

Research Article

Social App to Improve Warfarin Therapy in Post-MHVR Chinese Patients: A Randomized Controlled Trial

Litao Zhang^(b),^{1,2} Sha Li,^{2,3} Zishuo Li,^{2,4} Dan Yu,^{2,5} Haiyan Wu,^{2,5} Bing Hua,^{2,5} Li Xie,^{2,5} Xia Yuan,^{2,5} Yun Li,^{2,5} Zhenlu Zhang^(b),^{1,6} and Yanli Long^(b),^{2,3}

¹Clinical Laboratory, Wuhan Asia General Hospital Affiliated to Wuhan University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China 430056

²Anticoagulation Clinic, Wuhan Asia Heart Hospital, Wuhan, China 430022

³Cardiac Surgery, Wuhan Asia Heart Hospital, Wuhan, China 430022

⁴Administrative Office, Wuhan Asia Heart Hospital, Wuhan, China 430022

⁵Nursing Department, Wuhan Asia Heart Hospital, Wuhan, China 430022

⁶Clinical Laboratory, Wuhan Asia Heart Hospital, Wuhan, China 430022

Correspondence should be addressed to Zhenlu Zhang; zhenluzhangwh@163.com and Yanli Long; yanlilong_452@21cn.com

Received 26 May 2022; Revised 23 August 2022; Accepted 24 August 2022; Published 14 January 2023

Academic Editor: Shivshankar Thanigaimani

Copyright © 2023 Litao Zhang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background. Poor anticoagulation quality was a major problem among warfarin-treated patients, which called for innovative and effective methods to improve it. *Objective.* To investigate whether social app could be used to reduce warfarin-associated adverse events among post-MHVR Chinese patients. *Method.* 735 warfarin-treated patients (aged 50.8 ± 9.6 years, 59.9% female) were enrolled and randomized to a social app care group (warfarin therapy was guided by experienced clinicians via a social app) or a routine care group (warfarin therapy was managed through traditional in-office visits) at a 1:1 ratio. Ending points (bleeding and thrombotic events) were recorded during an 18-month follow-up period. *Results.* A total of 718 patients were included in analysis. 57 of them suffered warfarin-associated adverse events, including 30 major bleedings and 27 thrombotic events. The time in the therapeutic range (TTR, Rosendaal method) in the social app group was 71.5%, which was significantly better than 52.6% in the routine care group (difference: 18.8%, 95% CI: 16.8-20.8). Compared with the patients from the social app group, patients under routine care experienced more bleeding (hazard ratio (HR): 2.31, 95% CI: 1.13-4.72). The social app group had lower variation (0.55 vs. 0.70) in the international normalized ratio (INR) values and fewer incidents of extremely high INR (e.g., INR > 5.0, 0.87% vs. 3.42%) than the routine care group. *Conclusions.* Social app management could significantly improve warfarin control and was associated with a reduction in bleeding risk. This trial was registered with NCT03264937.

1. Introduction

Warfarin, a vitamin K antagonist (VKA), has been used to prevent thrombosis for decades. Currently, VKAs are still the only anticoagulants provided to patients who have undergone mechanical heart valve replacement (MHVR) [1, 2], and are still widely used for patients with atrial fibrillation (AF) or other clinical conditions [3], although the use of direct oral anticoagulants is widespread [4]. Thus, the accumulated number of populations that uses VKAs is incredibly high [5]. Generally, the international normalized ratio (INR) is routinely monitored to ensure the efficacy and safety of VKAs. Anticoagulation control plays a key role during long-term VKA therapy and is usually measured by time in the therapeutic range (TTR, %). Previous studies have demonstrated that increasing of TTR levels are associated with a reduction of anticoagulation-related adverse events [6, 7].

Previous studies concluded that anticoagulation clinics, online management, and self-management could significantly improve the effects of warfarin therapy in Western countries [8–10]; however, while these strategies are common in Western countries, these approaches are not common in

China due to differences in patient education, culture, lifestyles, and medical models. Currently, most warfarin-treated patients in China still need to visit the outpatient departments of their central or local hospitals, which are usually located downtown, to receive anticoagulation management. This routine care usually requires large amounts of time, traffics, or costs, which might reduce patient compliance. It has been reported that routine care resulted in poor anticoagulation quality among Chinese patients (TTR, 38.8%-51.7%) [6, 11], that is at a much lower level than the recommended level $(TTR \ge 70\%)$ [12]. Even with the SAMe-TT2R2 evaluation, a clinical prediction tool to predict the TTR in AF patients [13], Chinese (nonwhite) patients will score at least 2 points, which is considered predictive of a low TTR [14, 15]. Together, these situations indicate that improving anticoagulation quality is an urgent issue for Chinese patients. Notably, the measures employed to improve anticoagulation quality should be suited to specific conditions. For example, in a cluster-randomized trial, Victor et al. found that among 319 non-Hispanic black male barbershop patrons with uncontrolled hypertension, health promotion by barbers coupled with medication management in barbershops by specialty-trained pharmacists resulted in larger blood-pressure reductions than reductions with standard management afforded by primary care practices [16]. Since the factors that affect TTR differ greatly among different regions and populations [17], therefore, we need to determine these factors and develop innovative measures to improve TTR according to local conditions and population characteristics. We found that almost every Chinese adult frequently uses social network services. It would be very interesting that social media have a similar influence on Chinese patients.

