

Research Article Different Intestinal Microbiota with Growth Stages of Three-Breed Hybrid Pig

Cheng-xing Long,¹ Jie-qi Wu,² Zhou-jin Tan^(D),³ and Sheng-ping Wang⁴

¹Hunan University of Humanities, Science and Technology, Loudi, China ²Loudi Fisheries Science Research Institute, Loudi, China ³Hunan University of Chinese Medicine, Changsha, China ⁴Hunan Institute of Microbiology, Changsha, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Zhou-jin Tan; tanzhjin@sohu.com

Received 11 May 2022; Revised 18 June 2022; Accepted 26 July 2022; Published 8 August 2022

Academic Editor: Takashi Yazawa

Copyright © 2022 Cheng-xing Long et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The changes of intestinal microbiota are closely related to the growth and development of animals. The current study is aimed at exploring the composition of the microbial community of pigs at different growth stages. Fresh fecal samples of three-breed hybrid pigs at three developmental stages (60, 120, and 180 days of age) were collected. The microbial composition was analyzed based on the 16S rDNA gene of bacteria Illumina NovaSeq sequencing platform. The results showed that the intestinal microbiota of pigs was distributed in 22 phyla, 46 classes, 84 orders, 147 families, and 287 genera. Firmicutes, Bacteroides, Spirochaetae, Proteobacteria, and Actinobacteria were the dominant phyla. *Lactobacillus, Streptococcus, SMB53, Oscillospira*, and *Prevotella* were the dominant genera. Among them, the abundance of *Lactobacillus* and *SMB53* increased first and then decreased, while the change of *Oscillospira* was opposite. In addition, the abundance of *Streptococcus* increased while that of *Prevotella* decreased gradually. Moreover, with the increase of time and body weight, the microbial diversity showed a decreasing trend. In conclusion, the intestinal microbial composition of the three-breed hybrid pigs was relatively stable during the fattening stage, but there were significant differences in abundance.

1. Introduction

Pig intestinal microbiota is a complex microecosystem, mainly composed of anaerobic bacteria and facultative anaerobic bacteria, among which Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes are the dominant bacteria accounting for more than 90%, playing an important role in maintaining body health, and improving body immunity, nutrient absorption, and metabolism [1]. Under the influence of factors such as strain [2–4], age [5, 6], diet [7–10], environment [11], and pathogen microbial infection [12], the intestinal microbiota of pigs presents dynamic structure and diversity. There are significant differences in the intestinal microbiota of pigs at different stages, and the intestinal microbiota structure of pigs is basically formed within 2-3 weeks after weaning. After that, growth and development and dietary changes are the main causes of continuous changes in the intestinal microbiota structure of pigs [13, 14]. Although there have been many studies on the intestinal microbiota of pigs at different stages, there have been no reports on the characteristics of the intestinal microbiota of three-breed hybrid pigs during growing and fattening periods.

It is well known that there are abundant pig breed resources in China, and some of which have strong growth performance and reproductive capacity [15, 16]. However, there are significant differences in various indexes of pigs among different breeds [17, 18]. Three-breed hybrid pig, also known as "Duroc×Landrace×Yorkshine," is bred from Duroc and Landrace as parents. It is one of the excellent hybrid breeds in China and also one of the largest pig breeds nowadays because of its medium in size, early maturity, easy to fat, good meat quality, and strong resistance [19, 20].

In this study, samples of three-hybrid pigs were provided by Yiyou Ecological Breeding Co., Ltd., Lianyuan City, Hunan Province. The dynamic changes of intestinal microbiota in different growth and fattening period of pigs were analyzed using 16S rRNA sequencing technology and bioinformatics methods to reveal the composition characteristics of intestinal microbes in different pig breeds at different

analyzed using 16S rRNA sequencing technology and bioinformatics methods to reveal the composition characteristics of intestinal microbes in different pig breeds at different growth stages. These results will provide a reference for improving the microbial basis of pig growth performance and health.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Selection of Experimental Pigs. Healthy pigs with the same batch, the same age, and the same body weight were selected under identical husbandry practices and epidemic prevention systems in Yiyou Ecological Breeding Co., Ltd., Lianyuan City, Hunan Province. Pigs were raised on solid feed (Xiang Feeding Certificate (2015) 04063). The diet provided crude protein (\geq 16.5%), crude fiber (\leq 7.0%), crude ash (\leq 8.0%), calcium (0.5%-1.2%), total phosphorus (\geq 0.4%), sodium chloride (0.3%-0.8%), lysine (\geq 1.05%), and moisture (\leq 1.05%). Fresh feces samples of pigs were collected at three stages (60 days, 180 days, and 180 days of age) directly using sterile tools or sterile cotton swabs. All procedures involving animals were performed according to protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Hunan University of Chinese Medicine (No. 20171202).

