

Research Article

Construction of a Diagnostic Model and a IncRNA-Associated ceRNA Network Based on Apoptosis-Related Genes for Schizophrenia

Zi-long Ma,¹ Run-lan Wang,¹ and Lili Meng^{1,2}

¹Department of Psychiatry, Wuhan Mental Health Center, Wuhan, Hubei Province 430012, China ²Department of Sleep, Wuhan Hospital of Psychotherapy, Wuhan, Hubei Province, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Lili Meng; ililgnem@163.com

Received 15 September 2022; Revised 25 March 2023; Accepted 22 May 2023; Published 20 June 2023

Academic Editor: Cheng Xin Gong

Copyright © 2023 Zi-long Ma et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background and Aim. Schizophrenia is a complex psychiatric disorder with an unknown etiology. Previous studies suggest that apoptosis is potentially involved in the pathophysiology of schizophrenia, but whether apoptotic markers can help diagnosis of schizophrenia has not been reported. This study aimed to establish a potential diagnostic model based on apoptosis-related gene expression in blood samples and to construct a competing endogenous RNA (ceRNA) network that could provide mechanistic insight of schizophrenia. Methods. Gene expression profiles and apoptosis-related data were downloaded from the Gene Expression Omnibus and Molecular Signature databases, respectively. Apoptosis-related differentially expressed mRNAs (DEGs) and miRNAs (DEMs) from blood samples between the schizophrenia and healthy control individuals were screened. A diagnostic model was developed using the data from univariate and least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) regression analyses, followed by validation using the GSE38485 dataset. Cases were divided into low-risk (LR) and high-risk (HR) groups based on the risk score of the model, and differences in immune gene sets and pathways between these two groups were compared. Finally, a ceRNA network was constructed by integrating long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs), DEMs, and DEGs. Results. A diagnostic model containing 15 apoptosis-related genes was developed and its diagnostic efficiency was found to be robust. The HR group was correlated with higher immune scores of chemokines, cytokines, and interleukins; it was also significantly involved in pathways such as pancreatic beta cells and early estrogen response. A ceRNA network composed of 2 lncRNAs, 14 miRNAs, and 5 mRNAs was established. Conclusions. The established model is a potential tool to improve the diagnostic efficiency of patients with schizophrenia, and the nodes included in the ceRNA network might serve as biomarkers and therapeutic targets for schizophrenia.

1. Introduction

Schizophrenia is a complex neuropsychiatric syndrome that affects approximately 1% of the world's population and poses a serious health burden [1]. Symptoms of schizophrenia include psychotic episodes and cognitive dysfunction, resulting in a lack of motivation and social withdrawal [2]. Patients with schizophrenia develop mental illness and disability, which may ultimately lead to disturbances in their daily lives and a reduced life expectancy [3]. The heterogeneous phenotypic and genetic characteristics of schizophrenia continue to present challenges for exploring its etiology, diagnosis, and developing treatment protocols.

Increasing attention is being paid to the study of environmental factors associated with alterations in gene expression through epigenetic regulation [4]. Moreover, discoveries in the field of schizophrenia pathophysiology have made it possible to establish reliable biomarkers [5]. It has been reported that effective biomarkers can verify potential therapeutic targets or predict responses and can inform clinical diagnoses or treatment strategies for schizophrenia [6]. Therefore, the investigation of potential biomarkers of schizophrenia can help develop diagnostic tools.

TABLE 1: Detailed information for each dataset included in this analysis.

Datasets	Years	Samples	NC	SCZ	Database	Platforms		
GSE27383	2013	РВМС	29	43	NCBI GEO	[HG-U133_Plus_2] Affymetrix Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array		
GSE38485	2012	Whole blood	22	15	NCBI GEO	Illumina HumanRef-8 v3.0 Expression BeadChip		
	2012	Whole blood	96	106	NCBI GEO	Illumina HumanHT-12 V3.0 Expression BeadChip		
GSE54913	2014	РВМС	12	18	NCBI GEO	Arraystar Human LncRNA microarray V2.0 (Agilent-033010 Probe Name version)		
E-MTAB-3303	2015	PBMC	83	128	ARRAYEXPRESS	A-MEXP-1820—Illumina Human microRNA V2 BeadChip		

NC: normal control; PBMCs: peripheral blood mononuclear cells; SCZ: schizophrenia.

Apoptosis is a regulated form of cell death that remains active during neurodevelopment; it can also be reactivated under a variety of neuropathological conditions [7]. Accumulating evidence has demonstrated that apoptosis plays a potential role in the pathophysiology of schizophrenia. Proapoptotic triggers can lead to non-lethal apoptotic activity, which may induce neuronal and synaptic elimination without cell death, resulting in cognitive decline in patients with schizophrenia [8, 9]. Additionally, DNA fragmentation, a feature of apoptosis, has been observed in the cortical regions of schizophrenia patients [10]. Nevertheless, there is no report about research on apoptosisrelated biomarkers in the pathogenesis of schizophrenia.

In addition to mRNA, non-coding RNAs, such as lncRNAs, may be also involved in the pathogenesis of schizophrenia. A high expression of lncRNAs in the brain contributes to the healthy function of neurons and synapses; these molecular pathways are frequently found to be dysfunctional in schizophrenia [11]. Specific changes in lncRNAs and miRNAs have been observed in the brains with schizophrenia, further supporting their roles in this disorder [12]. In addition, the competing endogenous RNA (ceRNA) network composed of miRNAs, mRNA, and lncRNAs plays a key role in maintaining synaptic density and neurogenesis, which is also implicated in schizophrenia [13]. However, there have been few studies on the construction of ceRNA networks based on apoptosis-related genes. In this study, we downloaded the gene expression profile of blood samples from schizophrenia and normal control (NC) cases from several public databases, followed by the identification of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) and miRNAs (DEMs). Apoptosis-related genes were extracted from the public database and integrated with DEGs to construct a diagnostic model of schizophrenia. A ceRNA network was established to reveal the regulatory mechanisms of schizophrenia. These findings may help elucidate the disease mechanisms, improve diagnosis, and guide the development of new drugs.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Data Acquirement. A total of 310 schizophrenia and 242 NC samples obtained from four public datasets were included in this study. In brief, mRNA-seq expression profiles of the 341 samples (182 schizophrenia and 159 controls) were downloaded from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/), and the miRNA expression profiles of 211 samples (128 schizophrenia and 83 controls) were extracted from the ARRAYEXPRES database (https://www.ebi

.ac.uk/arrayexpress/). Detailed information for each dataset is presented in Table 1.

2.2. Data Preprocessing. After data standardization, the probe number was matched with the gene symbol using the downloaded platform annotation file of the GEO datasets. According to the source of the samples, data from peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were merged as the training sets (GSE27383 and GSE54913), and those from whole blood were merged as the validation set (GSE38485). The R package inSilicoMerging (v1.14.0) [14] was used to merge datasets, after which the empirical Bayes method [15] was applied to remove batch effects, and the obtained matrix was used for subsequent analysis.

