information was extracted to a spread sheet file (MS Excel) and tabulated according to type of teeth. Frequency and percentage of each variable were reported, including the total of each category."

3. Results

3.1. Study Selection. A total of 396 studies were retrieved from the search on the databases. In the first round of review, 45 studies were removed as duplicates, and 326 studies were excluded as irrelevant according to their titles and abstracts (e.g., abstracts, case report, editorials). In the second round of review, the full texts of the remaining 25 studies were reviewed for eligibility. Three studies were excluded because of the missing details on the main study variables. "Finally, 22 studies were included in the qualitative analysis (Figure 1)."



FIGURE 1: Flowchart of methodology according to PRISMA guidelines.

3.2. Characteristics of the Included Studies. Main outcomes: A total of 15 studies were conducted for the investigating of "number of roots, number of canals or root canal morphology." Of them, 13 studies were performed on Saudi subjects (in-vivo), whereas two studies were performed on extracted teeth (in-vitro) of Saudi subjects. Regarding the method of investigation, 12 studies used CBCT, one study used panoramic X-ray (PA), while two studies used the drying method and dye (India ink) for the extracted teeth. Regarding the distribution of the studies, six studies were conducted in the central, six in the southern, two in the western, and one in the northern regions of the KSA. "A total of 4423 subjects were involved in these studies (three studies did not report the number of subjects)." It should be noted that two studies used the same subjects for the investigation of canine, first premolar, and second premolar teeth. Also, another three studies used the same subjects for the investigation of central, lateral, canine, first molar, and second molar teeth. The age of the subjects ranged from 13 years to 80 years (four studies did not report the age of the participants). Concerning gender distribution, 1909 were males, and 2102 were females (five studies did not report the gender distribution). The external and internal anatomy and morphology of 9868 mandibular teeth were investigated by these studies. Four studies investigated mandibular central and lateral incisors (N=1136 central and 1138 lateral incisors), three studies assessed mandibular canines (N=1558 teeth), five studies evaluated mandibular first and second premolars (N=1459 first premolars and 3495 second premolars), and four studies examined mandibular first and second molars (N=715 first molars and 367 second molars). It should be noted that some studies investigated more than one type of teeth (e.g., central and lateral incisors or first and second premolars or molars). With regard to the variables of interest, "13 studies reported the number of roots and canals and used Vertucci's classification system while two studies described the number of roots and canals. More details are presented in Table 1."

Secondary outcomes: Seven studies investigated the C-shaped canals and mid-mesial canals of the mandibular first and second premolars and molars, with a total of 5070 teeth (N=3550 teeth for the C-shaped canals, and 1520 teeth for mid-mesial canals). All studies were conducted on Saudi subjects (*in-vivo*). Of these, five studies used CBCT and two studies used PA. There were 1328 subjects in these studies, with gender distribution of 634 males and 694 females,

Alam et al.

Alfawaz et al.

Alghamdi &

Mashyakhy et al.

Al-Nazhan S.

Khalil

Second

premolars First premolars

Second

premolars

Second

premolars

First molars

First molars

First molars

Second molars

752

391

343

2400

174

251

290

367

TABLE 1: Character	istics o	f the studie	s investigated nu	mber of roots, number	r of canals, an	d root canal m	orpholo	ogy among Saudi p	opulation.
Study	Year	Region	Method	Investigation	No. subjects	Gender	Age	Type of teeth	No. teeth
Mohamed et al.	2021	Central	In-vivo; CBCT	#roots; #canals; Vertucci	94	62 M; 32 F	> 13	Centrals Laterals	188 188
Ghabbani et al.	2020	Western	In-vivo; CBCT	#roots; #canals; Vertucci	NR	NR	20 - 80	Centrals Laterals	498 498
Mashyakhy M.	2019	Southern	In-vivo; CBCT	#roots; #canals; Vertucci	208	100 M; 108 F	17 - 62	Centrals Laterals	410 412
Al-Fouzan et al.	2012	Central	In-vitro; dye	#roots; #canals; Vertucci	NR	NR	NR	Centrals Laterals	40 40
Almohaimede et al.	2021	Central	In-vivo; CBCT	#roots; #canals; Vertucci	1328	565 M; 763 F	18 - 74	Canines	694
Mashyakhy M.	2019	Southern	In-vivo; CBCT	#roots; #canals; Vertucci	208	100 M; 108 F	17 - 62	Canines	410
Al-Dahman et al.	2019	Central	In-vivo; CBCT	#roots; #canals; Vertucci	707	311 M; 396 F	16 - 79	Canines	454
Algarni et al.	2021	Southern	In-vivo; CBCT	#roots; #canals; Vertucci	166	NR	NR	First premolars	216
Chourasia et al.	2017	Southern	In-vitro; dye	#roots; #canals; Vertucci	NR	NR	NR	First premolars	100
A1 / 1	2020	NT (1	In-vivo;	1	254	210 M;	15 -	First premolars	752

#roots; #canals

#roots; #canals;

Vertucci

#roots; #canals;

Vertucci

#roots; #canals;

Vertucci

#roots; #canals

#roots: #canals:

Vertucci

TABLE

and the age ranged from 12 to 78 years (two studies did not report the number of subjects, gender distribution, or age of the participants). Regarding the distribution of the studies, five were conducted in the central region, one in the southern region, and one study was conducted on subjects from southern and western regions of the KSA. There were two studies that assessed the C-shaped canals of first and second premolars (N =673 first premolars and 637 second premolars) and four studies that assessed the C-shaped canals of first and second molars (N =926 first molars and 1421 second molars). There were three studies that assessed the Cshaped canals of more than one type of teeth in the same study. Also, there was one study that assessed the Cshaped canals of second molars in two regions of the KSA. Regarding the mid-mesial canals, one study assessed the mid-mesial canals of first and second molars, and another study assessed the mid-mesial canals of first molars only, with a total of 830 first molars and 690 second molars. More details are presented in Table 2.

2020 Northern

2021 Western

Central

Southern

Central

2019

2019

1999

Mashyakhy et al. 2021 Southern

CBCT

In-vivo;

CBCT

In-vivo;

CBCT

In-vivo;

CBCT

In-vivo; PA

In-vivo:

CBCT

3.3. Main Outcome Measures

376

707

1200

98

246

208

166 F

311 M;

396 F

600 M;

600 F

61 M; 37 F

NR

100 M;

108 F

60

16 -

79

18 -

75

15 -

50 NR

17 -

62

3.3.1. Mandibular Central Incisors. All four studies that investigated the mandibular central incisors reported one root for all teeth (N = 1136 teeth [100%]) (Table 3). However, more than half of the teeth (N = 643 teeth [56.6%]) had one canal and 43.4% (N=493 teeth) had two canals. "All studies reported Vertucci type I (56.6% of teeth), and Vertucci type III (38.2% of teeth)." However, one study reported Vertucci type II (1.7% of teeth) and Vertucci type IV (0.2% of teeth), and one study reported Vertucci type VII (0.9% of teeth), while two studies reported Vertucci type V (2.3% of teeth). No study reported Vertucci types VI and VIII.

