

Research Article

The Influence of Outer Membrane Protein on Ampicillin Resistance of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*

Xiangyu Meng^(b),¹ Danyang Huang^(b),² Qing Zhou^(b),¹ Fan Ji^(b),¹ Xin Tan^(b),² Jianli Wang^(b),³ and Xiaoyuan Wang^(b),^{1,2,3}

¹School of Biotechnology, Jiangnan University, Wuxi 214122, Jiangsu, China
²School of Food Science and Technology, Jiangnan University, Wuxi 214122, China
³State Key Laboratory of Food Science and Technology, Jiangnan University, Wuxi 214122, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Xiaoyuan Wang; xwang@jiangnan.edu.cn

Received 19 July 2022; Revised 13 December 2022; Accepted 24 December 2022; Published 13 January 2023

Academic Editor: Mohd Adnan

Copyright © 2023 Xiangyu Meng et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The antibiotic resistance of the food-borne pathogen *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* has attracted researchers' attention in recent years, but its molecular mechanism remains poorly understood. In this study, 7 genes encoding outer membrane proteins (OMPs) were individually deleted in *V. parahaemolyticus* ATCC33846, and the resistance of these 7 mutants to 14 antibiotics was investigated. The results revealed that the resistance of the 7 mutants to ampicillin was significantly increased. Further exploration of 20-gene transcription changes by real time-qPCR (RT-qPCR) demonstrated that the higher ampicillin resistance might be attributed to the expression of β -lactamase and reduced peptidoglycan (PG) synthesis activity through reduced transcription of penicillin-binding proteins (PBPs), increased transcription of L_xD-transpeptidases, downregulated D_xD-carboxypeptidase, and alanine deficiency. This study provides a new perspective on ampicillin resistance in OMP mutants with respect to PG synthesis.

1. Introduction

Vibrio parahaemolyticus is a Gram-negative bacterium causing intestinal infections. It is commonly found in seafood, such as blood clams and shrimp [1], causing significant economic losses as an aquatic pathogen [2]. Due to the overuse of antibiotics, multidrug-resistant *V. parahaemolyticus* has been isolated in recent years. The strains have demonstrated resistance to ampicillin, cefazolin, penicillin, and so on [3].

Studies investigating the mechanism of ampicillin resistance mainly focus on three points: drug permeation, peptidoglycan (PG) synthesis, and β -lactamase. In *Neisseria meningitidis*, a single point mutation in the porin PorB can strongly affect the binding and permeation of β -lactam antibiotics [4]. Affinity binding studies of four transformants revealed decreased affinity of PBP4 for ampicillin [5]. In *Enterococcus faecium*, L,D-transpeptidase-mediated resistance may emerge in various pathogens [6]. In *V. parahaemolyticus*, a novel class A carbenicillin hydrolyzing β -lactamase, *bla* (CARB-17), was responsible for the intrinsic penicillin resistance [7].

The role of outer membrane protein (OMP) in antibiotic resistance is usually related to permeation [8] as antibiotic susceptibility is related to OMP channel size [9]. Ampicillin enters *E. coli* through OmpF [10]. In carbapenem-resistant*Enterobacter aerogenes*, the expression of OMPs is deficient due to overexpressed sRNA or decreased due to single-point mutation [11]. In addition, performing gene knockout in *V. parahaemolyticus* is challenging, so few studies have reported antibiotic resistance in OMP mutants.

In this work, $VP_RS22195$, $VP_RS23020$, $VP_RS16800$, $VP_RS16465$, $VP_RS20840$, $VP_RS03765$, and $VP_RS11205$ were knocked out by ATCC33846. Subsequently, the growth, outer membrane (OM) permeability, and minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of OMP deletion mutants were evaluated. In total, 14 antibiotics targeting different sites in cells were selected, including β -lactams (ampicillin), aminoglycosides (streptomycin, kanamycin, gentamicin, tobramycin), quinolones (ciprofloxacin, nalidixic acid), furans (nitrofurantoin), cationic antimicrobial peptides (polymyxin B), rifampicin, clarithromycin, tetracycline, chloramphenicol, and novobiocin. After ampicillin treatment, the transcriptional changes of 20 genes in each mutant were further detected. This study gives a better understanding of the role of OMPs in *V. parahaemolyticus* OM and the cell wall.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Strain and Growth Condition. Table 1 lists all strains and plasmids used in this study. *V. parahaemolyticus* ATCC33846 was used to construct OMP deletion strains. The bacteria were grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth medium containing 10 g/L NaCl, 10 g/L trypsin (OXOID), and 5 g/L yeast extract (OXOID) at 37°C and 200 rpm for liquid culture.

2.2. Construction of Deletion Plasmid pOTC-SB. The deletion plasmid pOTC-SB was composed of genes CmR, p15A, traJ, oriT, and cre. The CmR and p15A gene fragment (1) was amplified from template pACYC184 with primers Cmp15A-F and Cm-p15A-R, and then digested with FastDigest enzymes SpeI and PstI (Thermo Scientific). The *traJ* and *oriT* gene fragment (2) was amplified from template pDS132 with primers traJ-oriT-F and traJ-oriT-R and then digested with FastDigest enzymes SalI and SpeI (Thermo Scientific). The cre gene fragment (3) was amplified from template pDTW109 by primers Ptac-cre-F and Ptac-cre-R. The sacB gene fragment was amplified from template pDS132 by primers sacB-F and sacB-R. Finally, the ligation product of (1) and (2) was connected to (3) by using one-step cloning (ClonExpress II One Step Cloning Kit, Vazyme). The resulting plasmid was named pOTC as it contained oriT and cre. Linearized pOTC by BstZ17I and sacB were combined by one-step cloning to construct pOTC-SB.

2.3. Construction of OMP Deletion Mutants. Upstream and downstream homology arms were amplified from the chromosomal DNA of *V. parahaemolyticus* ATCC33846 by the corresponding U/D-(*target gene*)-F/R primers, as displayed in Table 2. The *loxL-Gm-loxR* fragment was amplified from pWJW101 [15] by primers *Gm*-R and *Gm*-F, and the homology arms and *loxL-Gm-loxR* were integrated by fusion PCR (4). Then, the pDS132 plasmid and (4) were separately digested with the FastDigest enzymes *XbaI* or *SaII* and ligated by T4 ligase (*VP_RS23020* was connected to pDS132 by one-step cloning). *E. coli* CC118 was transformed with products and cultured on LB plates containing 30 μ g·ml⁻¹ gentamicin, and the plasmid extracted from *E. coli* CC118 was electrotransformed into *E. coli*S17-1 (λpir).