Based on the miRNA microarray data from the ARRAYEXPRESS database, the probe number was matched with miRNA using the downloaded platform annotation file to obtain the expression value of miRNA.

2.3. Identification of Apoptosis-Related DEGs. A total of 228 apoptosis-related genes were downloaded from two datasets (HALLMARK_APOPTOSIS and KEGG_APOPTOSIS) hosted on the Molecular Signature (MSigDB) database (v7.1). Next, these genes were mapped to the obtained mRNA matrix, and 189 apoptosis-related genes were screened for further analysis. Differential expression analysis of schizophrenia vs. controls was performed according to the expression level of these genes established using the Student's *t* test. Genes with *p* < 0.05 were considered apoptosis-related DEGs.

2.4. Correlation and Protein–Protein Interaction Analyses. To understand the relationship between genes, the Pearson correlation coefficient (PCC) between any two apoptosis-related DEGs was calculated. Additionally, these genes were entered into the STRING database, and the parameters were set to human and medium confidence (protein–protein interaction [PPI] score = 4) to obtain PPI pairs. After obtain-ing PPI pairs, the PPI network was visualized using the Cytoscape software (v3.6.1) [16].

2.5. Construction of a Diagnostic Model. Univariate logistic regression was performed to identify disease-associated genes and genes with p < 0.05. least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) Cox regression with 20-fold cross-validation was conducted to obtain the optimal gene signature and corresponding coefficients using the glmnet package in R (v4.0–2) [17]. The risk score (RS) for each patient was



FIGURE 1: Box plot of data distribution before (a) and after (b) the removal of batch effects. The red boxes represent the normal control samples, and the blue boxes represent the schizophrenia sample.

calculated using the following formula: $RS = \sum \beta_{\text{gene}} \times \text{Exp}_{\text{gene}}$; where β_{gene} represents the LASSO coefficient, and Exp_{gene} represents the expression level of the gene. Based on the median score, the samples were assigned to low-risk (LR) and high-risk (HR) groups. To verify whether this model was effective for whole blood, we used the same formula to construct a diagnostic model for the validation set. In addition, a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was plotted to determine the predictive performance of the model.

2.6. Correlation Analysis of Immune and Different Risk Groups. Immune-related gene sets were obtained from the ImmPort database [18], and the immune gene set score for

each sample was calculated using the single-sample Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (ssGSEA) algorithm in the gene set variation analysis (GSVA). The difference in immune scores between the HR and LR groups was assessed using a t test. PCC between immune gene sets and each gene in the model was calculated and displayed using a heatmap. Moreover, differences in human leukocyte antigen (HLA) family genes between the two risk groups were assessed, followed by a calculation of the PCC between HLA family genes and each gene in the model.

2.7. Comparative Analysis of Pathway between HR and LR Groups. Based on the "h.all.v7.4. symbols.gmt" in the



FIGURE 2: Apoptosis-related differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between schizophrenia (SCZ) and normal control (NC) samples.

MSigDB database as an enrichment background, the GSVA score of each HALLMARK pathway in samples was calculated using the GSVA algorithm [19]. Differences in pathways between the LR and HR groups were analyzed using the limma package in R (v3.10.3) [20]. Pathways with |t score| > 1 and adjusted p < 0.05 were regarded as significantly enriched.

2.8. Analysis of ceRNA Regulatory Mechanism. DEMs between schizophrenia and controls were identified using the Student's *t* test, and miRNAs with p < 0.05 were considered to be differentially expressed. The miRWalk 3.0 was used to perform the miRNA prediction of genes in the diagnostic model, with default parameters: binding probability > 95%, binding site position = 3UTR. The predicted miRNAs were then intersected with DEMs, and the overlapping miRNAs were obtained to generate miRNA-mRNA pairs. Furthermore, DIANA-LncBase was used to predict IncRNAs targeted by miRNAs in miRNA-mRNA pairs. These lncRNAs were then matched with the DEGs within schizophrenia vs. controls to obtain the differentially expressed lncRNAs and the corresponding lncRNA-miRNA pairs. Finally, the mRNAs and lncRNAs regulated by the same miRNA were screened, and a ceRNA network based on the mRNA-miRNA-lncRNA pair was constructed using the Cytoscape software.

3. Results

3.1. Data Preprocessing. As described in Materials and Methods section, the data were merged, and batch effects were removed. The distribution of data after removing the

batch effect tended to be consistent with the median in a line, indicating that our data were of high quality and could be used for subsequent analyses (Figures 1(a) and 1(b)). In total, 61 schizophrenia and 41 control samples were included in this analysis, and the expression values of 10,810 genes were obtained.

3.2. Apoptosis-Related DEGs in Schizophrenia. After integrating the above genes and apoptosis-related genes, 189 genes were screened for further analyses. The expression values of these genes in each sample were extracted, and differential analysis was performed. As per the set threshold (p < 0.05), 41 apoptosis-related DEGs were identified between the schizophrenia and control groups (Figure 2).

3.3. Correlation Analysis and PPI Network Construction. The PCCs between two apoptosis-related genes were calculated to investigate the relationship between these DEGs. The expression of these genes was closely correlated (Figure 3(a)). NFKBIA and IL1B had the strongest positive correlation (Figure 3(b)), whereas TNFRSF1A and BTG3 had the strongest negative correlation (Figure 3(c)). The interaction between these genes was predicted using the STRING database, and a PPI network containing 156 edges and 37 nodes was constructed (Figure 3(d)). Genes such as IL1B, JUN, IL6, NFKBIA, and FASLG were simultaneously linked to multiple nodes in the network and may be considered key genes.

3.4. Establishment and Validation of the 15-Gene Signature Diagnostic Model. After the univariate logistic regression analysis, 36 genes (p < 0.05) were screened and regarded as

