

Case Report

“The Mind Is Its Own Place”: Amelioration of Claustrophobia in Semantic Dementia

Camilla N. Clark,¹ Laura E. Downey,¹ Hannah L. Golden,¹ Phillip D. Fletcher,¹ Rajith de Silva,² Alberto Cifelli,² and Jason D. Warren¹

¹ Dementia Research Centre, UCL Institute of Neurology, University College London, 8-11 Queen Square, London WC1N 3BG, UK

² Essex Neurosciences Centre, Queen's Hospital, Rom Valley Way, Romford RM7 0AG, UK

Correspondence should be addressed to Jason D. Warren; jason.warren@ucl.ac.uk

Received 1 March 2013; Accepted 17 June 2013; Published 6 March 2014

Academic Editor: Argye E. Hillis

Copyright © 2014 Camilla N. Clark et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Phobias are among the few intensely fearful experiences we regularly have in our everyday lives, yet the brain basis of phobic responses remains incompletely understood. Here we describe the case of a 71-year-old patient with a typical clinicoanatomical syndrome of semantic dementia led by selective (predominantly right-sided) temporal lobe atrophy, who showed striking amelioration of previously disabling claustrophobia following onset of her cognitive syndrome. We interpret our patient's newfound fearlessness as an interaction of damaged limbic and autonomic responsivity with loss of the cognitive meaning of previously threatening situations. This case has implications for our understanding of brain network disintegration in semantic dementia and the neurocognitive basis of phobias more generally.

1. Introduction

Specific phobia is defined in DSM-IVR as marked, persistent, and excessive or unreasonable fear when in the presence of, or when anticipating an encounter with, a specific object or situation [1]. Examples of specific phobias include animals (commonly mice, snakes, and spiders), natural environments (including heights, storms, or water), breaches of one's physical integrity (blood, injections and injury) and situations (notably, closed spaces or claustrophobia). Specific phobias are collectively common, with an estimated lifetime prevalence of around 10% in Western populations [1]. As rare instances of powerfully arousing, intensely fearful stimuli that are regularly encountered in modern developed societies, phobias hold potentially unique insights for our understanding of the cognitive and neural machinery of fear.

Functional imaging in human subjects suggests that specific phobias are neuroanatomically mediated by limbic and paralimbic circuitry including the amygdala, anterior cingulate, insula and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, and subcortical connections to the ventral striatum and brainstem nuclei including locus coeruleus [2–6]. These brain regions

are involved in the representation and interpretation of the phobic object, in amplification of the phobic response, and generation of the characteristic somatic correlates of extreme fear. Proximity of the phobic stimulus modulates activation in stria terminalis and orbitofrontal cortex, while mismatch between predicted and experienced fear engages the amygdala [2]. Supraliminally presented stimuli activate amygdala bilaterally whereas subliminally presented stimuli demonstrate lateralised activity in the right amygdala suggesting a role of the latter in hypervigilance to phobic stimuli before these attain conscious awareness [4]. The role of the amygdala is further underlined by the unique Urbach-Wiethe syndrome in which selective amygdalar proteinosis is accompanied by loss of fear responses [7]. Particular phobias vary in the extent to which they engage cognitive and autonomic components of the fear response [8, 9].

The frontotemporal lobar degenerations (FTLD) are a diverse group of proteinopathies that present clinically with impairments of social conduct and understanding, aphasia or deficits of conceptual knowledge about the world at large [10]. These diseases share a propensity to produce selective brain network disintegration maximally affecting the frontal