3.3.2. Mandibular Lateral Incisors. Four studies investigated the mandibular lateral incisors, with a total of 1138 teeth (Table 3). About 99.8% (N=1136 teeth) had one root, and only one study reported two teeth with two roots (0.2%). However, 57.4% (N=653 teeth) had one canal and 42.6%

Study	Year	Region	Method	Investigation	No. subjects	Gender	Age	Type of teeth	No. teeth
			In vivo.					First premolars	276
Srivastava et al.	2019	Central	CBCT	C-shaped canals	156	82 M; 74 F	38.5	Second premolars	258
								First premolars	397
Mashyakhy et al.	2020	Southern	In-vivo;	C-shaped canals	208	100 M;	17 - 62	Second premolars	379
			CBC1	-		108 F		First molars	290
								Second molars	367
Aldosimani	2020	Control	In-vivo;	Mid-mesial	205	181 M;	31.5;	First molars	687
et al.	2020	Central	CBCT	canals	395	214 F	28.9	Second molars	690
A16	2010	Control	In-vivo;	C shared south	407	228 M;	10 70	First molars	529
Alfawaz et al.	2019	Central	CBCT	C-shaped canals	487	259 F	12 - 78	Second molars	681
Srivastava et al.	2018	Central	In-vivo; CBCT	Mid-mesial canals	82	43 M; 39 F	13 - 70	First molars	143
Al-Fouzan K.	2002	Central	In-vivo; PA	C-shaped canals	NR	NR	NR	Second molars	151
D.h	2010	Western	In-vivo; PA	C-shaped canals	NR	NR	NR	Second molars	168
Banammam L	2018	Southern	In-vivo; PA	C-shaped canals	NR	NR	NR	Second molars	54

TABLE 2: Characteristics of the studies investigated C-shaped and mid-mesial canals among Saudi population.

(N=485 teeth) had two canals. Regarding root canal morphology, all four studies reported Vertucci types I and III, with 57.4% (N=653) and 37.8% (N=430) of teeth, respectively. Vertucci type V was reported by three studies with 3.3% (N=37) of teeth, while one study reported Vertucci type II (N=11 teeth [1.0%]), and another different study reported Vertucci type VII (N=7 teeth [0.6%]). No study reported Vertucci types IV, VI, or VIII.

3.3.3. Mandibular Canines. As shown in Table 3, three studies investigated the mandibular canines with a total of 1558 teeth, of which 97.9% (N = 1526 teeth) had one root and 2.1% (N = 32 teeth) had two roots. Most teeth had one canal (N = 1430 teeth [91.8%]) and 8.2% (N = 128 teeth) had two canals. In total, 92.7% (N = 1444 teeth) had Vertucci type I and 3.4% (N = 53 teeth) had Vertucci type III. Two studies reported Vertucci types II (N = 30 teeth), two studies reported Vertucci types II (N = 23 teeth) and IV (N = 6 teeth), while only one study reported Vertucci type VII (N = 2 teeth).

3.3.4. Mandibular First Premolars. Four studies investigated the number of roots and number of canals of the mandibular first premolars (N=1459 teeth). Of these, 86.6% (N=1263 teeth) had one root, 13.2% (N=192 teeth) had two roots, and 0.2% (N=4 teeth) had three roots. Regarding the number of canals, 75.3% (N=1099 teeth) had one canal, 22.9% (N=334 teeth) had two canals, and only 1.8% (N=26 teeth) had three canals. The three studies that investigated the root canal morphology (N=707 teeth) found Vertucci types I (79.3%), II (5.4%), III (4.7%), IV (2.1%), V (6.8%), and VI (0.4%. However, no study reported Vertucci type VII, only one study reported Vertucci type VIII (0.8%), and "one study reported other canal configurations (0.6%). More details are presented in Table 4."

3.3.5. Mandibular Second Premolars. Three studies investigated the number of roots and number of canals of 3495 mandibular second premolars. Mandibular second premolars with one root were the most prevalent (N = 3352 teeth [84.4%]), followed by mandibular second premolars with two roots (N = 141 teeth [4.0%]). Only one study reported mandibular second premolars with three roots (N = 2 teeth [0.1%]). Teeth with one canal were the most prevalent (N =3199 teeth [91.5%]), followed by teeth with two canals (N = 290 teeth [8.3%]). Only one study reported teeth with three canals (N=6 teeth [0.2%]), and no study reported teeth with four canals. Two studies investigated the root canal morphology of 2743 mandibular second premolars. All these studies reported Vertucci types I (96.9%), II (1.6%), III (0.1%), IV (0.8%), and V (0.3%). However, only one study reported Vertucci type VI (0.1%) and another study reported Vertucci type VIII (0.2%). More details are provided in Table 4.

3.3.6. Mandibular First Molars. "Three studies investigated the number of roots and number of canals of mandibular first molars (N = 715 teeth)." Most teeth had two roots (N = 679 teeth [98.9%]), while 36 teeth (0.2%) had three roots. These studies also investigated the number of canals. More than half of the teeth (N = 420 teeth [58.7%]) had three canals, 270 (40.6%) teeth had four canals, and two studies reported five (0.7%) "teeth with two canals" (Table 5). "Only two studies investigated the root canal morphology of all roots" (N = 464 teeth). The most prevalent feature of the canal morphology of mesial roots was Vertucci type IV (60.6%), followed by Vertucci type II (33.8%). For distal roots, 72.2% (N = 335 teeth) had Vertucci type I, followed by Vertucci type III (N = 61 teeth [13.1%]). More details are presented in Table 6.