	B22 C 2 C 2 C 2 C 2 C 2 C 2 C 2 C 2 C 2	
	CONTRACTOR CONTRA	
CASP10	059 027 021 002 0.36 0.28 027 0.18 0.19 0.06 -022 0.24 -0.01 0.15 0.01 0.1 0.12 0.18 0.39 0.22 -0.03 0.06 0.13 -0.02 0.1 -0.21 -0.06 0.03 0.25 -0.02-0.24 0 -0.22 -0.08 -0.13 0.03 -0.06 0.07 -0.27 -0.23	1
TNFRSF1A	059 1 021 0.19 0.4 0.48 0.34 0.42 0.22 0.12 0.05 -0.57 0.13 -0.15 0.07 0.02 -0.04-0.14 0.3 0.36 0.35 0.33 0.26 -0.22 -0.11 0.01 -0.33 -0.3 -0.12 0.23 -0.11 -0.33 -0.31 -0.3 -0.05 -0.08 -0.12 -0.09 -0.23 -0.01 -0.23 -0.21 -0.22 -0.21 -0.22 -0.21 -0.22 -0.21 -0.22 -0.21 -0.22 -0.21 -0.22 -0.21 -0.22 -0.21 -0.22 -0.21 -0.22 -0.21 -0.22 -0.21 -0.22 -0.21 -0.22 -0.22 -0.22 -0.22 -0.22 -0.22 -0.22 -0.22 -0.22 -0.22 -0.22 -0.22 -0.22 -0.22 -0.22 -0.22 -	
IKBKG	027 021 0 04 0.06 023 0.13 022 0.06 -0.01 -0.03 -0.16 0.11 0.09 03 0.18 0.25 0.28 -0.11 0.18 0.14 0 0.19 0.16 0.05 0.16 -0.05 0 0.06 -0.01 -0.04 -0.16 0.03 0.12 0.13 0.09 0 0 0.29 0.12 0	
DIABLO	021 0.19 0.34 10 0.16 0.23 0.1 0.05 0.16 0.17 0.09 -0.16 0.24 0.14 0.26 0.17 0.31 0.25 0.05 0.13 0.13 0.04 0.13 0.05 -0.2 0.1 -0.04 -0.02 0.06 0.09 0.05 -0.24 0.04 -0.06 -0.11 -0.09 0.07 -0.04 -0.09 0.05 -0.08	
CAPN1	0.2 04 0.06 0.16 1 0.34 0.37 0.43 0.15 0.12 0.07 -05 -0.09 -0.16 -0.16 0.11 -0.03 0.04 0.08 0.04 0.17 0.3 0.15 -0.29 -0.19 -0.12 -0.17 -0.25 -0.14 -0.03 -0.15 -0.12 -0.29 -0.15 -0.02 -0.08 -0.1 -0.01 -0.22 -0.28 -0.24	- 0.8
IRF1	036 048 023 023 034 1 045 042 003 014 0.1 -029 -001 -0.13 004 002 007 0.1 0.1 0.13 022 0.14 005 -004 0.1 0.17 -02 005 0.17 0.18 -002 -033 -0.18 0.03 029 0.1 0.01 -0.06 0.12 -0.14 -0.07	
NEDD9	028 034 013 01 037 045 1 057 024 026 037 -013 018 -0.66 021 031 026 036 01 -0.04 001 -0.15 -0.27 01 0.02 0 -0.29 -0.11 001 024 0.06 -0.19 -0.09 -0.3 -0.12 -0.31 -0.2 -0.29 -0.07 -0.2 -0.19	
SLC20A1	027 042 022 0.05 043 042 027 1 043 0.39 0.3 -0.15 0.23 -0.18 0.16 0.34 0.24 0.29 0.08 0.1 0.14 -0.06 -0.19 -0.08 -0.14 -0.21 -0.45 -0.29 -0.27 0.1 -0.19 -0.55 -0.29 -0.4 -0.21 -0.35 -0.13 -0.37 -0.03 -0.34 -0.24	
TXNIP	0.18 0.22 0.06 0.16 0.15 0.03 0.24 0.43 10 0.32 0.45 -0.06 0.12 -0.06 0.31 0.44 0.16 0.18 -0.06 -0.25 -0.17 -0.35 -0.39 -0.02 -0.16 -0.2 -0.36 -0.33 -0.28 -0.05 -0.17 -0.35 -0.26 -0.37 -0.49 -0.46 -0.31 -0.48 -0.31 -0.18 -0.37 -0.26 -0.37 -0.26 -0.37 -0.26 -0.37 -0.49 -0.46 -0.31 -0.48 -0.31 -0.18 -0.37 -0.26 -0.37 -0.26 -0.37 -0.49 -0.46 -0.31 -0.48 -0.31 -0.18 -0.37 -0.26 -0.37 -0.49 -0.46 -0.31 -0.48 -0.38 -0.48 -0	- 0.6
DFFA	0.19 0.12 -0.01 0.17 0.12 0.14 0.26 0.39 0.32 14 0.48 0.22 0.46 0.26 0.41 0.4 0.41 0.49 0.29 0.03 -0.07 -0.17 -0.37 -0.02 -0.03 -0.15 -0.26 -0.11 -0.19 -0.09 -0.07 0.12 -0.22 -0.26 -0.32 -0.08 -0.35 -0.01 -0.05 0.14	
PIK3CA	0.66 0.05 -0.03 0.09 0.07 0.1 0.37 0.3 0.45 0.48 1 0.36 0.29 0.11 0.41 0.2 0.33 0.27 0.02 -0.28 -0.25 -0.4 -0.5 0.23 0.03 0 -0.2 -0.05 0.01 0.08 0.05 -0.25 0.05 -0.36 -0.41 -0.47 -0.09 -0.26 -0.16 0 -0.02	
CYLD	-022-037 -0.16-0.16 403 -029 -0.13 -0.15 -0.06 0.22 0.36 1 0.36 0.19 0.14 -0.01 0.15 0.12 -0.07 -0.16 -0.23 -0.37 -0.33 0.37 0.28 0.07 0.19 0.31 0.15 -0.04 0.24 0.12 0.29 0.09 -0.13 -0.07 0.21 0.09 0.23 0.37 0.48	
PIK3CD	0.24 0.13 0.11 0.24 -0.09 -0.01 0.18 0.23 0.12 0.46 0.29 0.36 1 0.21 0.32 0.34 0.25 0.24 0.04 0.04 -0.08 -0.17 -0.21 0.06 -0.12 -0.26 -0.28 -0.16 -0.2 -0.08 -0.07 -0.17 0.12 -0.24 -0.33 -0.33 0.03 -0.1 -0.04 -0.17 -0.03	- 0.4
HSPB1	-001-015 0.09 0.14 -0.16-0.03 -0.06-0.18-0.06 0.26 0.11 0.19 0.21 1 0.3 0.32 0.21 0.26 0.09 -0.22-0.24-0.06 -0.2 -0.11-0.21-0.11 0.03 -0.15-0.08-0.26-0.06 0.21 -0.01 0.2 -0.06-0.05-0.07-0.03-0.12 0.11 0.25	
IRAK2	0.15 0.07 0.3 0.26 -0.16 0.04 0.21 0.16 0.31 0.41 0.41 0.41 0.42 0.32 0.33 1 0.5 0.51 0.51 -0.15 -0.29 -0.43 -0.49 -0.43 0.06 -0.1 -0.17 -0.32 -0.15 -0.11 -0.24 -0.04 -0.26 0.06 -0.25 -0.39 -0.43 -0.3 -0.49 -0.07 0.03 0.04	
FASLG	0.01 0.02 0.18 0.17 0.11 0.02 0.31 0.34 0.49 0.4 0.2 -0.01 0.34 0.32 0.5 1 0.64 0.76 0.08 -0.17 -0.18 -0.15 -0.28 -0.25 -0.46 -0.5 -0.44 -0.39 -0.43 -0.32 -0.17 -0.13 -0.33 -0.21 -0.34 -0.43 -0.29 -0.34 -0.43 -0.29 -0.34 -0.43 -0.29 -0.45 -0.1 -0.08 0.13 -0.1 -0.08 0.13 -0.1 -0.16 -	