and anterior temporal lobes [10]. Abnormal reactivity to and comprehension of a range of emotional stimuli are a hallmark of FTLN and in particular the canonical syndromic subtypes of behavioural variant frontotemporal dementia and semantic dementia (SemD). These deficits of emotion processing have been linked to regional atrophy and altered connectivity in frontolimbic circuitry, including orbitofrontal cortex, ventral striatum, insula, and amygdala [10–12]. The SemD syndrome is of particular interest because it is underpinned by selective erosion of semantic memory: the human memory system that governs conceptual and encyclopaedic knowledge about words and objects based on an individual's accumulated experience of the world. SemD is associated with progressive degeneration of a specific brain network centred on the anterior temporal lobes and their connections with inferior frontal, limbic, and more posterior brain regions [13]. SemD is most often led by loss of understanding of word meanings (progressive semantic aphasia) but less commonly can be led by deficits of nonverbal semantic memory, such as impaired face recognition (progressive associative prosopagnosia) [14]. Even in patients presenting with verbal semantic deficits, nonverbal semantic deficits are often detectable [15], and both verbal and nonverbal deficits progress as SemD unfolds, underlining the status of this syndrome as the paradigmatic disorder of the semantic memory system. It is increasingly recognised that SemD is associated with a range of behavioural disturbances that may be at least partly underpinned by severe deficits in comprehending affect-laden as well as affectively neutral objects and social concepts [12, 16, 17].

Here we describe the case of a patient in whom development of SemD was accompanied by striking attenuation of previously disabling claustrophobia, with implications both for our understanding of the pathophysiology of SemD and the brain basis of specific phobias.

2. General Clinical Details

This 71-year-old right-handed retired medical secretary, LC, presented with a seven-year history of cognitive decline led by progressive difficulty recognising familiar faces. More recently she had been unable to recognise even close friends and relatives and increasingly relied on other cues to their personal identity (e.g., the type of car they drove). She had also experienced difficulty recognising voices over the telephone. Word finding difficulties were an early feature and she struggled in particular to retrieve personal and brand names. Increasingly she seemed unable to understand how to use everyday household items or to comprehend environmental sounds. Her family had noted an insidious change in her personality and social behaviour beginning around three years after the onset of prosopagnosia and characterised by development of a sweet tooth, reduced empathy, loss of humour and social sensitivity, and increasing self-centredness, with obsessionality around time-keeping, picture puzzles, and music. There was no history of topographical disorientation. There was a past history of severe




FIGURE 1: Representative coronal slice through the anterior temporal lobes from brain MRI in LC, six years after onset of symptoms (the right hemisphere is displayed on the left). There is selective atrophy of the anteroinferior and mesial temporal lobes including amygdalae and hippocampi (more marked on the right) and less marked atrophy of perisylvian cortices bilaterally.

claustrophobia with previous psychiatric contact but no other significant past personal or family history.

Neuropsychological assessment (summarised in Table 1) corroborated the clinical impression: LC showed deficits of famous face recognition and visual object identification, anomia, and reduced single word comprehension, but her speech was fluent and normally constructed and there was relative preservation of her mnemonic, perceptual and executive functions. The general neurological examination was unremarkable. Brain MRI (Figure 1) showed selective atrophy predominantly affecting the anteroinferior and mesial temporal lobes, more marked in the right hemisphere, with less marked atrophy of perisylvian cortices bilaterally. Based on LC's characteristic neuropsychological and neuroanatomical phenotype, a clinical diagnosis of SemD presenting with progressive prosopagnosia was made. This clinical diagnosis was additionally in line with current consensus criteria for the semantic variant of progressive aphasia, acknowledging that a minority of patients in this group do present with prominent difficulties with person recognition [13].