After conjugation of *V. parahaemolyticus* ATCC33846 and *E. coli*S17-l (λpir) with the relevant plasmid, 5 μ g·ml⁻¹ polymyxin B and 10 μ g·ml⁻¹ chloramphenicol were used to select transformants of *V. parahaemolyticus*. Then, 10% (W/ V) sucrose and gentamicin (10 μ g·ml⁻¹) were used to select deletion mutants. The mutants were verified by colony PCR and genome verification.

Following knockout, Gm was removed by Cre in pOTC-SB. The deletion mutants were conjugated with S17-1 containing pOTC-SB and then spread-plated with $30\,\mu g\cdot ml^{-1}$ chloramphenicol. Agarose gel electrophoresis revealed three results. The first result indicated a single band with the length of homology arms plus Gm; the second result showed a single band with the length of homology arms plus 100 bp; the third result displayed a combination of the above two types of bands. To ensure thorough Cre, the strains of the first and third colonies were cultured again in LB with chloramphenicol until the second result appeared. Then, negative selection was performed on an LB plate with gentamicin to ensure the removal of Gm from the genome by Cre. The pOTC-SB was removed by culturing in LB with 10% sucrose without antibiotics. The negative selection was performed on an LB plate with chloramphenicol to verify the removal of pOTC-SB, which has chloramphenicol resistance. The verification of OMP deletion mutants by agarose gel electrophoresis is shown in Figure 1.

After OMP deletion mutants were constructed, mutants and wild-type strains were streaked and purified on the LB plate 5 times, then cultured in LB liquid overnight and stored at -70° C. Before each assay, strains were streaked and activated on the LB plate for 25 h, and then a single colony was cultured in LB liquid for 14 h. A bacterial solution was then used in each assay.

2.4. Growth Curve. The overnight cultured strains were added to 50 ml of LB broth medium with an initial OD_{600} of 0.02. The mixture was cultured at 37°C and 200 rpm. All assays were performed in triplicate.

2.5. Permeation Assay. The OD_{600} of overnight-cultured strains was adjusted to 0.5. The sediments were washed twice and suspended in 10 mmol·L⁻¹ pH 7.4 PBS. Subsequently, $48 \,\mu$ l NPN solution was added to $1152 \,\mu$ l of the bacteria solution before being observed with the fluorescence spectrophotometer. The detection was carried out under excitation light at 350 nm, emission light at 428 nm, and a 2.5 mm slit width. All assays were carried out in triplicates, and three parallels were performed in each group.

2.6. The Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) Assay. All antibiotics except ampicillin were diluted by a two-fold dilution method to adjust the concentration to $0.0078125-256 \,\mu g \cdot ml^{-1}$ in 96-well plates. As the 2-fold dilution of high-concentration solutions would result in large intervals, another concentration setting was used for ampicillin. For solutions with a concentration under $100 \,\mu g \cdot ml^{-1}$, a 2-fold dilution method was used to achieve a concentration range of $0.98-125 \,\mu g \cdot ml^{-1}$. For solutions with a concentration swith a concentration with a concentration was set to $100-1000 \,\mu g \cdot ml^{-1}$, the resulting concentration was set to $100, 200, 300, \ldots, 1000 \,\mu g \cdot ml^{-1}$. In the concentration range between 1000 and

	· ·	
Strains or plasmids	Descriptions	Sources
Strains		
ATCC33846	Wild-typeVibrio parahaemolyticus	ATCC
CC118(λpir)	λ-pir lysogen of CC118 (D(ara-leu) araD DlacX 74 galE galK phoA20 thi-1rpsE rpoB argE(Am) recA1)	[12]
S17-1(λpir)	λ-pir lysogen of S17-1 (thi pro hsdR-hsdM + recA RP4 2-Tc::Mu-Km::Tn7 (Cm ^r))	[13]
ATCC33846Δ <i>VP_RS22195</i>	Derived from ATCC33846 by removing VP_RS22195	This study
ATCC33846Δ <i>VP_RS23020</i>	Derived from ATCC33846 by removing VP_RS23020	This study
ATCC33846Δ <i>VP_RS16800</i>	Derived from ATCC33846 by removing VP_RS16800	This study
ATCC33846Δ <i>VP_RS16465</i>	Derived from ATCC33846 by removing VP_RS16465	This study
ATCC33846Δ <i>VP_RS20840</i>	Derived from ATCC33846 by removing VP_RS20840	This study
ATCC33846Δ <i>VP_RS03765</i>	Derived from ATCC33846 by removing VP_RS03765	This study
ATCC33846∆ <i>VP_RS11205</i>	Derived from ATCC33846 by removing VP_RS11205	This study
Plasmids		
pDS132	Suicide plasmid, Cm ^r	[14]
pACYC184	Plasmid containing $p15A$ origin, Cm ^r , Tc ^r	ATCC
pWJW101	Plasmid containing loxL-Gm-loxR, Gm ^r	[15]
pDTW109	Plasmid containing cre gene, Gm ^r	[16]
$p\Delta VP_RS22195$	Derived from pDS132 by adding VP_RS22195 homologous arms	This study
$p\Delta VP_RS23020$	Derived from pDS132 by adding VP_RS23020 homologous arms	This study
$p\Delta VP_RS16800$	Derived from pDS132 by adding VP_RS16800 homologous arms	This study
$p\Delta VP_RS16465$	Derived from pDS132 by adding VP_RS16465 homologous arms	This study
$p\Delta VP_RS20840$	Derived from pDS132 by adding VP_RS20840 homologous arms	This study
p∆ <i>VP_RS03765</i>	Derived from pDS132 by adding VP_RS03765 homologous arms	This study
$p\Delta VP_RS11205$	Derived from pDS132 by adding VP_RS11205 homologous arms	This study

TABLE 1: Bacteria and plasmids are used in this study.

TABLE 2: Primers used in this study.