Social apps have changed and continue to change the world by making communication easier and more accessible than ever before. People can use social apps to contact each other at any time and in any location via pictures, words, voice recordings, video, etc. WeChat (Tencent, Shenzhen, China) has nearly 1.1 billion active users and is the most popular and most frequently used social media app in China [18]. Almost every Chinese adult has a WeChat account. Recently, WeChat has become an open platform on which different organizations can set up various WeChat miniprogrammes, which are "subapplications" within the WeChat ecosystem that enables one-to-one or one-to-many features to WeChat users in professional vertical fields, such as ecommerce, task management, and customer service [19]. However, there have been no reports on whether this social app can be used to manage warfarin therapy.

Therefore, we set up an approved WeChat miniprogramme (Warfarin Helper) and conducted the SMART (Social Media to improve Warfarin Therapy) trial to assess whether health care with a social app could be used to improve warfarin control and reduce warfarin-associated adverse events among post-MHVR Chinese patients.

2. Patients/Methods

2.1. Trial Design and Oversight. The study was a prospective, open label, and randomized controlled trial conducted in

Wuhan Asia Heart Hospital in China. The study compared the primary and secondary outcomes between patients receiving warfarin therapy who received social app management and those who received routine management. The protocol was designed by a multidisciplinary team that included doctors, biomedical scientists, pharmacists, and nurses. No changes were made to the protocol design, eligibility criteria, or outcome evaluations once the trial started. The protocol was conducted in accordance with the code of ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki) for experiments involving humans. Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Wuhan Asia Heart Hospital ethical committee (2017-YXKY-B012). The full trial protocol was also registered at the registry of clinical trials (Clinical Trials.gov; NCT03264937) before commencing recruitment. All enrolled patients provided written informed consent.

2.2. Participants and Randomization. Trial enrolment was completed between September 2017 and February 2018. Patients were eligible for inclusion if they were aged from 18 to 65 years and had undergone implantation of a mechanical valve in the aortic or mitral position or both. Patients who had suffered from recent (within 30 days) ischaemic stroke, cerebral haemorrhage, or other serious diseases and female patients who were planning to be pregnant were excluded.

Eligible patients were invited to participate in the study when they joined our warfarin education course. We used a random number generator to randomize the patients after enrolment at a ratio of 1:1. No patients were involved in the design of our study or the development of research questions and outcome measures. None of the patients were involved in patient recruitment or in conducting the study. No patients took part in the assessment of the intervention. There were no plans to involve patients in the dissemination of the study results.

2.3. Intervention and Follow-Up. Patients began taking warfarin after undergoing valve surgery. Based on a previous study and clinical practice in China [7, 20], we employed an INR target of 2.0 (1.5-2.5) for aortic valve replacement (AVR) patients and 2.3 (1.8-2.8) for mitral valve replacement (MVR) or double valve replacement (DVR) patients, both of which were lower than the recommendations stated in the established guidelines. Low anticoagulation intensity has been well demonstrated as an optimal strategy for Chinese patients in our previous study [20]. The doctors were able to individually adjust the target range for certain patients. All patients were asked to monitor INR once per month at least and were followed up for 12-18 months after randomization unless the first primary clinical outcome occurred.

Intervention (social app care): In the social app group, patients were asked to register and use an approved WeChat miniprogramme named Warfarin Helper, which helped to manage their warfarin therapy. The miniprogramme mainly had the following four functions, as shown in Figure 1: (1) patients could use the miniprogramme to continuously record every INR result; (2) the miniprogramme could alert the patients to monitor their INR regularly and alert doctors



FIGURE 1: The pattern diagram of warfarin management via WeChat-based miniprogram. INR = international normalized ratio.

if the patient's INR was out of the target range; (3) patients could ask questions or provide additional information by sending text messages or photos of the results one-to-one via the miniprogramme; and (4) doctors could use the miniprogramme to guide dose adjustments or answer questions one-to-one based on the individual's medical information and INR results. These patients monitored their INR results according to the investigators' advises via the miniprogramme, they could use self-testing instruments or do INR tests in their local hospitals (including our hospital).

Control (routine care): patients who were assigned to the routine care group received traditional warfarin management. Warfarin-treated patients were asked to independently visit their local hospitals to monitor their INRs and receive dose adjustments. These patients were asked to give or send us their INR reports when they visited our centre for rehabilitation examinations every three to six months.

The algorithm recommended that the dose be calculated on a weekly, rather than daily basis because the recommended dose changes were small and difficult to achieve with daily dosing [21]. The algorithms of dose adjustment in our study were as follows: the algorithm recommended no change, increase, or decrease in the weekly warfarin dose based only on the current INR, such as no change for INR in goal range, increase 5-15% of dosage for INR \leq 1.50, and decrease 10-15% for INR 2.81 to 3.50. For INR 3.51 to 4.99, the recommendation was to hold the dose for two or three days and then reduce it by 10-15%. For INR \geq 5.00, the dose was to be held until the INR was therapeutic and then decreased by 20% per week. The investigators were asked to use this algorithm individually and converted the weekly dose to a receptive daily dose for patients. Weekly INR monitoring was recommended for out-of-range INR values.