2.2. Sample Collection and Preservation. There were five pigs in each group. The average body weights of pigs in three groups were 154.592 ± 4.245 kg (180 days group, SM), 102.416 ± 2.379 kg (120 days group, FM), and 29.386 ± 0.513 kg (60 days group, TM), respectively. The fresh fecal samples were collected from the same pig farm on September 13, 2020 in Lianyuan, Hunan, China (111.67 N; 27.69 W). Sealed in dry ice and immediately brought back to the laboratory for storage at -80°C.

2.3. DNA Extraction and PCR Amplification. DNA was extracted from the retained content samples following the instructions of the extraction kit. The purity and concentration of extracted DNA samples were detected by ultraviolet spectrophotometer [21]. The integrity of samples was detected by gel electrophoresis. The qualified samples were amplified by Shanghai Personal Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). 16S rRNA gene primers 338F: 5'-ACTCCTACGGGAGGCA GCA-3' and 806R: 5'-GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT-3' were used for PCR amplification of V3-V4 region of bacterial 16S rRNA gene. Reaction system is as follows: (25 μ L):5 \times reaction buffer 5 μ L, 5 × GC buffer 5 μ L, dNTPs (2.5 mM) $2 \mu L$, forwardprimer (10 μ M) 1 μ L, reverseprimer (10 μ M) 1 μ L, DNA template 2 μ L, ddH2O 8.75 μ L, and Q5 DNA polymerase $0.25 \,\mu$ L. Amplification parameters are as follows: initial denaturation 98°C 2 min, denaturation 98°C 15 s, rolling at 55°C 30 s, extension 72°C 30 s, final Extension 72°C 5 min, and 10°C hold 25 to 30 cycles.

2.4. Illumina NovaSeq Sequencing. Each sample contained 5 replicates. PCR products of the same sample were mixed and detected by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis. Sequencing was

performed using the Illumina NovaSeq platform under Illumina's standard procedure (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). Sequencing was performed by Shanghai Personal Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China).

2.5. Sequence Optimization and OTU Clustering. The Vsesion7.1 software in Usearch platform was used to perform OTU (Operational Taxonomic Unit) cluster analysis for the sequences after quality control [22]. The QIIME2 software (http://github.com/QIIME2/q2-feature-classifier) was used for quality control, filtering, and decontamination of the original data obtained from sequencing, and OTU clustering of nonrepeating sequences was performed according to 97% similarity [23]. The obtained OTU was classified and identified at different classification levels based on Greengenes database (Release 13.8, http://greengenes .second-genome.com/) [24, 25].

2.6. Diversity Analysis. Alpha diversity represents species within-habitat diversity, and beta diversity represents species between-habitat diversity. Both of them are helpful to evaluate the overall diversity of species comprehensively [26, 27]. Chao1 index and Observed Species index represent the richness [28], and the larger the Chao1 and Observed Species indexes, the higher the richness of the community. Shannon index and Simpson index represent diversity [29-31], and the larger the Shannon and Simpson indexes, the higher community diversity. Good's coverage index represents coverage [32], and the higher the Good's coverage index, the lower the proportion of undetected species in the sample. The beta diversity index focuses on the comparison of diversity between different habitats. It was characterized by principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) [33, 34] and nonmetric multidimensional scale analysis (NMDS) [35], aiming to reduce the dimension decomposition of the sample distance matrix, reflect the distance relationship of the original sample, and reveal the differences between samples. The QIIME software (2019.4) was used to calculate Chao1 index, Observed Species index, Shannon index, and Simpson index and analyze PCoA and NMDS.

2.7. Species Difference Analysis and Marker Species. LEfSe is an analytical method based on linear discriminant analysis (LDA) effect size. Its essence is to screen key biomarkers by combining linear discriminant analysis with nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis and Wilcoxon rank-sum test [36].

2.8. Statistical Analysis. SPSS24.0 statistical software was used for data statistics. A one-way analysis of variance was used for differences among normal distribution data groups, and Mann-Whitney *U* test was used for nonnormal distribution data. Measurement data were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation. *P* < 0.05 indicates significant difference, and *P* < 0.01 indicates extremely significant difference. The original sequence obtained in this study has been submitted to the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (accession number is SRP: PRJNA795214, http://http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/).