3. Alterations in Claustrophobia and Other Emotional Responses

A noteworthy feature of LC's history was striking attenuation of her previously disabling, longstanding claustrophobia following the onset of cognitive decline. She had been diagnosed by a psychiatrist with claustrophobia in her mid-twenties, and this had remained a significant issue throughout her adult life. Even in childhood, she had disliked being in crowded places such as the school chapel, and in her late teens and early twenties she exhibited mounting anxiety when in confined spaces including lifts, trains, aeroplanes, and other situations with no obvious route of escape. She would develop full-blown panic symptoms with sustained exposure to such situations and avoided them wherever possible, sometimes at the cost of considerable inconvenience (e.g., driving many kilometres out of her way to avoid road

TABLE 1: Neuropsychological profile of LC 6 years after onset of symptoms.

| Cognitive domain | Raw score | Percentile* /normal range [†] |
|---|-------------|---|
| <i>General intellect (WASI)</i> | | |
| Verbal IQ | 84 | |
| Performance IQ | 93 | |
| <i>Executive function</i> | | |
| Stroop test: colour-word inhibition | 60 s | 25–50th |
| WMS-R Digit Span: | | |
| Forwards | 9/12 Max: 7 | 50th–75th |
| Backwards | 9/12 Max: 6 | 90th–95th |
| <i>Episodic memory</i> | | |
| Visual recognition: | | |
| Faces | 39/50 | 10th |
| Words | 27/50 | 5th |
| <i>Language</i> | | |
| Graded Naming Test | 0/30 | <1st |
| Synonyms: | | |
| Concrete | 18/25 | <2nd |
| Abstract | 16/25 | <2nd |
| British Picture Vocabulary Scale (BPVS) | 136/150 | >144/150 [†] |
| Pyramids and palm trees—pictures | 45/52 | <5th |
| Reading (NART) | 27/50 | N/A |
| <i>Semantic memory: faces</i> | | |
| Famous faces: recognition | 7/12 | <10th [‡] |
| Famous faces: naming | 2/12 | <5th [‡] |
| <i>Visual perceptual</i> | | |
| Object decision VOSP | 16 | 5–25th |
| Incomplete letters | 20/20 | >99th |
| Position discrimination | 20/20 | >99th |

*As applicable using WASI: Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence [18]; Stroop, Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System Stroop Test [19]; Recognition Memory Tests [20]; GNT: Graded Naming Test [21]; Concrete and Abstract Word Synonym Test [22]; BPVS: British Picture Vocabulary Scale [23]; Pyramids and Palm Trees Test [24]; NART: National Adult Reading Test [25]; VOSP: Visual Object and Space Perception Battery [26].

[†]Based on normative data from an historical group of 100 healthy controls aged 55–70 years [27].

[‡]Local unpublished normative data from 310 controls aged 55–70 years.

tunnels or planning vacations around her fear). There had been no suggestion of a generalised anxiety disorder nor any history of other phobic responses. Her family reported that LC's claustrophobia settled within several years of onset of her cognitive symptoms: she would, for example, now travel willingly on the London Underground and enter crowded lifts when accessing the platforms. A compelling illustration occurred some six years following symptom onset, when she agreed to have a brain MRI and underwent the procedure with no evidence of distress. Indeed, her family remarked that

loss of her claustrophobia was the one positive outcome of LC's SemD diagnosis.

On specific enquiry, there was the suggestion of a more general alteration in LC's emotional responses. In earlier life she had been prone to fairly regular vociferous, angry outbursts; these had abated following the onset of cognitive decline. In addition, she now failed to react to situations likely to have provoked disgust premonitory (e.g., leaving her washing machine filled with stagnant water and accumulating cartons of mouldy food in her house). In contrast to this reduction in certain strong premonitory emotional responses, LC had developed a craving for music (musicophilia), repeatedly requesting to hear the same repertoire of songs derived from Hollywood musicals. She evidently derived considerable pleasure from these songs and, before her family intervened, would stay up late into the night listening to them.

4. Discussion

This patient with SemD lost phobic responses to a specific situation (confined spaces) that had previously reliably evoked them, following the onset of her cognitive syndrome. Although this amelioration of claustrophobia probably occurred in the context of a more generalised alteration of strong emotional responsivity, it is nevertheless a striking illustration of the specific modulation of an established behavioural programme by neurodegenerative disease. This case has implications both for our understanding of brain network disintegration in SemD and the neurocognitive basis of phobias more generally.