	. 1	C 1	1		C 1.1 1	• • • 1	•	0 1.	1
LADIE 3. Number of 1	conte number o	t conole one	1 root cana	Continuration	at mandibula	r inciente and	commer amor	a Sandi	nonulation
TABLE J. INUITIOUT OF I	0000 mumber 0	i canais, and	i i uut cana	i comiguiation y	Ji manululula	i meisors and	cammes amor	ie Sauur	DODUIATION
				0				0	I I

	Region		0 1	# roots	(%)	# cana	als (%)			Ve	rtucci's	s syster	m (%	5)		
Study (year)	City	Method	Sample	1	2	1	2	Ι	II	III	IV	v	VI	VII	VIII	Others
					Ce	entral in	icisors									
Mohamed et al. 2021	Center Qassim	CBCT In-vivo	188	188 (100.0)		77 (41.0)	111 (59.0)	77 (41.0)	19 (10.1)	86 (45.7)	2 (1.1)	5 (2.7)				
Ghabbani et al. 2020	Western Al- Madinah	CBCT In-vivo	498	498 (100.0)		236 (47.4)	262 (52.6)	236 (47.4)		228 (45.8)		24 (4.8)		10 (2.0)		
Mashyakhy M. 2019	Southern Jazan	CBCT In-vivo	410	410 (100.0)		302 (73.7)	108 (26.3)	302 (73.7)		108 (26.3)						
Al-Fouzan et al. 2012	Center Riyadh	Dye In-vitro	40	40 (100.0)		28 (70.0)	12 (30.0)	28 (70.0)		12 (30.0)						
Total			1136	1136 (100.0)		643 (56.6)	493 (43.4)	643 (56.6)	19 (1.7)	434 (38.2)	2 (0.2)	29 (2.3)		10 (0.9)		
					La	ateral in	cisors									
Mohamed et al. 2021	Center Qassim	CBCT In-vivo	188	188 (100.0)		90 (47.9)	98 (52.1)	90 (47.9)	11 (5.9)	83 (44.1)		4 (2.1)				
Ghabbani et al. 2020	Western Al- Madinah	CBCT In-vivo	498	498 (100.0)		250 (50.2)	248 (49.8)	250 (50.2)		212 (42.8)		29 (5.8)		7 (1.4)		
Mashyakhy M. 2019	Southern Jazan	CBCT In-vivo	412	410 (99.5)	2 (0.5)	285 (69.2)	127 (30.8)	285 (69.2)		123 (29.8)		4 (1.0)				
Al-Fouzan et al. 2012	Center Riyadh	Dye In-vitro	40	40 (100.0)		28 (70.0)	12 (30.0)	28 (70.0)		12 (30.0)						
Total			1138	1136 (99.8)	2 (0.2)	653 (57.4)	485 (42.6)	653 (57.4)	11 (1.0)	430 (37.8)		37 (3.3)		7 (0.6)		
						Canin	es									
Almohaimede et al. 2021	Center Riyadh	CBCT In-vivo	694	674 (97.1)	20 (2.9)	625 (90.1)	69 (9.9)	639 (92.1)	11 (1.6)	20 (2.9)	5 (0.7)	17 (2.4)		2 (0.3)		
Mashyakhy M. 2019	Southern Jazan	CBCT In-vivo	410	399 (97.3)	11 (2.7)	372 (90.7)	38 (9.3)	372 (90.7)		25 (6.1)		13 (3.2)				
Al-Dahman et al. 2019	Center Riyadh	CBCT In-vivo	454	453 (99.8)	1 (0.2)	433 (95.4)	21 (4.6)	433 (95.4)	12 (2.6)	8 (1.8)	1 (0.2)					
Total			1558	1526 (97.9)	32 (2.1)	1430 (91.8)	128 (8.2)	1444 (92.7)	23 (1.5)	53 (3.4)	6 (0.4)	30 (1.9)		2 (0.1)		

3.3.7. Mandibular Second Molars. As shown in Table 5, only one study investigated the mandibular second molars (N = 338teeth). Of these, two teeth (0.6%) had one root, 329 teeth (97.3%) had two roots, and seven teeth (2.1%) had three roots (Table 4). Most teeth (N = 295 teeth [87.3%]) had three canals, followed by two canals (N = 23 teeth [6.8%]) and 20 teeth (5.9%) had three canals. Regarding the Vertucci classification system, the most prevalent feature for mesial roots was Vertucci type IV (39.4%) followed by Vertucci type II (25.4%). However, the most prevalent feature was for the distal roots was Vertucci type I (95.6%) followed by Vertucci type V (2.6%). More details are shown in Table 6.

3.3.8. Secondary Outcome Measures. C-shaped canals: Two studies explored the prevalence of C-shaped canals in mandibular first and second premolars (N=673 first premolars and 637 second premolars). The prevalence of C-shaped canals was 8% (N=54 teeth) among first premolars and 3.5% (N=22 teeth) among second premolars (Table 7). For mandibular first molars, two studies investigated C-shaped canals (N=819 teeth). Of them, only one study found only one tooth (0.1%) with a C-shaped canal. For mandibular second molars, four studies (N=1421 teeth) reported C-shaped canals in 139 teeth (9.8%). More details are given in Table 8.

Mid-mesial canals: Two studies (N = 830 teeth) investigated the mid-mesial canals in mandibular first molars and found 35 (4.2%) teeth with mid-mesial canals. However, only one study (N = 690 teeth) investigated the mid-mesial canals in mandibular second molars and found only three (0.4%) teeth with mid-mesial canals. More details are found in Table 9.

4. Discussion

Dentists may confront clinical challenges as a result of root canal morphology. One way in which the issues that may arise during endodontic therapy can be overcome is by