2.4. Privacy Protection and Data Security. The privacy policy was carefully reviewed and approved by the Ethical Committee. On basis of the Warfarin Helper, a miniprogramme based on WeChat platform, the medical information and anticoagulation data could be only viewed or accessed by the subject himself and the research team. Each participant read and agreed to our privacy policy before using the applet. The data obtained from the applet were stored in the hospital servers, which are protected and monitored by the hospital medical information committee.

2.5. Primary Clinical Outcomes. The primary clinical outcomes were major warfarin-related events, including thrombotic events or major bleedings. Specifically, a thrombotic event refers to valve thrombosis, transient ischaemic attack, ischaemic stroke, peripheral embolism, and myocardial infarction [22]. The occurrence of a major bleeding was defined according to the International Society on Thrombosis and Hemostasis [23], which involved cerebral haemorrhage, gastrointestinal bleeding, and other internal or external bleeding that led to death, hospitalization, or permanent injury (e.g., vision loss) or necessitated transfusion.

2.6. The Secondary Endpoints. The secondary endpoints included TTR, INR variation, and time periods of extremely high INR. TTR was calculated according to Rosendaal et al. [24]. If a gap longer than 90 days between two INR values occurred, then the period was excluded from the patient's TTR calculation. The INR variation was evaluated as the standard deviation of the INRs [25]. The times of extremely high INR were mainly referred to times of INR > 5.0 and INR > 12.0 according to a previous study [26] and the statement of critical values in our hospital. In addition, the percentage of individual TTRs above 70% was also calculated to assess anticoagulation quality. All INR results were retrieved from a database where the INR values and exact treatment times were registered. The INR results in the social app group were recorded by the participants and validated by our team; for the control group, the INR records were obtained from the patients' coagulation reports or anticoagulation tables.

2.7. Sample Size. We carried out the study with two groups at a ratio of 1:1 and determined the sample size by using an online estimation tool (http://powerandsamplesize.com/ Calculators). The incidence of all warfarin-related events



FIGURE 2: Enrolment and flowchart of the study.

was reported as approximately 12.2% in post-MHVR patients with TTR < 70% [7]. A previous model reported that a 10% increase in TTR could independently predict a 20% reduction in the rate of composite clinical outcomes among patients on warfarin [21]. Accordingly, if we expected to observe a 30% increase in TTR compared to that of the control group, at least 608 patients in total would be required to observe a relative reduction of 60% in the rate of primary events with 90% power and a two-sided α = 0.05. Thus, after adjusting for potential dropouts, we recruited more than 700 patients for our study, with over 350 patients in each group.

2.8. Statistical Analysis. Continuous variables were expressed as the mean \pm SD or median. Categorical variables were presented as absolute numbers or percentages. The differences between groups were assessed by *t*-test or Mann–Whitney *U* test for continuous variables and by chi-square test or Fisher exact test for categorical variables. The primary outcomes were visualized by the Kaplan-Meier event-free curve. The hazard ratio (HR) and 95% confidential interval (95% CI) were compared by using log-rank tests. A logistic regression analysis was performed to identify the determinants of high TTR levels. A *P* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The data was analyzed with GraphPad version 5.00 (GraphPad Software, California USA) and Medcalc version 16.2 (Medcalc Statistical Software, Ostend, Belgium).

3. Results

3.1. Patients and Follow-Up. The study occurred from September 2017 through March 2019. Figure 2 shows a diagram of the entire study population. Of the 881 patients who joined our warfarin education lessons and were screened, 735 patients including 544 new warfarin users and 191 experienced warfarin users, were randomly assigned to a group to either receive social app management (n = 368, social app group) or receive routine care management (n = 367, routine care group).

All study participants were followed up for at least 12 months; the mean duration of follow-up was 491 days. During the follow-up period, 20 patients were excluded from the study. Three declined to participate in the study, and 9 were excluded because of failure to obtain available INR results. A total of 25 patients were lost to follow-up; of these patients, 5 were excluded because they were followed up for less than one month after randomization, but the other 20 were included in the analysis. Another 11 patients interrupted their warfarin treatment for noncardiac operations, such as dental operations and hernia operations, but all of these patients resumed warfarin therapy afterwards. Overall, a total of 718 patients completed the study and were included in the analysis.