From a disease perspective, this case shows that the well-recognised effects of SemD on emotion processing extend even to essentially “automatic” and powerful emotional behaviours (such as phobic responses) that have become highly entrenched over the course of a lifetime. While gratifying in this particular patient, there is clear potential for harm here: whereas phobias *per se* are a nonuseful legacy of our evolutionary past, the capacity to experience fear when confronted with genuinely threatening scenarios remains highly useful. If SemD is accompanied by a more generalised fearlessness, this could leave patients vulnerable in their daily lives: however, there remain few data on the real life impact of altered emotion processing in patients with neurodegenerative diseases [12, 16, 28, 29]. Pathophysiologically, while direct neuroanatomical correlation was not possible here, the pattern of regional brain atrophy exhibited by LC (see Figure 1) suggests that her loss of phobic responses is attributable to involvement of key structures such as the amygdala, insula, and their connections. Aversive learning paradigms in neurodegenerative dementia cohorts have shown that FTLD (in contrast to Alzheimer's disease) is associated both with blunted fear conditioning and reduced autonomic responsivity, and this is in turn correlated with grey matter loss in a large-scale emotion processing network including anterior cingulate, orbitofrontal cortex, and insula [30]. The development of musicophilia in LC's case suggests that SemD does not simply produce a global attenuation

of emotionality: rather the specific stimulus may modulate the valence of the abnormal emotional response, presumably owing to stimulus-dependent alterations of connectivity in cognitive and limbic circuitry, as proposed in previous cases of musicophilia associated with SemD [31].

From a neurocognitive perspective, the present case particularly implicates the right temporal lobe in the modulation of phobic responses (since loss of claustrophobia in this case evolved in tandem with prosopagnosia and predominantly right-sided anterior temporal lobe atrophy) and raises the further possibility that the phenomenology of a phobia reflects conjoint processing of both the experience of strong emotion [32] and the cognitive “meaning” of the experience. Moral sentiments experienced by healthy individuals have been shown to be mediated through emotion-specific functional connectivity between the anterior temporal lobe and frontolimbic regions, providing a potential mechanism for conceptual-emotional integration [33]. Disease-associated neuronal dysfunction and loss in SemD affect both temporal and frontal lobe neocortex as well as the subcortical machinery of autonomic responses. We speculate that neocortical processing in the otherwise healthy brain underpins the more complex aspects of phobic behaviour (such as LC’s elaborate premonitory avoidance strategies), while impaired neocortical processing (e.g., following onset of SemD) may remove the cognitive significance of the phobic situation along with its autonomic resonance. It may be that attenuation of both these components of the phobic experience is required to “cure” the phobia, as indeed psychologically based treatment strategies would suggest [34, 35].

Conclusions based on single case studies must necessarily be tentative. However we hope that our observations in this case will motivate a further systematic neuropsychological and psychophysical investigation of phobic (and potentially phobic) responses in patients with FTL, in relation to other neurodegenerative diseases and with electrophysiological and neuroimaging correlation. More philosophically, our case illustrates how the brain constructs a private model of the world and invests this with emotional significance and how this process can be modulated by pathological mental states, as recognised long ago by Milton [36] and others.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the patient and her family for their participation. They thank Dr. Leanne Brown and Dr. Charlotte Fuller for assistance in collecting general neuropsychological data and Professor Elizabeth Warrington for providing local unpublished normative data and her helpful comments. This work was undertaken at UCLH/UCL, which received a proportion of funding from the Department of Health’s NIHR Biomedical Research Centres funding scheme. The Dementia Research Centre is an Alzheimer’s Research

UK Coordinating Centre. This work was also funded by the Wellcome Trust and by the UK Medical Research Council. Jason D. Warren is supported by a Wellcome Trust Senior Clinical Fellowship (Grant no. 091673/Z/10/Z).