Ctd., ()	Darrien Citte	Mathad	C1	# 1	roots (%)		#	canals (%)				Vertucci	s system	1 (%)			
oluay (year)	region city	MELLIOU	Sample	1	2	3	1	2	3 4	Ι	Π	III	IV	Ň	ΝI	ΛII	VIII (Others
							First prei	molars										
Algarni et al. (2021)	Southern Aseer	CBCT In-vivo	216	198 (91.7)	18 (8.3)		147 (68.1)	51 (23.6)	18 (8.3)	148 (68.5)	24 (11.1)	13 (6.0)	(1.4)	26 (12.1)	$^{2}_{(0.9)}$			
Alam et al. (2020)	Northern Sakaka	CBCT In-vivo	752	608 (80.9)	144 (19.1)		536 (71.3)	216 (28.7)										
Alfawaz et al. (2019)	Center Riyadh	CBCT In-vivo	391	377 (96.4)	12 (3.1)	2 (0.5)	344 (88.0)	41 (10.5)	6 (1.5)	344 (88.0)	14 (3.6)	12 (3.1)	8 (2.0)	6 (1.5)	1 (0.3)		6 1.5)	
Chourasia et al. (2017)	Southern Jazan	Dye In-vitro	100	80 (80.0)	18 (18.0)	2 (2.0)	72 (72.0)	26 (26.0)	2 (2.0)	69 (0.69)		8 (8.0)	$\frac{4}{(4.0)}$	16 (16.0)				$^{4}_{(4.0)}$
Total			1459	1263 (86.6)	192 (13.2)	4 (0.2)	1099 (75.3)	334 (22.9)	26 (1.8)	561 (79.3)'	38° (5.4) ^{α}	$33 (4.7)^{\alpha}$	$\frac{15}{(2.1)^{\alpha}}$	$48(6.8)^{\alpha}$	3 $(0.4)^{lpha}$)	6 0.8) ^α	$4 \\ (0.6)^{\alpha}$
						Se	cond pro	emolars										
Alghamdi & Khalil 2021	Western Jeddah	CBCT In-vivo	2400	2360 (98.3)	40 (1.7)		2350 (97.9)	50 (2.1)		2350 (97.9)	28 (1.2)	2 (0.1)	14 (0.6)	4 (0.2)	2(0.1)			
Alam et al. (2020)	Northern Sakaka	CBCT In-vivo	752	664 (88.3)	88 (11.7)		540 (71.8)	212 (28.2)										
Alfawaz et al. (2019)	Center Riyadh	CBCT In-vivo	343	328 (95.6)	13 (3.8)	2 (0.6)	309 (90.1)	28 (8.2)	6 (1.7)	309 (90.1)	15 (4.4)	1 (0.3)	9 (2.6)	3 (0.9)		0	6 [1.7]	
Total			3495	3352 (95.9)	141 (4.0)	$^{2}_{(0.1)}$	3199 (91.5)	290 (8.3)	6 (0.2)	2659 (96.9) [/]	$^{43}_{(1.6)^{\beta}}$	$(0.1)^{eta}$	$\begin{array}{c} 23\\ (0.8)^{\beta}\end{array}$	$^{7}_{(0.3)^{\beta}}$	$\begin{array}{c}2\\(0.1)^{\beta}\end{array}$	0	6 $(0.2)^{eta}$	
^{α} The percentage is out of 707	teeth (the studi	es that inve	stigated Ver	rtucci syst	tem of 1 st	premola	(rs); $^{\beta}$ the	percenta	ge is out o	f 2743 tee	th (the stu	dies that i	nvestigate	d Vertuce	ci system	of 2 nd p	remolars	

BioMed Research International

Study (mag)	Decien City	Mathad	Commla		# roots	(%)			# c	anals (%)	
Study (year)	Region City	Method	Sample	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
			First	molars							
Mashyakhy et al. (2021)	Southern Jazan	CBCT In-vivo	290		274 (94.5)	16 (5.5)			2 (0.7)	187 (64.5)	101 (34.8)
Mashyakhy et al. (2019)	Southern Jazan	CBCT In-vivo	174		169 (97.1)	5 (2.9)			3 (1.7)	127 (73.0)	44 (25.3)
Al-Nazhan S. (1999)	Center Riyadh	PA In-vivo	251		236 (94.0)	15 (6.0)				106 (42.2)	145 (57.8)
Total			715		679 (95.0)	36 (5.0)			5 (0.7)	420 (58.7)	290 (40.6)
			Secon	d molars							
Mashyakhy et al. (2021)	Southern Jazan	CBCT In-vivo	338 ^{<i>α</i>}	2 (0.6)	329 (97.3)	7 (2.1)			23 (6.8)	295 (87.3)	20 (5.9)
Total			338	2 (0.6)	329 (97.3)	7 (2.1)			23 (6.8)	295 (87.3)	20 (5.9)

TABLE 5: Number of roots and number of canals of mandibular first and second molars among Saudi population.

 $^{\alpha}$ 29 C-shaped teeth were excluded from the total of 367.

TABLE 6: Root canal configuration of mandibular first and second molars among Saudi population.

Study (man)	Degion City	Mathad	Commlo	True of most			V	vertucci'	's systen	n (%)			
Study (year)	Region City	Method	Sample	Type of root	Ι	II	III	IV	v	VI	VII	VIII	Others
				First mola	ars								
				Mesial	3	105	4	168	10				
Mashvakhy et al. (2021)	Southern	CBCT	290	Roots	(1.0)	(36.2)	(1.4)	(57.9)	(3.4)				
Widshiyakiiy et al. (2021)	Jazan	In-vivo	270	Distal	200	9	50	2	29				
				Roots	(69.0)	(3.1)	(17.2)	(0.7)	(10.0)				
				Mesial	3	52	3	113	2	1			
Mashyakhy et al. (2019)	Southern	CBCT	174	Roots	(1.7)	(29.9)	(1.7)	(64.9)	(1.1)	(0.6)			
	Jazan	In-vivo		Distal	135	11	11	6	10	2			
				Roots	(77.0)	(6.3)	(6.3)	(3.5)	(5.8)	(1.2)			
				Mesial	6	157	7	281	12	1			
Total			464	Roots	(1.3)	(33.8)	(1.5)	(60.6)	(2.6)	(0.2)			
				Distal	335	20	61	8	39	2			
				Roots	(72.2)	(4.3)	(13.1)	(1./)	(8.4)	(0.4)			
				Second mo	olars								
				Mesial	21	86	54	133	44				
Mashwakhy et al. (2021)	Southern	CBCT	338 ^α	Roots	(6.2)	(25.4)	(16.0)	(39.4)	(13.0)				
Widshiyakiiy et al. (2021)	Jazan	In-vivo	550	Distal	323	3	3		9				
				Roots	(95.6)	(0.9)	(0.9)		(2.6)				
				Mesial	21	86	54	133	44				
Total			338	Roots	(6.2)	(25.4)	(16.0)	(39.4)	(13.0)				
			200	Distal	323	3	3		9				
				Roots	(95.6)	(0.9)	(0.9)		(2.6)				

 $^{\alpha}29$ C-shaped teeth were excluded from the total of 367.

"having knowledge of RCS in each group of teeth that allows potential difficulties during RCT to be addressed." Nevertheless, it has been proven that numerous variables can contribute to RCS variations, such as age [35, 36], ethnicity [17, 37, 38], gender [38–41], and geographical area. [42] This disparity might be also attributed to differences in sample size, analytic technique, and statistical parameters used. In the following discussion, a variation in the population can be seen, which can be attributed to the above variables. This systematic review included all research on permanent mandibular teeth that matched the inclusion criteria in order to understand the RCS of Saudi permanent mandibular teeth.

TABLE 7: Prevalence of C-shaped canals in mandibular premolars among Saudi population (%).