Names	Sequence (5'-3')
Ptac-cre-F	TTGGATACACCAAGGAAAGTGGTACCTGAACGACCCCGAATATTGG
<i>Ptac-cre</i> -R	ACAGATGTAGGTGTTCCACACTGCAGCTAATCGCCATCTTCCAGCA
traJ-oriT-F	C ACTAGT TCGGGTCGGGTGAATCTT
traJ-oriT-R	ACTTTCCTTGGTGTATCC
CmR-p15A-F	TGTGGAACACCTACATCTG
CmR-p15A-R	CACTAGTATCGTATGGGGCTGACTT
sacB-F	CAGCGCTAGCGGAGTGTATACCACCTTTATGTTGATAAGAAATAAAAG
	AAA
sacB-R	CAACATAGTAAGCCAGTATACGGATCGATCCTTTTTAACCCATC
GmR-R	CGTAATACGACTCACTATAGGGC
GmR-F	GCGCAATTAACCCTCACTAAAG
<i>VP_RS22195-</i> U-F	AA TCTAGA CAGAATCACAGCACGAGG
<i>VP_RS22195-</i> U-R	GCCCTATAGTGAGTCGTATTACGCTCCCACAACAGTCCACATAGC
<i>VP_RS22195-</i> D-F	CTTTAGTGAGGGTTAATTGCGCCTAAGTAAGATGTTGTTCCGCG
<i>VP_RS22195-</i> D-R	AA TCTAGA TGGCAGCGAACAAAGGTG
<i>VP_RS23020-</i> U-F	GGGTTAAAAAGGATCGATCCTGTCGTTTTTCGCAACAATC
<i>VP_RS23020-</i> U-D	GCCCTATAGTGAGTCGTATTACGAAAATGGCTTGCTCCCGT
<i>VP_RS23020-</i> D-F	CTTTAGTGAGGGTTAATTGCGCAGCACGCATGTAACCGTG
<i>VP_RS23020</i> -D-R	AATTTGTGGAATTCCCGGGAGTATGACGTATACCCGCATA
<i>VP_RS16800-</i> U-F	AA TCTAGA CCTCTTTTGGCTCGGGTT
<i>VP_RS16800-</i> U-R	GCCCTATAGTGAGTCGTATTACGAAACGGGCTAACTACTGT
<i>VP_RS16800-</i> D-F	CTTTAGTGAGGGTTAATTGCGCCGTTACGGATGTATGTGT
<i>VP_RS16800</i> -D-R	AA TCTAGA GACACTGAACTGCCTGTC
<i>VP_RS16465-</i> U-F	AATCTAGAAAGACGAACCAGGCAAGG
<i>VP_RS16465-</i> U-R	GCCCTATAGTGAGTCGTATTACGAGTGTCAGCAAGTGCAAC
<i>VP_RS16465-</i> D-F	CTTTAGTGAGGGTTAATTGCGCCGATGCTCCGTTTAGTAG
<i>VP_RS16465-</i> D-R	AA TCTAGA GAATGGCTAGTAAGGAATGT
VP_RS20840-U-F	AAA GTCGAC GAAGACCGTCATTTAGCT
<i>VP_RS20840-</i> U-R	GCCCTATAGTGAGTCGTATTACGGCAAGTCTATGGGGAAGC
<i>VP_RS20840-</i> D-F	CTTTAGTGAGGGTTAATTGCGCCATTCTCATCAAAAGGGG

TABLE 2: Continued.

Names	Sequence (5'-3')
<i>VP_RS20840-</i> D-R	AAA GTCGAC GCCATACGGAGGATTCAT
<i>VP_RS03765-</i> U-F	AA TCTAGA AAATCGGTATGCCACTTC
<i>VP_RS03765-</i> U-R	GCCCTATAGTGAGTCGTATTACGGCCGCCTAATAACACTGAC
<i>VP_RS03765-</i> D-F	CTTTAGTGAGGGTTAATTGCGCGACCGTAGAATAGCAGCC
<i>VP_RS03765-</i> D-R	AA TCTAGA CATCGCTCAGTGGGTTT
<i>VP_RS11205-</i> U-F	AA TCTAGA CGCCTCTATCGTTCGCAT
VP_RS11205-U-R	GCCCTATAGTGAGTCGTATTACGGACAATTACCGGGGGTGAG
<i>VP RS11205-</i> D-F	CTTTAGTGAGGGTTAATTGCGCCCTCTTTAGAAAGTTCTACCG
<i>VP RS11205-</i> D-R	AA TCTAGA TTCAACATCTCTTGGACTC
RT-VP RS22195-F	CTAAGCAACCAAGTTAGCCAAC
RT-VP RS22195-R	TTCTTCTTGAGCAGCCATTG
RT-VP_RS23020-F	AGTTGAATCTCGCCGTAAAT
RT-VP_RS23020-R	CTGCTGGTTCTGCTTTCG
RT-VP_RS16800-F	TGCTACATCGCCATCACG
RT-VP RS16800-R	GCATCAACTACCGCTTCTTG
RT-VP_R\$16465-F	GCTGGTGACGAGAAAGACT
$RT_VP_RS16465_R$	TTGAAGCCGATACCGAAG
$PT_V P PS20840 F$	
$PT_V P PS20840 P$	TTTGCGTCCATATCGTCC
RT-VD_R\$203765 E	CTCAAACTATCGCCACTGGT
DT VD D\$02765 D	TTCCCTTCTCCCTCCTC
NT-VF_N303703-N	
КІ- <i>VF_</i> КЗП205-F рт VD_DS11205-D	
К1- <i>VP_К</i> 311203-К	
K1-VP_K31/313-F	
K1-VP_K51/515-K	
R1-VP_K513510-F	
К1- <i>VP_</i> К513510-К	
K1-mrcB-F	
R1-mrcB-R	
RT- <i>VP_RS02165</i> -F	
RT- <i>VP_RS02165</i> -R	TTTTACATCCAGCATCACCAC
RT-mrdA-F	GTTTTGATGGGCTTGCTG
RT-mrd-R	CCACTTTGATGCGGTTGT
RT- <i>VP_RS21250</i> -F	TTGACGGGATGAAAACGG
RT- <i>VP_RS21250</i> -R	AACAACTGCGATAAGGCG
RT- <i>VP_RS22785-</i> F	TGGCATCCAAACCTCACC
RT- <i>VP_RS22785</i> -R	CTTGAAGACTTTCTCGCTGT
RT- <i>dacB</i> -F	AGACCAACTATTTCCACCTG
RT-dacB-R	AGTAGATAACGGCATCGG
RT- <i>VP_RS22200</i> -F	ACGCCACCTGCGTCTATT
RT- <i>VP_RS22200</i> -R	CATTCCGATGCCGAAATC
RT- <i>VP_RS09310</i> -F	TAATGACGGCACTTATGGT
RT- <i>VP_RS09310</i> -R	ACAGACAACTGCTCTACGG
RT- <i>VP_RS15980-</i> F	AGAAGAAGGCGACAACCG
RT- <i>VP_RS15980-</i> R	GCACCGAGCGATAAAACG
RT- <i>uhpA</i> -F	AAGGCTATCTAAGCAAACGC
RT-uhpA-R	GCAATGTCGGAGGTAAGGT
RT- <i>VP_RS14060</i> -F	TAGAGCTCGGCGCAGATG
RT- <i>VP_RS14060</i> -R	TTGCTTGCCCGGATACAG

Note. The restriction enzyme sites are in bold.