References

- [1] *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, American Psychiatric Association, Washington, DC, USA, 4th edition, 2000.
- [2] D. Mobbs, R. Yu, J. B. Rowe, H. Eich, O. FeldmanHall, and T. Dalgleish, “Neural activity associated with monitoring the oscillating threat value of a tarantula,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 107, no. 47, pp. 20582–20586, 2010.
- [3] A. Del Casale, S. Ferracuti, C. Rapinesi et al., “Functional neuroimaging in specific phobia,” *Psychiatry Research*, vol. 202, no. 3, Article ID 228049, pp. 181–197, 2012.
- [4] J. Lipka, W. H. R. Miltner, and T. Straube, “Vigilance for threat interacts with amygdala responses to subliminal threat cues in specific phobia,” *Biological Psychiatry*, vol. 70, no. 5, pp. 472–478, 2011.
- [5] I. M. Rosso, N. Makris, J. C. Britton et al., “Anxiety sensitivity correlates with two indices of right anterior insula structure in specific animal phobia,” *Depression and Anxiety*, vol. 27, no. 12, Article ID 211328, pp. 1104–1110, 2010.
- [6] J. Schweckendiek, T. Klucken, C. J. Merz et al., “Weaving the (neuronal) web: fear learning in spider phobia,” *NeuroImage*, vol. 54, no. 1, pp. 681–688, 2011.
- [7] R. Hurlmann, T. E. Schlaepfer, A. Matusch et al., “Reduced 5-HT_{2A} receptor signaling following selective bilateral amygdala damage,” *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 79–84, 2009.
- [8] U. Lueken, J. D. Kruschwitz, M. Muehlhan, J. Siebert, J. Hoyer, and H.-U. Wittchen, “How specific is specific phobia? Different neural response patterns in two subtypes of specific phobia,” *NeuroImage*, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 363–372, 2011.
- [9] X. Caseras, D. Mataix-Cols, M. V. Trassovares et al., “Dynamics of brain responses to phobic-related stimulation in specific phobia subtypes,” *European Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 32, no. 8, pp. 1414–1422, 2010.
- [10] W. W. Seeley, J. Zhou, and E. J. Kim, “Frontotemporal dementia: what can the behavioral variant teach us about human brain organization?” *The Neuroscientist*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 373–385, 2012.
- [11] N. A. Farb, C. L. Grady, S. Strother et al., “Abnormal network connectivity in frontotemporal dementia: evidence for prefrontal isolation,” *Cortex*, vol. 49, no. 7, pp. 1856–1873, 2013.
- [12] R. Omar, S. M. D. Henley, J. W. Bartlett et al., “The structural neuroanatomy of music emotion recognition: evidence from frontotemporal lobar degeneration,” *NeuroImage*, vol. 56, no. 3, pp. 1814–1821, 2011.
- [13] P. D. Fletcher and J. D. Warren, “Semantic dementia: a specific network-opathy,” *Journal of Molecular*, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 629–636, 2011.
- [14] P. J. Tyrrell, E. K. Warrington, R. S. J. Frackowiak, and M. N. Rossor, “Progressive degeneration of the right temporal lobe studied with positron emission tomography,” *Journal of Neurology Neurosurgery and Psychiatry*, vol. 53, no. 12, pp. 1046–1050, 1990.