3. Results

3.1. Description of Sequencing Data. To observe the microbiota of three-breed hybrid pigs at different growth and development stages, the V3-V4 region of bacterial 16S rDNA genes was sequenced using Illumina NovaSeq platform. After quality control of the measured data, a total of 885695 high-quality sequences were obtained from 15 samples in the three groups, and the dominant sequence lengths were mainly 404-411 bp (47.15%) and 423-432 bp (52.63%) (Figure 1(a)). The average value of Good's coverage index in all samples was 0.9859, between 0.9793 and 0.9912, reflecting the real situation of species in the community (Table 1). As shown in the Venn diagram, a total of 14470 OTUs were obtained in the three groups. There are 6547 in the TM group, accounting for 45.25%. There are 5440 in the FM group, accounting for 37.60%. And there are 4387 in the SM group, accounting for 30.32%. Among them, 952 were identical, accounting for 6.58% (Figure 1(b)). The results showed that the species of intestinal microbiota in three-breed hybrid pigs was inversely proportional to time during fattening.

3.2. Diversity of Intestinal Microbiota in Pigs. The QIIME software (2019.4) was used to calculate Chao1 index, Observed Species index, Shannon index, and Simpson index of the samples, and *t*-test analysis was conducted on diversity index of different groups (Figure 2 and Table 1). The variation trend of Chao1 index, Observed Species index, Shannon index, and Simpson index was basically the same, among which Chao1 and Observed Species indexes were significant, indicating that with the increase of pig weight and feeding time, the intestinal microbial diversity of pigs showed a decreasing trend, and the longer the feeding time and the heavier the weight, the lower the decrease and tended to be stable.

3.3. Composition of Intestinal Microbiota in Pigs at Different Taxonomic Levels. The microbiota of all samples was counted according to the abundance of species. A total of 22 phyla were detected, among which Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, Spirochaetes, Proteobacteria, and Actinobacteria were the dominant phyla. At phylum level, Firmicutes increased first and then decreased, increased from 78.73% at 60 days of age to 83.91% at 120 days of age and then decreased to 82.51% at 180 days of age. Bacteroidetes gradually decreased from 16.33% at 60 days of age to 12.11% at 120 days of age and then to 7.29% at 180 days of age. Spirochaetes and Proteobacteria decreased firstly and then increased, from 2.21% and 0.89% at 60 days of age to 0.79% and 0.59% at 120 days of age, and increased to 3.81% and 3.16% at 180 days of age. Actinobacteria increased gradually from 0.35% at 60 days of age to 2.08% at 180 days of age (Figure 3(a) and Table 2).

At the genus level, among the detected bacteria, the top 10 genera in relative abundance were *Lactobacillus*, *Streptococcus*, *SMB53*, *Oscillospira*, *Prevotella*, *Treponema*, *Roseburia*, *Gemmiger*, *Ruminococcus*, and *Clostridiaceae Clostridium*, in which six genera were significantly different (P < 0.01 or P < 0.05). Among them, *Lactobacillus*, *Streptococcus*, *SMB53*,

Oscillospira, and *Prevotella* were the dominant genera. *Lactobacillus* and *SMB*53 increased firstly and then decreased, increased from 21.22% and 2.15% at 60 days of age to 25.02% and 8.61% at 120 days of age and then decreased to 11.08% and 5.60% at 180 days of age. *Oscillospira* decreased firstly and then increased, decreased from 6.12% at 60 days of age to 3.05% at 120 days of age and then increased to 3.49% at 180 days of age to 5.28% at 120 days of age and then increased gradually, from 3.44% at 60 days of age. *Prevotella* decreased gradually, from 5.07% at 180 days of age to 1.83% at 180 days of age (Figure 3(b) and Table 3).

In addition, by PCoA and NMDS analysis (Figures 4(a) and 4(b)), the three groups of samples tended to cluster together, respectively, indicating the relative stability within each sample group. Furthermore, LEfSe analysis showed that *Streptococcaceae* were the biomarkers of the SM group. *SMB* 53 was the biomarker of the FM group. *Oscillospira* was the biomarker in the TM group (Figure 4(c)).