The baseline characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 1. The mean age of the study population as a whole

Cardiovascular Therapeutics

TABLE 1: Baseline characteristics of the patients.

| Characteristic | Social app group $N = 362$ | Routine care group $N = 356$ | P value |
|---|----------------------------|------------------------------|---------|
| Age | | | |
| Mean age (yrs) | 50.3 ± 9.8 | 51.3 ± 9.5 | 0.14 |
| Age < 60 years (<i>n</i> , %) | 298 (82.3) | 271 (76.1) | 0.04 |
| Female (<i>n</i> , %) | 218 (60.2) | 212 (59.6) | 0.85 |
| Height (cm) | 160 ± 7.8 | 160.2 ± 8.8 | 0.75 |
| Weight (kg) | 57.0 ± 10.1 | 57.2 ± 11.1 | 0.78 |
| Body mass index | 22.2 ± 3.4 | 22.2 ± 3.6 | 1.0 |
| Valve position | | | |
| AVR (<i>n</i> , %) | 74 (20.4) | 74 (20.8) | 0.91 |
| MVR (<i>n</i> , %) | 165 (45.6) | 167 (46.9) | 0.72 |
| DVR (<i>n</i> , %) | 123 (34.0) | 115 (32.3) | 0.63 |
| Smoker (<i>n</i> , %) | 102 (28.2) | 99 (27.8) | 0.91 |
| Medical history | | | |
| Atrial fibrillation (n, %) | 182 (50.3) | 191 (53.7) | 0.37 |
| Diabetes (n, %) | 14 (3.9) | 18 (5.1) | 0.47 |
| Hypertension (n, %) | 62 (17.1) | 60 (16.9) | 0.92 |
| NYHA score \geq II (<i>n</i> , %) | 152 (42.0) | 162 (45.5) | 0.34 |
| Stroke (<i>n</i> , %) | 62 (17.1) | 63 (17.7) | 0.84 |
| VTE (<i>n</i> , %) | 2 (0.6) | 1 (0.3) | 0.57 |
| Coronary artery disease (n, %) | 22 (6.1) | 32 (9.0) | 0.14 |
| Peripheral arterial disease (n, %) | 1 (0.3) | 3 (0.8) | 0.37 |
| Hepatic dysfunction (<i>n</i> , %) | 14 (3.9) | 19 (5.3) | 0.38 |
| Renal dysfunction (n, %) | 7 (1.9) | 9 (2.5) | 0.65 |
| SAMe-TT ₂ R ₂ score | 4.00 ± 0.74 | 3.97 ± 0.77 | 0.55 |
| Drug combinations | | | |
| Aspirin (n, %) | 28 (7.7) | 40 (11.2) | 0.11 |
| P_2Y_{12} inhibitor (<i>n</i> , %) | 3 (0.8) | 3 (0.8) | 1.00 |
| Amiodarone (<i>n</i> , %) | 95 (26.2) | 117 (32.9) | 0.052 |
| Average of INRs | 2.40 ± 0.24 | 2.45 ± 0.31 | 0.005 |
| Warfarin dose | 3.35 ± 0.81 | 3.42 ± 0.77 | 0.24 |
| Frequency of monitoring | 26.0 ± 8.57 | 20.1 ± 6.47 | < 0.001 |
| Self-testing (<i>n</i> , %) | 113 (31.2) | 27 (7.6) | < 0.001 |
| Follow-up (days) | 496.9 ± 92.7 | 485.7 ± 110.9 | 0.14 |

The continuous variables in this table were normally distributed and expressed as mean \pm SD. AVR: aortic valve replacement; MVR: mitral valve replacement; DVR: double valve replacement; NYHA: New York Heart Association; VTE: venous thromboembolism; INR: international normalized ratio. The SAMe-TT₂R₂ score considers the sex, age, medical history, treatment regimen, tobacco use, and race. An INR target of 2.0 (1.5-2.5) for AVR patients and 2.3 (1.8-2.8) for MVR or DVR patients. Average of INRs refers to the average of all the INR results during follow-up period.

was 50.8 ± 9.6 years (19 to 65 years), and 59.9% of the patients were female. Compared with patients assigned to the routine care group, more patients in the social app group were aged below 60 years (P = 0.04). Patients from the social app group underwent more INR tests (P < 0.001) and used more self-testing (P < 0.001) than those from the routine care group. The average of INRs was significantly lower for the social app group than for the routine care group (P = 0.005). No significant differences were observed between the two groups in body mass index, valve position, smoker, medical histories, drug combinations, and other baseline characteristics, respectively (Table 1).

3.2. Primary Outcomes. The primary outcomes are listed in Table 2. In total, 57 events were observed during the follow-up period. Of the 30 patients who had major bleedings, 21 received routine care management. Among the 27 patients who experienced thrombotic events, 15 were in the routine care group. No deaths occurred during the whole follow-up period. Figure 2 shows the Kaplan-Meier estimates of the time to the first primary event, which were based on up to 18 months of follow-up data. Compared with the social app group, the routine care group had substantially more major bleedings (P = 0.022 by log-rank test, Figure 3(a)), and the unadjusted HR in the social app group