- [15] J. R. Hodges and K. Patterson, "Semantic dementia: a unique clinicopathological syndrome," *The Lancet Neurology*, vol. 6, no. 11, pp. 1004–1014, 2007.
- [16] A. Ibanez and F. Manes, "Contextual social cognition and the behavioral variant of frontotemporal dementia," *Neurology*, vol. 78, no. 17, pp. 1354–1362, 2012.
- [17] R. Zahn, J. Moll, V. Iyengar et al., "Social conceptual impairments in frontotemporal lobar degeneration with right anterior temporal hypometabolism," *Brain*, vol. 132, no. 3, pp. 604–616, 2009.
- [18] D. Wechsler, *Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale*, Administration and scoring manual, Psychological Corporation, San Antonio, Tex, USA, 3rd edition, 1997.
- [19] D. C. Delis, E. Kaplan, and J. Kramer, *Delis-Kaplan Executive Function Scale*, The Psychological Corporation, San Antonio, Tex, USA, 2001.
- [20] E. K. Warrington, *Recognition Memory Test: Manual*, NFER-Nelson, Berkshire, UK, 1984.
- [21] P. McKenna and E. K. Warrington, *Graded Naming Test Manual*, NFER-Nelson, Windsor, Canada, 1983.
- [22] E. K. Warrington, P. McKenna, and L. Orpwood, "Single word comprehension: a concrete and abstract word synonym test," *Neuropsychological Rehabilitation*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 143–145, 1998.
- [23] L. M. Dunn, C. Whetton, and D. Pintilie, *The British Picture Vocabulary Scale*, NFER-Nelson, Windsor, Canada, 1982.
- [24] D. Howard and K. Patterson, *Pyramids and Palm Trees: A Test of Semantic Access from Pictures and Words*, Bury St Edmunds Thames Valley Publishing Company, 1992.
- [25] H. E. Nelson, *Nelson Adult Reading Test Manual*, The National Hospital for Nervous Diseases, London, UK, 1982.
- [26] E. K. Warrington and M. James, *The Visual Object and Space Perception Battery*, Thames Valley Test Company, Bury St. Edmunds, UK, 1991.
- [27] R. Omar, J. D. Rohrer, J. C. Hailstone, and J. D. Warren, "Structural neuroanatomy of face processing in frontotemporal lobar degeneration," *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry*, vol. 82, no. 12, pp. 1341–1343, 2011.
- [28] T. Shany-Ur and K. P. Rankin, "Personality and social cognition in neurodegenerative disease," *Current Opinion in Neurology*, vol. 24, no. 6, pp. 550–555, 2011.
- [29] K. Virani, S. Jesso, A. Kertesz, D. Mitchell, and E. Finger, "Functional neural correlates of emotional expression processing deficits in behavioural variant frontotemporal dementia," *Journal of Psychiatry and Neuroscience*, vol. 37, no. 6, Article ID 120008, 2012.
- [30] M. Hofer, S. C. Allison, G. F. Schauer et al., "Fear conditioning in frontotemporal lobar degeneration and Alzheimer's disease," *Brain*, vol. 131, pp. 1646–1657, 2008.
- [31] P. D. Fletcher, L. E. Downey, P. Witoonpanich, and J. D. Warren, "The brain basis of musicophilia: evidence from frontotemporal lobar degeneration," *Frontiers in Psychology*, vol. 4, article 347, 2013.
- [32] A. Schienle, A. Schäfer, B. Walter, R. Stark, and D. Vaitl, "Brain activation of spider phobics towards disorder-relevant, generally disgust- and fear-inducing pictures," *Neuroscience Letters*, vol. 388, no. 1, pp. 1–6, 2005.
- [33] S. Green, M. A. Lambon Ralph, J. Moll, E. A. Stamatakis, J. Grafman, and R. Zahn, "Selective functional integration between anterior temporal and distinct fronto-mesolimbic regions during guilt and indignation," *NeuroImage*, vol. 52, no. 4, pp. 1720–1726, 2010.
- [34] K. B. Wolitzky-Taylor, J. D. Horowitz, M. B. Powers, and M. J. Telch, "Psychological approaches in the treatment of specific phobias: a meta-analysis," *Clinical Psychology Review*, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 1021–1037, 2008.
- [35] A. Reinecke, C. Soltau, J. Hoyer, E. S. Becker, and M. Rinck, "Treatment sensitivity of implicit threat evaluation, avoidance tendency and visual working memory bias in specific phobia," *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 321–328, 2012.
- [36] J. Milton, *Paradise Lost*, Penguin, 2003.



Hindawi
Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