2000 μ g·ml⁻¹, the concentration points were set as 1000, 1250, 1500, and 1750 μ g·ml⁻¹. In addition, for concentrations above 2000 μ g·ml⁻¹, final concentrations of 2000, 2500, and 3000 μ g·ml⁻¹ were set up. The strains cultured overnight were then transferred to 5 ml LB test tubes with an initial OD₆₀₀ of 0.02 for 4-5 h. Then, the OD₆₀₀ of freshly cultured strains was adjusted to 0.001. Then, 100 μ l of diluted bacteria solution was added to each well, and the culture was

incubated at 37° C for 18 h. OD₆₀₀ was measured by BioTek Cytation 5. All assays were carried out in duplicate and performed three times in parallel in each group.

2.7. Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-qPCR). The overnight cultured strains were transferred into 5 ml LB test tubes with an initial OD₆₀₀ of 0.02 for 4–5 h. Half of the

bacterial solution was taken out into a sterilized empty test tube. Ampicillin was added to the experimental groups at the final concentration of $16 \,\mu \text{g} \cdot \text{ml}^{-1}$, and sterilized water with the same volume of ampicillin was added to tubes as the control. After incubating at 37° C and 200 rpm for 0.5 h, the sediment was used for RNA extraction. The methods used for RNA extraction, reverse transcription, and RT-qPCR were the same as those described in [17]. All assays were performed in triplicates.

3. Results

3.1. Deletion of OMP Affects Cell Growth, OM Permeation, and Antibiotic Resistance. Bacterial growth has four phases, including the lag phase, the exponential phase, the stationary phase, and the decline phase. In the lag phase, bacteria produce new enzymes to digest, build biomass, and prepare for cell division [18]. ATCC33846 started to grow after a lag phase of 2 hours following the inoculum. Compared to ATCC33846, some OMP mutants demonstrated a shorter lag phase, including $\Delta VP_RS22195$, $\Delta VP_RS16800$, $\Delta VP_RS16465$, and $\Delta VP_RS20840$ (Figure 2). The shorter lag phase indicated that the OMPs were the proteins prepared in the lag phase. Deletion of OMPs lightened the burden of preparation for cells in the lagged phase. In the $\Delta VP_RS16800$, $\Delta VP_RS16465$, exponential phase, $\Delta VP_RS20840$, and $\Delta VP_RS03765$ (Figures 2(c)-2(f)) had a higher growth rate than ATCC33846. Furthermore, ΔVP RS22195, $\Delta VP_RS16800$, $\Delta VP_RS16465$, $\Delta VP_RS20840$, and $\Delta VP_RS03765$ entered the decline phase directly, without an obvious stationary phase. In contrast, $\Delta VP_RS23020$ and $\Delta VP_RS11205$ showed poor growth (Figures 2(b) and 2(g)).

The N-Phenyl-1-naphthylamine (NPN) probe was used to test the OM permeability of OMP deletion mutants. NPN can be excited to form green fluorescence in the bacterial inner membrane, a hydrophobic environment [19]. A decreased OM permeability was observed in $\Delta VP_RS16465$ (Figure 3).

Fourteen antibiotics were selected for the MIC assay (Figure 4). In $\Delta VP_RS23020$, increased resistance to antibiotics (ciprofloxacin, nalidixic acid, and novobiocin) inhibiting DNA synthesis was observed. Rifampicin inhibits RNA synthesis, and a 4-fold increase in the rifampicin MIC was observed in $\Delta VP_RS22195$. Resistance to antibiotics (clarithromycin, chloramphenicol, tetracycline, streptomycin, kanamycin, gentamicin, and tobramycin) inhibiting protein synthesis was all increased in ΔVP RS22195 and $\Delta VP_RS20840$. Among protein synthesis-inhibiting antibiotics, resistance to 3 aminoglycosides (streptomycin, kanamycin, and gentamicin) was increased, whereas the fold change of tobramycin MIC was not obvious. Notably, $\Delta VP_RS11205$ was more resistant to aminoglycosides than other mutants, and the tetracycline susceptibility of ΔVP RS23020 was increased 2-fold. Nitrofurantoin inhibits carbohydrate metabolism enzymes and interferes with cell wall synthesis [20]. Resistance to nitrofurantoin was not decreased after OMP deletion. In addition, resistance to β -lactams, which act on peptidoglycan (PG) synthesis,

showed a general increase. Polymyxin B damages Gramnegative bacterial OM and resistance to polymyxin B was increased 2.8-fold in $\Delta VP_RS20840$. All OMP mutants showed at least a 64-fold increase in ampicillin resistance.

3.2. Changes in the Transcription of 20 Genes in OMP Deletion Mutants under the Absence and Stimulation of Ampicillin. RT-qPCR was performed to detect the transcriptional changes of 20 genes to further study the causes of increased ampicillin resistance in OMP deletion mutants (Table 3). These genes can be divided into five types: OMP genes, β -lactamase genes, PG synthesis-related genes, stress-regulation-related genes, and lipid A synthesis genes. VP_RS17515 β -lactamase. VP RS13510, expresses mrcB, mrdA, VP_RS02165, VP_RS03450, VP_RS22785, dacB, VP_RS22200, VP_RS09310, VP_RS15980 were selected as PG synthesisrelated genes through BLASTp in NCBI, and the proteins were homologous with PBP1A, PBP1B, PBP2, PBP3, PBP5, PBP4, LdtA, LdtD, and LdtF, respectively, in E. coli. In order to directly observe gene regulation under membrane stress, uhpA and VP_RS14060, which are homologous to the response regulator proteins rcsB and cpxR in E. coli, respectively, were selected. *lpxA* is a lipid A synthesis gene. β -lactams inhibit bacterial growth by binding to penicillinbinding proteins (PBPs) and interfering with PG synthesis [27]. According to the growth curve, bacteria in the initial exponential phase were used as the experiment sample. The ampicillin MIC value of ATCC33846 was $3.90625-15.625 \,\mu g \cdot m L^{-1}$, while the MIC values of OMP mutants were above $600 \,\mu \text{g} \cdot \text{mL}^{-1}$. Therefore, $16 \,\mu \text{g} \cdot \text{mL}^{-1}$ ampicillin, which would not kill ATCC33846 and have a better effect on mutants, was set as the pretreatment.