ERBB2	0.1 -0.04 0.25 0.31 -0.03 0.07 0.26 0.24 0.16 0.41 0.33 0.15 0.25 0.21 0.51 0.64 1 0.78 0.07 0.05 -0.05 -0.22 -0.3 0.06 -0.19 -0.16 -0.13 -0.01 -0.11 -0.18 -0.1 0.13 -0.06 -0.26 -0.22 -0.09 -0.4 0.15 0.2 0.18	- 0.2
PRF1	0.12 -0.14 0.28 0.25 0.04 0.1 0.36 0.29 0.18 0.44 0.27 0.12 0.24 0.26 0.51 0.76 0.76 1 0.11 -0.15 -0.17 -0.34 -0.33 0.05 -0.26 -0.22 -0.2 -0.07 -0.16 -0.24 -0.09 -0.06 0.08 -0.13 -0.24 -0.35 -0.13 -0.44 0.07 0.26 0.3	
DNAJC3	0.18 0.3 -0.11 0.05 0.08 0.1 0.1 0.08 -0.06 0.29 0.02 -0.07 0.04 0.09 -0.15 0.08 0.07 0.1 0 0.38 0.54 0.48 0.28 -0.01 -0.09 0.14 0.09 0.03 0.07 0.2 0.1 0.1 -0.02 0.01 0.1 0.09 0.19 0.13 -0.05 -0.09 -0.08	
CD14	039 036 018 013 004 013 -004 01 -025 003 -028-016 004 -022-029-017 005 -0.15 038 1 029 05 061 024 021 034 024 028 03 038 022 001 015 025 034 036 043 039 042 002 -0.02	
IRAK3	0.22 0.35 0.14 0.13 0.17 0.22 0.01 0.14 0.17 -007 -025 -0.23 -0.08 -0.24 -0.43 -0.18 -0.05 -0.17 0.54 0.79 1 0.64 0.72 0.19 0.14 0.34 0.27 0.24 0.28 0.37 0.24 -0.03 -0.04 0.27 0.44 0.43 0.44 0.45 0.3 0.03 -0.07	- 0
LGALS3	-0.0 0.3 0 0.4 0.3 0.14 -0.15 -0.06 -0.33 -0.17 -0.4 -0.37 -0.17 -0.06 -0.49 -0.15 -0.22 -0.34 0.48 0.5 0.64 1 0.66 -0.23 -0.1 0.14 0.17 -0.01 0.04 0.28 0.03 0.22 -0.09 0.35 0.41 0.49 0.31 0.44 -0.05 -0.15 -0.16	
GNA15	0.06 0.26 0.19 0.13 0.15 0.05 -0.27 -0.19 -0.39 -0.37 -0.5 -0.33 -0.21 -0.2 -0.45 -0.28 -0.3 -0.33 0.28 0.61 0.72 0.66 1 0.03 0.08 0.31 0.32 0.21 0.28 0.16 -0.1 0.36 0.51 0.52 0.35 0.57 0.21 0.07 -0.04	
PDCD4	0.13 -0.22 0.16 0.05 -0.29 -0.04 0.1 -0.03 -0.02 -0.22 0.23 0.37 0.06 -0.11 0.06 -0.23 0.06 0.05 -0.01 0.24 0.19 -0.23 0.03 1 0.52 0.51 0.43 0.51 0.56 0.24 0.34 0.06 0.29 0.09 0.16 0.12 0.39 0.38 0.36 0.52 0.27	
BIG2	-002-0.11 0.05 -02 -0.19 0.1 0.02 -0.14 -0.16 -0.03 0.03 0.28 -0.12 -0.21 -0.1 -0.36 -0.19 -0.26 -0.09 0.21 0.14 -0.1 0.08 0.52 1 0.7 0.52 0.75 0.68 0.21 0.39 0.07 0.24 0.24 0.54 0.46 0.31 0.37 0.56 0.41 0.22	-0.2
BCLIU	0.1 0.01 0.16 0.1 -0.12 0.17 0 -0.21 -0.2 -0.15 0 0.07 -0.26 -0.11 -0.17 -0.5 -0.16 -0.22 0.14 0.34 0.34 0.14 0.31 0.51 0.7 1 0.53 0.65 0.77 0.4 0.43 0.14 0.17 0.37 0.62 0.59 0.41 0.43 0.44 0.41 0.1	
JUN	-021-033-005-004-017-02-029-045-036-026-02 019-028 003-032-044-013-02 009 024 027 017 032 043 052 063 1 07 067 013 033 038 029 048 052 059 049 062 03 054 028	
EGR3	-06-03 0 -002-025 005 -011-022-033 -0.11-005 0.31 -0.16-0.15-0.35 -0.31 -0.07 0.03 0.28 0.24 -0.01 0.21 0.51 0.75 0.65 0.7 1 0.77 0.26 0.36 0.23 0.45 0.36 0.49 0.46 0.45 0.46 0.52 0.62 0.34	
PMAIPI BNID3I		0.4
GADD45A		
I MNA		
TGFB2		
IGID2		0.6
IL1B	08 - 05 01 - 011 - 002 02 - 012 - 021 - 021 - 022 - 024 - 012 - 032 - 002 - 032 - 032 - 022 - 024 01 024 044 041 041 041 041 041 041 041 041 04	
NFKBIA	-0.13-0.08 0.09 -0.09-0.08 0.1 -0.31-0.35-0.46 -0.32 -0.47 -0.07 -0.33 -0.05 -0.43 -0.42 -0.22 -0.35 0.09 0.46 0.49 0.52 0.12 0.46 0.59 0.59 0.46 0.44 0.13 0.26 0.29 0.17 0.8 0.87 1 0.46 0.62 0.44 0.38 0.24	
HMGB2	03 -0.12 0 007 -0.1 001 -0.2 -0.13 -0.31 -0.08 -0.09 0.21 0.03 -0.07 -0.3 -0.29 -0.09 -0.13 0.19 0.43 0.44 0.31 0.33 0.39 0.31 0.41 0.49 0.45 0.41 0.18 0.33 0.21 0.32 0.46 0.45 0.44 1 0.61 0.23 0.46 0.27	0.0
CD44	-0.06-0.09 0 -0.04-0.01-0.06-0.28-0.37-0.38-0.35-0.26 0.09 -0.1 -0.03-0.49-0.56 -0.4 -0.4 0.13 0.39 0.45 0.44 0.57 0.38 0.37 0.43 0.62 0.46 0.51 0.27 0.36 0.44 0.19 0.53 0.53 0.53 0.52 0.61 1 0.2 0.37 0.13	0.8
ATF3	007 -023 0.29 -0.09-0.22 0.12 -0.07-0.03 -0.01 -0.16 0.23 -0.04 -0.12 -0.07 -0.1 0.15 0.07 -0.05 0.42 0.3 -0.05 0.21 0.36 0.56 0.44 0.3 0.52 0.39 0.11 0.17 0.1 0.2 0.46 0.52 0.44 0.23 0.2 10 0.42 0.41	
CD69	-027-066 012 005 -028-014 -02 -034 -018 -005 0 037 -0.17 0.11 003 -006 02 0.26 -0.09 002 003 -0.15 007 052 041 041 054 062 046 -0.1 0.28 0.3 041 044 0.38 0.38 0.46 0.37 042 1 059	
BTG3	-023-062 0 -0.08-0.24-0.07-0.19-0.24-0.37 0.14 -0.02 0.45 -0.03 0.25 0.04 0.13 0.18 0.3 -0.08-0.02-0.07-0.16-0.04 0.27 0.22 0.1 0.28 0.34 0.18 -0.15 0.18 0.33 0.36 0.52 0.32 0.24 0.27 0.13 0.41 0.59 1	