| End points | Routine care group $N = 356$ | Social app group $N = 362$ | Adjusted HR (95% CI) | P value |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| Primary endpoints | | | | |
| Major bleedings (<i>n</i> , %) | 21 (2.92) | 9 (1.25) | 2.30 (1.04-5.69) | 0.0397 |
| Thrombotic events (n, %) | 15 (2.09) | 12 (1.67) | 1.17 (0.54-2.55) | 0.685 |
| Secondary endpoints | | | Difference or odds ratio (95% CI) | |
| Mean TTR (%) | 52.6 ± 12.9 | 71.5 ± 14.6 | -18.8 (-20.8 to -16.8) | < 0.001 |
| TTR ≥ 70% (%) | 8.15 (29/356) | 58.0 (212/362) | -15.9 (-24.6 to -10.3) | < 0.001 |
| INR variation | 0.70 ± 0.24 | 0.55 ± 0.19 | 0.14 (-0.11-0.18) | < 0.001 |
| Extremely high INR | | | | |
| INR > 5.0 (<i>n</i> , %, total) | 245 (3.42, 7169) | 82 (0.87, 9425) | | < 0.001 |
| INR > 12.0 (<i>n</i> , %, total) | 27 (0.38, 7169) | 1 (0.00, 9425) | | < 0.001 |
| Event details | | | | |
| Cerebral haemorrhage (n) | 3 | 2 | | |
| Gastrointestinal bleeding (n) | 14 | 5 | | |
| Pulmonary haemorrhage (<i>n</i>) | 1 | 0 | | |
| Other bleeding (<i>n</i>) | 3 | 2 | | |
| Valve thrombosis (n) | 2 | 1 | | |
| Stroke (n) | 8 | 6 | | |
| Atrial thrombosis (n) | 1 | 0 | | |
| Myocardial infarction (n) | 2 | 3 | | |
| Peripheral embolism (n) | 2 | 1 | | |
| Pulmonary embolism (n) | 0 | 1 | | |

TABLE 2: Primary and secondary outcomes.

TTR: time in therapeutic range; INR: international normalized ratio; HR: hazard ratio; 95% CI: 95% confidential interval.

was 2.31 (95% CI: 1.13-4.72). After adjusting for age below 60 years, sex, average of INRs, and amiodarone use for major bleedings, the adjusted HR was 2.30 (95% CI: 1.04-5.69, Table 2). The incidence of thrombotic events was not significantly different between the two groups (adjusted HR: 1.17, 95% CI: 0.0.54-2.55, P = 0.685, Table 2; unadjusted HR: 1.30, 95% CI: 0.61-2.76, P = 0.497, Figure 3(b)).

3.3. The Secondary Endpoints. Table 2 summarizes the secondary endpoints in this study. During the study period, the mean TTR of the social app group was 71.5%, which was much higher than the 52.1% of the routine care group (absolute difference: 18.8%, 95% CI, 16.8 to 20.8; P < 0.001). The social app group had a higher percentage of patients with TTR \geq 70% (58.0% vs. 8.15%, odds ratio: 15.9, 95% CI: 10.3-24.6, P < 0.001) and a lower INR variation (0.55 vs. 0.70, absolute difference: -0.15, 95% CI: -0.18-0.11, P <0.001) than the routine care group.

Among a total of 9425 INR results in the social app group, there were 82 of them above 5.0, the incidence of INR values over 5.0 was much lower in the social app group than INR results in the routine care group (245/7169) (0.87% vs. 3.42%, P < 0.001, Table 2). In particular, only one INR > 12.0 result occurred in the social app group, and it happened in an elderly woman who took the wrong dose for two weeks. The incidence of INR values over 12.0 was also much lower in the social app group when compared with the routine care group (27/7169) (0.00% vs. 0.38%, P< 0.001, Table 2). 3.4. Determinants of High TTR. Table 3 shows the determinants of good TTR levels (\geq 70%) identified from a logistic regression analysis. The univariate analysis showed that a low number of complications, no amiodarone use, low average of INRs, self-testing, high frequency of monitoring, and social app care were significant determinants of a good TTR level. The multivariate analysis that included these 6 parameters revealed that 5 of these parameters, in addition to the frequency of monitoring, were independent predictors of good TTR levels.

4. Discussion

The results of our SMART trial indicate that social app care was associated with a reduced incidence of major bleedings during an average 491 days of follow-up. The anticoagulation quality, including TTR, INR variation, and time periods of extremely high INR, also significantly improved with social app care compared with routine care in post-MHVR patients receiving long-term warfarin therapy.

In the current study, social app care worked like a "realtime online anticoagulation clinic". With the help of the social app, the warfarin treatments were not limited to long distances, which lowered traffic inconvenience and time costs to ensure a high frequency of monitoring and timely dose adjustments. Since the use of a social app removed barriers of communication between doctors and patients, the miniprogramme promoted the use of self-testing and has also been reported to be effective in improving warfarin





(b) The graph compares the incidence of thrombotic events between the two groups

FIGURE 3: Kaplan-Meier analysis of the cumulative incidence of events.