4. Discussion

The mammalian gut is a dense, dynamic, and highly complex microbial community with significant differences at different growth stages [37]. Therefore, it is very important to understand the colonization of intestinal microbiota in various growth stages of pigs. The composition of intestinal microbiota of pigs is similar to that of humans, mainly consisting of bacteria, archaea, and eukaryotes, among which the dominant bacteria are anaerobic bacteria such as *Lactobacillus* accounting for more than 99% [38, 39]. At different growth stages, the dominant microbiota in the intestinal tract of pigs has a great relationship with the regulation of autoimmunity. With the increase of age and the change of external environment, the dominant microtiota in the intestinal tract also changes correspondingly, but mainly Firmicutes and Bacteroides [40].

In this study, in order to exclude the effects of feed on intestinal microbiota, pigs were fed with the same feed after weaning. The results showed that the species and abundance of the dominant bacteria in the intestinal tract of pigs at different ages were different, but Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes were the dominant phyla, and the proportion of Firmicutes in the high-age group was higher than that in the low-age group, which was similar to the results of other studies [6]. Firmicutes are the largest and most diverse group of grampositive bacteria. They mainly participate in the material and energy metabolism of the host and play an extremely important role in the process of food digestion [41]. Bacteroidetes can produce acetate and propionate by fermentation, which can provide necessary substances for host organism and intestinal microorganism [42-44]. Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes are both obesity-related bacteria, and the abundance of Firmicutes is relatively high in obese pigs, while the abundance of Bacteroidetes is relatively low in obese pigs [45].

Intestinal tract is the main site of digestion, absorption, and immune regulation. In this study, the proportion of *Lactobacillus* in the intestinal tract of three-breed hybrid pigs



FIGURE 1: Overall description of sequencing data. (a) Sequence length analysis. (b) Venn diagram. TM stands for 60-day group. FM stands for 120-day group. SM stands for 180-day group.

TABLE 1: Goods coverage and diversity indices of bacterial species during different growth stages.

Group	Chao1	Observed Species	Simpson	Shannon	Goods coverage
ТМ	$2803.01 \pm 438.91^{**}$	$2402.62\pm 342.16^{**}$	0.9743 ± 0.0196	$8.4015 \pm 0.5467^*$	$0.9834 \pm 0.0034^*$
FM	$2493.18 \pm 275.45^*$	$2148.06 \pm 214.32^{**}$	0.9638 ± 0.0164	7.8515 ± 0.3439	0.9857 ± 0.0023
SM	1946.21 ± 275.28	1617.18 ± 236.55	0.9329 ± 0.0637	6.9584 ± 0.9996	0.9885 ± 0.0017

Note: TM stands for 60-day group, FM stands for 120-day group, SM stands for 180-day group; compared with SM *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01.

at different ages was the highest, which was closely related to the function of *Lactobacillus* in regulating animal immunity, maintaining bacterial homeostasis and body health, assisting digestion, and improving the growth rate of growing pigs [37, 46]. *Oscillospira* and *Prevotella* are two important short-chain fatty acid-producing bacteria, which can



FIGURE 2: Comparison of bacterial alpha diversity analysis. (a) Chao1 index. (b) Observed Species index. (c) Shannon index. (d) Simpson index. TM stands for 60-day group. FM stands for 120-day group. SM stands for 180-day group.



FIGURE 3: Average relative abundance of the on top 10 taxa in each group. (a) At phylum level. (b) At genus level. TM stands for 60-day group. FM stands for 120-day group. SM stands for 180-day group.

Sample	TM_60 d	FM_120 d	SM_180 d
Firmicutes	0.7873 ± 0.0998	0.8391 ± 0.0719	0.8251 ± 0.1202
Bacteroidetes	0.1633 ± 0.0756	0.1211 ± 0.0687	0.0729 ± 0.0584
Spirochaetes	0.0221 ± 0.0156	0.0079 ± 0.0081	0.0381 ± 0.0364
Proteobacteria	0.0089 ± 0.0134	0.0059 ± 0.0078	0.0316 ± 0.0599
Actinobacteria	$0.0035 \pm 0.0012^*$	0.0131 ± 0.0047	0.0208 ± 0.0158
Tenericutes	0.0064 ± 0.0027	0.0074 ± 0.0033	0.0046 ± 0.0026
TM7	$0.0047 \pm 0.0022^*$	0.0025 ± 0.0013	0.0023 ± 0.0014
Cyanobacteria	$0.0007\pm 0.0005^*$	0.0013 ± 0.0005	0.0024 ± 0.0020
Verrucomicrobia	$0.0008 \pm 0.0003^{**}$	0.0001 ± 0.0001	0.0001 ± 0.0001
Fibrobacteres	0.0005 ± 0.0007	0.0001 ± 0.0001	0.0001 ± 0.0001
Others	0.0018 ± 0.0003	0.0016 ± 0.0007	0.0021 ± 0.0011

TABLE 2: Main microbiota in different periods at phylum level.