Figure 5 shows the transcription changes of 20 genes in mutants compared to ATCC33846. In $\Delta VP_RS23020$ (Figure 5(b)), all genes exhibited transcriptional upregulation except for VP_RS11205. In Δ VP_RS16465 and Δ VP_RS20840 (Figures 5(d) and 5(e)), VP_RS23020 was upregulated over 9fold. The transcription of VP_RS17515 was also upregulated over 9-fold in $\Delta VP_RS23020$ but downregulated in $\Delta VP_RS22195$, $\Delta VP_RS16800$, ΔVP RS20840, $\Delta VP_RS03765$, and $\Delta VP_RS11205$ (Figures 5(a), 5(c), 5(e)-5(g)). Most PG synthesis genes were downregulated in mutants except for $\Delta VP_RS23020$. However, in $\Delta VP_RS23020$, the transcription of VP_RS22200, VP_RS09310, and VP_RS15980 (Ldts) were all upregulated. Among PG synthesis genes, the transcriptional changes of VP RS22785 and VP RS09310 showed an interesting phenomenon. These two genes were downregulated over 2-fold in $\Delta VP_RS22195$, $\Delta VP_RS16800$, $\Delta VP_RS16465$, and $\Delta VP_RS20840$. In contrast, they were upregulated over 2-fold in $\Delta VP_RS23030$ and *VP_RS22785*, and *VP_RS09310*. $\Delta VP_RS11205$, In $\Delta VP_RS03765$, these two genes showed no obvious downregulation compared to other genes. Moreover, VP_RS20840 showed the same trend as VP RS22785 in all mutants. Moreover, the transcription of *lpxA* was downregulated in all mutants except for $\Delta VP_RS23020$.

Figure 6 displays the transcription changes of OMP genes of ATCC33846 and mutants under ampicillin



FIGURE 1: The verification of OMP deletion mutants was performed using primers of (gene)-U-F and (gene)-D-R by DNA agarose gel electrophoresis. M marker; WT, wild type, representing ATCC33846; lanes A-H showed $\Delta VP_RS22195$, $\Delta VP_RS23020$, $\Delta VP_RS16800$, $\Delta VP_RS16465$, $\Delta VP_RS20840$, $\Delta VP_RS03765$, and $\Delta VP_RS11205$ respectively.





FIGURE 2: Growth curves of the 7 *V. parahaemolyticus* OMP deletion mutants. Wild-type*V. parahaemolyticus* ATCC33846 was used as a control. Each point represents the mean value of three biological replicates, and error bars represent standard deviations calculated from three biological replicates.

stimulation compared to the untreated group. All OMP genes were downregulated in $\Delta VP_RS22195$, $\Delta VP_RS23020$, and $\Delta VP_RS20840$ (Figures 6(b) 6(c), and 6(f)). Furthermore, VP_RS16800 was downregulated over 2-fold in ATCC33846, $\Delta VP_RS23020$, $\Delta VP_RS20840$, and $\Delta VP_RS03765$ (Figures 6(a), 6(c), 6(f), and 6(g)), and over 4fold in $\Delta VP_RS22195$ and $\Delta VP_RS11205$ (Figures 6(b) and 6(h)). VP_RS17515 demonstrated over 2-fold upregulation ATCC33846, ΔVP RS16800, and ΔVP RS16465 in (Figures 6(a), 6(d), and 6(e)). In addition, transcription of PG synthesis genes showed significant changes in OMP deletion mutants but not after ampicillin stimulation. These findings implied that OMP deletion caused internal resistance to ampicillin instead of inducing a stress response with stimulation of ampicillin.

4. Discussion

The MIC change folds showed two major increases in resistance to aminoglycosides and ampicillin (Figure 4), and the MIC value is shown in Table S1. The MIC values of 3

kinds of aminoglycosides (streptomycin, kanamycin, and gentamicin) increased in all 7 OMP mutant strains, indicating that the mechanism of aminoglycoside resistance could be related to OM. Aminoglycosides act on bacterial ribosomes and inhibit translation [28]. Moreover, aminoglycosides are polycationic at physiological pH and can replace divalent cations on lipopolysaccharide, thereby increasing membrane permeability [29]. However, the results of the MIC fold change of polymyxin B, which could also damage OM by replacing divalent cations on lipopolysaccharides, showed that not all the OM of mutants was more resistant to cationic antibiotics. In addition, after the deletion of OMP genes, the fold change of tobramycin's MIC was lower than that of other aminoglycosides, and $\Delta VP_RS03765$ was sensitive to tobramycin. Therefore, the aminoglycoside resistance mechanism remains unclear in OMP deletion mutants.

A significant increase in ampicillin resistance was observed, with ATCC33846 showing a small MIC value (fluctuating $3.90625-15.625 \,\mu \text{g·mL}^{-1}$). However, 10.6% of *V. parahaemolyticus* isolates from the coast of Korea were



FIGURE 3: Comparison of OM permeability of the 7 *V. parahaemolyticus* OMP mutants, using wild-type ATCC33846 as a control. The fluorescence intensity was determined with excitation at 350 nm and emission at 428 nm. Each bar represents the mean value of three biological replicates, and error bars represent standard deviations calculated from three biological replicates. A statistically significant difference was determined using independent samples *t*-test analysis (*P < 0.05).

sensitive to ampicillin, while 87.2% were resistant [30]. It is speculated that the low ampicillin MIC of ATCC33846 was caused by repeated subculturing through different generations without antibiotics in the laboratory and an ATCC33846 sample at the early exponential phase. In addition, different CARB β -lactamases in various *V. parahaemolyticus* strains exhibit different ampicillin hydrolysis rates [31].

Ampicillin resistance in different OMP mutants may result from reduced PG synthesis activity and expression of β -lactamase. PG synthesis can be affected by reduced transcription of PBPs, increased transcription of Ldts, downregulated D,D-carboxypeptidase, and alanine deficiency.

Reduced transcription of PBPs was inferred to be one cause of ampicillin resistance. In $\Delta VP_RS22195$, $\Delta VP_RS03765$, and $\Delta VP_RS11205$, PG synthesis-related genes were downregulated (Figures 5(a), 5(f), and 5(g)). VP_RS22195 is located adjacent to VP_RS22200 in the genome and has 40.45% protein similarity to the murein lipoprotein Lpp in E. coli (Table 3). Furthermore, the Nterminus of lipoprotein LpoB is required for the activation of PBP1B in E. coli [32]. Lipoprotein NlpI is a part of PG biosynthetic multienzyme complexes and acts as an adaptor [33]. In addition, transcription of uhpA in $\Delta VP_RS22195$ was downregulated over 15-fold (Figure 5(a)). The lack of lipoprotein increases the periplasmic distance, and OM stress signals cannot be transmitted by the Rcs system [34]. PG synthesis was affected after the deletion of VP_RS22195, resulting in a slower division rate in the exponential phase than



FIGURE 4: Comparison of resistance to 14 antibiotics among the 7 *V. parahaemolyticus* OMP deletion mutants, using wild-type ATCC33846 as a control. The fold change is calculated by log_2 (the MIC of mutants/MIC of ATCC33846). Each color block represents the mean value of three biological replicates.