| | Total ($n = 718$) | Odds ratio (95% CI) | P value |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Univariate analysis | | | |
| Age < 60 | 569 | | 0.12 |
| Female | 430 | | 0.45 |
| Atrial fibrillation | 373 | | 0.19 |
| Medical history* | | 0.82 (0.69-0.98) | 0.025 |
| Amiodarone use | 212 | 0.60 (0.42-0.86) | 0.005 |
| Smoker | 201 | | 0.19 |
| SAME-TT ₂ R ₂ score | | | 0.45 |
| Average of INRs | | 0.13 (0.07-0.24) | < 0.001 |
| Self-testing | 140 | 3.73 (2.54-5.46) | < 0.001 |
| Frequency of monitoring | | 1.06 (1.03-1.07) | < 0.001 |
| Social app management | 362 | 15.9 (10.3-24.6) | < 0.001 |
| Multivariate analysis | | | |
| Medical history* | | 0.80 (0.65-0.98) | 0.033 |
| Amiodarone use | | 0.62 (0.40-0.97) | 0.036 |
| Mean INR | | 0.08 (0.03-0.19) | < 0.001 |
| Self-testing | | 1.87 (1.18-2.96) | 0.008 |
| Frequency of monitoring | | 1.00 (0.98-1.03) | 0.48 |
| Social app management | | 14.7 (9.07-24.0) | < 0.001 |

TABLE 3: Determinants of high TTR levels (>70%) by logistic regression analysis.

INR: international normalized ratio.* Medical history denotes number of the following conditions: hypertension, diabetes, coronary artery disease, peripheral arterial disease, heart failure, stroke, and pulmonary, hepatic, or renal disease.

control [9, 27]. Nonetheless, variation in physician experience was another factor that could affect warfarin control. Our experienced anticoagulation clinicians introduced many useful elements. For example, we used a weekly algorithmconsistent dosing strategy, which was based on experience from the RE-LY study, and was reported to independently increase TTR [21]. Finally, significant improvements in warfarin control resulted in a reduction in the number of major bleedings. Currently, many reports encourage doctors and patients to use "mobile health" to improve health care [28, 29]. Our study not only provides evidence for using social software to improve warfarin therapy, but also provides a new idea of using "mobile health" to optimize disease management. Particularly worth mentioning is that our social app care severed much more patients during the COVID-19 epidemic in Wuhan to manage those warfarin-treated patients without medical exposure, which might be helpful in reducing the risk of COVID-19 infection.

Previously, various efforts have been made to improve warfarin anticoagulation in China, including through pharmacist clinics and anticoagulant clinics [27]; however, these clinics for warfarin management in China were too few to sever a large numbers of warfarin-treated patients over the country. In contrast, our service could allow for rapid, extensive, and high-throughput expansion for patient management. Before creating the WeChat miniprogramme, we first tried two other ways of managing warfarin therapy. First, we tested an independent mobile app for Android devices. These apps were not based on social media, which meant that patients had to install and use the apps discretely. Unfortunately, warfarin-treated patients usually monitor their INR every couple of weeks and thus, the app was not used nearly as often as the social app; therefore, the Android app rapidly lost active users. In response, we then employed the most frequently used social app, which is both userfriendly and easy for patients to access during their daily life and can be installed regardless of which cell phone operating system the patient had. The results showed that the vast majority of patients were willing to use the app for a long time. Second, we tested a group chat. The group chat aimed to draw patients into a group for counselling and medication guidance. However, we found that this model had many disadvantages; for example, the group chat usually worked on one-to bases; thus, everyone in the group could see the medical information that was sent, indicating that this method failed to protect patient privacy. In addition, a crowded group chat usually led to the presence of a large amount of invalid information, which reduced the doctor response efficiency. Finally, the social app in the current study provides one-to-one service. This app might be the best approach to overcome all disadvantages.

The higher the TTR, the fewer the adverse events that occur. We observed a significant reduction in the number of major bleedings as the TTR increased, which was consistent with the results of the previous studies [6, 7, 21]. Even the "labile INR (TTR<60%)" has been introduced in the HAS-BLED score [30], which is a scoring system developed to assess the risk of bleeding in patients with AF taking VKAs. Compared to patients with high TTR levels, patients with low

TTR levels were observed to have noticeably more high INR values, which have been well demonstrated to be associated with bleeding. This result might explain why the routine care group had higher bleeding rates than the social app group. Interestingly, multivariate analysis showed that five factors, including medical history, amiodarone use, average of INRs, self-testing, and social app care, were independently correlated with TTR levels. However, no significant correlation was observed between the SAMe-TT₂R₂ score and TTR levels in this study, which might be because our study included patients who underwent MHVR and not patients with AF. Our social app intervention had the biggest effects on TTR and may change the potential correlation between SAMe-TT₂R₂ score and TTR levels. These findings indicate that Chinese patients might need a modified prediction tool based on their own population to predict their TTR levels.

This study has several limitations. First, this study was a single-centre study, and selection bias may exist. Although we attempted to enrol all eligible subjects, 51 patients were excluded. In addition, the TTR of the control group was higher than that reported in previous literature [6, 17], indicating that the sample size of this study calculated based on a lower TTR which might be underestimated. Although we still observed significant differences in the number of major bleedings between the two groups, a larger sample size would reduce the statistical bias. Second, the dose adjustments were all based on the INR results provided by the patient. However, these results were tested with different analyzers or self-monitors, and the bias among different analysis systems is unknown. Third, the follow-up period was short. Post-MHVR patients need lifelong anticoagulation treatment, and long-term compliance has not been fully assessed. A long-term follow-up study might provide more evidence of the clinical benefits of our social app. Finally, although the number of patients does not nearly exceed what our team can handle, we expect that our service resources will be stretched if there is a significant increase in patient numbers. In future studies, we will explore the introduction of artificial-intelligence-based auto-management into our social app service (NCT03870581). In addition, whether social app management can be used in other patient populations, such as patients with AF, needs to be further evaluated in future studies.