(a)

FIGURE 3: Continued.



FIGURE 3: Correlation analysis and construction of PPI network. (a) Heatmap revealing the correlation of apoptosis-related DEGs. (b) *NFKBIA* is positively correlated with *IL1B*. (c) *TNFRSF1A* is negatively correlated with *BTG3*. (d) PPI network of 37 genes. Green nodes represent downregulated genes, and the orange nodes represent upregulated genes. The size of the node represents the degree score between genes.

disease-related genes (Figure 4(a)). Then, 15 optimal variables were selected from 36 diagnostic genes using the LASSO regression analysis, which was used to construct a diagnostic model (Figures 4(b) and 4(c)). The diagnostic RS was calculated using the following formula: $RS = (0.025 \times EGR3) + (0.292 \times PMAIP1) + (0.639 \times LGALS3) + (1.665)$

 $\begin{array}{l} \times DNAJC3) + (0.352 \times CD14) + (1.222 \times CYLD) + (4.053 \\ \times BTG3) - (0.606 \times DIABLO) - (1.852 \times PIK3CD) - (1.516 \\ \times DFFA) - (0.111 \times PIK3CA) - (1.103 \times IRF1) - (2.532 \times HSPB1) - (0.792 \times TNFRSF1A) - (0.896 \times FASLG). \end{array}$

To evaluate the reliability and robustness of this model, we performed a series of analyses on the training and

Univariate regression

	P value	Odds Ratio						
EGR3	< 0.001	2.410(1.390-4.190)	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i					
HSPB1	< 0.001	0.130(0.040 - 0.460)	- ()					
IRAK2	< 0.001	0.090 (0.020-0.320)						
FASLG	< 0.001	0.250 (0.110-0.580)						
IUN	0.010	2.050 (1.240-3.390)	" <u> </u>					
PMAIP1	0.010	2.540 (1.260-5.090)	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i					
NFKBIA	0.010	12.220 (2.070-72.140)						
HMGB2	0.010	6.690 (1.740-25.770)		4				
BCL10	0.010	5.690 (1.450-22.290)		•				
BTG3	0.010	7.890 (1.770-35.110)						
CD44	0.010	9.260 (1.690-50.570)						
DIABLO	0.010	0.040(0.000-0.400)	- K			•		
PIK3CD	0.010	0.120 (0.030-0.560)	- i					
DFFA	0.010	0.190 (0.050-0.690)						
NEDD9	0.010	0.200 (0.060-0.690)	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i					
PRF1	0.010	0.360 (0.160-0.820)	t.					
TNFRSF1A	0.010	0.240 (0.080-0.670)	i i					
SLC20A1	0.010	0.450 (0.250-0.840)						
IL6	0.020	2.090 (1.110-3.970)	`					
LMNA	0.020	2.760 (1.190-6.390)	li⊫i i					
CD14	0.020	3.350 (1.220-9.240)	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i					
BTG2	0.020	4.550 (1.300-15.900)						
CYLD	0.020	3.470 (1.190-10.160)	i_ ∎i					
IRAK3	0.020	3.340 (1.180-9.420)	j.					
IRF1	0.020	0.340 (0.140-0.840)						
PDCD4	0.030	2.280 (1.090-4.750)	Î - I					
LGALS3	0.030	2.370 (1.080-5.210)	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i					
ATF3	0.030	2.770 (1.090-7.060)	1					
BNIP3L	0.030	6.490 (1.190-35.450)						
IKBKG	0.030	0.140 (0.020-0.870)	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i					
ERBB2	0.030	0.220 (0.060-0.870)	N					
TXNIP	0.030	0.420 (0.190-0.900)						
CD69	0.040	2.460 (1.060-5.700)	` l ∎–1					
DNAIC3	0.040	2.360 (1.060-5.250)	in i					
GNA15	0.040	2.930 (1.040-8.210)	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i					
PIK3CA	0.040	0.430 (0.190-0.960)	1 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I					
			'1					
			0 10 20	30	40	50	60	70
			0 10 20	50	10	50	00	,,,
				Odds F	Ratio			
		(a)						
	22	22 22	15	4				
	53	33 32	15	4				
	19 ~	1 1	1					



FIGURE 4: Continued.



FIGURE 4: Construction of a diagnostic model in patients with schizophrenia. (a) Forest plot of the diagnostic effect of 36 genes (p < 0.05) via univariate logistic regression analysis. (b) LASSO coefficient profiles of 15 screened DEGs. (c) Twenty folds cross-validation for LASSO analysis.

validation sets. Using the median RS as the cutoff, patients in the two sets were assigned to the LR and HR groups. In the training set, the HR group contained more disease samples, whereas the LR group mainly consisted of NC samples (Figure 5(a)). The expression levels of the 15 genes in the LR and HR groups are displayed in a heatmap (Figure 5(b)). The area under the curve (AUC) value of this diagnostic model was 0.968 (Figure 5(c)). In addition, the RS distribution and gene expression heatmap for the validation set were also plotted (Figures 5(d) and 5(e)). The AUC value of this model for the validation set was 0.712 (Figure 5(f)). Taken together, these results indicated that the diagnostic performance of this model was reliable.

3.5. Relationship between the Immune Gene Sets and Different Risk Groups. The enrichment scores of the 17 immune gene sets in each sample were calculated and compared between the LR and HR groups. The results showed that the enrichment scores of chemokines, cytokines, and interleukins were higher in the HR group than in the LR group, while the scores of the interleukin receptors, natural killer cell cytotoxicity, and tumor necrosis factor (TNF) family member receptors were higher in the LR group (Figure 6(a)). The correlation between these different gene sets and each gene in the model was also analyzed. We found a strong positive correlation between TNFRSF1A and TNF family member receptors (Figure 6(b)) and a strong negative correlation between PIK3CA and interleukins (Figure 6(c)).

Moreover, differences in the HLA gene family between LR and HR were also compared. Four genes were significantly different between the two groups; the expression level of *HLA-DOA* was increased in the HR group, whereas those of *HLA-C*, *HLA-E*, and *HLA-F* were decreased in the HR group

(Figure 6(d)). The correlation analysis indicated that IRF1 had a strong positive correlation with HLA-C (Figure 6(e)) and CYLD had a strong negative correlation with HLA-C (Figure 6(f)).

