

## *Expression of Concern*

# **Expression of Concern on “The Comparative Analysis of Two RT-qPCR Kits for Detecting SARS-CoV-2 Reveals a Higher Risk of False-Negative Diagnosis in Samples with High Quantification Cycles for Viral and Internal Genes”**

### **Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology**

Received 25 November 2022; Accepted 25 November 2022; Published 19 January 2023

Copyright © 2023 Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology* would like to express concern with the article titled “The Comparative Analysis of Two RT-qPCR Kits for Detecting SARS-CoV-2 Reveals a Higher Risk of False-Negative Diagnosis in Samples with High Quantification Cycles for Viral and Internal Genes” [1]. A concern has been raised regarding a potential conflict of interest in this article and possible errors in the methodology used. In light of this concern, the publisher is investigating further and will revisit this article with any necessary post-publication amendments.

### **References**

- [1] R. Luraschi, C. Barrera-Avalos, E. Vallejos-Vidal et al., “The Comparative Analysis of Two RT-qPCR Kits for Detecting SARS-CoV-2 Reveals a Higher Risk of False-Negative Diagnosis in Samples with High Quantification Cycles for Viral and Internal Genes,” *The Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases & Medical Microbiology*, vol. 2022, Article ID 2594564, 10 pages, 2022.