5. Conclusions

Social app management could significantly improve warfarin control and was associated with a reduction in bleeding risk. Further studies are needed to confirm our findings and evaluate whether this idea can be used in the management of other diseases.

Data Availability

The .xls type data used to support the findings of this study are currently under embargo, while the research findings are commercialized. Requests for data, 12 months after publication of this article, will be considered by the corresponding author.

Additional Points

Bullet Points. (i) High quality of anticoagulation control was associated with a reduction of warfarin-related outcomes. (ii) Anticoagulation quality urgently needs to be improved in Chinese patients receiving warfarin therapy. (iii) Social app could be used in the management of warfarin therapy in Chinese patients. (iv) Social app care could significantly increase anticoagulation control and reduce bleeding risk during warfarin therapy.

Ethical Approval

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Wuhan Asia Heart Hospital ethical committee (2017-YXKY-B012). The full trial protocol was registered at the registry of clinical trials (Clinical Trials.gov; NCT03264937) before commencing recruitment.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Authors' Contributions

On behalf of SMART Investigators, Litao Zhang and Yanli Long designed the study and designed the applet (warfarin helper) used in the current study and acquired the fundings. Sha Li and Yanli Long are clinicians from the cardiac surgery, who were responsible for recruiting eligible subjects and managing those complicated cases. Dan Yu, Haiyan Wu, Bing Hua, Li Xie, Xia Yuan, and Yun Li were experienced anticoagulant nurses in our anticoagulant clinic. These ladies managed routine participants and collected data. Zishuo Li and Zhenlu Zhang provided IT support and data analysis. They also were the study supervisor, to review research quality, data security, and privacy protection. LZ and YL drafted the manuscript, which was then revised by the other authors. Litao Zhang and Sha Li contributed equally to this study.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Wuhan Municipal Health Commission (WX18Z02 and WX19Q03), Hubei Provincial Nature Science Foundation of China (2020CFB865), and 2020 Wuhan Young & Middle-Age Medical Backbone Training Programme. We thank Hongwei Miao, Shaocheng Zhang, Zhujun, Mei, and Lily for their technical assistance. We are very grateful to the patients who participated in our study.

References

- H. Baumgartner, V. Falk, J. J. Bax et al., "2017 ESC/EACTS guidelines for the management of valvular heart disease," *European Heart Journal*, vol. 38, no. 36, pp. 2739–2791, 2017.
- [2] R. A. Nishimura, P. T. O'Gara, J. E. Bavaria et al., "2019 AATS/ ACC/ASE/SCAI/STS expert consensus systems of care document: a proposal to optimize care for patients with valvular heart disease: a joint report of the American Association for Thoracic Surgery, American College of Cardiology, American

Society of Echocardiography, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons," *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, vol. 73, no. 20, pp. 2609–2635, 2019.

- [3] H. Zulkifly, P. Cheli, I. Lutchman, Y. Bai, G. Y. H. Lip, and D. A. Lane, "Anticoagulation control in different ethnic groups receiving vitamin K antagonist therapy for stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation," *Thrombosis Research*, vol. 192, pp. 12– 20, 2020.
- [4] M. Proietti, D. A. Lane, G. Boriani, and G. Y. H. Lip, "Stroke prevention, evaluation of bleeding risk, and anticoagulant treatment management in atrial fibrillation contemporary international guidelines," *The Canadian Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 619–633, 2019.
- [5] L. Giuseppe, M. Camilla, A. Dorothy, and E. J. Favaloro, "Oral anticoagulants around the world: an updated state-of-the art analysis," *Annals of Blood*, vol. 3, 2018.
- [6] C. W. Ho, M. H. Ho, P. H. Chan et al., "Ischemic stroke and intracranial hemorrhage with aspirin, dabigatran, and warfarin: impact of quality of anticoagulation control," *Stroke*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 23–30, 2015.
- [7] B. Grzymala-Lubanski, P. J. Svensson, H. Renlund, A. Jeppsson, and A. Sjalander, "Warfarin treatment quality and prognosis in patients with mechanical heart valve prosthesis," *Heart*, vol. 103, no. 3, pp. 198–203, 2017.
- [8] M. Navgren, J. Forsblad, and M. Wieloch, "Bleeding complications related to warfarin treatment: a descriptive register study from the anticoagulation clinic at Helsingborg hospital," *Journal of Thrombosis and Thrombolysis*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 98–104, 2014.
- [9] D. B. Matchar, A. Jacobson, R. Dolor et al., "Effect of home testing of international normalized ratio on clinical events," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 363, no. 17, pp. 1608–1620, 2010.
- [10] X. Xia, J. Wu, and J. Zhang, "The effect of online versus hospital warfarin management on patient outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *International Journal of Clinical Pharmacy*, vol. 40, no. 6, pp. 1420–1429, 2018.
- [11] H. F. Liang, X. Du, Y. C. Zhou et al., "Control of anticoagulation therapy in patients with atrial fibrillation treated with warfarin: a study from the Chinese atrial fibrillation registry," *Medical Science Monitor*, vol. 25, pp. 4691–4698, 2019.
- [12] A. J. Camm, G. Y. Lip, R. De Caterina et al., "2012 focused update of the ESC guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation: an update of the 2010 ESC guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation. Developed with the special contribution of the European heart rhythm association," *European Heart Journal*, vol. 33, no. 21, pp. 2719–2747, 2012.
- [13] S. Apostolakis, R. M. Sullivan, B. Olshansky, and G. Y. H. Lip, "Factors affecting quality of anticoagulation control among patients with atrial fibrillation on warfarin," *Chest*, vol. 144, no. 5, pp. 1555–1563, 2013.
- [14] P. H. Chan, J. J. Hai, E. W. Chan et al., "Use of the SAMe-TT2R2 score to predict good anticoagulation control with warfarin in Chinese patients with atrial fibrillation: relationship to ischemic stroke incidence," *PLoS One*, vol. 11, no. 3, article e0150674, 2016.
- [15] H. Zulkifly, G. Y. H. Lip, and D. A. Lane, "Use of the SAMe-TT2R2 score to predict anticoagulation control in atrial fibrillation and venous thromboembolism patients receiving vitamin K antagonists: a review," *Heart Rhythm*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 615–623, 2018.