3.6. GSVA of LR and HR Groups. GSVA was performed to explore the differences in pathways between the HR and LR groups. The results revealed that 12 pathways were significantly enriched in the HR groups, including pancreatic beta cells, early estrogen response, and kras signaling. Meanwhile, the LR group was significantly involved in three pathways, including MYC target v2, WNT beta-catenin signaling, and allograft rejection (Figure 7).

3.7. Construction of lncRNA-Related ceRNA Network. A total of 103 DEMs between the schizophrenia and NC groups were screened, and miRNAs targeting 15 genes in the model were also identified. After integrating the analysis, 88 miRNA-mRNA pairs were obtained. Then, lncRNAs targeting miRNAs were predicted, and 26 lncRNA-miRNA pairs were obtained. Finally, 22 mRNA-miRNA-lncRNA pairs that met the ceRNA regulatory mechanism were selected for network construction. The ceRNA network was composed of 2 lncRNAs (*ZNF883* and *HCP5*), 14 miRNAs (miR-150-3p, miR-520a-5p, and miR-130b-5p), and 5 mRNAs (*EGR3, CYLD, DNAJC3, DIABLO,* and *DFFA*), with 14 lncRNA-miRNA and 22 miRNA-mRNA pairs (Figure 8).

4. Discussion

As an important psychiatric disorder, schizophrenia affects patients and their families by disrupting healthy functioning











FIGURE 5: Evaluation of the diagnostic performance of diagnostic model in the training and validation sets. (a) Risk score (RS) distribution in samples classified as low-risk (LR) and high-risk (HR) groups in the training set. (b) Heatmap of the expression level of apoptosis-related gene signature in the training set. (c) Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis of the diagnostic model using the training set. (d) RS distribution for the LR and HR groups in the validation set. (e) Heatmap of 15 apoptosis-related genes expression in the validation set. (f) ROC analysis of the diagnostic model using the validation set.

and thinking [21]. Large-scale transcriptomic [22], genomic [23], and epigenomic [24] studies have revealed the multifactorial biological mechanism of schizophrenia; however, its etiology remains elusive. Current anti-schizophrenia drugs only treat its symptoms and are associated with a HR of serious adverse effects [25]. In order to further understand the pathogenesis of schizophrenia and to improve its diagnosis and treatment, we constructed a diagnostic model based on apoptosis-related genes and explored the potential of ceRNA regulatory mechanisms that may be linked to the disease. In this study, we screened apoptosis-related DEGs between schizophrenia and control samples and developed a 15-gene-based diagnostic model. Validation analysis was performed to evaluate the diagnostic performance of this model, and the results showed that the AUC values of the models in the training and validation sets were larger than 0.7 [26], indicating that this model had satisfactory diagnostic ability. Of these 15 genes, 8 have been reported to be associated with schizophrenia. *LGALS3* encodes a member of the galectin family and may play a role in the pathogenesis of schizophrenia by participating in inflammatory processes



FIGURE 6: Continued.



FIGURE 6: Correlation between the immune gene sets and different risk groups. (a) Differences in the immune gene sets between LR and HR groups. (b) *TNFRSF1A* showing a positive correlation with tumor necrosis factor (TNF) family member receptors. (c) *PIK3CA* showing a negative correlation with interleukins. (d) Differences in the human leukocyte antigen (HLA) family genes between LR and HR groups. (e) *IRF1* was positively associated with HLA-C. (f) *CYLD* was negatively correlated with HLA-C.

in the central nervous system; high expression levels of *LGALS3* have been detected in patients with schizophrenia [27]. The protein encoded by *CD14* is a surface antigen that may reduce or suppress severe inflammatory responses [28]. A previous study revealed that the expression level of *CD14* was significantly higher in schizophrenia samples than in controls, indicating an inflammatory state [29]. *PIK3CD* encodes phosphoinositide 3-kinases (PI3Ks) that are involved in the immune response and are associated with

neurodevelopmental disorders, including schizophrenia [30]. Etemadikhah et al. [31] reported that *PIK3CD* is downregulated in schizophrenia and may be considered as a potential therapeutic target. *PIK3CA* also encodes the PI3Ks enzyme and its activity is decreased in patients with schizophrenia [32]. As a specific peripheral immune biomarker, the expression level of *IRF1* is decreased in patients with schizophrenia [33]. The overexpression of *HSPB1* directly inhibits apoptotic pathways to increase neuronal survival,



t value of GSVA score, HighRisk versus LowRisk

FIGURE 7: GSVA analysis of LR and HR groups. The blue bars represent pathways significantly enriched in the HR group, and the green bars represent pathways significantly enriched in the LR group.

thereby protecting against injury-induced nerve death [34]. Changes in HSPB1 expression levels were observed in patients with schizophrenia, and HSPB1 polymorphisms were associated with an increased risk of schizophrenia [35]. TNFRSF1A is downregulated in elderly schizophrenia subjects, which may be related to cognitive decline [36]. FASLG acts as a marker of apoptosis, and increased apoptotic signaling occurs at the onset of schizophrenia and is associated with treatment progression [37]. Taken together, the previously reported expression levels of these genes are consistent with our results. We speculated that these genes might be involved in schizophrenia by affecting immune-related biological processes. However, the roles of the remaining genes in the pathogenesis of schizophrenia have not yet been investigated. Previous studies have shown that genes such as *PMAIP1* [38], *DNAJC3* [39], *DFFA* [40], and *BTG3* [41] are involved in apoptosis, but their specific mechanism of action in schizophrenia needs to be further explored.

The constructed diagnostic model could categorize individuals into LR and HR groups. The immune analysis showed that the immune scores for chemokines, cytokines, and interleukins were significantly higher for patients in the HR group than those in the LR group. Chemokines are promising biomarkers of inflammation and immune activation, which may be associated with psychiatric disorders [42]. A clinical trial found that the levels of chemokines with neuroimmunomodulatory effects are higher in patients with schizophrenia, particularly in the elderly [43]. The potential applications of chemokines as diagnostic or therapeutic biomarkers should be considered in future clinical studies [44]. Moreover, several cytokines may serve as state markers for acute exacerbations or as characteristic markers of schizophrenia [45]. Together, these immune-related gene sets have been confirmed to play important roles in the development of schizophrenia, suggesting that the model established in this study has diagnostic value and research significance.

Furthermore, we constructed a ceRNA network based on 5 mRNAs, 14 miRNAs, and 2 lncRNAs. Among these, two lncRNAs, *ZNF883* and *HCP5*, may play a role in the pathogenesis of schizophrenia. Gong et al. recently found that ZNF883 is upregulated in hippocampal neurons and could be considered as a biomarker and therapeutic target for



FIGURE 8: LncRNA-related ceRNA network. Orange and malachite green circles represent upregulated and downregulated mRNA, respectively. Red triangles and purple arrowheads represent upregulated and downregulated miRNAs, respectively. Pink hexagon and green diamond represent upregulated and downregulated lncRNAs, respectively.

epilepsy [46]. *HCP5* is mainly detected in immune organs such as the blood, spleen, and thymus [47]. *HCP5* can be used as an immune-related marker for various human malignancies [48, 49]; however, its role in schizophrenia has not been elucidated. Meanwhile, miRNAs, such as miR-150-3p, miR-520a-5p, and miR-130b-5p, were linked to at least two mRNAs. These miRNAs are involved in immunological dysfunction; however, their roles in schizophrenia have not been reported. According to the present study, we speculate that these genes might contribute to the disease by affecting the immune response.