Note: TM stands for 60-day group, FM stands for 120-day group, SM stands for 180-day group; compared with SM *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01.

TABLE 3: Main microbiota in different periods at genus level.

Sample	TM_60 d	FM_120 d	SM_180 d
Lactobacillus	0.2122 ± 0.1099	0.2502 ± 0.1345	0.1108 ± 0.0675
Streptococcus	$0.0344 \pm 0.0185^{**}$	$0.0528 \pm 0.0217^{**}$	0.2198 ± 0.1382
SMB53	0.0215 ± 0.0068	0.0861 ± 0.0522	0.0560 ± 0.0258
Oscillospira	$0.0612 \pm 0.0078^{**}$	0.0305 ± 0.0086	0.0349 ± 0.0060
Prevotella	0.0507 ± 0.0300	0.0507 ± 0.0473	0.0183 ± 0.0249
Treponema	0.0221 ± 0.0156	0.0079 ± 0.0081	0.0380 ± 0.0364
Roseburia	$0.0389 \pm 0.0345^{*}$	0.0133 ± 0.0037	0.0036 ± 0.0024
Gemmiger	$0.0197 \pm 0.0090^{**}$	$0.0204 \pm 0.0117^{**}$	0.0025 ± 0.0008
Ruminococcus	$0.0163 \pm 0.0054^{**}$	0.0089 ± 0.0031	0.0072 ± 0.0013
Clostridiaceae-Clostridium	0.0097 ± 0.0064	0.0095 ± 0.0039	0.0094 ± 0.0042
Others	0.5134 ± 0.0554	0.4696 ± 0.0869	0.4994 ± 0.1039

Note: TM stands for 60-day group, FM stands for 120-day group, SM stands for 180-day group; compared with SM *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01.

decompose resistant starch and feed fiber and other indigestion substances and produce short-chain fatty acids and other products, playing an important role in energy balance [47, 48]. Oscillospira is closely related to body health [49], and *Prevotella* is the main bacteria that mainly digest dietary fiber [50]. Their relatively high abundance is related to the long-term fixed diet after weaning and the crude feeding tolerance of pigs [51, 52]. Treponema can produce short-chain fatty acids to regulate the balance of host capacity through fermentation of polysaccharides and glia in the feed [53]. In this study, the abundance of Treponema was the highest at 180 days of age, which would be related to the higher crude fiber content in the feed. Streptococcus alactolyticus in Streptococcus is a culturable lactic acid bacteria in the jejunum and feces of mammals [54]. Streptococcus_alactolyticus was first isolated from the intestinal tract of pigs [55] and was the dominant species in the intestinal tract of pigs [56]. This would be closely related to the growth and reproduction and intestinal health of pigs. In this study, Streptococcus occupied a relatively high proportion and had an

absolute advantage at 180 days of age, which would be related to the beneficial nature of *Streptococcus*_alactolyticus in *Streptococcus* [57]. The composition and function of these bacteria play an important role in the health level and nutritional value of three-breed hybrid pigs.

There is no doubt that regulating the intestinal microbiota structure can improve the growth efficiency and health level of pigs. Understanding the composition and change process of intestinal microbe during the growth and fattening period of pigs can help prevent the decline of growth performance and health condition caused by intestinal microbe disorder. Our results showed that the composition and structure of intestinal microbiota were relatively stable during the fattening period in three-breed hybrid pigs. But it was still in a state of dynamic change. With the progress of the fattening period, the relative abundance of Firmicutes increased, while that of Bacteroides decreased.

In conclusion, the intestinal microbial compositions of the three-breed hybrid pigs are relatively stable during the fattening stage, but it is still in a state of dynamic change.



FIGURE 4: Comparison of microbial community structure among groups. (a) PCoA. (b) NMDS analysis. (c) LEfSe analysis with LDA score > 4.0. TM stands for 60-day group. FM stands for 120-day group. SM stands for 180-day group.

The results can be used as a reference for constructing a complete database of the three-breed hybrid pig intestinal microbes.

Data Availability

The original sequence obtained in this study has been submitted to the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (accession number is SRP: PRJNA795214, http://http://www.ncbi.nlm .nih.gov/).

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Authors' Contributions

Zhou-jin Tan designed the study; Jie-qi Wu collected the data; Sheng-ping Wang analyzed the data, and Cheng-xing Long wrote the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Scientific Research Foundation of Hunan Provincial Education Department (19A256). We thank all the scholars who provided relevant guidance for the study.