ATCC33846 (Figure 2(a)). Another cause might be the upregulated Ldts in $\Delta VP_RS23020$. In a β -lactam-resistant mutant of *Enterococcus faecium*, Ldt_{fm} was found to account for β -lactam resistance by using a different substrate from D,D-transpeptidase [6].

 $\Delta VP RS16465$ and $\Delta VP RS20840$ might exhibit ampicillin resistance due to the downregulated transcription of VP_RS22785 (Figures 5(d) and 5(e)), which encodes a D,Dcarboxypeptidase cleaving pentapeptides into tetrapeptides [23]. The V. choleraeD,D-endopeptidase ShyA could recognize but not cleave dimers containing pentapeptides [35]. Therefore, PG was protected from being cleaved by D,D-endopeptidases, and existed PG was maintained, reducing the activity of PG synthesis to resist ampicillin. In addition, with lower tetrapeptides, the transcription of VP_RS09310 was downregulated, which is homologous to LD-transpeptidase LdtD cleaving tetrapeptide to form mDAP³-mDAP³crosslinks (Table 3). However, the deletion of PBP5 in E. coli increased the sensitivity to β -lactams [36]. It is speculated that 3 homologs of PBP5 in V. parahaemolyticus and one of the downregulated homologs do not exert a significant impact on maintaining the normal cell shape. In addition, the OMP gene VP RS20840 showed the same trend in transcriptional change as VP_RS22785 in all OMP mutants (Figure 5). This finding implies an unknown relationship between VP_RS22785 and VP_RS20840. In addition, alanine deficiency may be involved in increased ampicillin resistance. Previous research reported lysis in an E. coli strain lacking alanine racemase in the absence of D-ala, which is mainly

TABLE 3: Introduction of	genes relate	d to	this	work.
--------------------------	--------------	------	------	-------

Gene names	Descriptions
VD D\$22105	Hypothetical protein, 438 bp, chromosome 2
V1_R322195	40.45% similar to murein lipoprotein Lpp in Escherichia coli
VP R\$23020	Maltoporin, 1311 bp, chromosome 2
V1_R025020	72.36% similar to maltoporin in Escherichia coli
VP_R\$16800	MipA/OmpV family protein, 781 bp, chromosome 2
V1_1010000	100% similar to MipA/OmpV family protein in Escherichia coli
VP R\$16465	OmpA family protein, 1080 bp, chromosome 2
	28.77% similar to porin OmpA in Escherichia coli
VP RS20840	OmpA family protein, 1318 bp, chromosome 2
	29.62% similar to porin OmpA in Escherichia coli
VP RS03765	OmpA family protein, 1019 bp, chromosome 1
	32.5% similar to murein porin OmpA in Escherichia coli
VP RS11205	OmpH family outer membrane protein, 465 bp, chromosome 1
	36.42% similar to periplasmic chaperone Skp in <i>E. coli</i>
VP_RS17515	Carbenicillin-hydrolyzing class A beta-lactamase CARB-22
	Hydrolyzing β -lactams [21]
VP_RS13510	PBP1A family penicillin-binding protein
	Peptidogiycan synthase which is essential for cell elongation [22]
mrcB	Peniciliin-binding protein 1B
	Pepudogiycan synthase which is essential for cell division [22]
mrdA	Transportidese assortial for coll alongation [23]
	Denicillin binding protoin 3
VP_RS02165	Transpentidase essential for cell division [23]
	nalspeptidase essential for cen division [25]
VP_RS22785	D-carboxypeptidase which cleaves terminal D-ala in the pentidoglycan [24]
	Serine-typep-Ala-n-Ala carboxypeptidase
dacB	D.Dcarboxypeptidase which cleaves terminal D-ala in the peptidoglycan [23]
	LD-transpentidase family protein
VP_RS22200	Attaching Lpp to mDAP ³ of peptidoglycan [23]
	L.Dtranspeptidase family protein
<i>VP_RS09310</i> , <i>VP_RS15980</i>	Synthesizing mDAP ³ -mDAP ³ cross-links in the peptidoglycan [23]
1.4	Transcriptional regulator, 29.06% similar to RcsB in <i>E. coli</i>
unpA	RcsB is response regulator of Rcs sensing outer membrane stress [25]
VD D\$14060	Response regulator, 62.45% similar to CpxR in E. coli
V F_R314000	CpxR is response regulator of Cpx sensing inner membrane stress [26]
lor A	Acyl-ACP-UDP-N-acetylglucosamine O-acyltransferase
улл	Essential for the biosynthesis of lipid A

caused by defects in PG synthesis [37]. OmpA was upregulated after the addition of alanine through analysis of proteomics and RT-qPCR [38]. It is speculated that lower levels of alanine entered the cell following OmpA deletion, which might further affect the transcription of PBP genes. *VP_RS16465*, *VP_RS20840*, and *VP_RS03765* belong to the OmpA protein family (Table 3), while the different transcription trends of *VP_RS22785* inferred different functions of OmpA.

The existence and expression of β -lactamase were one of the causes of ampicillin resistance in *V. parahaemolyticus*. After ampicillin treatment, *VP_RS17515* (β -lactamase) was upregulated in other strains except for $\Delta VP_RS23020$ (Figure 6(c)). The same conclusion was obtained in *V. parahaemolyticus* V110 [7]. Although the transcription of *VP_RS17515* was slightly downregulated in $\Delta VP_RS23020$ under ampicillin stimulation (Figure 6(c)), it was upregulated more than 4-fold in $\Delta VP_RS23020$ (Figure 5(b)). *VP_RS23020* encodes maltoporin. In addition, the maltose metabolism pathway was potentially involved in the resistance to antibiotics that target cell wall biosynthesis. In a *Lactococcus lactis* strain resistant to lactococcin 972, which is a bacteriocin that inhibits cell wall biosynthesis by binding to lipid II, maltose metabolic genes were deleted. However, this strain showed no lactococcin 972 sensitivity in the maltose medium [39].



FIGURE 5: Comparison of transcription of 20 genes in the 7 *V. parahaemolyticus* OMP deletion mutants, using wild-type ATCC33846 as a control. Five kinds of genes are divided by dotted line: OMP genes, β -lactamase genes, PG synthesis-related genes, stress-regulation-related genes, and lipid A synthesis genes. *VP_RS17515* expresses β -lactamase. The transcription change fold is calculated by $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$. Each bar represents the mean value of three biological replicates, and error bars represent standard deviations calculated from three biological replicates.