It should be noted that this study analyzed gene expression and miRNA profiles of human blood samples. Although alterations of apoptotic markers in the schizophrenic brains have been reported [8-12], whether the alterations in apoptosis-related DEGs and DEMs observed in this study are related to the apoptotic activation in the brain remains to be studies. Most RNAs in the blood samples are from PBMCs, but very small amounts of RNAs and RNA fragments could come from the brain through secreted or extracellular vesicles, which might contribute to the blood RNA profiling. It remains to be elucidated whether the blood alterations of apoptosis-related gene expression represent or are related to those in the brain. Nevertheless, our findings of the diagnostic value of the model based on apoptosis-related gene expressions suggest that the apoptosis-related gene expression profile in the blood either corresponds to similar changes in the brain or is somehow involved in the specific brain changes.

Several limitations of our study need to be noted. First, the diagnostic model is only validated in samples from public data, and clinical samples also need to be enrolled to confirm the diagnostic performance of the model. Validation of the results of this study in a new and separate set of clinical data will strengthen the conclusion. Second, ceRNA networks were obtained via a bioinformatics analysis, and whether specific ceRNA regulatory mechanisms exist in patients with schizophrenia needs to be investigated through *in vivo* and *in vitro* experiments. This will be the focus of future research.

5. Conclusion

Through analyzing the gene expressions and the lncRNA and miRNA profiles of blood samples from schizophrenic patients and controls, we constructed a robust diagnostic model based on apoptosis-related genes. Our initial study suggests that this model can be used for risk classification of individuals with schizophrenia and potentially has a diagnostic value. Moreover, a lncRNA-related ceRNA network may offer new insight into the regulatory mechanisms of schizophrenia. These findings may help improve the diagnostic efficiency of schizophrenia and provide guidance for personalized management for schizophrenic patients.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

- S. Jauhar, M. Johnstone, and P. J. McKenna, "Schizophrenia," Lancet, vol. 399, no. 10323, pp. 473–486, 2022.
- [2] J. Y. Guo, J. D. Ragland, and C. S. Carter, "Memory and cognition in schizophrenia," *Molecular Psychiatry*, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 633–642, 2019.

- [3] R. A. McCutcheon, T. Reis Marques, and O. D. Howes, "Schizophrenia-an overview," *JAMA Psychiatry*, vol. 77, no. 2, pp. 201–210, 2020.
- [4] Q. Chen, D. Li, W. Jin et al., "Research progress on the correlation between epigenetics and schizophrenia," *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, vol. 15, article 688727, 2021.
- [5] M. Ľupták, D. Michaličková, Z. Fišar, E. Kitzlerová, and J. Hroudová, "Novel approaches in schizophrenia-from risk factors and hypotheses to novel drug targets," *World Journal* of *Psychiatry*, vol. 11, no. 7, pp. 277–296, 2021.
- [6] B. S. Pickard, "Schizophrenia biomarkers: translating the descriptive into the diagnostic," *Journal of Psychopharmacol*ogy, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 138–143, 2015.
- [7] J. L. Hess, N. V. Radonjić, J. Patak, S. J. Glatt, and S. V. Faraone, "Autophagy, apoptosis, and neurodevelopmental genes might underlie selective brain region vulnerability in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder," *Molecular Psychiatry*, vol. 26, no. 11, pp. 6643–6654, 2021.
- [8] L. F. Jarskog, L. A. Glantz, J. H. Gilmore, and J. A. Lieberman, "Apoptotic mechanisms in the pathophysiology of schizophrenia," *Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 846–858, 2005.
- [9] L. A. Glantz, J. H. Gilmore, J. A. Lieberman, and L. F. Jarskog, "Apoptotic mechanisms and the synaptic pathology of schizophrenia," *Schizophrenia Research*, vol. 81, no. 1, pp. 47–63, 2006.
- [10] L. F. Jarskog, "Apoptosis in schizophrenia: pathophysiologic and therapeutic considerations," *Current Opinion in Psychiatry*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 307–312, 2006.
- [11] P. Mishra and S. Kumar, "Association of lncRNA with regulatory molecular factors in brain and their role in the pathophysiology of schizophrenia," *Metabolic Brain Disease*, vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 849–858, 2021.
- [12] Y. Liu, X. Chang, C.-G. Hahn, R. E. Gur, P. A. M. Sleiman, and H. Hakonarson, "Non-coding RNA dysregulation in the amygdala region of schizophrenia patients contributes to the pathogenesis of the disease," *Translational Psychiatry*, vol. 8, no. 1, p. 44, 2018.
- [13] H. Sabaie, M. Mazaheri Moghaddam, M. Mazaheri Moghaddam et al., "Long non-coding RNA-associated competing endogenous RNA axes in the olfactory epithelium in schizophrenia: a bioinformatics analysis," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 11, no. 1, p. 24497, 2021.
- [14] J. Taminau, S. Meganck, C. Lazar et al., "Unlocking the potential of publicly available microarray data using inSilicoDb and inSilicoMerging R/Bioconductor packages," *BMC Bioinformatics*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 335, 2012.
- [15] W. E. Johnson, C. Li, and A. Rabinovic, "Adjusting batch effects in microarray expression data using empirical Bayes methods," *Biostatistics*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 118–127, 2007.
- [16] P. Shannon, A. Markiel, O. Ozier et al., "Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks," *Genome Research*, vol. 13, no. 11, pp. 2498– 2504, 2003.
- [17] S. Engebretsen and J. Bohlin, "Statistical predictions with glmnet," *Clinical Epigenetics*, vol. 11, no. 1, p. 123, 2019.
- [18] S. Bhattacharya, S. Andorf, L. Gomes et al., "ImmPort: disseminating data to the public for the future of immunology," *Immunologic Research*, vol. 58, no. 2–3, pp. 234–239, 2014.
- [19] M. R. Ferreira, G. A. Santos, C. A. Biagi, W. A. Silva Junior, and W. F. Zambuzzi, "GSVA score reveals molecular signatures

from transcriptomes for biomaterials comparison," *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research. Part A*, vol. 109, no. 6, pp. 1004–1014, 2021.