References

- G. P. Donaldson, S. M. Lee, and S. K. Mazmanian, "Gut biogeography of the bacterial microbiota," *Nature Reviews. Microbiology*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 20–32, 2016.
- [2] H. Yang, Y. P. Xiao, J. J. Wang et al., "Core gut microbiota in Jinhua pigs and its correlation with strain, farm and weaning age," *Journal of Microbiology*, vol. 56, no. 5, pp. 346–355, 2018.
- [3] E. A. Pajarillo, J. P. Chae, M. P. Balolong, H. B. Kim, K. S. Seo, and D. K. Kang, "Pyrosequencing-based analysis of fecal microbial communities in three purebred pig lines," *Journal* of *Microbiology*, vol. 52, no. 8, pp. 646–651, 2014.
- [4] E. A. Pajarillo, J. P. Chae, M. P. Balolong, H. B. Kim, K. S. Seo, and D. K. Kang, "Characterization of the fecal microbial communities of Duroc pigs using 16S rRNA gene pyrosequencing," *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 584–591, 2015.
- [5] X. F. Wang, T. C. Tsai, F. L. Deng et al., "Longitudinal investigation of the swine gut microbiome from birth to market reveals stage and growth performance associated bacteria," *Microbiome*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 109, 2019.
- [6] W. J. Zhao, Y. P. Wang, S. Y. Liu et al., "The dynamic distribution of porcine microbiota across different ages and gastrointestinal tract segments," *PLoS One*, vol. 10, no. 2, article e0117441, 2015.
- [7] Q. Shi, Y. Zhu, J. Wang, H. Yang, J. Wang, and W. Zhu, "Protein restriction and succedent realimentation affecting ileal morphology, ileal microbial composition and metabolites in weaned piglets," *Animal*, vol. 13, no. 11, pp. 2463–2472, 2019.
- [8] Y. Peng, K. F. Yu, C. L. Mu, S. Hang, L. Che, and W. Zhu, "Progressive response of large intestinal bacterial community and fermentation to the stepwise decrease of dietary crude protein level in growing pigs," *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnol*ogy, vol. 101, no. 13, pp. 5415–5426, 2017.
- [9] L. P. Zhou, L. D. Fang, Y. Sun, Y. Su, and W. Zhu, "Effects of the dietary protein level on the microbial composition and metabolomic profile in the hindgut of the pig," *Anaerobe*, vol. 38, pp. 61–69, 2016.
- [10] N. W. Jaworski, A. Owusu-Asiedu, M. C. Walsh, J. McCann, J. J. Loor, and H. H. Stein, "Effects of a 3 strain -based directfed microbial and dietary fiber concentration on growth performance and expression of genes related to absorption and metabolism of volatile fatty acids in weanling pigs," *Journal* of Animal Science, vol. 95, no. 1, pp. 308–319, 2017.
- [11] H. Liu, X. Zeng, G. Zhang et al., "Maternal milk and fecal microbes guide the spatiotemporal development of mucosaassociated microbiota and barrier function in the porcine neonatal gut," *BMC Biology*, vol. 17, no. 1, p. 106, 2019.
- [12] Y. W. Han, "Fusobacterium nucleatum: a commensal-turned pathogen," *Current Opinion in Microbiology*, vol. 23, pp. 141–147, 2015.
- [13] J. R. Pluske, "Invited review: aspects of gastrointestinal tract growth and maturation in the pre- and postweaning period of pigs," *Journal of Animal Science*, vol. 94, suppl_3, pp. 399– 411, 2016.