Study (year)	Region	Method	Sample	C-sh car	aped nals
	(City)			Yes	No
	First pr	emolars			
Mashyakhy et al. (2020)	Southern Jazan	CBCT In-vivo	397	6 (1.5)	391 (98.5)
Srivastava et al. (2018)	Central Qassim	CBCT In-vivo	276	48 (17.4)	228 (82.6)
Total			673	54 (8.0)	619 (92.0)
	Second p	oremolars			
Mashyakhy et al. (2020)	Southern Jazan	CBCT In-vivo	379	3 (0.8)	376 (99.2)
Srivastava et al. (2018)	Central Qassim	CBCT In-vivo	258	19 (7.4)	239 (92.6)
Total			637	22 (3.5)	615 (96.5)

TABLE 8: Prevalence of C-shaped canals in mandibular molars among Saudi population (%).

	Region			C-sl	naped
Study (year)	(City)	Method	Sample	ca	nals
	(City)			Yes	No
	First	molars			
Mashyakhy et al.	Southern	CBCT	200	0	290
(2020)	Jazan	In-vivo	290	(0.0)	(100.0)
Alfawaz et al.	Central	CBCT	520	1	528
(2019)	Riyadh	In-vivo	329	(0.2)	(99.8)
Total			810	1	818
TOtal			019	(0.1)	(99.9)
	Secon	d molars			
Mashyakhy et al.	Southern	CBCT	267	29	338
(2020)	Jazan	In-vivo	307	(7.9)	(92.1)
Alfawaz et al.	Central	CBCT	601	62	619
(2019)	Riyadh	In-vivo	001	(9.1)	(90.9)
	Western	CBCT	169	18	150
Bahammam L.	Jeddah	In-vivo	100	(10.7)	(89.3)
(2018)	Southern	CBCT	54	14	40
	Albaha	In-vivo	54	(25.9)	(74.1)
Al-Fouzan K.	Central	PA	151	16	135
(2002)	Riyadh	In-vivo	151	(10.6)	(89.4)
Total			1421	139	1282
10101			1421	(9.8)	(90.2)

4.1. Main Outcomes

4.1.1. Mandibular Central Incisors. The present study reported higher second root canal prevalence (43.4%) when compared to other international studies. A systematic review pooled results from nine countries: Brazil, Belgium, China, Chile, Italy, Iran, Israel, Portugal, and Turkey. The pooled prevalence for the central incisors was 20.4% (15.0%–25.7%).

Study (year)	Region	Method	Sample	Mid-1 car	mesial nals
	(City)		-	Yes	No
	First	molars			
Aldosimani et al. (2020)	Central Riyadh	CBCT In-vivo	687	9 (1.3)	678 (98.7)
Srivastava et al. (2018)	Center Qassim	CBCT In-vivo	143	26 (18.2)	117 (81.8)
Total			830	35 (4.2)	795 (95.8)
	Second	l molars			
Aldosimani et al.(2020)	Central Riyadh	CBCT In-vivo	690	3 (0.4)	687 (99.6)
Total			690	3 (0.4)	687 (99.6)

This study was consistent with what was observed in Europe [36.8% (24.4%–49.3%)] and had a higher prevalence than in East Asia [7.6% (4.0%–11.3%)]. The systematic review findings, along with our findings, may speculate that the prevalence of the second canal in mandibular central incisor is higher when comparing non-Asians to Asians. [15] Our results found most studies reported Vertucci type I (56.6% of teeth) and Vertucci type III (38.2% of teeth). A study that was done in Iran found that Vertucci type I was prevalent in 72.7% of teeth, while Vertucci type III was prevalent in 4.7% of teeth. [43] In Malaysia, Vertucci type I and III were common in 94.9% and 1.0%, respectively. [44]

4.1.2. Mandibular Lateral Incisors. This study also showed that the prevalence of second canal in mandibular lateral incisor was 42.6%, which was almost the same as our result with regard to the central incisor (43.4%). Martins et al. [45] also investigated the prevalence of the second canal in the mandibular lateral incisor. The pooled results from the aforementioned nine countries were 25.3% (20.0%–30.7%). Our results were consistent with the percentage in Europe also [37.5% (27.8%–47.2%)]. However, East Asia had less prevalence compared to ours and to Europe [17.2% (11.0%–23.4%)]. Consequently, we would also speculate that Asian had less second canal prevalence in mandibular lateral incisors compared to Saudi Arabia and Europe. [45]

Our results found most studies reported Vertucci type I (57.4% of teeth) and Vertucci type III (37.8% of teeth). In Iran, Vertucci I and III were prevalent in 70.6% and 3.7%, respectively. [43] In Malaysia, Vertucci type I and III were common in 87.8% and 3.8%, respectively. [44]

4.1.3. Mandibular Canines. The present study showed the prevalence for second canal in mandibular canine was 8.2%. Martins et al. [45] investigated the prevalence of the second canal in the mandibular canines and found it was 5.9% (4.1%–7.7%) from the same aforementioned nine countries. This systematic review showed the prevalence

for second mandibular canines in Europe was higher than those in the present study [9.8% (8.2%-11.5%)]. Moreover, second canals were lower in East Asian canine teeth [4.1% (2.8%-5.5%)]. [45]

Our findings revealed that the majority of studies reported Vertucci type I (92.7% of teeth) and Vertucci type III (3.4% of teeth). Vertucci I and III were common in Iran (71.8% and 2.8%, respectively). [43] In Turkey, Vertucci I and III were common in 87.8% and 9%, respectively. [46] In Malaysia, Vertucci type I was common in 95.1%; however, Vertucci III was not reported. [44]

4.1.4. Mandibular First Premolars. The prevalence of two roots in mandibular first premolars was 13.2%, and the prevalence of two canals was 22.9% in our study. Vertucci I and V were the most common configuration (79.3% and 6.8%, respectively). In the Malaysian subpopulation, it has been shown that the prevalence of two canals was 18.7%. [44] The prevalence of two canals in the Spanish population was 20%. Vertucci canal configurations I and V were reported as the most common configurations in Malaysian, Spanish, and South Indian populations as well as ours. [44, 47, 48] Furthermore, the prevalence of two canals in Turkish population was approximately 25.5%. [49]

4.1.5. Mandibular Second Premolars. The presence of the second canal in mandibular second premolars reported to be 4.0%, lower than in mandibular first premolars. This was consistent with the findings of a study done on the Spanish population 4.5%. [47] In the Malaysian subpopulation, the prevalence of two canals was lower than ours, at 0.5%. [44] In our study, Vertucci I was the most common configuration, followed by Vertucci II (96.9% and 1.6%, respectively). However, Vertucci I and V were the most common in the Spanish population, while Vertucci I and IV were the most common in the Spanish population. [44, 47] Nevertheless, the prevalence in the Turkish population has been shown to be 29%. [49]