- [16] R. G. Victor, K. Lynch, N. Li et al., "A cluster-randomized trial of blood-pressure reduction in black barbershops," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 378, no. 14, pp. 1291–1301, 2018.
- [17] J. Oldgren, J. S. Healey, M. Ezekowitz et al., "Variations in cause and management of atrial fibrillation in a prospective registry of 15,400 emergency department patients in 46 countries: the RE-LY atrial fibrillation registry," *Circulation*, vol. 129, no. 15, pp. 1568–1576, 2014.
- [18] Tencent, "2018 Annual Report," 2019, https://www.tencent .com/en-us/articles/17000441554112592.pdf.
- [19] H. Lei, W. Fucheng, M. Ning, and W. Yicheng, "Analysis of the development of WeChat mini program," *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, vol. 1087, article 062040, 2018.
- [20] L. Zhang, X. Zheng, Y. Long et al., "D-dimer to guide the intensity of anticoagulation in Chinese patients after mechanical heart valve replacement: a randomized controlled trial," *Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis*, vol. 15, no. 10, pp. 1934–1941, 2017.
- [21] H. G. Van Spall, L. Wallentin, S. Yusuf et al., "Variation in warfarin dose adjustment practice is responsible for differences in the quality of anticoagulation control between centers and countries: an analysis of patients receiving warfarin in the randomized evaluation of long-term anticoagulation therapy (RE-LY) trial," *Circulation*, vol. 126, no. 19, pp. 2309–2316, 2012.
- [22] C. W. Akins, D. C. Miller, M. I. Turina et al., "Guidelines for reporting mortality and morbidity after cardiac valve interventions," *The Annals of Thoracic Surgery*, vol. 85, no. 4, pp. 1490– 1495, 2008.
- [23] S. Schulman, C. Kearon, and Subcommittee on Control of Anticoagulation of the Scientific and Standardization Committee of the International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis, "Definition of major bleeding in clinical investigations of antihemostatic medicinal products in nonsurgical patients," *Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 692–694, 2005.
- [24] F. R. Rosendaal, S. C. Cannegieter, F. J. van der Meer, and E. Briet, "A method to determine the optimal intensity of oral anticoagulant therapy," *Thrombosis and Haemostasis*, vol. 69, no. 3, pp. 236–239, 1993.
- [25] A. Labaf, A. Sjalander, M. Stagmo, and P. J. Svensson, "INR variability and outcomes in patients with mechanical heart valve prosthesis," *Thrombosis Research*, vol. 136, no. 6, pp. 1211–1215, 2015.
- [26] T. Hanslik and J. Prinseau, "The use of vitamin K in patients on anticoagulant therapy," *American Journal of Cardiovascular Drugs*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 43–55, 2004.
- [27] H. Cao, J. Wu, and J. Zhang, "Outcomes of warfarin therapy managed by pharmacists via hospital anticoagulation clinic versus online anticoagulation clinic," *International Journal of Clinical Pharmacy*, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 1072–1077, 2018.
- [28] T. Lancet, "Social media: how doctors can contribute," *The Lancet*, vol. 379, no. 9826, p. 1562, 2012.
- [29] G. D. Barnes and K.-R. Eva, "Engaging with quality improvement in anticoagulation management," *Journal of Thrombosis* and Thrombolysis., vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 403–409, 2015.
- [30] R. Pisters, D. A. Lane, R. Nieuwlaat, C. B. de Vos, H. J. G. M. Crijns, and G. Y. H. Lip, "A novel user-friendly score (HAS-BLED) to assess 1-year risk of major bleeding in patients with atrial fibrillation: the euro heart survey," *Chest*, vol. 138, no. 5, pp. 1093–1100, 2010.