- [14] B. J. Chen, Y. S. Wu, Z. X. Qin et al., "Characteristics of intestinal microflora of pigs at different developmental stages," *Chinese Journal of Animal Science*, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 101–108, 2021.
- [15] J. L. Zhang, Y. Li, and X. C. Tian, "Disscuss on alfalfa's function during building grassland ecology in Xinjiang," *Grass-Feeding Livestock*, vol. 4, pp. 54–56, 2006.
- [16] M. L. Zheng and C. R. Zhang, "Diversity and conservation status of local pig breeds in China," *China Animal Industry*, vol. 9, pp. 52-53, 2017.
- [17] J. Wu, P. Boström, L. M. Sparks et al., "Beige adipocytes are a distinct type of thermogenic fat cell in mouse and human," *Cell*, vol. 150, no. 2, pp. 366–376, 2012.
- [18] U. A. White and J. M. Stephens, "Transcriptional factors that promote formation of white adipose tissue," *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology*, vol. 318, no. 1-2, pp. 10–14, 2010.
- [19] J. L. Kiers, J. C. Meijer, M. J. Nout, F. M. Rombouts, M. J. A. Nabuurs, and J. van der Meulen, "Effect of fermented soya beans on diarrhoea and feed efficiency in weaned piglets," *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, vol. 95, no. 3, pp. 545–552, 2003.
- [20] X. P. Jiang, G. Q. Liu, Y. Z. Xiong et al., "Phenotypic and genetic parameters for inosine acid in relation to carcass and meat quality traits in pigs," *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 257–260, 2003.
- [21] C. X. Long, H. Q. Shao, C. Y. Luo, R. Yu, and Z. J. Tan, "Bacterial diversity in the intestinal mucosa of dysbiosis diarrhea mice treated with qiweibaizhu powder," *Gastroenterology Research and Practice*, pp. 1–8, 2005.
- [22] M. Blaxter, J. Mann, T. Chapman et al., "Defining operational taxonomic units using DNA barcode data," *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*, vol. 360, no. 1462, pp. 1935–1943, 2005.
- [23] N. A. Bokulich, B. D. Kaehler, J. R. Rideout et al., "Optimizing taxonomic classification of marker-gene amplicon sequences with QIIME 2's q2-feature-classifier plugin," *Microbiome*, vol. 6, no. 1, p. 90, 2018.
- [24] T. Z. DeSantis, P. Hugenholtz, N. Larsen et al., "Greengenes, a chimera-checked 16S rRNA gene database and workbench compatible with ARB," *Applied and Environmental Microbiol*ogy, vol. 72, no. 7, pp. 5069–5072, 2006.
- [25] C. Quast, E. Pruesse, P. Yilmaz et al., "The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 41, no. D1, pp. D590–D596, 2012.
- [26] R. H. Whittaker, "Vegetation of the Siskiyou mountains, Oregon and California," *Ecological Monographs*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 279–338, 1960.
- [27] R. H. Whittaker, "Evolution and measurement of species diversity," *Taxon*, vol. 21, no. 2-3, pp. 213–251, 1972.
- [28] A. Chao, "Nonparametric estimation of the classes in a population," *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 265–270, 1984.
- [29] C. E. Shannon, "A mathematical theory of communication," Bell System Technical Journal, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 379–423, 1948.
- [30] C. E. Shannon, "A mathematical theory of communication," *Bell System Technical Journal*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 623–656, 1948.
- [31] E. H. Simpson, "Measurement of diversity," *Nature*, vol. 163, no. 4148, p. 688, 1949.
- [32] I. J. Good, "The population frequencies of species and the estimation of population parameters," *Biometrika*, vol. 40, no. 3-4, pp. 237–264, 1953.