Lipoprotein potentially affected OM biosynthesis through phospholipids. Transcription of *lpxA* was downregulated over 3.7-fold in $\Delta VP_RS22195$ (Figure 5(a)), indicating that the lack of VP_RS22195 had an effect on OM synthesis. The maturity of lipoprotein is associated with phosphatidylglycerol [40]. Moreover, crosstalk between phospholipids and lipopolysaccharide synthesis was observed. LpxK catalyzes the synthesis of lipid IV A from lipid A disaccharide, which depends on the concentration of unsaturated fatty acids [41]. Furthermore, transcription of *lpxA* was downregulated over 2-fold in $\Delta VP_RS16800$, $\Delta VP_RS20840$, $\Delta VP_RS03765$, and $\Delta VP_RS11205$. Nevertheless, the relationship between these OMPs and OM synthesis remains unknown.



FIGURE 6: Comparison of transcription of 20 genes in the 7 *V. parahaemolyticus* OMP deletion mutants under stimulation of ampicillin (AMP), using wild-type ATCC33846 as a control. Five kinds of genes are divided by dotted line: OMP genes, β -lactamase genes, PG synthesis-related genes, stress-regulation-related genes, and lipid A synthesis genes. The transcription change fold is calculated by $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$. Each bar represents the mean value of three biological replicates, and the error bars represent standard deviations calculated from three biological replicates.

5. Conclusions

Deletion of OMP affects growth and OM permeation, and MIC and OMP mutants demonstrated significantly increased ampicillin resistance. Further RT-qPCR analysis showed several possible causes of ampicillin resistance in OMP mutants, including the expression of β -lactamase, the reduction of PG synthesis activity due to reduced transcription of PBPs, increased transcription of Ldts, down-regulated D,D-carboxypeptidase, and alanine deficiency. This study provides a new perspective on ampicillin resistance in OMP mutants with respect to PG synthesis. Future work will focus on the role of OMPs in the synthesis of OM and PG.

Data Availability

All the data generated or analysed during this study are included within the article.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Authors' Contributions

Xiangyu Meng conceptualized the study, performed investigation, and wrote the original draft. Danyang Huang, Qing Zhou, Fan Ji, and Xin Tan performed investigation. Jianli Wang optimized methodology. Xiaoyuan Wang conceptualized the study, reviewed and edited it, and performed supervision. Xiangyu Meng and Xiaoyuan Wang contributed equally to this work.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2017YFC1600102).

Supplementary Materials

Supplementary Table S1 shows the detailed data about MIC in Figure 4 and the MIC of amoxicillin. (*Supplementary Materials*)

References

- C. W. Tan, Y. Rukayadi, H. Hasan et al., "Prevalence and antibiotic resistance patterns of Vibrio parahaemolyticus isolated from different types of seafood in Selangor, Malaysia," *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 1602– 1608, 2020.
- [2] V. Kumar, K. Baruah, D. V. Nguyen, G. Smagghe, E. Vossen, and P. Bossier, "Phloroglucinol-mediated Hsp70 production in crustaceans: protection against Vibrio parahaemolyticus in Artemia franciscana and Macrobrachium rosenbergii," *Frontiers in Immunology*, vol. 9, p. 1091, 2018.
- [3] S. Elmahdi, L. V. DaSilva, and S. Parveen, "Antibiotic resistance of Vibrio parahaemolyticus and Vibrio vulnificus in various countries: a review," *Food Microbiology*, vol. 57, pp. 128–134, 2016.

- [4] A. Bartsch, C. M. Ives, C. Kattner et al., "An antibioticresistance conferring mutation in a neisserial porin: structure, ion flux, and ampicillin binding," *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta, Biomembranes*, vol. 1863, no. 6, Article ID 183601, 2021.
- [5] P. M. Mendelman, D. O. Chaffin, T. L. Stull, C. E. Rubens, K. D. Mack, and A. L. Smith, "Characterization of non-betalactamase-mediated ampicillin resistance in Haemophilus influenzae," *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 235–244, 1984.
- [6] J. L. Mainardi, M. Fourgeaud, J. E. Hugonnet et al., "A novel peptidoglycan cross-linking enzyme for a beta-lactamresistant transpeptidation pathway," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 280, no. 46, pp. 38146–38152, 2005.
- [7] J. Chiou, R. Li, and S. Chen, "CARB-17 family of betalactamases mediates intrinsic resistance to penicillins in Vibrio parahaemolyticus," *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, vol. 59, no. 6, pp. 3593–3595, 2015.
- [8] I. Ghai and S. Ghai, "Understanding antibiotic resistance via outer membrane permeability," *Infection and Drug Resistance*, vol. 11, pp. 523–530, 2018.
- [9] I. Ghai and S. Ghai, "Exploring bacterial outer membrane barrier to combat bad bugs," *Infection and Drug Resistance*, vol. 10, pp. 261–273, 2017.
- [10] I. Ghai, H. Bajaj, J. Arun Bafna, H. A. El Damrany Hussein, M. Winterhalter, and R. Wagner, "Ampicillin permeation across OmpF, the major outer-membrane channel in Escherichia coli," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 293, no. 18, pp. 7030–7037, 2018.
- [11] M. Hao, M. Ye, Z. Shen et al., "Porin deficiency in carbapenem-resistant Enterobacter aerogenes strains," *Microbial Drug Resistance*, vol. 24, no. 9, pp. 1277–1283, 2018.
- [12] Y. T. Horng, K. C. Chang, Y. N. Liu, H. C. Lai, and P. C. Soo, "The RssB/RssA two-component system regulates biosynthesis of the tripyrrole antibiotic, prodigiosin, in Serratia marcescens," *International Journal of Medical Microbiology*, vol. 300, no. 5, pp. 304–312, 2010.
- [13] D. L. Milton, A. Norqvist, and H. Wolf-Watz, "Cloning of a metalloprotease gene involved in the virulence mechanism of Vibrio anguillarum," *Journal of Bacteriology*, vol. 174, no. 22, pp. 7235–7244, 1992.
- [14] N. Philippe, J. P. Alcaraz, E. Coursange, J. Geiselmann, and D. Schneider, "Improvement of pCVD442, a suicide plasmid for gene allele exchange in bacteria," *Plasmid*, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 246–255, 2004.
- [15] J. Wang, W. Ma, Y. Wang et al., "Deletion of 76 genes relevant to flagella and pili formation to facilitate polyhydroxyalkanoate production in Pseudomonas putida," *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, vol. 102, no. 24, pp. 10523–10539, 2018.
- [16] J. Hu, Y. Tan, Y. Li, X. Hu, D. Xu, and X. Wang, "Construction and application of an efficient multiple-gene-deletion system in Corynebacterium glutamicum," *Plasmid*, vol. 70, no. 3, pp. 303–313, 2013.
- [17] X. Tan, J. Qiao, Q. Zhou et al., "Identification of a phosphoethanolamine transferase for lipid A modification in Vibrio parahaemolyticus," *Food Control*, vol. 125, Article ID 108033, 2021.
- [18] R. L. Bertrand, "Lag phase is a dynamic, organized, adaptive, and evolvable period that prepares bacteria for cell division," *Journal of Bacteriology*, vol. 201, no. 7, pp. 00697–e718, 2019.
- [19] I. M. Helander and T. Mattila-Sandholm, "Fluorometric assessment of gram-negative bacterial permeabilization,"

Journal of Applied Microbiology, vol. 88, no. 2, pp. 213–219, 2000.