4.1.6. Mandibular First Molars. The third root in mandibular first molar was prevalent in 5% of the studies pooled in this study. Four canals were prevalent in 40% and three canals were prevalent in 58.7%. In Belgium and Chile, the prevalence of three roots was 2.76% and 6.16%, respectively. [50] However, the prevalence of three roots in Asian countries was more than 30%. [11, 51] The mesial root of the mandibular first molar usually has two canals, Vertucci II and IV, according to a previous study that was consistent with this study. [11] Nevertheless, the most prevalent configurations in Belgium and Chili were Vertucci III and V. The distal root in this study has Vertucci I in 72.2%, which is consistent with the Chilean and Belgium populations. [50]

4.1.7. Mandibular Second Molars. This study only found one study that investigated the root canal morphology of second mandibular molars. The prevalence of three roots was 2.1%, and the majority was two roots (97.3%). The majority had three canals 87.3%. In Belgium and Chile, the prevalence of three roots was 0.89% and 3.57%, respectively. Vertucci III and V were more prevalent in the mesial root in these

populations, while Vertucci II and IV were more common in this study. The most common configuration of the distal root in all the above countries was Vertucci I. [50]

4.2. Secondary Outcome

4.2.1. C-Shaped Canals in Mandibular Premolars. The prevalence of a C-shaped canal in mandibular first and second premolars was 8% and 3.5%, respectively. In Venezuela, the prevalence of a C-shaped canal in the mandibular first and second premolar was higher than in Saudi Arabia, as they were 28.94% and 7.14%, respectively. [52] Furthermore, it was higher in the USA (14%) for the first premolar. [53] However, it was much less in the Indian population for first and second mandibular premolar, which were 0.92% and 0.7%, respectively. [48]

4.2.2. C-Shaped Canals in Mandibular Molars. The prevalence of C-shaped canals is higher in mandibular second molars than in mandibular first molars nine times in this systematic review (8% vs. 0.1%). Most studies were conducted in the mandibular second molar. The prevalence of C-shaped canals in the Emirates was higher than in Saudi Arabia (17.9%). It has been found that C-shaped canals are highly present in the Emirati population compared to Middle Eastern counties. [54] A previous research analyzed the racial predilection of C-shaped canals and found it was much more prevalent in Asians than in non-Asians. [55]

4.2.3. Mid-Mesial Canals in Mandibular Molars. Few researches have been conducted in Saudi Arabia to assess mid-mesial canals prevalence in mandibular molars. The prevalence of the mid-mesial canal in the mandibular first molar was 4.2% in Saudi Arabia. Only one research investigated at the mandibular second molar and found that it has 0.4% prevalence mid-mesial canals. The prevalence of midmesial canals in mandibular molars in the Korean and Chinese populations were 0.35% and 2.7%, respectively. [50, 55] The previous research utilized CBCT to detect mid-mesial canals. In-vivo investigations, however, have revealed a higher prevalence of mid-mesial canals, as Azim et al. reported 46.2%. [56] Furthermore, Verisani et al. have reported greater prevalence of mid-mesial canals using micro-CT 18.6% for Brazilian and Turkish population. [57] This explained why different studies have wide variation in mid-mesial canals prevalence depending on methods used.

4.2.4. Limitation and Further Recommendations. The 22 pieces of research from Saudi Arabia's various regions used a variety of methods and sample sizes and investigated different variables. As a result, demographics could not be gathered to assess the impact of gender and age on the current findings. Furthermore, because the number of investigations of different groups of teeth was minimal, the research was not split according to methodology or classified as in vivo or in vitro. Therefore, we just focused to address the main trait of anatomy, regardless of the variables.

Future multicenter research is recommended from all parts of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, and it should in vivo CBCT to enable the analysis of full dentition of sufficient patients to provide a large sample size that is representative of the whole Saudi population. Future studies should also gather more specific information on the influence of age and gender.

5. Conclusion

Despite the methodology, the anatomical studies presented in this study differ across various parts of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, even though they share the same ethnicity. The overall combined sample results fell within the range of other international studies. To increase the probability of successful endodontic therapy, root canal morphology must be thoroughly assessed. When periapical radiograph is unclear, a CBCT with a limited field of view would be used to comprehend the patient's tooth morphology to accomplish a favorable outcome.

Data Availability

The data supporting the findings of this review are already included in this review.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

- O. A. Peters, "Current challenges and concepts in the preparation of root canal systems: a review," *Journal of Endodontia*, vol. 30, no. 8, pp. 559–567, 2004.
- [2] M. Mashyakhy, H. R. Chourasia, A. Jabali, A. Almutairi, and G. Gambarini, "Analysis of fused rooted maxillary first and second molars with merged and C-shaped canal configurations: prevalence, characteristics, and correlations in a Saudi Arabian population," *Journal of Endodontia*, vol. 45, no. 10, pp. 1209–1218, 2019.
- [3] M. H. Mashyakhy, H. R. Chourasia, A. H. Jabali et al., "C-shaped canal configuration in mandibular premolars and molars: prevalence, correlation, and differences: an *in vivo* study using cone-beam computed tomography," *Nigerian Journal of Clinical Practice*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 232–239, 2020.
- [4] R. Margarit and O. C. Andrei, "Anatomical variations of mandibular first molar and their implications in endodontic treatment," *Romanian Journal of Morphology and Embryology*, vol. 52, no. 4, pp. 1389–1392, 2011.
- [5] J. F. Siqueira Junior, I. D. N. Rôças, M. F. Marceliano-Alves, A. R. Pérez, and D. Ricucci, "Unprepared root canal surface areas: causes, clinical implications, and therapeutic strategies," *Brazilian Oral Research*, vol. 32, suppl 1, p. e65, 2018.
- [6] R. M. V. Lopes, F. C. Marins, F. G. Belladonna et al., "Untouched canal areas and debris accumulation after root canal preparation with rotary and adaptive systems," *Australian Endodontic Journal*, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 260–266, 2018.
- [7] O. A. Peters, K. Schönenberger, and A. Laib, "Effects of four Ni-Ti preparation techniques on root canal geometry assessed by micro computed tomography," *International Endodontic Journal*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 221–230, 2001.