- [20] M. E. Ritchie, B. Phipson, D. Wu et al., "Limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 43, no. 7, article e47, 2015.
- [21] S. R. Marder and T. D. Cannon, "Schizophrenia," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 381, no. 18, pp. 1753–1761, 2019.
- [22] A. E. Jaffe, R. E. Straub, J. H. Shin et al., "Developmental and genetic regulation of the human cortex transcriptome illuminate schizophrenia pathogenesis," *Nature Neuroscience*, vol. 21, no. 8, pp. 1117–1125, 2018.
- [23] C. Zhuo, W. Hou, G. Li et al., "The genomics of schizophrenia: shortcomings and solutions," Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry, vol. 93, pp. 71-76, 2019.
- [24] S. Akbarian, "Special volume: the genomics and epigenomics of schizophrenia," *Schizophrenia Research*, vol. 217, pp. 1–3, 2020.
- [25] P. Stępnicki, M. Kondej, and A. A. Kaczor, "Current concepts and treatments of schizophrenia," *Molecules*, vol. 23, no. 8, p. 2087, 2018.
- [26] J. Wu, H. Zhang, L. Li et al., "A nomogram for predicting overall survival in patients with low-grade endometrial stromal sarcoma: a population-based analysis," *Cancer Communications*, vol. 40, no. 7, pp. 301–312, 2020.
- [27] K. Kajitani, K. Yanagimoto, and Y. Nakabeppu, "Serum galectin-3, but not galectin-1, levels are elevated in schizophrenia: implications for the role of inflammation," *Psychopharmacol*ogy, vol. 234, no. 19, pp. 2919–2927, 2017.
- [28] Z. Wu, Z. Zhang, Z. Lei, and P. Lei, "CD14: biology and role in the pathogenesis of disease," *Cytokine and Growth Factor Reviews*, vol. 48, pp. 24–31, 2019.
- [29] T. Tanaka, T. Matsuda, L. N. Hayes et al., "Infection and inflammation in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder," *Neuro-science Research*, vol. 115, pp. 59–63, 2017.
- [30] A. J. Law, Y. Wang, Y. Sei et al., "Neuregulin 1-ErbB4-PI3K signaling in schizophrenia and phosphoinositide 3-kinasep110δ inhibition as a potential therapeutic strategy," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 109, no. 30, pp. 12165–12170, 2012.
- [31] M. Etemadikhah, A. Niazi, L. Wetterberg, and L. Feuk, "Transcriptome analysis of fibroblasts from schizophrenia patients reveals differential expression of schizophrenia-related genes," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 10, no. 1, p. 630, 2020.
- [32] D. M. Kordi-Tamandani and A. Mir, "Relationship between phosphoinositide-3-kinase genetic polymorphism and schizophrenia," *Nordic Journal of Psychiatry*, vol. 70, no. 4, pp. 272– 275, 2016.
- [33] K. A. Chase, J. K. Melbourne, C. Rosen et al., "Traumagenics: at the intersect of childhood trauma, immunity and psychosis," *Psychiatry Research*, vol. 273, pp. 369–377, 2019.
- [34] D. E. Read and A. M. Gorman, "Heat shock protein 27 in neuronal survival and neurite outgrowth," *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, vol. 382, no. 1, pp. 6–8, 2009.
- [35] M. Kowalczyk, K. Kucia, A. Owczarek et al., "HSPB1 gene variants and schizophrenia: a case-control study in a polish population," *Disease Markers*, vol. 2022, p. 4933019, 2022.

- [36] I. López-González, R. Pinacho, È. Vila, A. Escanilla, I. Ferrer, and B. Ramos, "Neuroinflammation in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in elderly chronic schizophrenia," *European Neuropsychopharmacology*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 384–396, 2019.
- [37] C. Gerasimou, J. N. Tsoporis, N. Siafakas et al., "A longitudinal study of alterations of S100B, sRAGE and Fas ligand in association to olanzapine medication in a sample of first episode patients with schizophrenia," CNS and Neurological Disorders Drug Targets, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. 383–388, 2018.
- [38] Y.-J. Zheng, T.-S. Liang, J. Wang, J.-Y. Zhao, D.-K. Yang, and Z.-S. Liu, "Silencing lncRNA LOC101928963 inhibits proliferation and promotes apoptosis in spinal cord glioma cells by binding to PMAIP1," *Molecular Therapy. Nucleic Acids*, vol. 18, pp. 485–495, 2019.
- [39] M. Lytrivi, V. Senée, P. Salpea et al., "DNAJC3 deficiency induces β-cell mitochondrial apoptosis and causes syndromic young-onset diabetes," *European Journal of Endocrinology*, vol. 184, no. 3, pp. 455–468, 2021.
- [40] M. S. Fawzy, E. A. Toraih, A. Ibrahiem, H. Abdeldayem, A. O. Mohamed, and M. M. Abdel-Daim, "Evaluation of miRNA-196a2 and apoptosis-related target genes: ANXA1, DFFA and PDCD4 expression in gastrointestinal cancer patients: a pilot study," *PLoS One*, vol. 12, no. 11, article e0187310, 2017.
- [41] Y. Du, P. Liu, W. Zang et al., "BTG3 upregulation induces cell apoptosis and suppresses invasion in esophageal adenocarcinoma," *Molecular and Cellular Biochemistry*, vol. 404, no. 1– 2, pp. 31–38, 2015.
- [42] S.-J. Tsai, "Role of interleukin 8 in depression and other psychiatric disorders," *Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry*, vol. 106, article 110173, 2021.
- [43] S. Hong, E. E. Lee, A. S. Martin et al., "Abnormalities in chemokine levels in schizophrenia and their clinical correlates," *Schizophrenia Research*, vol. 181, pp. 63–69, 2017.
- [44] M. J. Stuart and B. T. Baune, "Chemokines and chemokine receptors in mood disorders, schizophrenia, and cognitive impairment: a systematic review of biomarker studies," *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, vol. 42, pp. 93–115, 2014.
- [45] B. J. Miller, P. Buckley, W. Seabolt, A. Mellor, and B. Kirkpatrick, "Meta-analysis of cytokine alterations in schizophrenia: clinical status and antipsychotic effects," *Biological Psychiatry*, vol. 70, no. 7, pp. 663–671, 2011.
- [46] L. Gong, P. Yang, L. Hu, and C. Zhang, "MiR-181b suppresses the progression of epilepsy by regulation of lncRNA ZNF883," *American Journal of Translational Research*, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 2769–2780, 2020.
- [47] J. Wu, M. Li, Y. Zhang, Y. Cai, and G. Zhao, "Molecular mechanism of activated T cells in breast cancer," *Oncotargets and Therapy*, vol. 11, pp. 5015–5024, 2018.
- [48] Y. Chen, X. Zhang, Y. An, B. Liu, and M. Lu, "LncRNA HCP5 promotes cell proliferation and inhibits apoptosis via miR-27a-3p/IGF-1 axis in human granulosa-like tumor cell line KGN," *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology*, vol. 503, article 110697, 2020.
- [49] C. Zhao, Y. Li, X. Hu et al., "LncRNA HCP5 promotes cell invasion and migration by sponging miR-29b-3p in human bladder cancer," *Oncotargets and Therapy*, vol. 13, pp. 11827–11838, 2020.