- [20] M. J. Munoz-Davila, "Role of old antibiotics in the era of antibiotic resistance. Highlighted nitrofurantoin for the treatment of lower urinary tract infections," *Antibiotics*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 39–48, 2014.
- [21] O. A. Pemberton, R. E. Noor, V. Kumar M V et al., "Mechanism of proton transfer in class A β -lactamase catalysis and inhibition by avibactam," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 117, no. 11, pp. 5818–5825, 2020.
- [22] M. Markovski, J. L. Bohrhunter, T. J. Lupoli et al., "Cofactor bypass variants reveal a conformational control mechanism governing cell wall polymerase activity," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 113, no. 17, pp. 4788–4793, 2016.
- [23] S. Garde, P. K. Chodisetti, and M. Reddy, "Peptidoglycan: structure, synthesis, and regulation," *EcoSal Plus*, vol. 9, no. 2, 2021.
- [24] K. Skoog, F. S. Bruzell, A. Ducroux et al., "Penicillin-binding protein 5 can form a homo-oligomeric complex in the inner membrane of Escherichia coli," *Protein Science*, vol. 20, pp. 1520–1529, 2011.
- [25] E. Wall, N. Majdalani, and S. Gottesman, "The complex Rcs regulatory cascade," *Annual Review of Microbiology*, vol. 72, no. 1, pp. 111–139, 2018.
- [26] R. L. Guest and T. L. Raivio, "The cpx inner membrane stress response," in *Stress and Environmental Regulation of Gene Expression and Adaptation in Bacteria*, F. J. D. Bruijn, Ed., pp. 1015–1024, Wiley Online Library, New York, NY, USA, 2016.
- [27] G. De Angelis, P. Del Giacomo, B. Posteraro, M. Sanguinetti, and M. Tumbarello, "Molecular mechanisms, epidemiology, and clinical importance of beta-Lactam resistance in Enterobacteriaceae," *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, vol. 21, no. 14, p. 5090, 2020.
- [28] S. Garneau-Tsodikova and K. J. Labby, "Mechanisms of resistance to aminoglycoside antibiotics: overview and perspectives," *Medchemcomm*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 11–27, 2016.
- [29] A. W. Serio, T. Keepers, L. Andrews, and K. M. Krause, "Aminoglycoside revival: review of a historically important class of antimicrobials undergoing rejuvenation," *EcoSal Plus*, vol. 8, no. 1, 2018.
- [30] A. R. Ryu, J. S. Mok, D. E. Lee, J. Y. Kwon, and K. Park, "Occurrence, virulence, and antimicrobial resistance of Vibrio parahaemolyticus isolated from bivalve shellfish farms along the southern coast of Korea," *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, vol. 26, no. 20, pp. 21034–21043, 2019.
- [31] D. Choury, G. Aubert, M. F. Szajnert, K. Azibi, M. Delpech, and G. Paul, "Characterization and nucleotide sequence of CARB-6, a new carbenicillin-hydrolyzingbeta-lactamase from Vibrio cholerae," *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 297–301, 1999.
- [32] A. J. F. Egan, N. L. Jean, A. Koumoutsi et al., "Outermembrane lipoprotein LpoB spans the periplasm to stimulate the peptidoglycan synthase PBP1B," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 111, no. 22, pp. 8197–8202, 2014.
- [33] M. Banzhaf, H. C. Yau, J. Verheul et al., "Outer membrane lipoprotein NlpI scaffolds peptidoglycan hydrolases within multi-enzyme complexes in Escherichia coli," *The EMBO Journal*, vol. 39, no. 5, Article ID e102246, 2020.
- [34] A. T. Asmar, J. L. Ferreira, E. J. Cohen et al., "Communication across the bacterial cell envelope depends on the size of the

periplasm," *PLoS Biology*, vol. 15, no. 12, Article ID e2004303, 2017.

- [35] T. Dorr, F. Cava, H. Lam, B. M. Davis, and M. K. Waldor, "Substrate specificity of an elongation-specific peptidoglycan endopeptidase and its implications for cell wall architecture and growth of Vibrio cholerae," *Molecular Microbiology*, vol. 89, no. 5, pp. 949–962, 2013.
- [36] S. K. Sarkar, C. Chowdhury, and A. S. Ghosh, "Deletion of penicillin-binding protein 5 (PBP5) sensitises Escherichia coli cells to beta-lactam agents," *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 244–249, 2010.
- [37] H. J. W. Wijsman, "The characterization of an alanine racemase mutant of Escherichia coli," *Genetical Research*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 269–277, 1972.
- [38] J.-Z. Ye, Y.-B. Su, X.-M. Lin et al., "Alanine enhances aminoglycosides-induced ROS production as revealed by proteomic analysis," *Frontiers in Microbiology*, vol. 9, p. 29, 2018.
- [39] C. Roces, P. Courtin, S. Kulakauskas, A. Rodriguez, M. P. Chapot-Chartier, and B. Martinez, "Isolation of Lactococcus lactis mutants simultaneously resistant to the cell wall-active bacteriocin Lcn972, lysozyme, nisin, and bacteriophage c2," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 78, no. 12, pp. 4157–4163, 2012.
- [40] W. Singh, M. Bilal, J. McClory et al., "Mechanism of phosphatidylglycerol activation catalyzed by prolipoprotein diacylglyceryl transferase," *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, vol. 123, no. 33, pp. 7092–7102, 2019.
- [41] A. Emiola, S. S. Andrews, C. Heller, and J. George, "Crosstalk between the lipopolysaccharide and phospholipid pathways during outer membrane biogenesis in Escherichia coli," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 113, no. 11, pp. 3108–3113, 2016.