- [8] A. O. Baruwa, J. N. R. Martins, J. Meirinhos et al., "The influence of missed canals on the prevalence of periapical lesions in endodontically treated teeth: a cross-sectional study," *Journal* of Endodontics, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 34–39.e1, 2020.
- [9] M. Mashyakhy, F. A. Hadi, H. A. Alhazmi et al., "Prevalence of missed canals and their association with apical periodontitis in posterior endodontically treated teeth: a CBCT study," *International Journal of Dentistry*, vol. 2021, Article ID 9962429, 6 pages, 2021.
- [10] H. R. Chourasia, N. Boreak, M. Y. Tarrosh, and M. Mashyakhy, "Root canal morphology of mandibular first premolars in Saudi Arabian southern region subpopulation," *Saudi Endodontic Journal*, vol. 7, no. 2, p. 77, 2017.
- [11] O. V. de Pablo, R. Estevez, M. Péix Sánchez, C. Heilborn, and N. Cohenca, "Root anatomy and canal configuration of the permanent mandibular first molar: a systematic review," *Journal of Endodontia*, vol. 36, no. 12, pp. 1919–1931, 2010.
- [12] F. J. Vertucci, "Root canal anatomy of the human permanent teeth," Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, and Oral Pathology, vol. 58, no. 5, pp. 589–599, 1984.
- [13] S. Al-Nazhan, "The prevalence of two canals in mesial root of endodontically treated maxillary first molars among a Saudi Arabian sub-population," *Saudi Dental Journal*, vol. 17, pp. 8–24, 2005.
- [14] M. Mashyakhy, "Anatomical analysis of permanent mandibular incisors in a Saudi Arabian population: an in vivo conebeam computed tomography study," *Nigerian Journal of Clinical Practice*, vol. 22, no. 11, pp. 1611–1616, 2019.
- [15] T. S. Carvalho and A. Lussi, "Age-related morphological, histological and functional changes in teeth," *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 291–298, 2017.
- [16] M. Elnour, A. Khabeer, and E. AlShwaimi, "Evaluation of root canal morphology of maxillary second premolars in a Saudi Arabian sub-population: an in vitro microcomputed tomography study," *Saudi Dent J*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 162–168, 2016.
- [17] M. Mashyakhy, T. S. Vinothkumar, A. S. Arthisri et al., "Ethnical anatomical differences in mandibular first permanent molars between Indian and Saudi Arabian subpopulations: a retrospective cross-sectional study," *The Journal of Contemporary Dental Practice*, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 484–490, 2021.
- [18] K. S. Al-Fouzan, "C-shaped root canals in mandibular second molars in a Saudi Arabian population," *International Endodontic Journal*, vol. 35, no. 6, pp. 499–504, 2002.
- [19] S. Al-Nazhan, "Incidence of four canals in root-canal-treated mandibular first molars in a Saudi Arabian sub-population," *International Endodontic Journal*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 49–52, 1999.
- [20] M. A. Aldosimani, R. I. Althumairy, A. Alzahrani et al., "The mid-mesial canal prevalence in mandibular molars of a Saudi population: a cone-beam computed tomography study," *The Saudi Dental Journal*, vol. 33, no. 7, pp. 581–586, 2021.
- [21] H. Alfawaz, A. Alqedairi, Y. H. Al-Dahman et al., "Evaluation of root canal morphology of mandibular premolars in a Saudi population using cone beam computed tomography: a retrospective study," *The Saudi Dental Journal*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 137–142, 2019.
- [22] H. Alfawaz, A. Alqedairi, A. K. Alkhayyal, A. A. Almobarak, M. F. Alhusain, and J. N. R. Martins, "Prevalence of Cshaped canal system in mandibular first and second molars in a Saudi population assessed via cone beam computed tomography: a retrospective study," *Clinical Oral Investigations*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 107–112, 2019.

- [23] Y. A. Algarni, M. J. Almufarrij, I. A. Almoshafi, H. H. Alhayaza, N. Alghamdi, and S. M. Baba, "Morphological variations of mandibular first premolar on cone-beam computed tomography in a Saudi Arabian sub-population," *The Saudi Dental Journal*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 150–155, 2021.
- [24] F. T. Alghamdi and W. A. Khalil, "Root canal morphology and symmetry of mandibular second premolars using cone-beam computed tomography," *Oral Radiology*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 126–138, 2022.
- [25] R. Alswilem, A. Abouonq, A. Iqbal, S. S. Alajlan, and M. K. Alam, "Three-dimensional cone-beam computed tomography assessment of additional canals of permanent first molars: a Pinocchio for successful root canal treatment," *Journal of International Society of Preventive & Community Dentistry*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 259–263, 2018.
- [26] H. M. Ghabbani, A. A. Marghalani, and H. R. Alabiri, "Assessment of root canal morphology of mandibular incisors using cone-beam computed tomography among residents of Al-Madinah Al-Munawara Region, Saudi Arabia," *European Journal of General Dentistry*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 40–44, 2020.
- [27] M. Mashyakhy, "Prevalence of a second root and canal in mandibular and maxillary canines in a Saudi Arabian population: a cone-beam computed tomography study," *The Journal of Contemporary Dental Practice*, vol. 20, no. 7, pp. 773–777, 2019.
- [28] M. Mashyakhy, H. R. Chourasia, E. Halboub, A. A. Almashraqi, Y. Khubrani, and G. Gambarini, "Anatomical variations and bilateral symmetry of roots and root canal system of mandibular first permanent molars in Saudi Arabian population utilizing cone- beam computed tomography," *The Saudi Dental Journal*, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 481–486, 2019.
- [29] M. Mashyakhy, A. Jabali, F. S. Alabsi, A. AbuMelha, M. Alkahtany, and S. Bhandi, "Anatomical evaluation of mandibular molars in a Saudi Population: an in vivo cone-beam computed tomography study," *International Journal of Dentistry*, vol. 2021, Article ID 5594464, 8 pages, 2021.
- [30] S. Srivastava, R. N. Gaikwad, N. Alsalhi, and N. A. Alrogaibah, "Cone-beam computed tomographic analysis of C-shaped canals and radicular grooves in mandibular premolars: prevalence and related factors," *The Journal of Contemporary Dental Practice*, vol. 20, no. 11, pp. 1350–1354, 2019.
- [31] M. Zafar and M. Alrahabi, "Cone beam computed tomography for exploring morphology of mandibular first molar," *British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research*, vol. 6, no. 5, pp. 514–521, 2015.
- [32] Y. Al-Dahman, A. Alqedairi, H. Alfawaz, F. Alnassar, and A. Al-Jebaly, "Cone-beam computed tomographic evaluation of root canal morphology of mandibular canines in a Saudi subpopulation," *Saudi Endododontic Journal*, vol. 9, pp. 113–118, 2019.
- [33] K. S. Al-Fouzan, A. AlManee, J. Jan, and M. Al-Rejaie, "Incidence of two canals in extracted mandibular incisors teeth of Saudi Arabian samples," *Saudi Endodontic Journal*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 65–69, 2012.
- [34] M. Mashyakhy, M. Awawdeh, A. Abu-Melha et al., "Anatomical evaluation of root and root canal configuration of permanent maxillary dentition in the population of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia," *BioMed Research International*, vol. 2022, Article ID 3428229, 12 pages, 2022.
- [35] J. N. R. Martins, R. Ordinola-Zapata, D. Marques, H. Francisco, and J. Caramês, "Differences in root canal system configuration in human permanent teeth within different age groups," *International Endodontic Journal*, vol. 51, no. 8, pp. 931–941, 2018.