- [33] A. Ramette, "Multivariate analyses in microbial ecology," FEMS Microbiology Ecology, vol. 62, no. 2, pp. 142–160, 2007.
- [34] C. Lozupone and R. Knight, "UniFrac: a new phylogenetic method for comparing microbial communities," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 71, no. 12, pp. 8228–8235, 2005.
- [35] P. Legendre and L. Legendre, Numerical Ecology (Second Edition), Elsevier, 1998.
- [36] N. Segata, J. Izard, L. Waldron et al., "Metagenomic biomarker discovery and explanation," *Genome Biology*, vol. 12, no. 6, p. R60, 2011.
- [37] H. Zhang, R. Y. Xu, Y. Su, and W. Y. Zhu, "A review: gut microbiota in monogastric animals," *Chinese Journal of Animal Nutrition*, vol. 32, no. 10, pp. 4674–4685, 2020.
- [38] H. B. Kim and R. E. Isaacson, "The pig gut microbial diversity: understanding the pig gut microbial ecology through the next generation high throughput sequencing," *Veterinary Microbiology*, vol. 177, no. 3-4, pp. 242–251, 2015.
- [39] Y. P. Xiao, K. F. Li, Y. Xiang, W. Zhou, G. Gui, and H. Yang, "The fecal microbiota composition of boar Duroc, Yorkshire, Landrace and Hampshire pigs," *Asian-Australasian Journal* of Animal Sciences, vol. 30, no. 10, pp. 1456–1463, 2017.
- [40] R. Isaacson and H. B. Kim, "The intestinal microbiome of the pig," *Animal Health Research Reviews*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 100– 109, 2012.
- [41] L. Bai, The Polymorphism of Intestinal Microbe in Yunan Black Pigs and "Duroc×Large White×Landrace" Three Breed Hybrid Pigs, Henan Agricultural University, Dissertations, 2017.
- [42] S. Al-Masaudi, A. El Kaoutari, E. Drula et al., "A metagenomics investigation of carbohydrate-active enzymes along the gastrointestinal tract of Saudi sheep," *Frontiers in Microbiology*, vol. 8, p. 666, 2017.
- [43] M. Thomas, L. Wrzosek, S. Miquel et al., "264 Bacteroides Thetaiotaomicron and Faecalibacterium prausnitzii shape the mucus production and mucin O-glycosylation in colon epithelium," *Gastroenterology*, vol. 144, no. 5, pp. S–59, 2013.
- [44] B. Min, In Vitro Analysis of Short Chain Fatty Acids and Human Fecal Microbiota Stimulated by Pectin Sources, [M.S. thesis], University of Arkansas, 2013.
- [45] T. Irisawa, S. Saputra, M. Kitahara et al., "Bacteroides caecicola sp. nov. and Bacteroides gallinaceum sp. nov., isolated from the caecum of an Indonesian chicken," International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, vol. 66, no. 3, pp. 1431–1437, 2016.
- [46] W. Ji, C. Y. Xie, Y. P. Zhao, and D. F. Xiao, "Biological functions of Lactobacillus and its application in sows' and piglets' production," *Chinese Journal of Animal Nutrition*, vol. 30, no. 11, pp. 4320–4326, 2018.
- [47] M. Blaut, "Gut microbiota and energy balance: role in obesity," *The Proceeding of the Nutrition Society*, vol. 74, no. 3, pp. 227– 234, 2015.
- [48] A. Schwiertz, D. Taras, K. Schäfer et al., "Microbiota and SCFA in lean and overweight healthy subjects," *Obesity*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 190–195, 2010.
- [49] Y. R. Chen, H. M. Zheng, G. X. Zhang, F. L. Chen, L. D. Chen, and Z. C. Yang, "High Oscillospira abundance indicates constipation and low BMI in the Guangdong Gut Microbiome Project," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 10, no. 1, p. 9364, 2020.

- [50] T. T. Chen, W. M. Long, C. H. Zhang, S. Liu, L. Zhao, and B. R. Hamaker, "Fiber-utilizing capacity varies in Prevotella- versus Bacteroides-dominated gut microbiota," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 2594, 2017.
- [51] C. De Filippo, D. Cavalieri, M. Di Paola et al., "Impact of diet in shaping gut microbiota revealed by a comparative study in children from Europe and rural Africa," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 107, no. 33, pp. 14691–14696, 2010.
- [52] G. D. Wu, J. Chen, C. Hoffmann et al., "Linking long-term dietary patterns with gut microbial enterotypes," *Science*, vol. 334, no. 6052, pp. 105–108, 2011.
- [53] X. L. Guo, Detection of Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes in the Pig Gut and the Correlation between Their Abundance and Fat Deposit, Sichuan Agricultural University, 2008.
- [54] M. L. Rinkinen, J. M. Koort, A. C. Ouwehand, E. Westermarck, and K. J. Björkroth, "Streptococcus alactolyticus is the dominating culturable lactic acid bacterium species in canine jejunum and feces of four fistulated dogs," FEMS Microbiology Letters, vol. 230, no. 1, pp. 35–39, 2004.
- [55] J. A. E. Farrow, J. Kruze, B. A. Phillips, A. J. Bramley, and M. D. Collins, "Taxonomic studies on *Streptococcus bovis* and *Streptococcus equinus*: description of *Streptococcus alactolyticus* sp. nov. and *Streptococcus saccharolyticus* sp. nov," *Sysematic and Applied Microbiology*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 467–482, 1984.
- [56] P. Vandamme, L. A. Devriese, F. Haesebrouck, and K. Kersters, "Streptococcus intestinalis Robinson et al. 1988 and Streptococcus alactolyticus Farrow et al. 1984 are phenotypically indistinguishable," International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology, vol. 49, Part 2, pp. 737–741, 1999.
- [57] J. L. Han, X. Y. Yang, Y. T. Nie, A. R. Qi, Y. K. Zhang, and J. Z. Meng, "Isolation, identification and probiotic characteristics of *Streptococcus alactolyticus* from breeding pigs in Nanning," *Acta Ecologiae Animalis Domastici*, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 66–71, 2022.