- [36] A. G. Reis, R. Grazziotin-Soares, F. B. Barletta, V. R. Fontanella, and C. R. Mahl, "Second canal in mesiobuccal root of maxillary molars is correlated with root third and patient age: a cone-beam computed tomographic study," *Journal of Endodontia*, vol. 39, no. 5, pp. 588–592, 2013.
- [37] J. Guo, A. Vahidnia, P. Sedghizadeh, and R. Enciso, "Evaluation of root and canal morphology of maxillary permanent first molars in a North American population by cone-beam computed tomography," *Journal of Endodontia*, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 635–639, 2014.
- [38] J. N. R. Martins, Y. Gu, D. Marques, H. Francisco, and J. Caramês, "Differences on the root and root canal morphologies between Asian and white ethnic groups analyzed by cone-beam computed tomography," *Journal of Endodontia*, vol. 44, no. 7, pp. 1096–1104, 2018.
- [39] Y. Kim, S. J. Lee, and J. Woo, "Morphology of maxillary first and second molars analyzed by cone-beam computed tomography in a Korean population: variations in the number of roots and canals and the incidence of fusion," *Journal of Endodontia*, vol. 38, no. 8, pp. 1063–1068, 2012.
- [40] J. H. Lee, K. D. Kim, J. K. Lee et al., "Mesiobuccal root canal anatomy of Korean maxillary first and second molars by cone-beam computed tomography," Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, and Endodontics, vol. 111, no. 6, pp. 785–791, 2011.
- [41] M. Mashyakhy and G. Gambarini, "Root and root canal morphology differences between genders: a comprehensive <i>invivo</i> CBCT study in a Saudi population," *Acta Stomatologica Croatica*, vol. 53, no. 3, pp. 213–246, 2019.
- [42] M. von Zuben, J. N. R. Martins, L. Berti et al., "Worldwide prevalence of mandibular second molar C-shaped morphologies evaluated by cone-beam computed tomography," *Journal of Endodontia*, vol. 43, no. 9, pp. 1442–1447, 2017.
- [43] M. Aminsobhani, M. Sadegh, N. Meraji, H. Razmi, and M. J. Kharazifard, "Evaluation of the root and canal morphology of mandibular permanent anterior teeth in an Iranian population by cone-beam computed tomography," *Journal of Dentistry (Tehran, Iran)*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 358–366, 2013.
- [44] J. Y. Y. Pan, A. Parolia, S. R. Chuah, S. Bhatia, S. Mutalik, and A. Pau, "Root canal morphology of permanent teeth in a Malaysian subpopulation using cone-beam computed tomography," *BMC Oral Health*, vol. 19, no. 1, p. 14, 2019.
- [45] J. N. R. Martins, D. Marques, E. J. N. Leal Silva, J. Carames, A. Mata, and M. A. Versiani, "Influence of demographic factors on the prevalence of a second root canal in mandibular anterior teeth - a systematic review and meta-analysis of crosssectional studies using cone beam computed tomography," *Archives of Oral Biology*, vol. 116, p. 104749, 2020.
- [46] E. Karataslioglu and F. Kalabalik, "Morphological evaluation of maxillary and mandibular canines using cone-beam computed tomography in Turkish population," *Annals of Medicine*, vol. 26, no. 10, pp. 2312–2319, 2019.
- [47] C. Llena, J. Fernandez, P. S. Ortolani, and L. Forner, "Conebeam computed tomography analysis of root and canal morphology of mandibular premolars in a Spanish population," *Imaging Science in Dentistry*, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 221–227, 2014.
- [48] A. Shetty, M. N. Hegde, D. Tahiliani, H. Shetty, G. T. Bhat, and S. Shetty, "A three-dimensional study of variations in root canal morphology using cone-beam computed tomography of mandibular premolars in a south Indian population," *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*, vol. 8, no. 8, pp. ZC22– ZC24, 2014.

- [49] S. Sert and G. S. Bayirli, "Evaluation of the root canal configurations of the mandibular and maxillary permanent teeth by gender in the Turkish population," *Journal of Endodontia*, vol. 30, no. 6, pp. 391–398, 2004.
- [50] A. Torres, R. Jacobs, P. Lambrechts et al., "Characterization of mandibular molar root and canal morphology using cone beam computed tomography and its variability in Belgian and Chilean population samples," *Imaging Science in Dentistry*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 95–101, 2015.
- [51] Y. Wang, Q. H. Zheng, X. D. Zhou et al., "Evaluation of the root and canal morphology of mandibular first permanent molars in a western Chinese population by cone-beam computed tomography," *Journal of Endodontia*, vol. 36, no. 11, pp. 1786–1789, 2010.
- [52] G. Brea, F. Gomez, and J. F. Gomez-Sosa, "Cone-beam computed tomography evaluation of C-shaped root and canal morphology of mandibular premolars," *BMC Oral Health*, vol. 21, no. 1, p. 236, 2021.
- [53] M. K. Baisden, J. C. Kulild, and R. N. Weller, "Root canal configuration of the mandibular first premolar," *Journal of Endodontia*, vol. 18, no. 10, pp. 505–508, 1992.
- [54] S. Khawaja, N. Alharbi, J. Chaudhry et al., "The C-shaped root canal systems in mandibular second molars in an Emirati population," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 11, no. 1, article 23863, 2021.
- [55] A. Roy, M. Astekar, R. Bansal, A. Gurtu, M. Kumar, and L. K. Agarwal, "Racial predilection of C-shaped canal configuration in the mandibular second molar," *Journal of Conservative Dentistry*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 133–138, 2019.